## Forecasting

Let  $\{y_t\}$  be a covariance stationary are ergodic process, e.g. an ARMA(p,q) process with Wold representation

$$y_t = \mu + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi_j \varepsilon_{t-j}, \ \varepsilon_t \ \widetilde{W}N(0, \sigma^2)$$
$$= \mu + \varepsilon_t + \psi_1 \varepsilon_{t-1} + \psi_2 \varepsilon_{t-2} + \cdots$$

Let  $I_t = \{y_t, y_{t-1}, \ldots\}$  denote the information set available at time t. Recall,

$$E[y_t] = \mu$$

$$var(y_t) = \sigma^2 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi_j^2$$

Goal: Using  $I_t$  produce optimal forecasts of  $y_{t+h}$  for  $h = 1, 2, \dots, s$ 

Note:

$$y_{t+h} = \mu + \varepsilon_{t+h} + \psi_1 \varepsilon_{t+h-1} + \cdots + \psi_{h-1} \varepsilon_{t+1} + \psi_h \varepsilon_t + \psi_{h+1} \varepsilon_{t-1} + \cdots$$

Define  $y_{t+h|t}$  as the forecast of  $y_{t+h}$  based on  $I_t$  known parameters. The forecast error is

$$\varepsilon_{t+h|t} = y_{t+h} - y_{t+h|t}$$

and the mean squared error of the forecast is

$$MSE(\varepsilon_{t+h|t}) = E[\varepsilon_{t+h|t}^2]$$
  
=  $E[(y_{t+h} - y_{t+h|t})^2]$ 

Theorem: The minimum MSE forecast (best forecast) of  $y_{t+h}$  based on  $I_t$  is

$$y_{t+h|t} = E[y_{t+h}|I_t]$$

Proof: See Hamilton pages 72-73.

#### Remarks

1. The computation of  $E[y_{t+h}|I_t]$  depends on the distribution of  $\{\varepsilon_t\}$  and may be a very complicated nonlinear function of the history of  $\{\varepsilon_t\}$ . Even if  $\{\varepsilon_t\}$  is an uncorrelated process (e.g. white noise) it may be the case that

$$E[\varepsilon_{t+1}|I_t] \neq 0$$

2. If  $\{\varepsilon_t\}$  is independent white noise, then  $E[\varepsilon_{t+1}|I_t]=0$  and  $E[y_{t+h}|I_t]$  will be a simple linear function of  $\{\varepsilon_t\}$ 

$$y_{t+h|t} = \mu + \psi_h \varepsilon_t + \psi_{h+1} \varepsilon_{t-1} + \cdots$$

### Linear Predictors

A linear predictor of  $y_{t+h|t}$  is a linear function of the variables in  $I_t$ .

Theorem: The minimum MSE linear forecast (best linear predictor) of  $y_{t+h}$  based on  $I_t$  is

$$y_{t+h|t} = \mu + \psi_h \varepsilon_t + \psi_{h+1} \varepsilon_{t-1} + \cdots$$

Proof. See Hamilton page 74.

The forecast error of the best linear predictor is

$$\varepsilon_{t+h|t} = y_{t+h} - y_{t+h|t} 
= \mu + \varepsilon_{t+h} + \psi_1 \varepsilon_{t+h-1} + \cdots 
+ \psi_{h-1} \varepsilon_{t+1} + \psi_h \varepsilon_t + \cdots 
- (\mu + \psi_h \varepsilon_t + \psi_{h+1} \varepsilon_{t-1} + \cdots) 
= \varepsilon_{t+h} + \psi_1 \varepsilon_{t+h-1} + \cdots + \psi_{h-1} \varepsilon_{t+1}$$

and the MSE of the forecast error is

$$MSE(\varepsilon_{t+h|t}) = \sigma^2(1 + \psi_1^2 + \dots + \psi_{h-1}^2)$$

## Remarks

1. 
$$E[\varepsilon_{t+h|t}] = 0$$

- 2.  $\varepsilon_{t+h|t}$  is uncorrelated with any element in  $I_t$
- 3. The form of  $y_{t+h\mid t}$  is closely related to the IRF

4. 
$$MSE(\varepsilon_{t+h|t}) = var(\varepsilon_{t+h|t}) \le var(y_t)$$

- 5.  $\lim_{h\to\infty} y_{t+h|t} = \mu$
- 6.  $\lim_{h\to\infty} MSE(\varepsilon_{t+h|t}) = var(y_t)$

Example: BLP for MA(1) process

$$y_t = \mu + \varepsilon_t + \theta \varepsilon_{t-1}, \ \varepsilon_t \sim WN(0, \sigma^2)$$

