### Lecture 12 — Autoparallelization

Patrick Lam p.lam@ece.uwaterloo.ca

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering University of Waterloo

December 28, 2016

ECE 459 Winter 2017 1/25

### Automatic Parallelization of Example Code

Let's try automatic parallelization.

Compiling with solarisstudio and automatic parallelization yields the following:

```
% solarisstudio-cc -03 -xautopar -xloopinfo omp_vector.c
"omp_vector.c", line 5: PARALLELIZED, and serial version generated
"omp_vector.c", line 15: not parallelized, call may be unsafe
```

How will this code compare to our manual efforts? (If you weren't in class, you'll have to try it yourself.)

**Note:** solarisstudio generates two versions of the code, and decides, at runtime, if the parallel code would be faster.

ECE 459 Winter 2017 2/

### **Example Code to Parallelize**

```
#include <stdlib h>
void setup(double *vector, int length) {
    int i:
    for (i = 0; i < length; i++)
        vector[i] += 1.0;
int main()
    double *vector;
    vector = (double*) malloc(sizeof(double)*1024*1024);
    for (int i = 0; i < 1000; i++)
        setup (vector, 1024*1024);
```

ECE 459 Winter 2017 3 / 25

### Automatic Parallelization of Example Code

Let's try automatic parallelization.

Compiling with solarisstudio and automatic parallelization yields the following:

```
% solarisstudio-cc -03 -xautopar -xloopinfo omp_vector.c
"omp_vector.c", line 5: PARALLELIZED, and serial version generated
"omp_vector.c", line 15: not parallelized, call may be unsafe
```

How will this code compare to our manual efforts? (If you weren't in class, you'll have to try it yourself.)

**Note:** solarisstudio generates two versions of the code, and decides, at runtime, if the parallel code would be faster.

ECE 459 Winter 2017 4/2



Under the hood, most parallelization frameworks use  ${\tt OpenMP}$ , which we'll see next lecture.

For now: you can control the number of threads with the OMP\_NUM\_THREADS environment variable.

ECE 459 Winter 2017 5/2.

### Automatic Parallelization in gcc

gcc (since 4.3) can also auto-parallelize loops. However, there are a few problems:

- It will not tell you which loops it parallelizes (nicely).
- 2 It only operates with a fixed number of threads.
- 3 The profitability metrics are quite simple.
- 4 Only operates in simple cases.

Use the flags -floop-parallelize-all -ftree-parallelize-loops=N where N is the # of threads.

**Note:** gcc also uses OpenMP but ignores OMP\_NUM\_THREADS.

ECE 459 Winter 2017 6 / 2

# Understanding Automatic Parallelization in gcc

Flag -fdump-tree-parloops-details shows what the automatic parallelizations were, but it's quite unreadable.

Instead, you can look at the assembly code to see the parallelizations (obviously, impractical for a large project).

```
% gcc -std=c99 -03 -ftree-parallelize-loops=4 omp_vector_gcc.c -S -o omp_vector_gcc_auto.s
```

The resulting .s file contains the following code:

```
call GOMP_parallel_start
leaq 80(%rsp), %rdi
call setup._loopfn.0
call GOMP_parallel_end
```

**Note:** gcc also parallelizes main.\_loopfn.2 and main.\_loopfn.3, although it looks like it serves little purpose.

ECE 459 Winter 2017 7/2

#### Loops That gcc's Automatic Parallelization Can Handle

#### Single loop:

```
for (i = 0; i < 1000; i++)
x[i] = i + 3;
```

#### Nested loops with simple dependency:

```
for (i = 0; i < 100; i++)
for (j = 0; j < 100; j++)
X[i][j] = X[i][j] + Y[i-1][j];
```

#### Single loop with not-very-simple dependency:

```
for (i = 0; i < 10; i++)
 X[2*i+1] = X[2*i];
```

ECE 459 Winter 2017 8 / 25

### Loops That gcc's Automatic Parallelization Can't Handle

#### Single loop with if statement:

```
for (j = 0; j <= 10; j++)
if (j > 5) X[i] = i + 3;
```

#### Triangle loop:

```
for (i = 0; i < 100; i++)
for (j = i; j < 100; j++)
X[i][j] = 5;
```

Examples from: http://gcc.gnu.org/wiki/AutoparRelated

ECE 459 Winter 2017 9 / 25

### Summary of Conditions for Automatic Parallelization

From Chapter 10 of Oracle's *Fortran Programming Guide* <sup>1</sup> translated to C, a loop must:

