

# Economic Forecasting

Regression models with time series data


---

Sebastian Fossati


University of Alberta | E493 | 2023

- 1 The linear model with time series data
- 2 Residual diagnostics
- 3 Some useful predictors for linear models
- 4 Forecasting with regression models

## Example: US consumption expenditure



## Example: US consumption expenditure



## Example: US consumption expenditure


```
fit.cons <- tslm(Consumption ~ Income, data = uschange)
coeftest(fit.cons)
```

```
##
## t test of coefficients:
##
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)   0.5451     0.0557    9.79  < 2e-16 ***
## Income        0.2806     0.0474    5.91  1.6e-08 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

### Related functions:

- `tslm()`: regression model for time series data
- `coeftest()`, `summary()`: prints standard regression output
- `coef()`, `vcov()`, `resid()`, `fitted()`: extract the regression coefficients, (estimated) covariance matrix, residuals, and fitted values respectively
- `confint()`: confidence intervals for the regression coefficient

## Example: US consumption expenditure




## Example: US consumption expenditure

```
fit.consMR <-  
  tslm(  
    Consumption ~ Income + Production + Unemployment + Savings,  
    data = uschange  
  )  
coeftest(fit.consMR)
```


```
##  
## t test of coefficients:  
##  
##           Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)  
## (Intercept)  0.26729    0.03721    7.18  1.7e-11 ***  
## Income       0.71448    0.04219   16.93 < 2e-16 ***  
## Production   0.04589    0.02588    1.77   0.078 .  
## Unemployment -0.20477    0.10550   -1.94   0.054 .  
## Savings      -0.04527    0.00278  -16.29 < 2e-16 ***  
## ---  
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```



## Example: US consumption expenditure



## Example: US consumption expenditure



- 1 The linear model with time series data
- 2 Residual diagnostics
- 3 Some useful predictors for linear models
- 4 Forecasting with regression models

For forecasting purposes, we require the following assumptions:

- $\varepsilon_t$  are uncorrelated and zero mean
- $\varepsilon_t$  are uncorrelated with each  $x_{j,t}$

For forecasting purposes, we require the following assumptions:

- $\varepsilon_t$  are uncorrelated and zero mean
- $\varepsilon_t$  are uncorrelated with each  $x_{j,t}$




It is **useful** to also have  $\varepsilon_t \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$  when producing prediction intervals or doing statistical tests.

## Example: US consumption expenditure

```
# check residuals
```

```
checkresiduals(fit.consMR, test = FALSE)
```

Residuals from Linear regression model



## Breusch-Godfrey test

Run the following auxiliary regression:

$$e_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{t,1} + \dots + \beta_k x_{t,k} + \rho_1 e_{t-1} + \dots + \rho_p e_{t-p} + u_t$$

If  $R^2$  statistic is calculated for this model, then

$$(T - p)R^2 \sim \chi_p^2,$$

when there is no serial correlation up to lag  $p$ , and  $T$  is the length of series.

- the Breusch-Godfrey test is better than Ljung-Box for regression models

## US consumption again

```
# check residuals
```

```
checkresiduals(fit.consMR, plot=FALSE)
```

```
##  
## Breusch-Godfrey test for serial correlation of order up to 8  
##  
## data: Residuals from Linear regression model  
## LM test = 15, df = 8, p-value = 0.06
```

If the model fails the Breusch-Godfrey test...

- the forecasts are not wrong, but have higher variance than they need to
- there is information in the residuals that we should exploit



- 1 The linear model with time series data
- 2 Residual diagnostics
- 3 Some useful predictors for linear models
- 4 Forecasting with regression models

## Linear trend model

$$y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 t + \varepsilon_t$$

Remarks:

- $x_t = t$  for  $t = 1, 2, \dots, T$
- strong assumption that trend will continue
- specified using the predictor `trend` in the `tslm()` function

### Seasonal dummy variables

$$y_t = \beta_1 d_{1,t} + \beta_2 d_{2,t} + \beta_3 d_{3,t} + \beta_4 d_{4,t} + \varepsilon_t$$

Remarks:


- $x_{i,t} = d_{i,t}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, 4$
- $d_{i,t} = 1$  if  $t$  is quarter  $i$  and 0 otherwise
- specified using the predictor `season` in the `tslm()` function
- no intercept in this model! why?

