Lecture 24 — Profiling

Patrick Lam patrick.lam@uwaterloo.ca

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering University of Waterloo

January 4, 2019

ECE 459 Winter 2019 1/26

Remember the Initial Quiz

Think back: what operations are fast and what operations are not?

Takeaway: our intuition is often wrong.

Not just at a macro level, but at a micro level.

You may be able to narrow down that this computation of *x* is slow, but if you examine it carefully...what parts of it are slow?

ECE 459 Winter 2019 2/26

Programmers waste enormous amounts of time thinking about, or worrying about, the speed of noncritical parts of their programs, and these attempts at efficiency actually have a strong negative impact when debugging and maintenance are considered. We should forget about small efficiencies, say about 97% of the time: premature optimization is the root of all evil. Yet we should not pass up our opportunities in that critical 3%.

- Donald Knuth

ECE 459 Winter 2019 3/26

That Saying You Were Expecting

Feeling lucky? Maybe you optimized a slow part.

To make your programs or systems fast, you need to find out what is currently slow and improve it (duh!).

Up until now, it's mostly been about "let's speed this up".
We haven't taken much time to decide what we should speed up.

ECE 459 Winter 2019 4/26

Basic Overview

General idea:

collect data on what parts of the code are taking up most of the time.

- What functions get called?
- How long do functions take?
- What's using memory?

ECE 459 Winter 2019 5 / 26

There is always the "informal" way: "debug" by print statements.

On entry to foo, log "entering function foo", plus timestamp.

On exit, log "exiting foo", also with timestamp.

ECE 459 Winter 2019 6 / 26

Kind of works, and [JZ] has used it to figure out what blocks of a single large function are taking a long time (updating exchange rates...yeah).

But this approach is not necessarily good.

This is "invasive" profiling—change the source code of the program to add instrumentation (log statements).

Plus we have to do a lot of manual accounting.

Doesn't really scale.

ECE 459 Winter 2019 7/26

Like debugging:

if you get to be a wizard you can
maybe do it by code inspection.

But speculative execution inside your head is harder for perf than debugging.

So: we want to use tools and do this in a methodical way.

ECE 459 Winter 2019 8 / 26

Introduction to Profiling

So far we've been looking at small problems.

Must **profile** to see what's slow in a large program.

Two main outputs:

- flat;
- call-graph.

Two main data gathering methods:

- statistical;
- instrumentation.

ECE 459 Winter 2019 9 / 26

Flat Profiler:

- Only computes average time in a particular function.
- Does not include other (useful) information (callees).

Call-graph Profiler:

- Computes call times.
- Reports frequency of function calls.
- Gives a call graph: who called what function?

ECE 459 Winter 2019 10 / 26

Data Gathering Methods

Statistical:

Mostly, take samples of the system state, that is:

- every 100ms, check the system state.
- will cause some slowdown, but not much.

Instrumentation:

Add instructions at specified program points:

- can do this at compile time or run time (expensive);
- can instrument either manually or automatically;

■ like conditional breakpoints.

ECE 459 Winter 2019 11/26

When writing large software projects:

- First, write clear and concise code.
 Don't do premature optimizations focus on correctness.
- Profile to get a baseline of your performance:
 - allows you to easily track any performance changes;
 - allows you to re-design your program before it's too late.

Focus your optimization efforts on the code that matters.

ECE 459 Winter 2019 12 / 26

Things to Look For

Good signs:

- Time is spent in the right part of the system.
- Most time should not be spent handling errors; in non-critical code; or in exceptional cases.

■ Time is not unnecessarily spent in OS.

ECE 459 Winter 2019 13/26

gprof introduction

Statistical profiler, plus some instrumentation for calls.

Runs completely in user-space.

Only requires a compiler.

ECE 459 Winter 2019 14/26

gprof usage

Use the -pg flag with clang.

Run your program as you normally would.

■ Your program will now create a gmon.out file.

Use gprof to interpret the results: gprof <executable>.

ECE 459 Winter 2019 15 / 26

A program with 100 million calls to two math functions.

```
int main() {
    int i, x1=10, y1=3, r1=0;
    float x2=10, y2=3, r2=0;

    for(i=0;i<100000000;i++) {
        r1 += int_math(x1, y1);
        r2 += float_math(y2, y2);
    }
}</pre>
```

- Looking at the code, we have no idea what takes longer.
- Probably would guess floating point math taking longer.

■ (Overall, silly example.)

ECE 459 Winter 2019 16 / 26

Example (Integer Math)

```
int int_math(int x, int y){
    int r1;
    r1=int_power(x,y);
    r1=int_math_helper(x,y);
    return r1;
int int_math_helper(int x, int y){
    int r1;
    r1=x/y*int_power(y,x)/int_power(x,y);
    return r1;
int int_power(int x, int y){
    int i, r;
    r = x;
    for ( i = 1; i < y; i ++) {
        r=r*x;
    return r;
```

ECE 459 Winter 2019 17 / 26

```
float float_math(float x, float y) {
    float r1;
    r1=float_power(x,y);
    r1=float math helper(x,y);
    return r1;
float float_math_helper(float x, float y) {
    float r1;
    r1=x/y*float_power(y,x)/float_power(x,y);
    return r1;
float float_power(float x, float y){
    float i, r;
    r = x;
    for(i=1;i<y;i++) {
        r=r*x;
    return r;
```