Here

$$\psi_1 = \theta, \ \psi_h = 0 \text{ for } h > 1$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} y_{t+1|t} & = & \mu + \theta \varepsilon_t \\ \\ y_{t+2|t} & = & \mu \\ \\ y_{t+h|t} & = & \mu \text{ for } h > 1 \end{array}$$

The forecast errors and MSEs are

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon_{t+1|t} &= \varepsilon_{t+1}, \ \mathsf{MSE}(\varepsilon_{t+1|t}) = \sigma^2 \\ \varepsilon_{t+2|t} &= \varepsilon_{t+2} + \theta \varepsilon_{t+1}, \ \mathsf{MSE}(\varepsilon_{t+2|t}) = \sigma^2 (1 + \theta^2) \end{split}$$

Prediction Confidence Intervals

If  $\{\varepsilon_t\}$  is Gaussian then

$$y_{t+h}|I_t \sim N(y_{t+h|t}, \sigma^2(1+\psi_1^2+\cdots+\psi_{h-1}^2))$$

A 95% confidence interval for the  $h-{\rm step}$  prediction has the form

$$y_{t+h|t} \pm 1.96 \cdot \sqrt{\sigma^2 (1 + \psi_1^2 + \dots + \psi_{h-1}^2)}$$

Predictions with Estimated Parameters

Let  $\hat{y}_{t+h|t}$  denote the BLP with estimated parameters:

$$\hat{y}_{t+h|t} = \hat{\mu} + \hat{\psi}_h \hat{\varepsilon}_t + \hat{\psi}_{h+1} \hat{\varepsilon}_{t-1} + \cdots$$

where  $\hat{\varepsilon}_t$  is the estimated residual from the fitted model. The forecast error with estimated parameters is

$$\hat{\varepsilon}_{t+h|t} = y_{t+h} - \hat{y}_{t+h|t} 
= (\mu - \hat{\mu}) + \varepsilon_{t+h} + \psi_1 \varepsilon_{t+h-1} + \dots + \psi_{h-1} \varepsilon_{t+1} 
+ (\psi_h \varepsilon_t - \hat{\psi}_h \hat{\varepsilon}_t) + (\psi_{h+1} \varepsilon_{t-1} - \hat{\psi}_{h+1} \hat{\varepsilon}_{t-1}) 
+ \dots$$

Obviously,

$$\mathsf{MSE}(\hat{\varepsilon}_{t+h|t}) \neq \mathsf{MSE}(\varepsilon_{t+h|t}) = \sigma^2(1 + \psi_1^2 + \dots + \psi_{h-1}^2)$$

Note: Most software computes

$$\widehat{\mathsf{MSE}}(\varepsilon_{t+h|t}) = \hat{\sigma}^2 (1 + \hat{\psi}_1^2 + \dots + \hat{\psi}_{h-1}^2)$$

# Computing the Best Linear Predictor

The BLP  $y_{t+h|t}$  may be computed in many different but equivalent ways. The algorithm for computing  $y_{t+h|t}$  from an AR(1) model is simple and the methodology allows for the computation of forecasts for general ARMA models as well as multivariate models.

Example: AR(1) Model

$$y_t - \mu = \phi(y_{t-1} - \mu) + \varepsilon_t$$
  
 $\varepsilon_t \sim WN(0, \sigma^2)$   
 $\mu, \phi, \sigma^2$  are known

In the Wold representation  $\psi_j = \phi^j$ . Starting at t and iterating forward h periods gives

$$y_{t+h} = \mu + \phi^h(y_t - \mu) + \varepsilon_{t+h} + \phi \varepsilon_{t+h-1} + \cdots$$
$$+ \phi^{h-1} \varepsilon_{t+1}$$
$$= \mu + \phi^h(y_t - \mu) + \varepsilon_{t+h} + \psi_1 \varepsilon_{t+h-1} + \cdots$$
$$+ \psi_{h-1} \varepsilon_{t+1}$$

The best linear forecasts of  $y_{t+1}, y_{t+2}, \dots, y_{t+h}$  are computed using the *chain-rule of forecasting* (law of iterated projections)

$$y_{t+1|t} = \mu + \phi(y_t - \mu)$$

$$y_{t+2|t} = \mu + \phi(y_{t+1|t} - \mu) = \mu + \phi(\phi(y_t - \mu))$$

$$= \mu + \phi^2(y_t - \mu)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$y_{t+h|t} = \mu + \phi(y_{t+h-1|t} - \mu) = \mu + \phi^h(y_t - \mu)$$