## Beware of the dummy variable trap!

Remarks:

- using one dummy for each category gives too many dummy variables!
- the regression will then be singular and inestimable
- either omit the constant, or omit the dummy for one category
- the coefficients of the dummies are relative to the omitted category

# Beer production revisited



We can use a simple trend plus seasonal dummy model to forecast beer production.

### Regression model

$$y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 t + \beta_2 d_{2,t} + \beta_3 d_{3,t} + \beta_4 d_{4,t} + \varepsilon_t$$

Remarks:


- $d_{i,t} = 1$  if  $t$  is quarter  $i$  and 0 otherwise

## Beer production revisited

```
fit.beer <- tslm(beer ~ trend + season)
coeftest(fit.beer)
```


```
##
## t test of coefficients:
##
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)  441.8004      3.7335   118.33 < 2e-16 ***
## trend        -0.3403      0.0666    -5.11 2.7e-06 ***
## season2      -34.6597      3.9683    -8.73 9.1e-13 ***
## season3      -17.8216      4.0225    -4.43 3.4e-05 ***
## season4       72.7964      4.0230    18.09 < 2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

# Beer production revisited





# Beer production revisited






# Beer production revisited

```
# check residuals
```

```
checkresiduals(fit.beer, test = FALSE)
```

Residuals from Linear regression model



## Beer production revisited

```
# check residuals
```

```
checkresiduals(fit.beer, plot = FALSE)
```

```
##  
## Breusch-Godfrey test for serial correlation of order up to 8  
##  
## data: Residuals from Linear regression model  
## LM test = 9.3, df = 8, p-value = 0.3
```


# Beer production revisited

```
# plot forecasts
```

```
fcast <- forecast(fit.beer)
```

```
autoplot(fcast) + xlab("Year") + ylab("megalitres")
```

Forecasts from Linear regression model



Other useful predictors:

- **spikes**: variable equals 1 at the intervention and 0 elsewhere  
(useful to remove the effect of an outlier)


Other useful predictors:

- **spikes:** variable equals 1 at the intervention and 0 elsewhere (useful to remove the effect of an outlier)
- **steps:** variable equals 0 before the intervention and 1 afterwards (useful to model structural breaks)

Other useful predictors:

- **spikes:** variable equals 1 at the intervention and 0 elsewhere (useful to remove the effect of an outlier)
- **steps:** variable equals 0 before the intervention and 1 afterwards (useful to model structural breaks)
- **change of slope:** variable equals 0 before the intervention and  $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  afterwards (useful to model change in slope)

Google Trends: 'Apple Pie Recipe' in Canada





For monthly data ...

- Christmas: always in December so part of monthly seasonal effect
- Easter: use a dummy variable  $v_t = 1$  if any part of Easter is in that month,  $v_t = 0$  otherwise
- Ramadan and Chinese new year similar

With monthly data, if the observations vary depending on how many different types of days in the month, then trading day predictors can be useful.

$z_1 = \# \text{ Mondays in month}$

$z_2 = \# \text{ Tuesdays in month}$

$\vdots$

$z_7 = \# \text{ Sundays in month}$

Piecewise linear trend with bend at  $\tau$ :

$$x_{1,t} = t$$
$$x_{2,t} = \begin{cases} 0 & t < \tau \\ (t - \tau) & t \geq \tau \end{cases}$$

Quadratic or higher order trend:

$$x_{1,t} = t, \quad x_{2,t} = t^2, \quad \dots$$

Piecewise linear trend with bend at  $\tau$ :

$$x_{1,t} = t$$
$$x_{2,t} = \begin{cases} 0 & t < \tau \\ (t - \tau) & t \geq \tau \end{cases}$$


Quadratic or higher order trend:

$$x_{1,t} = t, \quad x_{2,t} = t^2, \quad \dots$$

**NOT RECOMMENDED!**


## Example: Boston marathon winning times

