Lecture 5 — Working with Threads

Patrick Lam and Jeff Zarnett

Using Threads to Program for Performance

We'll start by seeing how to use threads on "embarrassingly parallel problems":

- mostly-independent sub-problems (little synchronization); and
- strong locality (little communication).

Later, we'll see:

- which problems are amenable to parallelization (dependencies)
- alternative parallelization patterns (right now, just use one thread per sub-problem)

About Pthreads. Pthreads stands for POSIX threads. It's available on most systems, including Pthreads Win32 (which I don't recommend). Use Linux, and our provided server, for this course. C++11 also includes threads in its specification.

Here's a quick pthreads refresher. To compile a C or C++ program with pthreads, add the -pthread parameter to the compiler commandline. To ensure C++11 support in GCC, use -std=c++11.

Starting a new thread. You can start a thread with pthread_create() or by creating a std::thread:

```
#include <pthread.h>
                                                         #include <thread>
#include <stdio.h>
                                                         #include <iostream>
                                                         void run() {
void* run(void*) {
  printf("In run\n");
                                                           std::cout << "In run\n";</pre>
int main() {
                                                         int main() {
  pthread_t thread;
                                                           std::thread t1(run);
  pthread_create(&thread, NULL, &run, NULL);
                                                           std::cout << "In main\n";</pre>
  printf("In main\n");
                                                           t1.join(); // see below
From the man page, here's how you use pthread_create:
int pthread_create(pthread_t* thread,
                     const pthread_attr_t* attr,
                     void* (*start_routine)(void*),
                     void* arg);
```

- thread: creates a handle to a thread at pointer location
- attr: thread attributes (NULL for defaults, more details later)

- start routine: function to start execution
- arg: value to pass to start routine

This function returns 0 on success and an error number otherwise (in which case the contents of *thread are undefined).

Waiting for Threads to Finish. If you want to join the threads of execution, use the pthread_join call. Let's improve our example.

```
#include <pthread.h>
#include <stdio.h>

void* run(void*) {
   printf("In run\n");
}

int main() {
   pthread_t thread;
   pthread_create(&thread, NULL, &run, NULL);
   printf("In main\n");
   pthread_join(thread, NULL);
}
```

The main thread now waits for the newly created thread to terminate before it terminates. (C++11 requires) threads to be either joined or detached when they go out of scope; we'll see the meaning of detach below.)

Here's the syntax for pthread_join:

int pthread_join(pthread_t thread, void** retval)

- **thread**: wait for this thread to terminate (thread must be joinable).
- retval: stores exit status of thread (set by pthread_exit) to the location pointed by *retval. If cancelled, returns PTHREAD_CANCELED. NULL is ignored.

This function returns 0 on success, error number otherwise.

Caveat: Only call this one time per thread! Multiple calls to join on the same thread lead to undefined behaviour.

Inter-thread communication. Recall that the pthread_create call allows you to pass data to the new thread. Let's see how we might do that...

```
int i;
for (i = 0; i < 10; ++i)
   pthread_create(&thread[i], NULL, &run, (void*)&i);</pre>
```

Wrong! This is a *terrible* idea. Why?

- 1. The value of i will probably change before the thread executes.
- 2. The memory for i may be out of scope, and therefore invalid by the time the thread executes.

On the other hand, you can pull off something similar with C++11 threads:

```
int i;
for (i = 0; i < 10; ++i) {
  std::thread t(run, i);
  t.detach();
}</pre>
```

This is OK because we pass i by value, which doesn't work for Pthreads.

In Pthreads-land, this is marginally acceptable:

```
int i;
for (i = 0; i < 10; ++i)
   pthread_create(&thread[i], NULL, &run, (void*)i);
...

void* run(void* arg) {
   int id = (int)arg;</pre>
```

It's not ideal, though.

- Beware size mismatches between arguments: you have no guarantee that a pointer is the same size as an int, so your data may overflow. (C only guarantees that the difference between two pointers is an int.)
- Sizes of data types change between systems. For maximum portability, just use pointers you got from malloc.

The idiomatic way of returning data from threads in C++11 appears to be using futures. std::async provides support for this:

```
#include <thread>
#include <iostream>
#include <future>

int run() {
   return 42;
}

int main() {
   std::future<int> t1_retval = std::async(std::launch::async, run);
   std::cout << t1_retval.get();
}</pre>
```

This launches your thread for you. The get() call waits until the answer is ready and returns it to you.

More on inter-thread synchronization. There was a comment on pthread_join only working if the target thread was joinable. Joinable threads (which is the default on Linux) wait for someone to call pthread_join before they release their resources (e.g. thread stacks). On the other hand, you can also create *detached* threads, which release resources when they terminate, without being joined. We've seen C++11 detached threads above.

```
int pthread_detach(pthread_t thread);
```

• thread: marks the thread as detached

This call returns 0 on success, error number otherwise.

Calling pthread_detach on an already detached thread results in undefined behaviour.

Finishing a thread. A thread finishes when its start_routine returns. But it's also possible to explicitly end a thread from within:

```
void pthread_exit(void *retval);
```

• retval: return value passed to function which called pthread_join

Alternately, returning from the thread's $start_routine$ is equivalent to calling $pthread_exit$, and $start_routine$'s return value is passed back to the $pthread_join$ caller. There is no C++11 equivalent.

Attributes. Beyond being detached/joinable, threads have additional attributes. (Note, also, that even though being joinable rather than detached is the default on Linux, it's not necessarily the default everywhere). Here's a list.

- · Detached or joinable state
- Scheduling inheritance
- · Scheduling policy
- Scheduling parameters
- Scheduling contention scope
- · Stack size
- Stack address
- Stack guard (overflow) size

Basically, you create and destroy attributes objects with pthread_attr_init and pthread_attr_destroy respectively. You can pass attributes objects to pthread_create. For instance,

```
size_t stacksize;
pthread_attr_t attributes;
pthread_attr_init(&attributes);
pthread_attr_getstacksize(&attributes, &stacksize);
printf("Stack size = %i\n", stacksize);
pthread_attr_destroy(&attributes);
```

Running this on a laptop produces:

```
jon@riker examples master % ./stack_size
Stack size = 8388608
```

Once you have a thread attribute object, you can set the thread state to joinable:

Warning about detached threads. Consider the following code.

```
#include <pthread.h>
#include <stdio.h>
void* run(void*) {
  printf("In run\n");
int main() {
  pthread_t thread;
  pthread_create(&thread, NULL, &run, NULL);
  pthread_detach(thread);
  printf("In main\n");
When I run it, it just prints "In main". Why?
Solution. Use pthread_exit to quit if you have any detached threads.
#include <pthread.h>
#include <stdio.h>
void* run(void*) {
  printf("In run\n");
int main() {
  pthread_t thread;
  pthread_create(&thread, NULL, &run, NULL);
  pthread_detach(thread);
  printf("In main\n");
  pthread_exit(NULL); // This waits for all detached
                       // threads to terminate
}
```

(There is no C++11 equivalent.)

Threading Challenges.

- Be aware of scheduling (you can also set affinity with pthreads on Linux).
- Make sure the libraries you use are thread-safe:
 - Means that the library protects its shared data (we'll see how, below).
- glibc reentrant functions are also safe: a program can have more than one thread calling these functions concurrently. For example, use rand_r, not rand.

References

[SGG13] Abraham Silberschatz, Peter Baer Galvin, and Greg Gagne. *Operating System Concepts (9th Edition)*. John Wiley & Sons, 2013.