

Solutions for Homework Assignment #5

**Answer to Question 1.**

Definition of the subproblems that our dynamic programming algorithm will solve: For each  $i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq n$ ,

$$D(i) = \text{the number of legal divisions of } x[1..i] \quad (*)$$

Recursive formula to compute each subproblem:

$$D(i) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = 0 \\ \sum_{0 \leq j < i} D(j) \cdot \text{DICT}(x, j+1, i), & \text{if } i > 0 \end{cases} \quad (\dagger)$$

Justification why  $(\dagger)$  is a correct formula to compute  $(*)$ : For the base case  $i = 0$ ,  $x[1..0]$  is the empty string, which has a single legal division (vacuously, every string in the empty sequence is an English word). Therefore,  $D(0) = 1$ , as wanted.

For  $i > 0$ , consider the non-empty string  $x[1..i]$ . For each  $j$  such that  $0 \leq j < i$ , if  $x[j+1..i]$  is a word (i.e.,  $\text{DICT}(x, j+1, i)$  returns 1) then each of the legal divisions of  $x[1..j]$ , followed by  $x[j+1..i]$  is a distinct legal division of  $x[1..i]$ . The legal divisions that arise in this way for different values of  $j$ ,  $0 \leq j < i$ , are distinct because they differ on the last string. Hence

$$D(i) \geq \sum_{0 \leq j < i} D(j) \cdot \text{DICT}(x, j+1, i). \quad (1)$$

On the other hand, any legal division of  $x[1..i]$  consists of a legal division of  $x[1..j]$ , for some  $j$  such that  $0 \leq j < i$ , followed by  $x[j+1..i]$ , which must be an English word (otherwise the division is not legal), i.e.,  $\text{DICT}(x, j+1, i)$  returns 1. Hence

$$D(i) \leq \sum_{0 \leq j < i} D(j) \cdot \text{DICT}(x, j+1, i). \quad (2)$$

By (1) and (2), we have that, for  $i > 0$ ,  $D(i) = \sum_{0 \leq j < i} D(j) \cdot \text{DICT}(x, j+1, i)$ , as wanted.

Solving the original problem: By the definition of the subproblems  $(*)$ , the required return value is simply  $D(n)$ .

Pseudocode:

```

LEGALDIVISIONS( $x$ )
1   $n := \text{length}(x)$ 
2   $D(0) := 1$ 
3  for  $i := 1$  to  $n$  do
4       $D(i) := 0$ 
5      for  $j := 0$  to  $i - 1$  do
6           $D(i) := D(i) + D(j) \cdot \text{DICT}(x, j+1, i)$ 
7  return  $D(n)$ 

```

Running time: The running time of the algorithm is dominated by the doubly-nested loop in lines 3-6, which takes  $\Theta(n^2)$  time.

## Answer to Question 2.

**a. Subproblems that our dynamic programming algorithm will solve:** For all  $i, j \in [1..n]$  such that  $i \leq j$ , define

$$P[i, j] = \text{minimum length of a palindrome that is a supersequence of } A[i..j] \quad (*)$$

Recursive formula to compute each subproblem:

$$P[i, j] = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = j \\ 2 + \min(P[i, j-1], P[i+1, j]), & \text{if } i < j \text{ and } A[i] \neq A[j] \\ 2, & \text{if } i = j-1 \text{ and } A[i] = A[j] \\ 2 + P[i+1, j-1], & \text{if } i < j-1 \text{ and } A[i] = A[j] \end{cases} \quad (\dagger)$$

Note that this is recursion on  $j - i$ ; the basis is when  $j - i = 0$ , i.e.,  $i = j$ ; and the general case for  $P[i, j]$ , when  $j - i > 0$ , is defined in terms of  $P[i, j-1]$ ,  $P[i+1, j]$ , and  $P[i+1, j-1]$ , where  $(j-1) - i$ ,  $j - (i+1)$  and  $(j-1) - (i+1)$  are all smaller than  $j - i$ .

Justification why  $(\dagger)$  is a correct formula to compute  $(*)$ : For the base case  $i = j$  this is obvious since, in that case,  $A[i..j]$  consists of a single character, so  $A[i..j]$  itself is a palindrome and therefore it is its own shortest palindromic supersequence.

