COM4511 Speech Technology: Language Models

Anton Ragni



- SPANDH
- ightharpoonup Statistical models capable of assigning probabilities to word sequences $oldsymbol{w}_{1:L}$
 - compare and rank word sequences
 - sample/compute probability of next word
- ▶ Not all language models can do both tasks
 - **b**i-directional language models $P(w_l|\mathbf{w}_{1:l-1},\mathbf{w}_{l+1:L})$
 - whole-sentence language models $P(s) = P(\mathbf{w}_{1:L})$
- No language model can do that perfectly

How do you defend yourselves against a man armed with a ...?

	goldfish	banana	fruit	gun	knife	stick	thomas	
English News	0.03	0	0	0.15	0.2	0.1	0	
Monty Python	0.03	0.2	0.2	0	0	0	0	

- wider context/domain knowledge needed than just the current sentence
- Why language models?
 - ▶ find many uses in speech technology and natural language processing
 - examples: speech recognition, dialogue systems, machine translation, etc

Vocabulary



- Words known to a language model constitute its vocabulary
 - ▶ need not be the set of modelling units: words, morphs, syllables, characters
 - unseen or out-of-vocabulary (OOV) words cannot be handled
- ► Word-based vocabularies
 - advantages:
 - relatively long modelling context
 - disadvantages:
 - new words emerge every day, "infinite" vocabularies
 - lack word relationship information
- Morph-based vocabularies
 - advantages
 - virtually lacks unseen words
 - enables to link related words
 - disadvantages:
 - relatively short modelling context
 - need to know how to decompose words
- Why not to use character based vocabularies?

Entropy

 $\log_2(x)$



Lookup table: $\begin{array}{rcl} \log_2(0) & = & \\ \log_2(1) & = & 0 \\ \log_2(2) & = & 1 \\ \log_2(3) & \approx & 1.585 \\ \log_2(4) & = & 2 \end{array}$

▶ Entropy of probability distribution over discrete vocabulary is

$$\mathcal{H}_2(P) = -\sum_{w \in \mathcal{W}} P(w) \log_2(P(w))$$

- ▶ a key measure of information in bits (originated in physics)
- example: $\min \setminus \max \mathcal{H}_2(P)$, $\mathcal{H}_2(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$, $\mathcal{H}_2(\frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{4})$, $\mathcal{H}_2(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3})$, $\mathcal{H}_2(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4})$
- ▶ BUT the "true" distribution *P* is rarely known
- Cross-entropy between "true" distribution P and estimated distribution Q $\mathcal{H}_2(P,Q) = -\sum_{i} P(w) \log_2(Q(w))$
 - \triangleright a key measure of information needed to describe P given Q



▶ Key measure of predictive performance for language models

$$\mathsf{PPL}(P,Q) = 2^{\tilde{H}_2(P,Q)}$$

- average number of choices Q makes to predict P
- ightharpoonup use empirical estimate of $H_2(P,Q)$ normalised by the number of words
- Examples:
 - relate $e^{\tilde{H}_e(P,Q)}$ and $10^{\tilde{H}_{10}(P,Q)}$ to PPL(P,Q)
 - ► find min\max PPL(P), PPL($\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$), PPL($\frac{3}{4}$, $\frac{1}{4}$), PPL($\frac{1}{3}$, $\frac{1}{3}$, $\frac{1}{3}$), PPL($\frac{1}{4}$, $\frac{1}{4}$, $\frac{1}{4}$, $\frac{1}{4}$)
- ▶ Only intrinsic performance measure yet extrinsic measures are of interest
 - ▶ speech recognition: word error rate (WER) important to know correlation

0.1

0.3

0.1

0.5

0.4

Compute probability of c,d,b,b,a,a

0.3

0

0.1

0.7

0.4

0.5

b

Shakespear

1-gram: Every enter now severally so, let Will rash been and by I the me loves gentle me not Example:

slavish page, the and hour; ill let

 $w_{l-1} \backslash w_l$ 2-gram: What means, sir. I confess she? _ then all sorts, he is trim, captain. The world a

3-gram: Indeed the duke: and had a very good friend. Sweet prince, Fallstaff shall die. Harry of Monmouth's grave.

4-gram: Enter Leonato's brother Antonio, and the rest, but seek the weary beds of peo-

ple sick.