- have a recognized loop style, e.g., for loops with bounds that don't vary per-iteration;
- have no dependencies between data accessed in loop bodies for each iteration;
- not conditionally change scalar variables read after the loop terminates, or change any scalar variable across iterations; and
- have enough work in the loop body to make parallelization profitable.

ECE 459 Winter 2017 10 ,

<sup>1</sup>http://download.oracle.com/docs/cd/E19205-01/819-5262/index.html

What can we do to parallelize this code?

Option 1:

Option 2:

Option 3:

ECE 459 Winter 2017 11/2!

What can we do to parallelize this code?

**Option 1:** horizontal ====

■ Create 4 threads; each thread does 1000 iterations on its own sub-array.

Option 2:

Option 3:

ECE 459 Winter 2017 11/25

What can we do to parallelize this code?

**Option 1:** horizontal 
$$\equiv \equiv \equiv \equiv$$

■ Create 4 threads; each thread does 1000 iterations on its own sub-array.

```
Option 2: bad horizontal ≡≡≡≡
```

■ 1000 times, create 4 threads which each operate once on the sub-array.

#### Option 3:

ECE 459 Winter 2017 11/25

What can we do to parallelize this code?

**Option 1:** horizontal 
$$====$$

■ Create 4 threads; each thread does 1000 iterations on its own sub-array.

■ 1000 times, create 4 threads which each operate once on the sub-array.

```
Option 3: vertical |||| |||| ||||
```

 Create 4 threads; for each element, the owning thread does 1000 iterations on that element.

ECE 459 Winter 2017 11/

#### Manual Parallelization Demo

I'll show a demo of three example PThread parallelizations.

Methodology: compiling with solarisstudio, flags -03 -lpthread.

Which manual option performs better?

ECE 459 Winter 2017 12/2!

## **Comparing Parallelization Results**

How does autoparallelization compare to manual parallelization?

ECE 459 Winter 2017 13/25

### Case Study 2: Multiplying a Matrix by a Vector

Let's see how automatic parallelization does on a more complicated program (could we parallelize this?):

Reminder: 
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 14 \\ 32 \end{bmatrix}$$

ECE 459 Winter 2017 14/25

### Case Study: Automatic Parallelization, Attempt 1

Well, based on our knowledge, we could parallelize the outer loop.

Let's see what solarisstudio will do for us...

```
% solarisstudio-cc -xautopar -xloopinfo -03 -c fploop.c

"fploop.c", line 5: not parallelized, not a recognized for loop

"fploop.c", line 8: not parallelized, not a recognized for loop
```

...it refuses to do anything, guesses?

ECE 459 Winter 2017 15 / 25

### Case Study: Automatic Parallelization, Attempt 2

■ The loop bounds are not constant, since one of the variables may alias row or col, even though int ≠ double.

So, let's add restrict to row and col and see what happens...

```
% solarisstudio-cc -03 -xautopar -xloopinfo -c fploop.c
"fploop.c", line 5: not parallelized, unsafe dependence
"fploop.c", line 8: not parallelized, unsafe dependence
```

Now it recognizes the loop, but still won't parallelize it. Why?

ECE 459 Winter 2017 16/25

#### Case Study: Automatic Parallelization, Attempt 3

■ out might alias mat or vec, which would make this unsafe

#### Let's add another restrict to out:

```
% solarisstudio—cc —03 —xautopar —xloopinfo —c fploop.c
"fploop.c", line 5: PARALLELIZED, and serial version
generated
"fploop.c", line 8: not parallelized, unsafe dependence
```

Now, we can get the outer loop to parallelize.

■ Parallelizing the outer loop is almost always better than inner loops, and usually it's a waste to do both, so we're done.