```
# Boston marathon times  
autoplot(marathon) +  
  xlab("Year") + ylab("Winning times in minutes")
```



## Example: Boston marathon winning times

```
marathon2 <- window(marathon, start = 1940)
autoplot(marathon2) +
  xlab("Year") + ylab("Winning times in minutes")
```



## Example: Boston marathon winning times

```
# linear trend
```

```
fit.lin <- tslm(marathon2 ~ trend)
fcasts.lin <- forecast(fit.lin, h = 10)
```


```
# exponential trend
```

```
fit.exp <- tslm(marathon2 ~ trend, lambda = 0)
fcasts.exp <- forecast(fit.exp, h = 10)
```

```
# piecewise linear trend
```

```
t.break1 <- 1980
t <- time(marathon2)
t1 <- ts(pmax(0, t-t.break1), start = 1940)
fit.pw <- tslm(marathon2 ~ t + t1)
t.new <- t[length(t)] + seq(10)
t1.new <- t1[length(t1)] + seq(10)
newdata <- data.frame("t" = t.new, "t1" = t1.new)
fcasts.pw <- forecast(fit.pw, newdata = newdata)
```


## Example: Boston marathon winning times






## Example: Boston marathon winning times

Residuals from linear trend regression model




## Example: Boston marathon winning times

Residuals from exponential trend regression model




## Example: Boston marathon winning times

Residuals from piecewise linear regression model




## Your turn

Total cases of COVID-19 in Alberta since March 15, 2020



New cases of COVID-19 in Alberta since March 15, 2020



- 1 The linear model with time series data
- 2 Residual diagnostics
- 3 Some useful predictors for linear models
- 4 Forecasting with regression models

Set up:

- let  $y^0$  be the **new** value for which we would like a forecast
- and  $x_1^0, \dots, x_k^0$  the values of the predictors of  $y^0$

Predicted value

$$\hat{y}^0 = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_1^0 + \dots + \hat{\beta}_k x_k^0$$

## Prediction interval

To compute a prediction interval ...

- ignoring parameter estimation uncertainty (that is, sampling error in  $\hat{y}^0$ )

and assuming forecast errors are normally distributed, then an approximate 95% PI is

### Prediction interval

$$\hat{y}^0 \pm 1.96\hat{\sigma}_e$$

where  $\hat{\sigma}_e$  is the standard error of the regression.




## Ex-ante versus ex-post forecasts

### Remarks:

- *ex ante forecasts* are made using only information available in advance
  - require forecasts of predictors
- *ex post forecasts* are made using later information on the predictors
  - useful for studying behavior of forecasting models
- trend, seasonal and calendar variables are all known in advance, so these don't need to be forecast

# Beer production




Scenario based forecasting:

- assumes possible scenarios for the predictor variables
- note: prediction intervals for scenario based forecasts do not include the uncertainty associated with the future values of the predictor variables

# US Consumption

```
fit.consBest <- tslm(Consumption ~ Income + Savings + Unemployment, data = uschange)
h <- 4
# increase
newdata <- data.frame(
  Income = c(1, 1, 1, 1),
  Savings = c(0.5, 0.5, 0.5, 0.5),
  Unemployment = c(0, 0, 0, 0)
)
fcast.up <- forecast(fit.consBest, newdata = newdata)
# decrease
newdata <- data.frame(
  Income = rep(-1, h),
  Savings = rep(-0.5, h),
  Unemployment = rep(0, h)
)
fcast.down <- forecast(fit.consBest, newdata = newdata)
```

# US Consumption



## Building a predictive regression model

Remarks:

- if getting forecasts of predictors is difficult, you can use lagged predictors instead

$$y_{t+h} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1,t} + \cdots + \beta_k x_{k,t} + \varepsilon_{t+h}$$

- implies a different model for each forecast horizon  $h$