Lecture 6 — Race Conditions & Synchronization

Patrick Lam & Jeff Zarnett p.lam@ece.uwaterloo.ca, jzarnett@uwaterloo.ca

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering University of Waterloo

December 2, 2017

Race Conditions

"Knock knock."
"Race Condition."
"Who's there?"

Define A Race Condition

A race occurs when you have two concurrent accesses to the same memory location, at least one of which is a **write**.

This definition is a little bit strict.

We could also say that there is a race condition if there is some form of output, such as writing to the console.

If one thread is going to write "1" to the console and another is going to write "2", then we could have a race condition.

If there is no co-ordination, we could get output of "12" or "21".

If the order here is unimportant, there's no issue; but if one order is correct, then the appearance of the other is a bug.

Matters of State

When there's a race, the final state may not be the same as running one access to completion and then the other.

But it "usually" is. It's nondeterministic.

The fact that the output is often "12" and only very occasionally "21" may make it very difficult to track down the source of the problem.

Hazards

In other situations (e.g., processor design) these are sometimes referred to as data hazards or dependencies.

- **RAW** (Read After Write)
- WAR (Write After Read)
- **3 WAW** (Write After Write)
- **RAR** (Read After Read) No such hazard!

Race conditions typically arise between variables shared between threads.

```
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <stdio.h>
#include <pthread.h>
void* run1(void* arg) {
    int* x = (int*) arg:
    *x += 1:
void* run2(void* arg) {
    int* x = (int*) arg;
    *x += 2:
}
int main(int argc. char *argv[])
    int* x = malloc(sizeof(int));
    *x = 1:
    pthread_t t1, t2;
    pthread_create(&t1, NULL, &run1, x);
   pthread_join(t1, NULL);
    pthread_create(&t2, NULL, &run2, x);
    pthread join(t2, NULL):
   printf("%d\n", *x);
   free(x):
   return EXIT SUCCESS:
```

```
int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    int* x = malloc(sizeof(int));
    *x = 1;
    pthread_t t1, t2;
    pthread_create(&t1, NULL, &run1, x);
    pthread_join(t1, NULL);
    pthread_join(t1, NULL);
    pthread_join(t2, NULL);
    printf("%d\n", *x);
    free(x);
    return EXIT_SUCCESS;
}
```

Now do we have a race?

Trace the Race

What are the possible outputs? (Assume that initially *x is 1.) We'll look at compiler intermediate code (three-address code) to tell.

Memory reads and writes are key in data races.

Trace the Race

Let's call the read and write from run1 R1 and W1; R2 and W2 from run2.

Here are all possible orderings:

Order				*x
R1	W1	R2	W2	4
R1	R2	W1	W2	3
R1	R2	W2	W1	2
R2	W2	R1	W1	4
R2	R1	W2	W1	2
R2	R1	W1	W2	3

ECE 459 Winter 2018

Synchronization

You'll need some sort of synchronization to get sane results from multithreaded programs.

We'll start by talking about how to use mutual exclusion in Pthreads.

Mutual Exclusion

Mutexes are the most basic type of synchronization. As a reminder:

- Only one thread can access code protected by a mutex at a time.
- All other threads must wait until the mutex is free before they can execute the protected code.

Here's an example of using mutexes:

You can initialize mutexes statically (as with m1_static) or dynamically (m2_dynamic).

If you want to include attributes, you need to use the dynamic version.

Mutex Attributes

Both threads and mutexes use the notion of attributes.

- Protocol: specifies the protocol used to prevent priority inversions for a mutex.
- **Prioceiling**: specifies the priority ceiling of a mutex.
- **Process-shared**: specifies the process sharing of a mutex.

You can specify a mutex as *process shared* so that you can access it between processes.

PThreads

```
// code
pthread_mutex_lock(&m1);
// protected code
pthread_mutex_unlock(&m1);
// more code
```

C++11 Threads

```
// code
m1.lock();
// protected code
m1.unlock();
// more code
```

- Everything within the lock and unlock is protected.
- Be careful to avoid deadlocks if you are using multiple mutexes (always acquire locks in the same order across threads).
- Another useful primitive is pthread_mutex_trylock. later.

Locks and Data Races

Why are we bothering with locks? Data races. A data race occurs when two concurrent actions access the same variable and at least one of them is a **write**. (This shows up on Assignment 1!)

```
static int counter = 0;
void* run(void* arg) {
    for (int i = 0; i < 100; ++i) {
        ++counter;
int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    // Create 8 threads
    // Join 8 threads
    printf("counter = %i\n", counter);
```

```
static pthread_mutex_t mutex = PTHREAD_MUTEX_INITIALIZER;
static int counter = 0;
void* run(void* arg) {
    for (int i = 0; i < 100; ++i) {
        pthread_mutex_lock(&mutex);
        ++counter;
        pthread_mutex_unlock(&mutex);
int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    // Create 8 threads
    // Join 8 threads
    pthread_mutex_destroy(&mutex);
    printf("counter = %i\n", counter);
```

Mutex Recap

- Call lock on mutex ℓ_1 . Upon return from lock, your thread has exclusive access to ℓ_1 until it unlocks it.
- Other calls to lock ℓ_1 will not return until m1 is available.

Protect the End Zone

Key idea: locks protect resources; only one thread can hold a lock at a time.

A second thread trying to obtain the lock (i.e. contending for the lock) has to wait, or block, until the first thread releases the lock.

So only one thread has access to the protected resource at a time.

The code between the lock acquisition and release is known as the critical region or critical section.

ECE 459 Winter 2018 18 / 1

Try, Try Again

Some mutex implementations also provide a "try-lock" primitive.

