

# Trend-Cycle Decomposition

# Trend-Cycle Decomposition

- ▶ Burns and Mitchell (1946); First systematic study of business cycles
- ▶ They treated each cycle as a separate episode terminating and starting at a trough and going from trough to peak through an expansion, and from peak to trough through a contraction.
- ▶ A typical business cycle was characterized by mean lengths of expansions and contractions.
- ▶ Problem with approach
  - ▶ Largely judgmental
  - ▶ Does not have well desired statistical properties

# Trend-Cycle Decomposition

- ▶ Most economic data is non-stationary and often presents seasonal behavior. For example, U.S. GDP exhibits an upward trend over time that is consistent with a growing economy, seasonal behavior characterized by slow winters and summers and strong springs and falls, and a cyclical pattern of expansions and recessions.
- ▶ Usually, publicly available time-series data are seasonally adjusted
- ▶ Most research in macroeconomics dedicated to the explanation of business cycles therefore relies on pre-filtering methods from which the trend components are isolated from cyclical components.

# Common Detrending Methods

- ▶ *Deterministic Time Trends*
- ▶ Perhaps the simplest de-trending method consists in specifying the trend as a polynomial in time

$$y_t = y_t^T + y_t^c$$

$$y_t^T = \sum_{i=0}^k \alpha_i t^i, y_t^c = u$$

- ▶ where  $y_t^T$  is the  $y_t^c$  trend component and  $y_t^c$  is the cyclical component.  $K$  is a finite integer and  $u$  is a stationary process.
- ▶ By far, the most common choice is to set  $K=1$  so that

$$y_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 t + u_t$$

$$\phi(L)u_t = \theta(L)\varepsilon_t$$

- ▶ where  $\varepsilon_t$  is a white noise process and  $\phi(L)$  is stationary.

# Deterministic Time Trends

- ▶ Deterministic detrending implies that the “trend” and the “cycle” are uncorrelated with each other.
- ▶ It is easy to compute: one can use all the data points to consistently estimate the trend via conventional techniques.
- ▶ Because its simplicity, it is the least likely to distort the short-run dynamics of the cyclical component for low orders of the deterministic polynomial.
- ▶ From a forecasting point of view, it has the rather strong implication that the long-run forecast error variance converges to a fixed value. In practice, we would expect the forecast error variance of a point in the distant future to grow as the forecast horizon increases.

# Hodrick-Prescott Filter

- ▶ The H-P filter has become a popular choice among business cycle analysts. The original presentation of the filter in a 1980 working paper did not see its publication debut until 1997. The filter is obtained by solving the minimization problem:



$$\underset{\{y_t\}_{t=1}^T}{\text{Min}} \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - y_t^T)^2 + \lambda \sum_{t=2}^{T-1} \left[ (y_{t+1}^T - y_t^T) - (y_t^T - y_{t-1}^T) \right]^2$$

- ▶ Where  $\lambda$  is an arbitrary constant that penalizes the variability in the smoother so that when  $\lambda = 0$  the smooth component is the data itself and no smoothing takes place.
- ▶ Conversely, as  $\lambda$  grows large the smooth component is a linear trend.

# Hodrick-Prescott Filter

- ▶ For quarterly data, Hodrick and Prescott recommended  $\lambda = 1600$ , although an “optimal” choice is given by the ratio of the variance of the trend to the variance of the cycle. Nelson and Plosser (1982) suggested instead that  $\lambda$  should be in the range  $[1/6, 1]$ .
- ▶ One of the virtues and downfalls of the H-P filter is its flexibility: McCallum (2000) showed that the trend produced by the H-P filter applied to GDP data in the early turn of the century suggest the 1930's depression was only a mild recession.
- ▶ This result stems from the inability to calculate an approximately “optimal”  $\lambda$  for each series via estimation.
- ▶ The filter depends on the choice of  $\lambda$  which makes the resulting cyclical component and its statistical properties highly sensitive to this choice.

