# Lecture 20 — Compiler Optimizations

Patrick Lam p.lam@ece.uwaterloo.ca

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering University of Waterloo

December 28, 2016

ECE 459 Winter 2017 1/38

### **Last Time**

Investigated impact of using abstractions.

Today: how compilers actually make code faster.

ECE 459 Winter 2017 2/38

### Part I

# **Compiler Optimizations**

ECE 459 Winter 2017 3/38

### Introduction

We'll be looking at compiler optimizations.

Mostly related to performance. Better gcc than you:

- For you, probably a waste of time
- Plus: optimizations make your code less readable.

Compilers have a host of optimization options. We'll look at gcc.

ECE 459 Winter 2017 4/

# **Compiler Optimization**

"Optimization" is a bit of a misnomer: compilers don't generate "optimal" code.

Compilers do generate better code, though.

The program you wrote is too slow.

Contract of a compiler:

produce a program with same behaviour, but faster.

ECE 459 Winter 2017 5/3

# gcc Optimization Levels

-01 (-0)

Reduce code size and execution time.

■ No optimizations that increase compilation time.

-02

All optimizations except space vs. speed tradeoffs.

-03

All optimizations.

-00 (default)

■ Fastest compilation time, debugging works as expected.

ECE 459 Winter 2017 6

# Disregard Standards, Acquire Speedup

-Ofast

■ All -03 optimizations and non-standards compliant optimizations, particularly -ffast-math.
(Like -fast on Solaris.)

This turns off exact implementations of IEEE or ISO rules/specifications for math functions.

Generally, if you don't care about the exact result, you can use this for a speedup

ECE 459 Winter 2017 7/

### **Outline**

Scalar Optimizations

2 Loop Optimizations

3 Other Optimizations

ECE 459 Winter 2017 8 / 38

# **Constant Folding**

i = 1024 \* 1024

Why do something later you can do now?

The compiler will not emit code that does the multiplication at runtime. It will simply use the computed value

i = 1048576

■ Enabled at all optimization levels.

ECE 459 Winter 2017 9/

# **Common Subexpression Elimination**

### -fgcse

- Perform a global¹ common subexpression elimination pass.
- (Also performs global constant and copy propagation.)
- Enabled at -02, -03.

### **Example:**

```
a = b * c + g;
d = b * c * d;
```

Instead of computing  $\mathfrak b * \mathfrak c$  twice, we compute it once, and reuse the value in each expression

<sup>1</sup>across basic blocks

### **Constant Propagation**

Moves constant values from definition to use.

■ Valid if there's no intervening redefinition.

### **Example:**

```
int x = 14;
int y = 7 - x / 2;
return y * (28 / x + 2);
```

### with constant propagation would produce:

```
int x = 14;
int y = 0;
return 0;
```

ECE 459 Winter 2017 11/3

# **Copy Propagation**

Replaces direct assignments with their values. Usually runs after common subexpression elimination.

### **Example:**

$$y = x$$

$$z = 3 + y$$

with copy propagation would produce:

```
z = 3 + x
```

ECE 459 Winter 2017 12/38

### **Dead Code Elimination**

#### -fdce

- Remove any code guaranteed not to execute.
- Enabled at all optimization levels.

### **Example:**

```
if (0) {
   z = 3 + x;
}
```

would not be included in the final executable

ECE 459 Winter 2017 13/38

### **Outline**

1 Scalar Optimizations

2 Loop Optimizations

3 Other Optimizations

ECE 459 Winter 2017 14/38

# **Loop Unrolling**

#### -funroll-loops

■ Unroll loops, using a fixed number of iterations.

#### **Example:**

```
for (int i = 0; i < 4; ++i)
f(i)
```

#### would be transformed to:

```
f(0)
f(1)
f(2)
f(3)
```

#### (Also useful for SIMD).

ECE 459 Winter 2017 15/38

# **Loop Interchange**

-floop-interchange Enhances locality; big wins possible.

#### **Example:** in C the following:

```
for (int i = 0; i < N; ++i)
for (int j = 0; j < M; ++j)
a[j][i] = a[j][i] * c
```

#### would be transformed to this:

```
for (int j = 0; j < M; ++j)
for (int i = 0; i < N; ++i)
a[j][i] = a[j][i] * c
```

since C is **row-major** (meaning a[1][1] is beside a[1][2]). (Other possibility is **column-major**.)

ECE 459 Winter 2017 16/38

### **Example:**

```
for (int i = 0; i < 100; ++i)
  a[i] = 4

for (int i = 0; i < 100; ++i)
  b[i] = 7</pre>
```

#### would be transformed to this:

```
for (int i = 0; i < 100; ++i) {
    a[i] = 4
    b[i] = 7
}</pre>
```

Trade-off between data locality and loop overhead; the inverse is also an optimization, called **loop fission**.

ECE 459 Winter 2017 17/38

# **Loop-Invariant Code Motion**

- Moves invariants out of a loop.
- Also called loop hoisting.

### **Example:**

```
for (int i = 0; i < 100; ++i) {
    s = x * y;
    a[i] = s * i;
}</pre>
```

#### would be transformed to this:

```
s = x * y;
for (int i = 0; i < 100; ++i) {
   a[i] = s * i;
}</pre>
```

Reduces the amount of work we have to do for each iteration of the loop.

ECE 459 Winter 2017 18 / 38

### Outline

Scalar Optimizations

2 Loop Optimizations

3 Other Optimizations

ECE 459 Winter 2017 19/38

### Devirtualization

#### -fdevirtualize

- Attempt to convert virtual function calls to direct calls.
- Enabled with -02, -03.

