Consistency Score with Guidelines

This questionnaire was employed to assess the consistency of the generated questions with the provided guidelines, utilizing a scale of 0.0 to 5.0, where 5.0 indicates complete consistency and 0.0 denotes complete inconsistency. Results were recorded to one decimal place.

---

* 1. Checklist 1: Did you carry out a fundamental rights impact assessment where there could be a negative impact on fundamental rights? Did you identify and document potential trade-offs made between the different principles and rights?
https://anonymous.4open.science/r/38E2/Checklist/CL1_Fundamental_rights_1.xlsx

<div style="border:1px solid #ccc; height:60px;"></div>

* 2. Checklist 2: Did you ensure that your system has a sufficient fallback plan if it encounters adversarial attacks or other unexpected situations (for example technical switching procedures or asking for a human operator before proceeding)?
https://anonymous.4open.science/r/38E2/Checklist/CL2_Fallback_plan_and_general_safety_1.xlsx

<div style="border:1px solid #ccc; height:60px;"></div>

* 3. Checklist 3: Did you assess potential forms of attacks to which the AI system could be vulnerable?
https://anonymous.4open.science/r/38E2/Checklist/CL3_Resilience_to_attack_and_security_1.xlsx

<div style="border:1px solid #ccc; height:60px;"></div>

* 4. Checklist 4: Did you verify how your system behaves in unexpected situations and environments?
https://anonymous.4open.science/r/38E2/Checklist/CL4_Resilience_to_attack_and_security_3.xlsx

<div style="border:1px solid #ccc; height:60px;"></div>

* 5. Checklist 5: Did you consider the level of risk raised by the AI system in this specific use case?
https://anonymous.4open.science/r/38E2/Checklist/CL5_Fallback_plan_and_general_safety_2.xlsx

<div style="border:1px solid #ccc; height:60px;"></div>