Lecture 14 — OpenMP Memory Model

Patrick Lam

OpenMP Memory Model, Its Pitfalls, and How to Mitigate Them

OpenMP uses a **relaxed-consistency**, **shared-memory** model. This almost certainly doesn't do what you want. Here are its properties:

- All threads share a single store called *memory*—this store may not actually represent RAM.
- Each thread can have its own temporary view of memory.
- A thread's temporary view of memory is not required to be consistent with memory.

We'll talk more about memory models later. Now we're going to talk about the OpenMP model and why it's a problem.

Memory Model Pitfall. Consider this code.

Does this code actually prevent simultaneous execution? Let's reason about possible states.

Order				t1 tmp	t2 tmp
1	2	3	4	0	1
1	3	2	4	1	1
1	3	4	2	1	1
3	4	1	2	1	0
3	1	2	4	1	1
3	1	4	2	1	1

Looks like it (at least intuitively).

Sorry! With OpenMP's memory model, no guarantees: the update from one thread may not be seen by the other.

Restoring Sanity with Flush. We do rely on shared memory working "properly", but that's expensive. So OpenMP provides the **flush** directive.

#pragma omp flush [(list)]

This directive makes the thread's temporary view of memory consistent with main memory; it:

• enforces an order on the memory operations of the variables.

The variables in the list are called the *flush-set*. If you give no variables, the compiler will determine them for you. Enforcing an order on the memory operations means:

- All read/write operations on the *flush-set* which happen before the **flush** complete before the flush executes.
- All read/write operations on the *flush-set* which happen after the **flush** complete after the flush executes.
- Flushes with overlapping *flush-sets* can not be reordered.

To show a consistent value for a variable between two threads, OpenMP must run statements in this order:

```
1. t_1 writes the value to v;
```

- 2. t_1 flushes v;
- 3. t_2 flushes v also;
- 4. t_2 reads the consistent value from v.

Let's revise the example again.

```
a = b = 0
/* thread 1 */
                                     /* thread 2 */
atomic(b = 1)
                                     atomic(a = 1)
flush(b)
                                     flush(a)
flush(a)
                                     flush(b)
atomic(tmp = a)
                                     atomic(tmp = b)
if (tmp == 0) then
                                     if (tmp == 0) then
    // protected section
                                         // protected section
end if
                                     end if
```

OK. Will this now prevent simultaneous access?

Well, no.

The compiler can reorder the flush(b) in thread 1 or flush(a) in thread 2. If flush(b) gets reordered to after the protected section, we will not get our intended operation.

Correct Example. We have to provide a list of variables to flush to prevent re-ordering:

```
a = b = 0
/* thread 1 */

atomic(b = 1)
flush(a, b)
atomic(tmp = a)
if (tmp == 0) then
    // protected section
end if
/* thread 2 */

atomic(a = 1)
flush(a, b)
atomic(tmp = b)
if (tmp == 0) then
// protected section
end if
```

Where There's No Implicit Flush:

- at entry to for;
- at entry to, or exit from, master;
- at entry to **sections**;
- at entry to single;
- at exit from for, single or sections with a nowait
 - nowait removes implicit flush along with the implicit barrier

This is not true for OpenMP versions before 2.5, so be careful.

Final thoughts on flush. We've seen that it's very difficult to use flush properly. Really, you should be using mutexes or other synchronization instead of flush [Sue07], because you'll probably just get it wrong. But now you know what flush means.

OpenMP Task Directive

#pragma omp task [clause [[,] clause]*]

Generates a task for a thread in the team to run. When a thread enters the region it may:

- immediately execute the task; or
- defer its execution. (any other thread may be assigned the task)

Allowed Clauses: if, final, untied, default, mergeable, private, firstprivate, shared

if and final Clauses.

if (scalar-logical-expression)

When expression is false, generates an undeferred task—the generating task region is suspended until execution of the undeferred task finishes.

final (scalar-logical-expression)

When expression is true, generates a final task. All tasks within a final task are *included*. Included tasks are undeferred and also execute immediately in the same thread.

Let's look at some examples of these clauses.

```
void foo () {
    int i;
    #pragma omp task if(0) // This task is undeferred
        #pragma omp task
        // This task is a regular task
        for (i = 0; i < 3; i++) {
            #pragma omp task
            // This task is a regular task
            bar();
        }
    }
    #pragma omp task final(1) // This task is a regular task
        #pragma omp task // This task is included
        for (i = 0; i < 3; i++) {
            #pragma omp task
            // This task is also included
            bar();
        }
   }
}
```

untied and mergeable Clauses.

untied

- A suspended task can be resumed by any thread.
- "untied" is ignored if used with final.
- Interacts poorly with thread-private variables and gettid().

mergeable

- For an undeferred or included task, allows the implementation to generate a merged task instead.
- In a merged task, the implementation may re-use the environment from its generating task (as if there was no task directive).

For more: docs.oracle.com/cd/E24457_01/html/E21996/gljyr.html

Bad mergeable Example.

```
#include <stdio.h>
void foo () {
   int x = 2;
   #pragma omp task mergeable
   {
      x++; // x is by default firstprivate
   }
   #pragma omp taskwait
```

This is an incorrect usage of **mergeable**: the output depends on whether or not the task got merged. Merging tasks (when safe) produces more efficient code.

Taskyield.

```
#pragma omp taskyield
```

This directive specifies that the current task can be suspended in favour of another task.

Here's a good use of taskyield.

Taskwait.

#pragma omp taskwait

Waits for the completeion of the current task's child tasks.

OpenMP Examples

We are next going to look at a sequence of examples showing how to use OpenMP.

Tree Traversal.

```
struct node {
    struct node *left;
    struct node *right;
};
extern void process(struct node *);
```

If we want to guarantee a post-order traversal, we simply need to insert an explicit #pragma omp taskwait after the two calls to traverse and before the call to process.

Parallel Linked List Processing. We can spawn tasks to process linked list entries. It's hard to use two threads to traverse the list, though.

Using Lots of Tasks. Let's see what happens if we spawn lots of tasks in a single directive.

In this case, the main loop generates tasks, which are all assigned to the executing thread as it becomes available (because of single). When too many tasks get generated, OpenMP suspends the main thread, runs some tasks, then resumes the loop in the main thread.

Improved code. It would be better to untied the spawned tasks, enabling them to run on multiple threads. Surround the for loop with #pragma omp task untied.

About Nesting: Restrictions. Let's consider nesting of parallel constructs.

- You cannot nest **for** regions.
- You cannot nest single inside a for.
- You cannot nest barrier inside a critical/single/master/for.

Here's something that OpenMP does allow:

Why Your Code is Slow

Code too slow? Want it to run faster? Avoid these pitfalls:

- 1. Unnecessary flush directives.
- 2. Using critical sections or locks instead of atomic.
- 3. Unnecessary concurrent-memory-writing protection:

- No need to protect local thread variables.
- No need to protect if only accessed in **single** or **master**.
- 4. Too much work in a critical section.
- 5. Too many entries into critical sections.

Example: Too Many Entries into Critical Sections.

References

[Sue07] Michael Suess. Please don't rely on memory barriers for synchronization, 2007. Online; accessed 12-December-2015. URL: http://www.thinkingparallel.com/2007/02/19/please-dont-rely-on-memory-barriers-for-synchronization/.