(4/27/16) #1 Exam H section 116 Spring 111

Name Gred Giebe

500 HH 1127 Student

KOM seat

0 col seat All Questions (except the extra credit) are of equal value. Most questions have multiple parts. You must answer every part of every question. Read each question carefully, and make sure you understand EXACTLY what it is asking for If you are unsure of what a question is asking for, raise your hand and ask.

and clear answers get I don't grade for I can't give you Spend more time thinking, and less time writing. Short and more credit than long and vague ones. Write carefully. I derammar, but if I can't read or understand your answer, I caredit for it.

07/2

40/10 4.

5.

00

9

10.

total

credit extra

What rules should be used to determine whether functionality should be implemented inside the OS, rather than outside of it (e.g. in library or application code)?

The man halfer leading the land of sound. 4/10 Phengan

ABL s bind APLs to an instruction set architecture (ISA). It something is ABL compatible; I will round the same and on any machine with the same Esa, App compatible ade may ron differently on machines twith different ABES to of two machines have a piece of ABI compatible ade, it may ron differently. If the code is only API compatible, it may ron differently. recours labour-

At Perent ISAs The same ABI cannot be used to bind the API of the instruction sets differ. When would it be necessary or reasonable for two OSs that support the same APIs to not support the same ABIs?

2

2. Sque program execution state (registers, program counter, stack points)
3. Call trap handler for trap into 05 otc.)
5. Call trap handler
- push its code onto stack - 2 2nd took handler when something happens in program execution that causes a trap, the tellowing occurs, - terminate process - teston to calling process Describe or illustrate (in detail) the sequence of operations involved the calling application. 4. Finish executing signal handler which may her tom to signal handler - return

Standton "starvation" (in scheduling)?

Standton is when a thread process never gets to

expecute because other threads/processes are continuously scheduled before it. (b) How can it happen?

A thread/process can be storved if

a longer runing process from executing

it gets stude in a low priority queue with constant

jobs occurring in thisther priority queues

(c) How can it be prevented?

FIFO scheduling (tas to hoppen eventually)

Road Robin (again, will get a turn at some paint)

Road burps it to high prio, forcing it to get a turn)

Choost burps it to high prio, forcing it to get a turn)

Coolescing is taking adjacent thouks of Scree memory and combining them into one clonk.
What problem does it attempt to solve? (a) What is coalescing (in memory allocation)? external tragmentation (b) What problem

(c) What memory allocation factors might prevent it from being effective?

. Leagmentation is distributed it may not help much tragmentation is mostly internal it may not help mus

(d) What memory allocation design might make it unnecessary?

chark size that can be allocated (all fagmentation internal)

List a key feature that global LRU and Working Set algorithms have in common. (0 points for both are replacement algorithms)

Sor use a cock algorithm to check which pages are received to the pages of said pages. (a)

(b) List a key difference between working set algorithms and global LRU.

The Working set algorithm uses a water mark the determine

Clobal LRU USF takes the page used least recently by any

process. Working set also does per process LRU so it adjusts before

(c) Are there differences in the associated hardware requrirements?

If so what are they? If not, explain why not.

Working set tends to need a ten extraction the process

A page has been outessed in terms of the time the process

Associated to the process in the service that the process

Associated to the process that it can check how long Marks need a terms of the time the process

Associated to the process that it can check how long Marks need so accessed in terms of the time time the process.

- Parely required communication (seeding of signals).

I long running program (not worth the added over head for short programs) 2 that we need to perform some computations in parallel ... processes.

Give two (different) characteristics that would lead us to choose threads.

— Need to access same data consistently

— Need large number of parallel executors

(much cheaper to create lots of threads than lots of processes)

The text gave three criteria in terms of which lock mechanisms should be evaluated. In class this list was expanded to four criteria. List and locking mechanism that does poorly on that criteria.

(a) Concert of the contraction of a real contraction of the con

does poorly - atomic test and set with sleeping instead of spinning (sleep make up saces)

(b) Fair - do es every thread got the lock in a timely manner

does poorly - muter, random thread will get the lock

10, Productive - the threads trying to get the lock do not increase the time until the lock is released

does poorly - spin luck , waster CPU cycles spinning, preventing the thread with the lack from executing

along Arpaci-Dusseau developed a simple producer/consumer implementation the general lines of:

consumer() {
 for(int i = 0; i < count; i++) {
 while(empty)
 wait for data to be added
 get()
 wake the producer</pre>

put()
wake the consumer He went through several steps (exploring deadlocks and other race conditions) to develop a correct implementation based on pthread_mutex and pthread_cond operations. While correct, his final implementation seemed quite expensive, getting and releasing locks, and signaling condition variables for each and every get/put operation.

Update/Rewrite the above code to include all of the following:

(a) correct use of pthread_mutex and pthread_cond operations
(b) correct mutual exclusion to protect the critical sections
(c) correct emptied/filled notifications to the producer and consumer
(d) eliminating per character locks and notifications

pthread - cond to full, ampty;

Consomer Court & 1911, 810ch);

phreod.cond.wast (DII, 810ch);

for (int i = 0; c < count; c+) &

30th. 1

Jothrend muter valock (lock) wheelow?
-pthrend cond signal (empty); 33

to (int c-0, ce cond, cat) pthread-cond, signal (RUI) pthread aster- unlock lack

(b) Why does it reduce resource contention?

The lock is held for locs time, reducing sessorice contention ex locking a for loop within a fundion that is the critical section as opposed to locking The whole function. (a) What is meantby "finer grained locking"?

Only locking "finer grained locking"?

ce. locking a few lines in a for loop as enposed to the hale What are the costs of finer grained locking?

Locks and unlocks of the acur more frequently, adding over head.

Suggest another way of reducing contention on a single (unpartitionable) Reduce time using the resource

ex. Updating a private local counter and periodically using that to update a shared global the global counter We are designing an inter-process communication mechanism that provides very efficient (zero-copy) access to very large messages by mapping newly received network message buffers directly into a reserved set of page frames in the user's address space. As new messages are received (and the buffers mapped-in) the OS updates a shared index at the beginning of the reserved area to point to the newly added pages. XC.

The problem we are currently wrestling with is how to reclaim/recycle old buffers and page frames after the application has processed them. One group of engineers asserts that garbage collection would provide the most convenient interface. Another group engineers asserts that garbage collection would be expensive to implement and result in poorer memory utilization.

the OS initiate garbage collection? When, specifically, would

when the processes try to send a message that will not fit in the allocated space in memory. When the processes

Any page before that index is garbage. This also the mat recently message in case it is still in use Table .

(c) What would the OS have to do to make sure that the process would not attempt to re-use a buffer that had been garbage collected? to change the valid but on with that data so the + cannot access

collection)? message Describe an alternative implementation (without garbage overwriting assumes our a wite at from messages. before the memory churk. The as so we can pot a bonch overweite