basics

- sigmoid(z) = $\frac{1}{1+e^{-z}} = \frac{e^z}{e^z+1}$ $p(x|\mu, \Sigma) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}|\Sigma|^{1/2}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)\right]$

linear algebra

- SVD: $A = U\Sigma V^T$
- PSD matrices: $A \succeq 0 \Rightarrow A = UDU^T$, D are evals, U are
- PCA: $A = U\Sigma V^T$, row of V^T are principal components, project onto them to reduce dimension of data
- Use PCA to find direction of maximum variance in data; X^TX is covariance

info theory

- $$\begin{split} \bullet & \ H(X) = -\sum p(x)\log p(x) \\ \bullet & \ H(Y|X) = -\sum_x p(x)\sum_y p(y|x)\log \ p(y|x) \\ \bullet & \ D(p||q) = \sum_x p(x)\log \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} \end{split}$$
- $I(X;Y) = \sum_X \sum_y p(x,y) \log \frac{p(x,y)}{p(x)p(y)}$ Jensen's: $E[f(X)] > f(E[X]) \sim$ f convex

optimization

- $0 \leq \min_{\text{strong convexity}} \leq \nabla^2 f(x) \leq \min_{\text{smoothness}} I$
- exact line search: min over stepsize
- backtracking line search: keep doubling while below some
- newton's: $\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} \nabla^2 f(\theta^{(t)})^{-1} \nabla f(\theta^{(t)})$
- strongly convex:
- $f(x_2) \ge f(x_1) + \nabla f(x_1)^T (x_2 x_1) + m/2||x_2 x_1||_2^2$

Uninformed Search/ A^*

- Uninformed Search
 - * Depth First Search (DFS): search of deepest/leftmost solution (not complete)
 - * Breadth First Search (BFS): search of shallowest/leftmost solution (complete)
 - * Uniform Cost Search (UCS): search expanding minimum cost (compelte and optimal)
- Informed Search, use heuristics to estimate goal distance
 - * Greedy Search: expand node closets to goal
 - * A^* : search according to heuristic
 - * A^* tree search with admissibility $h(n) < h^*(n)$ will yield optimal solution
 - * A^* graph search with consistency $h(A) h(C) \leq$ cost(A, C) will yield optimal solution

Constraint Satisfaction Problems

- Consist of variables (X_i) , one domain per var (D_i) , constraints (C).
- k-consistency: for any set of k-1 vars and for any consistent assignment to those variables, a consistent value can be assigned to the kth variable. Path-consistency is 3-consistent, arc-consistency is 2-consistent, node consistency 1-consistent.

var: min-remaining vals, val: least-constraining value

- Backtracking search occurs when a path leads to an inconsistent assignment. Tricks can be employed here: Minimum-remaining values heuristic breaks assignment ties, conflict directed back-jumping can choose good points to backtrack to,
- Tree-structured CSPs can be topologically sorted: then, solved with a forward pass.

Game Trees

- $\alpha \beta$ pruning: makes solving minimax trees more efficient
- For large trees, use evaluation functions to estimate minimax value at a state
- Rational agents follow principle of maximum utility

Decision theory / VPI

- $$\begin{split} \bullet \ EU(\alpha, \mathbf{e}) &= \max_{\mathbf{a}} \sum_{\mathbf{s}'} \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{RESULT}(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{s}' | \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{e}) \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{s}') \\ \bullet \ EU(\alpha_{e_j}, \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e_j}) &= \max_{\mathbf{a}} \sum_{\mathbf{s}'} \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{RES}(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{s}' | \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e_j}) \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{s}') \end{split}$$
- $VPI_{\mathbf{e}}(E_j) = (\sum_k P(E_j^{\mathbf{s}} = e_{jk}|\mathbf{e})\mathbf{E}\mathbf{U}(\alpha_{\mathbf{e_{jk}}}|\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{E_j} = \mathbf{e_{jk}}) \mathbf{EU}(\alpha|\mathbf{e})$

MDPs

- $\begin{array}{l} \bullet \ \ \text{Bellman:} \ V(s) = \max_a \sum_{s'} T(s,a,s') [R(s,a,s') + \gamma V(s')] \\ \bullet \ \ \text{q-value:} \ \ Q(s,a) = \sum_{s'} T(s,a,s') [R(s,a,s') + \gamma U(s')] \\ \bullet \ \ \text{val iteration:} \ \ U(s) = R(s) + \max_a \gamma \sum_{s'} P(s'|s,a) U(s') \end{array}$
- policy iteration: $U(s) = R(s) + \gamma \sum_{s'} P(s'|s, \pi(s))U(s')$
- $\pi(s) = \operatorname{argmax} U^{\pi}(s)$
- $U^{\pi}(s) = E\left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t R(S_t)\right] e$

Passive RL

- ADP: learn P(s'|s,a), $U(S) \rightarrow plug$ into Bellman eqn
- TD: $U(s) = U(s) + \alpha [R(s) + \gamma U(s') U(s)]$

