

# Reconfiguring AllCoreDevs @ Berlinterop

---

- Reconfiguring AllCoreDevs @ Berlinterop
  - Agenda
    - ACD Decision Quality
    - Coordination & Timelines
  - Notes

## Agenda

---

### ACD Decision Quality

- What goes into hard forks is the #1 most important decision ACD makes. How do we improve the quality of our decision making?
- Tim's proposal: separate headliner (<https://ethereum-magicians.org/t/community-consensus-fork-headliners-acd-working-groups/24088>) & other EIPs decision.
  - Rationale: our most impactful upgrades were heavily driven by headliners.
- So far, not sure it's made a difference (<https://ethereum-magicians.org/tag/glamsterdam>)?
  - Available Attestations
  - EOF
  - Pureth
  - BAL
  - FOCIL
  - EPBS
- What should we use as signals that something is both important and urgent?
  - Core Devs?
  - "The community"? **Who?**
  - Protocol Research Call?
- Are there groups that *should* be proposing headliners but aren't? How do we solicit their input?
  - L2s?
  - ZKEVMs?
  - Application Layer Devs?
  - Infrastructure providers?

## Coordination & Timelines

- How strongly should we commit to fork timelines?
  - What's the right cadence? 6 months? 9 months?
  - Scope vs. Time vs. Quality trilema
  - What happens if headliner delays?
  - What about other EIPs?
    - Fusaka Gas Limit EIPs
    - EIP-7939 🙄
- Time/fork-based commitments for non-consensus changes
  - Gas Limit
  - History Expiry
  - CL Hardening?
  - Partial State Node?

## Notes

---

1 CALL, ANNOUNCED, COMMUNITY

MANY CALLS?

THE HEADLINES TO SAVE L1/L2/UX

ACD

"PRODUCT OWNER"

FEEDBACK—WHO WANTS IT?

WRITTEN ARGUMENTS? VOTE?

L2s, APPS, ETC. TELL US THEIR PROBLEMS

ACD → "TECHNICAL EXPERTS"

IF PROPOSES FORK PRIORITIES

ACD → "VE TO"?

ACD USER RESEARCH

FORK SPEED → EIP LEADERSHIP

ROLLBACK GOOD TO SURFACE PROBLEMS

SUSTAINED CHAMPIONS FOR ACD

HURDLE TO JOIN ACD — SCARY!

BETTER FEED/EF — GIVE US +

WIND SIGHT BIAS → FROM BOLD & EIP HAD PUSE BACK

SHOULD BE PUBLIC, VISIBLE + OPEN & CLEAR TO COMMUNITY

VITALIK

TECHNICAL WRITER, MARKET RESEARCH

WORSE FOR SOLUTION

CONTRACT CODE SIZE MAIN CONSTRAINTS

WIND TO CELEBRATE

WIND TO CELEBRATE

WIND TO CELEBRATE

WIND TO CELEBRATE

WIND TO CELEBRATE

WIND TO CELEBRATE

WIND TO CELEBRATE

WIND TO CELEBRATE

WIND TO CELEBRATE

WIND TO CELEBRATE

WIND TO CELEBRATE

WIND TO CELEBRATE

WIND TO CELEBRATE

WIND TO CELEBRATE

WIND TO CELEBRATE

WIND TO CELEBRATE

WIND TO CELEBRATE

WIND TO CELEBRATE

WIND TO CELEBRATE

WIND TO CELEBRATE

WIND TO CELEBRATE

WIND TO CELEBRATE

WIND TO CELEBRATE

WIND TO CELEBRATE

WIND TO CELEBRATE

WIND TO CELEBRATE

WIND TO CELEBRATE

WIND TO CELEBRATE

WIND TO CELEBRATE

WIND TO CELEBRATE

WIND TO CELEBRATE

WIND TO CELEBRATE

WIND TO CELEBRATE

• RELIABLE SCHEDULE

• PEERDAS VS. REPRICINGS? → GLAMSTER DAM? → "SCALE L1"

• USER IMPACT VS. ACD/AC  
→ FRONT-ENDS / UI / TOOLING

2 weeks? direct-3?  
FRIDAY

• "BPDs" ← ☺

↳ "GAS PARAM ONLY"

• FORK + 1/4Z PLANNING

• IMPROVE EXECUTIONS? → 12 WK feedback loop

↳ FORK-FOCUSED ENGS LIMITED  
↳ TOO MANY CALLS

↳ 2+ CLIENT TEAM SIZES  
↳ DOWNTIME BIT FORKS