ECE 459 Winter 2019 18 / 26

When we run the program, profile says:

```
Flat profile:
Each sample counts as 0.01 seconds.
      cumulative
                   self
                                     self
                                              total
 time
        seconds
                             calls ns/call ns/call
                  seconds
                                                      name
 32.58
            4.69
                     4.69 300000000
                                       15.64
                                                15.64
                                                       int_power
 30.55
            9.09
                                       14.66
                                                14.66
                                                      float_power
                     4.40 300000000
 16.95
         11.53 2.44 100000000
                                       24.41
                                                55.68 int_math_helper
 11.43
         13.18 1.65 100000000
                                                       float_math_helper
                                       16.46
                                                45.78
  4.05
          13.76
                                       5.84
                     0.58 100000000
                                                77.16
                                                       int_math
  3.01
           14.19
                     0.43 100000000
                                        4.33
                                                64.78
                                                       float_math
  2.10
           14.50
                     0.30
                                                      main
```

- One function per line.
- **% time:** the percent of the total execution time in this function.
- **self:** seconds in this function.
- **cumulative:** sum of this function's time + any above it in table.

ECE 459 Winter 2019 19 / 26

Flat Profile

```
Flat profile:
Each sample counts as 0.01 seconds.
                                      self
      cumulative
                   self
                                               total
 time
                              calls ns/call
        seconds
                  seconds
                                              ns/call
                                                        name
 32.58
            4.69
                     4.69 300000000
                                        15.64
                                                 15.64
                                                        int_power
 30.55
            9.09
                     4.40 300000000
                                        14.66
                                                 14.66
                                                        float_power
 16.95
          11.53
                     2.44 100000000
                                        24.41
                                                 55.68
                                                        int_math_helper
 11.43
          13.18
                                        16.46
                                                 45.78
                                                        float_math_helper
                     1.65 100000000
  4.05
          13.76
                                        5.84
                                                 77.16
                     0.58 100000000
                                                         int_math
  3.01
          14.19
                     0.43 100000000
                                         4.33
                                                  64.78
                                                         float_math
  2.10
          14.50
                     0.30
                                                        main
```

- calls: number of times this function was called
- self ns/call: just self nanoseconds / calls
- total ns/call: average time for function execution, including any other calls the function makes

ECE 459 Winter 2019 20 / 26

Call Graph Example (1)

After the flat profile gives you a feel for which functions are costly, can get a better story from the call graph.

index	% time	self	children	called	name				
		<spontaneous></spontaneous>							
[1]	100.0	0.30	14.19		main	[1]			
		0.58	7.13 100	000000/1000	00000	int_math [2]			
		0.43	6.04 100	000000/1000	00000	float_math [3]			
		0.58	7.13 100	000000/1000	00000	main [1]			
[2]	53.2	0.58	7.13 1000	000000	int_	math [2]			
		2.44	3.13 100	000000/1000	00000	int_math_helper [4]			
		1.56	0.00 100	000000/3000	00000	int_power [5]			
		0.43	6.04 100	000000/1000	00000	main [1]			
[3]	44.7	0.43	6.04 100	000000	floa	t_math [3]			
		1.65	2.93 100	000000/1000		float_math_helper [6]			
		1.47	0.00 100	000000/3000	00000	float_power [7]			

ECE 459 Winter 2019 21/26

Reading the Call Graph

The line with the index is the current function being looked at **(primary line)**.

- Lines above are functions which called this function.
- Lines below are functions which were called by this function (children).

Primary Line

- **time:** total percentage of time spent in this function and its children
- **self:** same as in flat profile
- children: time spent in all calls made by the function
 - should be equal to self + children of all functions below

FCF 459 Winter 2019 22 / 26

Reading Callers from Call Graph

Callers (functions above the primary line)

- **self:** time spent in primary function, when called from current function.
- **children:** time spent in primary function's children, when called from current function.
- **called:** number of times primary function was called from current function / number of nonrecursive calls to primary function.

ECE 459 Winter 2019 23 / 26

Reading Callees from Call Graph

Callees (functions below the primary line)

- **self:** time spent in current function when called from primary.
- **children:** time spent in current function's children calls when called from primary.
 - self + children is an estimate of time spent in current function when called from primary function.
- called: number of times current function was called from primary function / number of nonrecursive calls to current function.

ECE 459 Winter 2019 24 / 26

Call Graph Example (2)

index %	time	self	children	called r	name
		2.44	3.13 100	000000/1000000	00 int_math [2]
[4]	38.4	2.44	3.13 100	000000	int_math_helper [4]
		3.13	0.00 200	000000/3000000	int_power [5]
		1.56	0.00 100	000000/3000000	
		3.13	0.00 200	000000/3000000	00 int_math_helper [4]
[5]	32.4	4.69	0.00 300	000000	int_power [5]
		1.65	2.93 100	000000/1000000	
[6]	31.6	1.65	2.93 100	000000	float_math_helper [6]
		2.93	0.00 200	000000/3000000	000 float_power [7]
		1.47	0.00 100	000000/3000000	
		2.93	0.00 200	000000/3000000	00 float_math_helper [6]
[7]	30.3	4.40	0.00 300	000000	float_power [7]

We can now see where most of the time comes from, and pinpoint locations that make unexpected calls, etc.

This example isn't too exciting; could simplify the math.

ECE 459 Winter 2019 25 / 26

Summary (Profiling)

- Saw how to use gprof
- Profile early and often.
- Make sure your profiling shows what you expect.

ECE 459 Winter 2019 26 / 26