# Baxter-King Filter

- ▶ The B-K filter is a band-pass filter designed to isolate business cycle fluctuations with a period of length ranging between 6 to 32 quarters (the typical ranges for U.S. expansions) although other characterizations are possible. The resulting filter is a centered moving average with symmetric weights

$$y_t^T = \sum_{i=-K}^K w_i y_{t-i}$$

- ▶ K observations at the beginning and at the end of the sample are lost in the computation of the filter.
- ▶ Like many moving average smoothers, the B-K filter has been criticized on the grounds that it induces spurious dynamics in the cyclical component



# Trend-Cycle Decomposition with Stochastic Trends

- ▶ Suppose  $y_t = TD_t + Z_t$
- ▶ where  $TD_t$  is a deterministic trend
- ▶ Assume  $Z_t \sim I(1)$ . Then it is possible to decompose  $Z_t$  into a stochastic (random walk) trend and a stationary  $I(0)$ , “cyclical” component:
- ▶  $Z_t = TS_t + C_t$
- ▶ where  $TS_t \sim I(1)$  and  $C_t \sim I(0)$
- ▶ The stochastic trend,  $TS_t$ , captures shocks that have a permanent effect on the level of  $y_t$ . The stationary component,  $C_t$ , captures shocks that only have a temporary effect on the level of  $y_t$ .

# Trend-Cycle Decomposition with Stochastic Trends

- ▶ The components representation for  $y_t$  becomes



$$y_t = TD_t + TS_t + C_t$$

- ▶  $TD_t + TS_t$  = overall trend,  $C_t$  = deviations about trend
- ▶ The decomposition of  $Z_t$  into  $TS_t$  and  $C_t$  is not unique. In fact, there are an infinite number of such combinations depending on how  $TS_t$  and  $C_t$  are defined.
- ▶ Two decompositions have been popular in the empirical literature to model stochastic trend: the Beveridge-Nelson (BN) decomposition; and the orthogonal unobserved components (UC) decomposition.
- ▶ Both decompositions define  $TS_t$  as a pure random walk. They primarily differ in how they model the serial correlation in  $\Delta Z_t$ .

# Beveridge-Nelson Decomposition

- ▶ Beveridge and Nelson (1980) proposed a definition of the permanent component of an  $I(1)$  time series  $y_t$  with drift  $\mu$  as the limiting forecast as horizon goes to infinity, adjusted for the mean rate of growth over the forecast horizon.



$$TD_t + BN_t = \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} (y_{t+h|t} - TD_{t+h|t}) = \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} (y_{t+h|t} - \mu h)$$

- ▶  $BN_t$  is referred to as the BN trend. The implied cycle is  $C_t^{BN} = y_t - TD_t - BN_t$
- ▶ BN showed that if  $\Delta y_t$  has Wold representation



$$\Delta y_t = \delta + \Psi^*(L)\varepsilon_t$$

- ▶ Then,  $BN_t$  follows a pure random walk without drift.



$$BN_t = BN_{t-1} + \Psi^*(1)\varepsilon_t = BN_0 + \Psi^*(1) \sum_{j=1}^t \varepsilon_j$$

# Beveridge-Nelson Decomposition

- ▶ The derivation of the BN trend relies on the following algebraic result

- ▶ Let  $\Psi(L) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \psi_k L^k$  with  $\psi_0 = 1$

- ▶  $\Psi(L) = \Psi(1) + (1 - L)\tilde{\Psi}(L)$

$$\Psi(1) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \psi_k, \tilde{\Psi}(L) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\psi}_k L^k, \tilde{\psi}_J = - \sum_{k=J+1}^{\infty} \psi_k$$

- ▶ We can write  $y_t$  as

▶

$$\begin{aligned} y_t &= y_0 + \delta t + \Psi^*(L) \sum_{j=1}^t \varepsilon_j \\ &= y_0 + \delta t + (\Psi^*(1) + (1 - L)\tilde{\Psi}^*(L)) \sum_{j=1}^t \varepsilon_j \\ &= y_0 + \delta t + \Psi^*(1) \sum_{j=1}^t \varepsilon_j + \tilde{\varepsilon}_t - \tilde{\varepsilon}_0 \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ where  $\Psi^*(1) \sum_{j=1}^t \varepsilon_j$  is the stochastic trend and  $\tilde{\varepsilon}_t - \tilde{\varepsilon}_0$  is the cycle.
- ▶ where  $\tilde{\varepsilon}_t = \widetilde{\Psi^*}(L)\varepsilon_t$
- ▶ To show that  $\Psi^*(1) \sum_{j=1}^t \varepsilon_j$  is the BN trend, consider the series at time  $t+h$

$$y_{t+h} = y_0 + \delta(t+h) + \Psi^*(1) \sum_{j=1}^{t+h} \varepsilon_j + \tilde{\varepsilon}_{t+h} - \tilde{\varepsilon}_0$$