The corresponding forecast errors are

$$\begin{array}{lll} \varepsilon_{t+1|t} & = & y_{t+1} - y_{t+1|t} = \varepsilon_{t+1} \\ \varepsilon_{t+2|t} & = & y_{t+2} - y_{t+2|t} = \varepsilon_{t+2} + \phi \varepsilon_{t+1} \\ & = & \varepsilon_{t+2} + \psi_1 \varepsilon_{t+1} \\ & & \vdots \\ \varepsilon_{t+h|t} & = & y_{t+h} - y_{t+h|t} = \varepsilon_{t+h} + \phi \varepsilon_{t+h-1} + \cdots \\ & & + \phi^{h-1} \varepsilon_{t+1} \\ & = & \varepsilon_{t+h} + \psi_1 \varepsilon_{t+h-1} + \cdots + \psi_{h-1} \varepsilon_{t+1} \end{array}$$

The forecast error variances are

$$var(\varepsilon_{t+1|t}) = \sigma^{2}$$

$$var(\varepsilon_{t+2|t}) = \sigma^{2}(1+\phi^{2}) = \sigma^{2}(1+\psi_{1}^{2})$$

$$\vdots$$

$$var(\varepsilon_{t+h|t}) = \sigma^{2}(1+\phi^{2}+\cdots+\phi^{2(h-1)}) = \sigma^{2}\frac{1-\phi^{2h}}{1-\phi^{2}}$$

$$= \sigma^{2}(1+\psi_{1}^{2}+\cdots+\psi_{h-1}^{2})$$

Clearly,

$$\lim_{h \to \infty} y_{t+h|t} = \mu = E[y_t]$$

$$\lim_{h \to \infty} var(\varepsilon_{t+h|t}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{1 - \phi^2}$$

$$= \sigma^2 \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} \psi_h^2 = var(y_t)$$

AR(p) Models

Consider the AR(p) model

$$\phi(L)(y_t - \mu) = \varepsilon_t, \ \varepsilon_t \sim WN(0, \sigma^2)$$
$$\phi(L) = 1 - \phi_1 L - \cdots + \phi_p L^p$$

The forecasting algorithm for the AR(p) models is essentially the same as that for AR(1) models once we put the AR(p) model in state space form. Let  $X_t = y_t - \mu$ . The AR(p) in state space form is

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_t \\ X_{t-1} \\ \vdots \\ X_{t-p+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 & \phi_2 & \cdots & \phi_p \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X_{t-1} \\ X_{t-2} \\ \vdots \\ X_{t-p} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_t \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 or

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \boldsymbol{\xi}_t &=& \mathbf{F}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{t-1} + \mathbf{w}_t \\ var(\mathbf{w}_t) &=& \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_w \end{array}$$

Starting at t and iterating forward h periods gives

$$\boldsymbol{\xi}_{t+h} = \mathbf{F}^h \boldsymbol{\xi}_t + \mathbf{w}_{t+h} + \mathbf{F} \mathbf{w}_{t+h-1} + \dots + \mathbf{F}^{h-1} \mathbf{w}_{t+1}$$

Then the best linear forecasts of  $y_{t+1}, y_{t+2}, \dots, y_{t+h}$  are computed using the *chain-rule of forecasting* are

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{t+1|t} & = & \mathbf{F}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{t} \\ \boldsymbol{\xi}_{t+2|t} & = & \mathbf{F}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{t+1|t} = \mathbf{F}^{2}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{t} \\ & & \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{\xi}_{t+h|t} & = & \mathbf{F}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{t+h-1|t} = \mathbf{F}^{h}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{t} \end{array}$$

The forecast for  $y_{t+h}$  is given by  $\mu$  plus the first row of  $\pmb{\xi}_{t+h|t} = \mathbf{F}^h \pmb{\xi}_t$  :

$$m{\xi}_{t+h|t} = \left( egin{array}{cccc} \phi_1 & \phi_2 & \cdots & \phi_p \ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \ & \ddots & & dots \ 0 & & 1 & 0 \end{array} 
ight)^h \left( egin{array}{cccc} y_{t-1} - \mu \ dots \ y_{t-p+1} - \mu \end{array} 
ight)$$