N-gram language model for general order n

shall- my lord!

$$P(w_1,\ldots,w_{L-1},w_L) = \prod_{l=1}^L P(w_l|w_{l-1},\ldots,w_l) \approx \prod_{l=1}^L P(w_l|w_{l-1},\ldots,w_{l-n+1})$$

- \triangleright words further than n-1 positions in the past does not affect probability (Markov)
- Need to know how to compute n-gram probabilities: ML, neural networks!



ightharpoonup Probability of word w_l occurring after w_{l-1}

$$P(w_l|w_{l-1}) \triangleq \frac{c(w_{l-1}, w_l)}{\sum_{w} c(w_{l-1}, w)} = \frac{c(w_{l-1}, w_l)}{c(w_{l-1})}$$

- $ightharpoonup c(w_{l-1}, w)$ count of w_{l-1}, w (frequency of occurrence)
- ▶ any unseen *n*-gram will be assigned zero probability data sparsity
- Example: estimate conditional probabilities from c,d,b,b,a,a,d,b,a,b,b,a,a,d,d,a,b,b,
 - frequency table

aa	2	ba	3	ca	0	da	1			
ab	2	bb	3	cb	0	db	2			
ac	0	bc	0	сс	0	dc	0			
ad	2	ba bb bc bd	0	cd	1	dd	1			

alternatively, estimate joint probabilities and apply Bayes' rule

Smoothing



Refine ML estimate by smoothing it with a prior

$$P(w_{l}|w_{l-1}) \triangleq \frac{c(w_{l-1}, w_{l}) + \tau Q(w_{l-1}, w_{l})}{\sum_{w} c(w_{l-1}, w) + \tau}$$

- multiple forms of prior probabilities/counts possible
- Popular examples:
 - Laplace smoothing

$$P(w_l|w_{l-1}) \triangleq \frac{c(w_{l-1}, w_l) + 1}{\sum_{w} c(w_{l-1}, w) + 1}$$

- redistributes $\frac{|\mathcal{V}|}{N+|\mathcal{V}|}$ among unseen events
- Add- δ smoothing
 - \blacktriangleright set $\tau < 1$ to be less "generous" to unseen events

- ► Allocate probability to unseen events by reducing probability of seen events
- event can be n-gram (joint) or seeing word w_l given past n-1 words (conditional)

$$P(w_{l-1}, w_l) = d(w_{l-1}, w_l) \frac{c(w_{l-1}, w_l)}{\sum_{w} c(w)} \quad \text{or} \quad P(w_l | w_{l-1}) = d(w_{l-1}, w_l) \frac{c(w_{l-1}, w_l)}{c(w_{l-1})}$$

- \triangleright numerous schemes available for setting $d(w_{l-1}, w_l)$
- Good-Turing discounting
 - ightharpoonup assume unseen events are equiprobable to singleton events $P_0 \equiv P_1$
 - ightharpoonup probability mass to redistribute among r = 1, ..., R times occurring events

$$1 - P_1 = \sum_{r=1}^{R} P_{r+1} = \sum_{r=1}^{R} \frac{P_{r+1}}{P_r} P_r$$

- equivalent to rescaling estimates by $\frac{P_{r+1}}{P_r}$, where $P_r = \frac{n_r r}{N}$, or using $r^* = (r+1)\frac{n_{r+1}}{n_r}$ Example: apply Good-Turing discounting to the previous example
- Example: apply Good-Turing discounting to the previous example

 counts of counts $\mathbf{n} = [$], adjusted counts $\mathbf{r}^* = [$], mass $\mathbf{n}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{r}^* = [$
 - compare to ML estimates and discuss potential issues



ightharpoonup Alternatively, obtain an estimate using less specific statistics $(n-1, n-2, \dots \text{ order})$

$$P(w_{I}|w_{I-1}) = \begin{cases} \frac{c(w_{I-1},w_{I})}{c(w_{I-1})}, & \text{if } c(w_{I-1},w_{I}) \geq C\\ \frac{d(w_{I-1},w_{I})}{c(w_{I-1})}, & \text{if } 0 < c(w_{I-1},w_{I}) < C\\ \alpha(w_{I-1})P(w_{I}), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- typically includes discounting of any n-gram occurring less than cutoff value C
- back-off weights are estimated to ensure valid probabilities (how?)
- ▶ Default approach for all *n*-gram language models used today



▶ Alternatively, interpolate ML-estimates of all available orders

$$P(w_{l}|w_{l-1}) = \lambda_{2} \frac{c(w_{l-1}, w_{l})}{c(w_{l-1})} + \lambda_{1} \frac{c(w_{l})}{\sum_{w} c(w)}$$

- lackbrack weights $oldsymbol{\lambda} = egin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix}^\mathsf{T}$ must obey standard stochastic constraints
- Options available for estimating interpolation weights
 - greedy search: sweep through a range of values
 - deleted interpolation: enables optimal global or context-based weights
- Practical task will examine learning optimal interpolation weights
 - ▶ BUT we will interpolate different language models



- ▶ This lecture examined statistical language models
 - choice of modelling units
 - standard performance measures
- ► Focused on *n*-gram models
 - maximum likelihood estimates
 - estimate refinements
- ▶ Next lecture will examine more advanced language models
 - ▶ including using neural networks to estimate *n*-gram probabilities