- ▶ The limiting forecast as horizon  $h$  goes to infinity, adjusted for mean growth, is
- ▶

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} y_{t+h|t} = y_0 + \delta(t+h) + \Psi^*(1) \sum_{j=1}^{t+h} \varepsilon_j + \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\varepsilon}_{t+h}$$

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} y_{t+h|t} - \delta h = y_0 + \delta t + \Psi^*(1) \sum_{j=1}^t \varepsilon_j = TD_t + BN_t$$

## BN Decomposition for MA(1) process

$$\Delta y_t = 0.008 + \varepsilon_t + 0.3\varepsilon_{t-1}, \varepsilon_t \sim iid(0, \sigma^2), \hat{\sigma} = 0.0106$$

$$\Delta y_t = \delta + \Psi^*(L)\varepsilon_t, \Psi^*(L) = 1 + \Psi_1^*L, \Psi_1^* = 0.3$$

$$\Psi^*(1) = 1.3, \tilde{\Psi}_0 = -\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \Psi_j^* = -\Psi_1^* = -0.3$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}_j^* = -\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \Psi_j^* = 0, j = 1, 2$$

- The trend-cycle decomposition of  $y_t$  using the BN decomposition becomes

$$y_t = y_0 + \delta t + \Psi^*(1) \sum_{j=1}^t \varepsilon_j + \tilde{\varepsilon}_t = y_0 + 0.008t + 1.3 \sum_{j=1}^t \varepsilon_j - 0.3\varepsilon_t$$

# Beveridge-Nelson Decomposition

- ▶ The naive computation of the BN decomposition requires the following steps:
  - ▶ Estimation of ARMA(p,q) model for  $\Delta y_t$
  - ▶ Estimation of  $\Psi^*(1)$  from estimated ARMA(p,q) model for  $\Delta y_t$
  - ▶ Estimation of  $\sum_{j=1}^t \varepsilon_t$  using residuals from estimated ARMA(p,q) model for  $\Delta y_t$

# BN Decomposition for AR(1) Model

- ▶ AR(1):  $\Delta y_t = \delta + \phi \Delta y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$
- ▶  $TD_t + BN_t = \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} (y_{t+h|t} - h\delta)$
- ▶  $TD_t + BN_t = y_t + \frac{\phi}{1-\phi} (\Delta y_t - \delta)$
- ▶ The cyclical component is then
$$C_t = y_t - TD_t - BN_t$$
$$\Rightarrow C_t = -\frac{\phi}{1-\phi} (\Delta y_t - \delta)$$



# The Orthogonal Unobserved Components Model

- ▶ The basic idea behind the UC model is to give structural equations for the components on the trend-cycle decomposition.
- ▶ For example, Watson (1986) considered UC-ARIMA models of the form
- ▶

$$y_t = \mu_t + C_t$$

$$\mu_t = \alpha + \mu_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t, \varepsilon_t \sim iid(0, \sigma_\varepsilon^2)$$

$$\phi(L)C_t = \theta(L)\eta_t, \eta_t \sim iid(0, \sigma_\eta^2)$$

$$\phi(L) = 1 - \phi_1 L - \dots - \phi_P L^P$$

$$\theta(L) = 1 + \theta_1 L + \dots + \theta_q L^q$$

# Identification of UC Model

- ▶ The parameters of the UC model are not identified without further restrictions.
- ▶ Restrictions commonly used in practice to identify all of the parameters are: (1) the roots of  $\phi(z) = 0$  are outside the unit circle; (2)  $\theta(L)=1$ , and (3)  $\text{cov}(\varepsilon_t, \eta_t)=0$ .
- ▶ These restrictions identify  $C_t$  as a transitory autoregressive “cyclical” component, and  $\mu_t$  as the permanent trend component.