**Note:** We can parallelize the inner loop as well (it's similar to Assignment 1). We'll see that solarisstudio can do it automatically.

ECE 459 Winter 2017 17 / 2

#### **Lingering Questions about Runtimes**

#### What happened here?

```
horizontal good:
create 4 threads to do 1000 iterations on sub-arrays.
horizontal bad:
1000 times, create 4 threads to iterate on sub-array.
vertical:
create 4 threads, handle 1 element at a time.
```

Last year, perf stat -r 5 gave following task-clocks (in seconds):

	H good	H bad	V	auto
gcc, no opt	2.794	2.953	2.799	
gcc, -03	0.588	1.490	0.980	
solaris, no opt	3.175	3.291	2.966	
solaris, -xO4	0.494	1.453	2.739	0.688

ECE 459 Winter 2017 18 / 25

### Runtimes—Why?

#### Observations:

- Good runs had 5 to 7 cpu-migrations; bad had 4000.
- # cycles varied from 2B to 9.7B (no opt).
- Branch misses varied from 8k to 208k.

ECE 459 Winter 2017 19 / 25

- Reductions combine input data into a smaller (summary) set.
- We'll see a more complete definition when we touch on functional programming.
- Simplest instance: computing the sum of an array.

#### Consider the following code:

```
double sum (double *array, int length)
{
  double total = 0;
  for (int i = 0; i < length; i++)
     total += array[i];
  return total;
}</pre>
```

#### Can we parallelize this?

ECE 459 Winter 2017 20 / 25

#### **Reduction Problems**

#### Barriers to parallelization:

- value of total depends on previous iterations;
- addition is actually non-associative for floating-point values (is this a problem?)

Recall that "associative" means:

$$a + (b + c) = (a + b) + c$$
.

n this case, the program probably isn't sensitive to rounding, but you should always consider if an operation is associative.

ECE 459 Winter 2017 21/

#### **Reduction Problems**

#### Barriers to parallelization:

- value of total depends on previous iterations;
- addition is actually non-associative for floating-point values (is this a problem?)

Recall that "associative" means:

$$a + (b + c) = (a + b) + c$$
.

In this case, the program probably isn't sensitive to rounding, but you should always consider if an operation is associative.

ECE 459 Winter 2017 21/

#### **Automatic Parallelization via Reduction**

If we compile the program with solarisstudio and add the flag -xreduction, it will parallelize the code:

```
% solarisstudio-cc -xautopar -xloopinfo -xreduction -03 -c sum.c
"sum.c", line 5: PARALLELIZED, reduction, and serial version
generated
```

**Note:** If we try to do the reduction on fploop.c with restricts added, we'll get the following:

```
% solarisstudio-cc -03 -xautopar -xloopinfo -xreduction -c fploop.c "fploop.c", line 5: PARALLELIZED, and serial version generated "fploop.c", line 8: not parallelized, not profitable
```

ECE 459 Winter 2017 22 / 2

# **Dealing with Function Calls**

- A general function could have arbitary side effects.
- Production compilers tend to avoid parallelizing any loops with function calls.

Some built-in functions, like sin(), are "pure", have no side effects, and are safe to parallelize.

**Note:** this is why functional languages are nice for parallel programming: impurity is visible in type signatures.

ECE 459 Winter 2017 23/2!

# Dealing with Function Calls in solarisstudio

- For solarisstudio you can use the -xbuiltin flag to make the compiler use its whitelist of "pure" functions.
- The compiler can then parallelize a loop which uses sin() (you shouldn't replace built-in functions with your own if you use this option).

#### Other options which may work:

- 1 Crank up the optimization level (-x04).
- **2** Explicitly tell the compiler to inline certain functions (-xinline=, or use the inline keyword).

ECE 459 Winter 2017 24 / 25

## Summary of Automatic Parallelization

To help the compiler, we can:

- use restrict (make a restricted copy); and,
- make sure that loop bounds are constant (temporary variables).

Some compilers automatically create different versions for the alias-free case and the (parallelized) aliased case.

At runtime, the program runs the aliased case if correct.

ECE 459 Winter 2017 25 / 25