

# The Power Method Algorithm

## 1 Introduction

The **power method** (also called **power iteration**) is a classic iterative algorithm for computing the dominant eigenvalue and its corresponding eigenvector of a matrix. Given a square matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , the method finds the eigenvalue with the largest absolute value, denoted  $\lambda_1$ , and its associated eigenvector  $\mathbf{v}_1$ .

## 2 Algorithm Statement

### 2.1 Initialization

- Choose an initial vector  $\mathbf{x}^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\mathbf{x}^{(0)} \neq \mathbf{0}$ .
- Typically,  $\mathbf{x}^{(0)}$  is chosen randomly or as  $\mathbf{x}^{(0)} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)^T$ .
- Normalize:  $\mathbf{x}^{(0)} \leftarrow \frac{\mathbf{x}^{(0)}}{\|\mathbf{x}^{(0)}\|}$  (optional but recommended).
- Set convergence tolerance  $\epsilon > 0$  and maximum iterations  $k_{\max}$ .

### 2.2 Iteration Step

For  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  until convergence:

#### 1. Matrix-vector multiplication:

$$\mathbf{y}^{(k+1)} = A\mathbf{x}^{(k)} \quad (1)$$

#### 2. Normalization:

$$\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = \frac{\mathbf{y}^{(k+1)}}{\|\mathbf{y}^{(k+1)}\|} \quad (2)$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes a vector norm (typically the Euclidean norm  $\|\cdot\|_2$ ).

#### 3. Eigenvalue estimate: Compute the Rayleigh quotient

$$\lambda^{(k+1)} = \frac{(\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)})^T A \mathbf{x}^{(k+1)}}{(\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)})^T \mathbf{x}^{(k+1)}} = (\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)})^T A \mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} \quad (3)$$

where the second equality holds if  $\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)}$  is normalized.

Alternatively, if the largest eigenvalue is known to be positive, one can use:

$$\lambda^{(k+1)} = \|\mathbf{y}^{(k+1)}\| = \|A\mathbf{x}^{(k)}\| \quad (4)$$

### 2.3 Convergence Criteria

The iteration terminates when one of the following conditions is met:

1. **Eigenvalue convergence:**

$$|\lambda^{(k+1)} - \lambda^{(k)}| < \epsilon \quad (5)$$

2. **Eigenvector convergence:**

$$\|\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} - \mathbf{x}^{(k)}\| < \epsilon \quad (6)$$

or

$$\|\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} + \mathbf{x}^{(k)}\| < \epsilon \quad (7)$$

(the second condition accounts for sign ambiguity in eigenvectors).

3. **Residual criterion:**

$$\|A\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} - \lambda^{(k+1)}\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)}\| < \epsilon \quad (8)$$

4. **Maximum iterations reached:**  $k \geq k_{\max}$

The most commonly used criterion is the eigenvalue convergence test (1) or the residual criterion (3).

## 3 Formal Algorithm

---

### Algorithm 1 Power Method

---

**Require:** Matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , tolerance  $\epsilon > 0$ , max iterations  $k_{\max}$

**Ensure:** Dominant eigenvalue  $\lambda$  and eigenvector  $\mathbf{x}$

```

1: Choose initial vector  $\mathbf{x}^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\mathbf{x}^{(0)} \neq \mathbf{0}$ 
2:  $\mathbf{x}^{(0)} \leftarrow \mathbf{x}^{(0)} / \|\mathbf{x}^{(0)}\|$ 
3:  $\lambda^{(0)} \leftarrow 0$ 
4: for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k_{\max} - 1$  do
5:    $\mathbf{y}^{(k+1)} \leftarrow A\mathbf{x}^{(k)}$ 
6:    $\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} \leftarrow \mathbf{y}^{(k+1)} / \|\mathbf{y}^{(k+1)}\|$ 
7:    $\lambda^{(k+1)} \leftarrow (\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)})^T A\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)}$ 
8:   if  $|\lambda^{(k+1)} - \lambda^{(k)}| < \epsilon$  then
9:     return  $\lambda^{(k+1)}, \mathbf{x}^{(k+1)}$ 
10:  end if
11: end for
12: return  $\lambda^{(k_{\max})}, \mathbf{x}^{(k_{\max})}$  ▷ Max iterations reached

```

---

## 4 Convergence Properties

**Theorem 1** (Convergence of Power Method). *Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be diagonalizable with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$  such that*

$$|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_2| \geq |\lambda_3| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_n| \quad (9)$$

*and corresponding eigenvectors  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  that form a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . If the initial vector  $\mathbf{x}^{(0)}$  has a nonzero component in the direction of  $\mathbf{v}_1$ , then the power method converges:*

$$\lambda^{(k)} \rightarrow \lambda_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{x}^{(k)} \rightarrow \pm \mathbf{v}_1 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty \quad (10)$$

**Remark 1** (Rate of Convergence). *The rate of convergence is determined by the ratio  $\left|\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right|$ . Specifically, the error decreases approximately as:*

$$\text{Error}^{(k)} \approx C \left| \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \right|^k \quad (11)$$

where  $C$  is a constant depending on the initial vector. The convergence is **linear** with rate  $\left|\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right|$ .

**Remark 2** (Conditions for Convergence). *The power method requires:*

- *The matrix  $A$  must have a unique dominant eigenvalue:  $|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_2|$*
- *The initial vector must have a nonzero component in the direction of the dominant eigenvector*
- *The matrix need not be symmetric*

## 5 Numerical Example

Consider a  $5 \times 5$  symmetric matrix with eigenvalues  $\{10, 5, 3, 1, 0.5\}$ . Applying the power method with a random initial vector demonstrates the convergence behavior shown in Figure ??.



Figure 1: Convergence of the power method. The error in the eigenvalue estimate decreases exponentially, exhibiting linear convergence with rate  $|\lambda_2/\lambda_1| = 5/10 = 0.5$ .

The method successfully computes the dominant eigenvalue  $\lambda_1 = 10$  to high accuracy within a few dozen iterations.

## 6 Variants and Extensions

Several variants of the power method address specific computational needs:

- **Inverse Power Method:** Applies the power method to  $A^{-1}$  to find the smallest eigenvalue
- **Shifted Inverse Power Method:** Uses  $(A - \mu I)^{-1}$  to find the eigenvalue closest to a shift  $\mu$
- **Rayleigh Quotient Iteration:** Adaptive shift strategy for faster convergence
- **Orthogonal Iteration:** Generalizes to find multiple dominant eigenvalues and eigenvectors

## 7 Computational Complexity

Each iteration of the power method requires:

- One matrix-vector multiplication:  $O(n^2)$  operations for dense matrices, potentially  $O(n)$  for sparse matrices
- One vector normalization:  $O(n)$  operations
- One Rayleigh quotient computation:  $O(n^2)$  operations for dense matrices

The total cost per iteration is  $O(n^2)$  for dense matrices and potentially much less for sparse matrices, making the power method particularly attractive for large sparse eigenvalue problems.