Lecture 10 — C++ Atomics, Compiler Hints, Restrict

Patrick Lam & Jeff Zarnett p.lam@ece.uwaterloo.ca, jzarnett@uwaterloo.ca

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering University of Waterloo

December 2, 2017

ECE 459 Winter 2018 1/

Locks and Atomics

Atomics are a lower-overhead alternative to locks as long as you're doing suitable operations.

Remember that what we wanted sometimes with locks and mutexes and all that is that operations are indivisible.

Ex: an update to a variable doesn't get interfered with by another update.

Remember the key idea is: an atomic operation is indivisible.

Other threads see state before or after the operation; nothing in between.

ECE 459 Winter 2018 2

About C++ atomics

You can use the default std::memory_order. (= sequential consistency)

Don't use relaxed atomics unless you're an expert!

ECE 459 Winter 2018 3/1

Different Memory Options

- memory_order_acquire
- memory_order_release
- memory_order_acq_rel
- memory_order_consume
- memory_order_relaxed
- memory_order_seq_cst

ECE 459 Winter 2018 4/1



ECE 459 Winter 2018 5/1

C++ atomics: Key Idea

An atomic operation is indivisible.

Other threads see state before or after the operation, nothing in between.

ECE 459 Winter 2018 6/1

Simplest: atomic_flag

```
#include <atomic>
atomic_flag f = ATOMIC_FLAG_INIT;
```

Represents a boolean flag.

ECE 459 Winter 2018 7

Operations on atomic_flag

Can clear, and can test-and-set:

```
#include <atomic>
atomic_flag f = ATOMIC_FLAG_INIT;
int foo() {
  f.clear();
  if (f.test_and_set()) {
    // was true
  }
}
```

test_and_set: atomically sets to true, returns previous value.

No assignment (=) operator.

ECE 459 Winter 2018 8/1

Yet Another Rant About C++

Although I guess in C++ you could define one if you wanted.

This is kind of a dangerous thing about C++.

If in C you see a line of code like z = x + y; you can have a pretty good idea about what it does and you can infer that there's some sort of natural meaning to the + operator there, like addition or concatenation.

In C++, however, this same line of code tells you nothing unless you know...

- (1) the type of x,
- (2) the type of y, and
- (3) how the + operator is defined on those two operands in that order.

But I'm digressing.

ECE 459 Winter 2018 9/1

Using more general C++ atomics

Declaring them:

```
#include <atomic>
atomic<int> x;
```

Libary's implementation: on small types, lock-free operations; on large types, mutexes.

ECE 459 Winter 2018 10/1

What to do with Atomics

Kinds of operations:

- reads
- writes
- read-modify-write (RMW)

ECE 459 Winter 2018 11/1

C++ has syntax to make these all transparent:

```
#include <atomic>
#include <iostream>

std::atomic<int> ai;
int i;

int main() {
    ai = 4;
    i = ai;
    ai = i;
    std::cout << i;
}</pre>
```

Can also use i = ai.load() and ai.store(i).

ECE 459 Winter 2018 12

Read-Modify-Write (RMW)

```
Consider ai++.
This is really
  tmp = ai.read(); tmp++; ai.write(tmp);
Hardware can do that atomically.
Other RMWs: +-, &=, etc, compare-and-swap
more info:
http://preshing.com/20130618/atomic-vs-non-atomic-operations/
```

ECE 459 Winter 2018 13/1

Three Address Code

- An intermediate code used by compilers for analysis and optimization.
- Statements represent one fundamental operation—we can consider each operation atomic.
- Statements have the form:

```
result := operand_1 operator operand_2
```

 Useful for reasoning about data races, and easier to read than assembly. (separates out memory reads/writes).

ECE 459 Winter 2018 14/1

- GIMPLE is the three address code used by gcc.
- To see the GIMPLE representation of your code use the -fdump-tree-gimple flag.
- To see all of the three address code generated by the compiler use -fdump-tree-all. You'll probably just be interested in the optimized version.

■ Use GIMPLE to reason about your code at a low level without having to read assembly.

ECE 459 Winter 2018 15/

Branch Prediction Hints

As seen earlier in class, gcc allows you to give branch prediction hints by calling this builtin function:

The expected result is that exp equals c.

Compiler reorders code & tells CPU the prediction.

ECE 459 Winter 2018 16/1

The restrict Keyword

A new feature of C99: "The restrict type qualifier allows programs to be written so that translators can produce significantly faster executables."

■ To request C99 in gcc, use the -std=c99 flag.

restrict means: you are promising the compiler that the pointer will never alias (another pointer will not point to the same data) for the lifetime of the pointer.

ECE 459 Winter 2018 17 /

I, [insert your name], a PROFESSIONAL or AMATEUR [circle one] programmer recognize that there are limits to what a compiler can do. I certify that, to the best of my knowledge, there are no magic elves or monkeys in the compiler which through the forces of fairy dust can always make code faster. I understand that there are some problems for which there is not enough information to solve. I hereby declare that given the opportunity to provide the compiler with sufficient information, perhaps through some key word, I will gladly use said keyword and not bitch and moan about how "the compiler should be doing this for me."

In this case, I promise that the pointer declared along with the restrict qualifier is not aliased. I certify that writes through this pointer will not effect the values read through any other pointer available in the same context which is also declared as restricted.

* Your agreement to this contract is implied by use of the restrict keyword;)

ECE 459 Winter 2018 18 / 1

Example of restrict (1)

Pointers declared with restrict must never point to the same data.

From Wikipedia:

```
void updatePtrs(int* ptrA, int* ptrB, int* val) {
   *ptrA += *val;
   *ptrB += *val;
}
```

Would declaring all these pointers as restrict generate better code?

ECE 459 Winter 2018 19/

Example of restrict (2)

Let's look at the GIMPLE:

```
void updatePtrs(int* ptrA, int* ptrB, int* val) {
   D.1609 = *ptrA;
   D.1610 = *val;
   D.1611 = D.1609 + D.1610;
   *ptrA = D.1611;
   D.1612 = *ptrB;
   D.1610 = *val;
   D.1613 = D.1612 + D.1610;
   *ptrB = D.1613;
}
```

■ Could any operation be left out if all the pointers didn't overlap?

ECE 459 Winter 2018 20/1

Example of restrict (3)

```
void updatePtrs(int* ptrA, int* ptrB, int* val) {
  D.1609 = *ptrA;
  D.1610 = *val;
  D.1611 = D.1609 + D.1610;
  *ptrA = D.1611;
  D.1612 = *ptrB;
  D.1610 = *val;
  D.1613 = D.1612 + D.1610;
  *ptrB = D.1613;
}
```

- If ptrA and val are not equal, you don't have to reload the data on line 7.
- Otherwise, you would: there might be a call updatePtrs(&x, &y, &x);

ECE 459 Winter 2018 21,

Example of restrict (4)

Hence, this markup allows optimization:

```
void updatePtrs(int* restrict ptrA,
int* restrict ptrB,
int* restrict val)
```

Note: you can get the optimization by just declaring ptrA and val as restrict; ptrB isn't needed for this optimization

ECE 459 Winter 2018 22/

Summary of restrict

■ Use restrict whenever you know the pointer will not alias another pointer (also declared restrict)

It's hard for the compiler to infer pointer aliasing information; it's easier for you to specify it.

⇒ compiler can better optimize your code (more perf!)

Caveat: don't lie to the compiler, or you will get undefined behaviour.

Aside: restrict is not the same as const. const data can still be changed through an alias.

ECE 459 Winter 2018 23 /