This grabs the lock if it's available, or returns control to the thread if it's not.

This enables the thread to do something else. (Kind of like non-blocking I/O!)

ECE 459 Winter 2018 19 / 1

Don't Lock Too Much

Excessive use of locks can serialize programs.

Consider two resources A and B protected by a single lock ℓ .

Then a thread that's just interested in B still has acquire ℓ , which requires it to wait for any other thread working with A.

Example: Linux Big Kernel Lock

Spinlocks

Spinlocks are a variant of mutexes, where the waiting thread repeatedly tries to acquire the lock instead of sleeping.

Use spinlocks when you expect critical sections to finish quickly.

Spinning for a long time consumes lots of CPU resources.

Many lock implementations use both sleeping and spinlocks: spin for a bit, then sleep longer.

Why Use Spinlocks?

When would we ever want to use a spinlock?

What we normally expect is to block until the lock becomes available.

But that means a process switch, and then a switch back in the future when the lock is available. This takes nonzero time.

It's optimal to use a spinlock if the amount of time we expect to wait for the lock is less than the amount of time it would take to do two process switches.

As long as we have a multicore system.

ECE 459 Winter 2018 22 /

Two observations:

- If there are only reads, there's no datarace.
- Often, writes are relatively rare.

With mutexes/spinlocks, you have to lock the data, even for a read, since a write could happen.

But, most of the time, reads can happen in parallel, as long as there's no write.

ECE 459 Winter 2018 23 /

Semaphores

Semaphores have a value. You specify initial value.

Semaphores allow sharing of a # of instances of a resource.

Two fundamental operations: wait and post.

- wait is like lock; reserves the resource and decrements the value.
 - If value is 0, sleep until value is greater than 0.
- post is like unlock; releases the resource and increments the value.

Barriers

Allows you to ensure that (some subset of) a collection of threads all reach the barrier before finishing.

Pthreads: A barrier is a pthread_barrier_t.

Functions: _init() (parameter: how many threads the barrier should wait for) and _destroy().

Also _wait(): similar to pthread_join(), but waits for the specified number of threads to arrive at the barrier

Lock-Free Algorithms

We'll talk more about this in a few weeks.

Modern CPUs support atomic operations, such as compare-and-swap, which enable experts to write lock-free code.

Lock-free implementations are extremely complicated and must still contain certain synchronization constructs.

Semaphores Usage

```
#include <semaphore.h>
int sem_init(sem_t *sem, int pshared, unsigned int value);
int sem_destroy(sem_t *sem);
int sem_post(sem_t *sem);
int sem_wait(sem_t *sem);
int sem_trywait(sem_t *sem);
```

- Also must link with -pthread (or -1rt on Solaris).
- All functions return 0 on success.
- Same usage as mutexes in terms of passing pointers.

How could you use as semaphore as a mutex?

Semaphores Usage

```
#include <semaphore.h>
int sem_init(sem_t *sem, int pshared, unsigned int value);
int sem_destroy(sem_t *sem);
int sem_post(sem_t *sem);
int sem_wait(sem_t *sem);
int sem_trywait(sem_t *sem);
```

- Also must link with -pthread (or -lrt on Solaris).
- All functions return 0 on success.
- Same usage as mutexes in terms of passing pointers.

How could you use as semaphore as a mutex?

■ If the initial value is 1 and you use wait to lock and post to unlock, it's equivalent to a mutex.

Here's an example from the book. How would you make this always print "Thread 1" then "Thread 2" using semaphores?

```
#include <pthread.h>
#include <stdio.h>
#include <semaphore.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
void* p1 (void* arg) { printf("Thread 1\n"); }
void* p2 (void* arg) { printf("Thread 2\n"); }
int main(int argc, char *argv[])
    pthread_t thread[2];
    pthread_create(&thread[0], NULL, p1, NULL);
    pthread_create(&thread[1], NULL, p2, NULL);
    pthread_join(thread[0], NULL);
    pthread_join(thread[1], NULL);
    return EXIT SUCCESS:
```

Here's their solution. Is it actually correct?

```
sem_t sem;
void* p1 (void* arg) {
  printf("Thread 1\n");
  sem_post(&sem);
void* p2 (void* arg) {
  sem_wait(&sem);
  printf("Thread 2\n");
int main(int argc, char *argv[])
    pthread t thread[2]:
    sem_init(&sem, 0, /* value: */ 1);
    pthread_create(&thread[0], NULL, p1, NULL);
    pthread_create(&thread[1], NULL, p2, NULL);
    pthread_join(thread[0], NULL);
    pthread_join(thread[1], NULL);
    sem_destroy(&sem);
```

ECE 459 Winter 2018 29 /

- 1 value is initially 1.
- 2 Say p2 hits its sem_wait first and succeeds.
- 3 value is now 0 and p2 prints "Thread 2" first.

■ If p1 happens first, it would just increase value to 2.

ECE 459 Winter 2018 30 / 1

- 1 value is initially 1.
- 2 Say p2 hits its sem_wait first and succeeds.
- 3 value is now 0 and p2 prints "Thread 2" first.
- If p1 happens first, it would just increase value to 2.
- Fix: set the initial value to 0.

Then, if p2 hits its sem_wait first, it will not print until p1 posts (and prints "Thread 1") first.

ECE 459 Winter 2018 30 / 1

■ Used to notify the compiler that the variable may be changed by "external forces". For instance,

```
int i = 0;
while (i != 255) {
    ...
```

volatile prevents this from being optimized to:

```
int i = 0;
while (true) {
    ...
```

- Variable will not actually be volatile in the critical section and only prevents useful optimizations.
- Usually wrong unless there is a **very** good reason for it.