Active RL

- $Q(s, a) = R(s) + \gamma \sum_{s'} P(s'|s, a) \max_{a'} Q(s'a')$
- (TD) Q-learning:

$$Q(s, a) = Q(s, a) + \alpha [R(s) + \gamma \max_{a'} Q(s', a') - Q(s, a)]$$

- SARSA: $Q(s,a) = Q(s,a) + \alpha [R(s) + \gamma Q(s',a') Q(s,a)]$
- $U(s) = \max_a Q(s, a)$
- evaluation functions + policy search

logic

- propositional logic: only facts
 - * horn clause (at most one positive), definite clause (exactly one positive)
 - * TT-ENTAILS: check everything (forward/backward chaining)
 - * DPLL TT-ENTAILS w/ improvements
- first-order logic: facts, objects, relations functions
 - * inference: forward-chaining, backward chaining

Planning

- Goal: to achieve stated goals with a plan of action.
- Developed language: Planning Domain Definition Langauge, PDDL. Consists of States (collection of atomic fluents), Available Actions (s) (applicable if preconditions are satisfied by s), Results(s,a): specified in terms of what changes, and Goal Test. Comparable to search of Ch.7 but broader, more expressive.
- Complexity of planning: planSAT (is there a plan that solves the goal?) and bounded planSAT (plan that solves in $\leq k$ steps?) are both PSPACE, solvable by deterministic Turing Machine in poly time.
- Backwards and Forward search exist, forward more popular because more heuristics exist (ignore preconditions heuristic, ignore delete lists heuristics, etc.)

Knowledge Representation

- Ontology: question of whether things exist, and how to organize facts about the world
- Upper ontologies break existence into hierarchies (exception-ridden without gradiations to express nonabsolutes.)
- General Ontology must unify all special purpose domains (may be impossible.)
- Categorization creates hierarchies, which allows qualities to be inherited down to subcategories

linear regression

- convergence criteria: $0 < \alpha < 0.5 \lambda_{\max}(X^T X)$
- normal eq: $\hat{w} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y$

Independence and Factorization

- $P(x_1,...,x_n) = \prod_i P(X_i|Parents(X_i))$
- Node is conditionally independent of all other nodes given its parents, children, and children's parents (Markov Blanket)
- $X_A \perp X_C | X_B \Rightarrow p(x_A, x_C | x_B) = p(x_A | x_B) p(x_C | x_B)$ or $p(x_A|x_B, x_C) = p(x_A|x_B)$
- Edges do not imply dependence, but lack of edges imply independence
- d-separation: use bayes ball algorithm to find conditional independencies
- Undirected GM: $\prod_{C \in cliques} \phi(C)$ potential function

Clustering, Mixture of Gaussians, k-means

- ex. GMMs: $p(x|\theta) = \sum_{i} \pi_i \mathcal{N}(x|\mu_i, \Sigma_i)$
- ex. mixture of linear regressions: $p(y|x,\theta) = \sum_i \underbrace{\pi_i(x,\xi)}_{\text{mixing prop.}} \underbrace{\mathcal{N}(y|\beta_i^T x, \sigma_i^2)}_{\text{mixture comp.}}$

EM Algorithm

- $L(q, \theta) = \sum_{z} q(z|x) \log \frac{p(x, z|\theta)}{q(z|x)}$
- Expectation: assign to observed X's the unobserved (latent) variable classes. With q as averaging distribution, $q^{(t+1)} = argmax_a L(q, \theta^{(t)})$
- Maximization: holding assignments constant, compute MAP or MLE estimates of cluster parameters. $\theta^{(t+1)} = argmax_{\theta}L(q^{t+1}, \theta)$

logistic regression

- $P(Y = 1|x, w) = \text{sigmoid}(w^T x)$
- minimizes cross-entropy: $-\sum_{z} P(y=z) \log P(\hat{y}=z)$

decision trees

• info gain: H(parent) - E[H(children)]

svms

• soft-margin:

$$\min_{w,b,\xi} \quad \frac{1}{2} ||w||_2^2 + C \sum_i \xi_i \tag{1}$$

s.t.
$$y_i(w^T x_i - b) \ge 1 - \xi_i \,\forall i$$
 (2)
 $\xi_i > 0 \,\forall i$ (3)

$$\xi_i \ge 0 \,\forall i \tag{3}$$

• binary: min $\frac{1}{2}||w||^2 + C\sum_i \max(1 - y_i(w^Tx_i - b), 0)$

duality

• primal: $p^* = \min f_0(x)$ s.t. $f_i(x) \leq 0$ $h_i(x) = 0$

• dual:
$$d^* = \max_{\lambda,\nu} \inf_{x} \underbrace{f_0(x) + \sum_{\lambda_i} \lambda_i f_i(x) + \sum_{\nu_i h_i(x)} \nu_i h_i(x)}_{\text{Lagrangian } L(x,\lambda,\nu)}$$

$$s.t. \ \lambda \succeq 0$$

kernel methods

• matrix XX^T has each element be dot product

statistical concepts

posterior
$$p(\theta|x) = \frac{p(x|\theta) p(\theta)}{p(x)}$$
• $\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = argmax_{\theta} p(x|\theta)$
• $\hat{\theta}_{MAP} = argmax p(\theta|x)$

- $\hat{\theta}_{Bayes} = \int \theta p(\theta|x) d\theta$