The forecast errors are given by

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathbf{w}_{t+1|t} & = & \boldsymbol{\xi}_{t+1} - \boldsymbol{\xi}_{t+1|t} = \mathbf{w}_{t+1} \\ \mathbf{w}_{t+2|t} & = & \boldsymbol{\xi}_{t+2} - \boldsymbol{\xi}_{t+2|t} = \mathbf{w}_{t+2} + \mathbf{F} \mathbf{w}_{t+1} \\ & : \\ \mathbf{w}_{t+h|t} & = & \boldsymbol{\xi}_{t+h} - \boldsymbol{\xi}_{t+h|t} = \mathbf{w}_{t+h} + \mathbf{F} \mathbf{w}_{t+h-1} + \cdots \\ & + \mathbf{F}^{h-1} \mathbf{w}_{t+1} \end{array}$$

and the corresponding forecast MSE matrices are

$$egin{array}{lll} var(\mathbf{w}_{t+1|t}) &=& var(\mathbf{w}_t) = \mathbf{\Sigma}_w \ var(\mathbf{w}_{t+2|t}) &=& var(\mathbf{w}_{t+2}) + \mathbf{F}var(\mathbf{w}_{t+1})\mathbf{F}' \ &=& \mathbf{\Sigma}_w + \mathbf{F}\mathbf{\Sigma}_w\mathbf{F}' \ var(\mathbf{w}_{t+h|t}) &=& \sum_{j=0}^{h-1} \mathbf{F}^j\mathbf{\Sigma}_w\mathbf{F}^{j'} \end{array}$$

Notice that

$$var(\mathbf{w}_{t+h|t}) = \Sigma_w + \mathbf{F}var(\mathbf{w}_{t+h-1|t})\mathbf{F}'$$

#### Forecast Evaluation

Diebold-Mariano Test for Equal Predictive Accuracy

Let  $\{y_t\}$  denote the series to be forecast and let  $y_{t+h|t}^1$  and  $y_{t+h|t}^2$  denote two competing forecasts of  $y_{t+h}$  based on  $I_t$ . For example,  $y_{t+h|t}^1$  could be computed from an AR(p) model and  $y_{t+h|t}^2$  could be computed from an ARMA(p,q) model. The forecast errors from the two models are

$$\varepsilon_{t+h|t}^{1} = y_{t+h} - y_{t+h|t}^{1}$$
 $\varepsilon_{t+h|t}^{2} = y_{t+h} - y_{t+h|t}^{2}$ 

The h-step forecasts are assumed to be computed for  $t=t_0,\ldots,T$  for a total of  $T_0$  forecasts giving

$$\{\varepsilon_{t+h|t}^{1}\}_{t_0}^T, \ \{\varepsilon_{t+h|t}^{2}\}_{t_0}^T$$

Because the h-step forecasts use overlapping data the forecast errors in  $\{\varepsilon_{t+h|t}^1\}_{t_0}^T$  and  $\{\varepsilon_{t+h|t}^2\}_{t_0}^T$  will be serially correlated.

The accuracy of each forecast is measured by a particular loss function

$$L(y_{t+h}, y_{t+h|t}^i) = L(\varepsilon_{t+h|t}^i), i = 1, 2$$

Some popular loss functions are:

$$L(\varepsilon_{t+h|t}^i) = \left(\varepsilon_{t+h|t}^i\right)^2$$
: squared error loss  $L(\varepsilon_{t+h|t}^i) = \left|\varepsilon_{t+h|t}^i\right|$ : absolute value loss

To determine if one model predicts better than another we may test null hypotheses

$$H_0: E[L(\varepsilon_{t+h|t}^1)] = E[L(\varepsilon_{t+h|t}^2)]$$

against the alternative

$$H_1: E[L(\varepsilon_{t+h|t}^1)] \neq E[L(\varepsilon_{t+h|t}^2)]$$

The Diebold-Mariano test is based on the loss differential

$$d_t = L(\varepsilon_{t+h|t}^1) - L(\varepsilon_{t+h|t}^2)$$

The null of equal predictive accuracy is then

$$H_0: E[d_t] = 0$$

The Diebold-Mariano test statistic is

$$S = \frac{\bar{d}}{\left(\widehat{avar}(\bar{d})\right)^{1/2}} = \frac{\bar{d}}{\left(\widehat{LRV}_{\bar{d}}/T\right)^{1/2}}$$

where

$$\bar{d} = \frac{1}{T_0} \sum_{t=t_0}^{T} d_t$$

$$LRV_{\bar{d}} = \gamma_0 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \gamma_j, \ \gamma_j = cov(d_t, d_{t-j})$$

Note: The long-run variance is used in the statistic because the sample of loss differentials  $\{d_t\}_{t_0}^T$  are serially correlated for h > 1.

Diebold and Mariano (1995) show that under the null of equal predictive accuracy

$$S\stackrel{A}{\sim}N(0,1)$$

So we reject the null of equal predictive accuracy at the 5% level if

One sided tests may also be computed.