So, suppose that  $i < j$ , and let  $x$  be a shortest palindromic supersequence of  $A[i..j]$ . There are three possibilities.

**Case 1.**  $A[i] \neq A[j]$ . Since the first and last symbols of  $A[i..j]$  are different, to create a shortest palindromic supersequence  $x$  of  $A[i..j]$  we need to add either (a) the first symbol  $A[i]$  at the end or (b) the last symbol  $A[j]$  at the start. Furthermore, by a straightforward cut-and-paste argument, the remainder of  $x$  (excluding the added symbol and the symbol it matched) must be a shortest palindromic supersequence of the remainder of  $A$  (excluding the matched symbol); i.e., a shortest palindromic supersequence of  $A[i+1..j]$  in case (a) where we added  $A[i]$  to the end, or  $A[i..j-1]$  in case (b) where we added  $A[j]$  to the start. Thus either  $x = A[i]yA[i]$ , where  $y$  is a shortest palindromic supersequence of  $A[i+1..j]$ ; or  $x = A[j]zA[j]$ , where  $z$  is a shortest palindromic supersequence of  $A[i..j-1]$  — whichever of the two is shorter. So, in this case,  $P[i, j] = |x| = 2 + \min(|y|, |z|) = 2 + \min(P[i+1, j], P[i, j-1])$ .

**Case 2.**  $A[i] = A[j]$  and  $i = j-1$ . In this case,  $A[i..j]$  consists of two identical characters. So  $A[i..j]$  is a palindrome of length 2, and it is its own shortest palindromic supersequence. Therefore, in this case,  $P[i, j] = |A[i..j]| = 2$ .

**Case 3.**  $A[i] = A[j]$  and  $i < j-1$ . Note that, in this case,  $i+1 \leq j-1$  and so  $A[i+1..j-1]$  is a nonempty sequence. So,  $x = A[i]yA[j]$ , where  $y$  is a shortest palindromic supersequence of  $A[i+1..j-1]$  (otherwise, we could find an even shorter supersequence of  $A[i..j]$  than  $x$  that is a palindrome, contrary to the definition of  $x$ ). Therefore  $P[i, j] = |x| = 2 + |y| = 2 + P[i+1, j-1]$ .

Solving the original problem: By the definition of the subproblems  $(*)$ , the required return value is simply  $P[1..n]$ .

Pseudocode: The algorithm is shown in pseudocode below. (The parts highlighted in grey are for part (b).)

```

    MINPALINDROMICSUPERSEQ( $A$ )
1   $n := \text{length}(A)$ 
2  for  $i := 1$  to  $n$  do  $P[i, i] := 1$  ;  $Q[i, i] = A[i]$ 
3  for  $d := 1$  to  $n - 1$  do
4      for  $i := 1$  to  $n - d$  do
5           $j := i + d$ 
6          if  $A[i] \neq A[j]$  then
7              if  $P[i, j - 1] \leq P[i + 1, j]$  then  $P[i, j] := P[i, j - 1] + 2$  ;  $Q[i, j] := A[j] \circ Q[i, j - 1] \circ A[j]$ 
8              else  $P[i, j] := P[i + 1, j] + 2$  ;  $Q[i, j] := A[i] \circ Q[i + 1, j] \circ A[i]$ 
9          else
10             if  $i = j - 1$  then  $P[i, j] := 2$  ;  $Q[i, j] := A[i] \circ A[j]$ 
11             else  $P[i, j] := 2 + P[i + 1, j - 1]$  ;  $Q[i, j] := A[i] \circ Q[i + 1, j - 1] \circ A[j]$ 
11 return (  $P[1, n]$  ,  $Q[1, n]$  )

```

Running time: The running time of the algorithm is dominated by the doubly-nested loop in lines 3-6, which takes  $O(n^2)$ .

**b.** We also compute  $Q[i, j]$ , a shortest supersequence of  $A[i..j]$  that is a palindrome, at the same time that we compute  $P[i, j]$ , the length of this supersequence. This is done in the part of the pseudocode highlighted in grey, where  $\circ$  denotes the concatenation operation for sequences.