## Ecse 429 Final

- People commit mistakes, which lead to defects, which execute and become failures, leading to incidents
- Defects faulty requirements, communication failure, deviation from requirements, logical design errors, coding errors, documentation errors
- Software quality factors correctness, reliability/availability, efficiency, integrity, usability, maintainability, flexibility, testability, portability, reusability, interoperability
- SQA software quality assurance reasonable confidence that software conforms to requirements, budgetary requirements, and helps manage efficiency of development, maintenance, etc
- 3 SQA principles know: what you are doing (structure), what you should be doing (requirements, specs), how to measure the difference (pillars: formal methods, software testing, metrics, inspections & reviews, SQA of external participants)
- Verification are we building product right, validation are we building right product
- Roles moderator, recorder, reviewer, reader, producer
- V & V requirements > system design > architecture design > component design > implementation < unit testing < integration testing < system testing < acceptance testing</li>
- $\bullet~$  SQA defect prevention, detection, removal
- Lifecycle sequential (v-model) deliver complete, iterative (scrum) deliver incrementally
- Continuous: integration build per commit, deployment release per commit, delivery easy release mechanics for each step
- White-box cannot reveal missing functionality, black-box cannot reveal unexpected functionality
- TDD test driven design listen, create test, code, run tests, repeat
- MISRA C no unreachable code, identifiers distinct from macro names, right hand operator of && or || cannot have persistent side effect, if else terminates with else
- Dead code reachable, operation does not alter behaviour
- source code + (lexer + parser) → abstract syntax tree (AST); if AST fails, generate error report
- Graph pattern matching (eg forbid concatenation of empty string); if no matches, no error found through SA
- Soundness if prover says P is true, then P is true (trivial: nothing)
- Completeness if P is true, SA says P is true (trivial: everything)
- CFG control flow graph join nodes so long as they have at most one exiting and one entering edge; nodes cannot have mutation
- Branch/edge coverage every decision executed; condition coverage each condition true and false at least once; modified condition/decision coverage each condition T or F at least once, one condition changed at a time from previous tests and output must change as well, requires n + 1 cases for 1 decision, n conditions
- Multiple condition > modified condition/decision > condition/decision
   > condition; condition/decision > branch/edge > statement/node,
   path > branch edge, path ≠ condition, branch/edge ≠ condition
- Path sensitization variable for each declaration/mutation, find ranges for original variable for desired path
- DFG data flow graph cu (computational), pu (predicate), d (definition), k (kill), notation \*(v, n), write conditions alongside pu
- All-p-use some-c-use at least one dp path for all d to reachable p, otherwise at least one dc path, all-p > branch/edge
- Fault based testing modify one thing at a time, if all changes detected, mutant is dead and test set is adequate
- Stillborn killed by compiler, trivial killed by most test cases, equivalent always same output as original program
- Unit test code smells obscure, eager, mystery guest, general fixture, hard coded test data, test code duplication, test logic in production
- Unit test behaviour smells assertion roulette, erratic, fragile tests
- Myer's test selection equivalence classes until all valid ECs covered, cover as many remaining ECs as possible per test; until all invalid ECs covered, cover one new EC per test
- BVA boundary view analysis min, min+, nom, max-, max; 4n + 1 test with n vars, 6n + 1 for robust testing with min- and max+, 5<sup>n</sup> for worst case, 7<sup>n</sup> for robust worst case
- Decision table list of conditions, unique combination of conditions \\
  list of actions, list of selected actions

- Test generation all explicit, all-variants (all implicit), all-true (with outcome), all-false (without outcome)
- ROBDD reduced ordered binary decision diagram convert BDD from L to R, canonical w.r.t. variable ordering
- Cause effect modeling label unique conditions and effects, match each effect with condition constraints (eg e2 = (c1 and c3) or (c2 and c4))
- Graph: V nor, flip for nand, O exactly once, E at most one, I at least one, M mask (A implies not B), R require (A implies B); for latter two, arrow towards B
- DNF disjunctive normal form terms with and, joined by or
- Absorption  $A \vee (A \wedge B) = A \wedge (A \vee B) = A$
- Each-condition/All-condition for both, add variant where only one variable is true; each → or logic, all false; all → and logic, all true, note that variant includes its associated negation (eg \( \mathcal{C} \) is false when C is true)
- Unique true points generate tests where only one term true at a time
- Near false points invert one literal, make selected term true, make all
  others false given constraints from first term, result: with negation all
  false, with flip selected term true, so full function true
- Full predicate coverage make each predicate T or F at least once, change one predicate at a time from previous tests
- System integration bottom up (no stubs, lots of drivers, count nodes), top down (only driver for main, lots of stubs, count arrows for max, can use module directly after tested), risk driven - start with high risk node
- Stub replaces module - input module -> passes test data, output module -> returns test data
- Drivers used to call test modules, eg parameter passing
- Integration testing strategies big bang everything at once, sandwich logic top down, middle, operational bottom up, function/thread-based, top-down, bottom-up, risk-driven
- Integration testing comparison criteria fault localization, effort (stubs, drivers), degree of testing, parallel dev
- OOP compared to procedural introduces classes, hidden variables, and potential problems with inheritance or attribute redefinitions
- Kung I subclass, C composition (global ref), A association
- CFW class firewall, contains all classes referencing self
- Abstract class tested with level of subclass (eg E(A))
- Kung cycles, remove associations
- Forced error test trigger error, check if proper error message/recovery occurred, Usability accessibility, responsiveness, efficiency, comprehensibility, Performance stress testing, load, durability, endurance, Configuration, Compatibility eg backwards, forwards
- Combinatorial method find pairwise combinations one at a time, create table with full combinations while minimizing extra cases
- Security buffer overflow
- Statistical testing based on usage models, test frequent behaviour, better at reliability estimation but worse at finding defects
- Other system testing multitasking, recovery, installability, serviceability
- Formal verification can prove absence of errors and analyze all execution traces
- State machine event(arguments) [condition]/operation(arguments)
- Statecharts for design auto synthesis of executable code, check if code generator is working correctly and corresponds to model
- Statecharts for test gen auto synthesis of test data, check if implementation corresponds to spec
- Round-trip path tree flatten state model, when adding new transitions, mark as terminal if already encountered
- Conformance test cases: ID, start state, event, condition, reaction, new state
- Kripke structure flattened synchronous statechart
- Temporal logic X next, F future, G global,  $P \cup q$  P until q, given F q
- Temporal connectives E exists, A for all
- LTL linear, CTL computational