Virtual functions impose overhead and impede other optimizations.

C++: must read the object's vtable and branch to the correct function.

ECE 459 Winter 2017 20/3

### Devirtualization (example)

#### **Example:**

```
class A {
    virtual void m();
};

class B : public A {
    virtual void m();
}

int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    std::unique_ptr<A> t(new B);
    t.m();
}
```

Devirtualization could eliminate RTTI access; instead just call to B's m. Needs call graph, which can be tricky to compute (more soon).

ECE 459 Winter 2017 21/38

# Scalar Replacement of Aggregates



ECE 459 Winter 2017 22 / 38

# **Aliasing and Pointer Analysis**

We've seen restrict: tell compiler that variables don't alias.

*Pointer analysis* automatically tracks the variables in your program to determine whether or not they alias.

If they don't alias, we can reason about side effects, reorder accesses, and do other types of optimizations.

ECE 459 Winter 2017 23/3

# Call Graph

■ A directed graph showing relationships between functions.

Relatively simple to compute in C (function pointers complicate things). Hard for virtual function calls (C++/Java).

Virtual calls require pointer analysis to disambiguate.

ECE 459 Winter 2017 24/38

# Importance of Call Graphs

The call graph can enable optimization of the following:

```
int n;
int f() { /* opaque */ }
int main() {
    n = 5;
    f();
    printf("%d\n", n);
}
```

We could propagate the constant value 5 in main(), as long as f() does not write to n.

ECE 459 Winter 2017 25/38

### **Tail Recursion Elimination**

- -foptimize-sibling-calls
  - Optimize sibling and tail recursive calls.
  - Enabled at -02 and -03.

#### **Example:**

```
int bar(int N) {
   if (A(N))
      return B(N);
   else
      return bar(N);
}
```

Compiler can just replace the call to bar by a goto.

Avoids function call overhead and reduces call stack use.

ECE 459 Winter 2017 26 / 38

### **Branch Predictions**

gcc attempts to guess the probability of each branch to best order the code. (for an if, fall-through is most efficient, why?)

Use \_\_builtin\_expect(expr, value) to help GCC, if you know the run-time characteristics of your program.

#### Example (in the Linux kernel):

```
#define likely(x) __builtin_expect((x),1)
#define unlikely(x) __builtin_expect((x),0)
```

ECE 459 Winter 2017 27/3

# Architecture-Specific

Two common ones: -march and -mtune (-march implies -mtune).

enable specific instructions that not all CPUs support (SSE4.2, etc.)

■ Example: -march=corei7

■ Good to use on your local machine, not so much for shipped code.

ECE 459 Winter 2017 28 / 38

### Part II

# **Profile-Guided Optimization**

ECE 459 Winter 2017 29/38

# **Moving Beyond Purely-Static Approaches**

So far: purely-static (compile-time) optimizations.

Static approach has limitations:

- how aggressively to inline?
- which branch to predict?

Dynamic information answers these questions better.

ECE 459 Winter 2017 30/38

# **Profile-Guided Optimization Workflow**

- Compile the program; produce an instrumented binary.
- 2 Run the instrumented binary: on a representative test suite; get run-time profiling information.
- 3 Compile final version, with the profiles you've collected.

ECE 459 Winter 2017 31/3

# Price of Performance from Profile-Guided Optimization

- (-) Complicates the compilation process.
- (+) Produces faster code (if inputs are good).

How much faster? 5-25%, per Microsoft.

Solaris, Microsoft VC++, and GNU gcc all support profile-guided optimization (to some extent).

ECE 459 Winter 2017 32/3

### **Another Option**

Just-in-time compilers (like Java Virtual Machines) automatically do profile-guided optimizations:

- compile code on-the-fly anyway;
- always collecting measurements for the current run.

ECE 459 Winter 2017 33/:

# What's Profiling Data Good For?

### Synergistic optimizations:

 Inlining: inlining rarely-used code is a net lose; inlining often-executed code is a win, enables more optimizations.

Everyone does this.

 Improving Cache Locality: make commonly-called functions, basic blocks adjacent;
 improves branch prediction (below) and with switch.

ECE 459 Winter 2017 34/38

# More Uses of Profiling Data

- Branch Prediction: a common architecture assumption forward branches not taken, backwards branches taken. can make these assumptions more true with profiles; for a forward branch, make sure common case is fall-through.
- Virtual Call Prediction: inline the most common target (guarded by a conditional).

ECE 459 Winter 2017 35/3:

# Part III

# Summary

ECE 459 Winter 2017 36/38

### Summary

Got a feel for what the optimization levels do. We saw examples of compiler optimizations. For instance:

- Scalar optimizations.
  - (e.g. common subexpression elimination, constant propagation, copy propagation).
- Redundant code optimizations. (e.g. dead code elimination).
- Loop optimizations.
   (e.g. loop interchange, loop fusion, loop fission, software pipelining).
- Alias and pointer analysis; call graphs.
   (uses: devirtualization, inlining, tail recursion elimination).

ECE 459 Winter 2017 37/

### Summary II

#### Full list of gcc options:

http://gcc.gnu.org/onlinedocs/gcc/Optimize-Options.html
More optimization examples:

http://www.digitalmars.com/ctg/ctgOptimizer.html

### Also, profile-guided optimization:

see what actually happens at runtime, optimize for that.

ECE 459 Winter 2017 38 / 38