Tool Warning Analysis

Goal

To determine if a representative sample of warnings issued by the tools are false or true positives.

Methodology

Sampling:

- Our goal is to analyze a sample of 328 of the 2,237 warnings we obtained from the tools.
 This is a representative sample of the warnings (at 95% confidence and 5% margin of error).
- We will select the warnings per dataset proportionally to the total number of warnings we got for all the datasets (see the statistics spreadsheet).
- Instead of sampling the warnings directly, we adopt a stratified sampling procedure by dataset and then by snippet:
 - We randomly select one dataset and one snippet from the dataset and review all the warnings issued for that snippet.
 - We repeat the previous step until we reach at least 100% of the warnings for each dataset.
- We adopt the stratified procedure to facilitate/speed up the manual review of warnings, without compromising the statistical soundness of our sampling.

Procedure for 1st author:

- among the datasets that are not at or above 100% warnings (cells I3-8 in the statistics spreadsheet), choose a dataset at random
- choose a snippet at random without replacement from that dataset
- create a heading in this document for the dataset + snippet number combo
- determine the expected number of warnings on that snippet using the following command: `rg "\$X,\$Y," data/raw_correlation_data.csv`, where \$X is the dataset code and \$Y is the snippet number. Record that number in this document.
- locate the snippet in the source code. Record the file name and line numbers in this document.
- for each expected warning, locate it in the corresponding output file in the repo. Do this
 by searching the correct tool output .txt file for the dataset + tool for the file name + line
 numbers; all tools output the file name + line number for each warning.
- for each located warning, copy it into this document and determine whether it is a false positive using your own judgment and record why in this document. Warnings that could

- be resolved by writing a specification/annotation count as false positives for this purpose. If the warning is a true positive, add "**TRUE POSITIVE**" in bold to the description that you record.
- record summary numbers (columns A-E) in a new row in the statistics spreadsheet. If
 there are one or more TPs in the snippet, you might also need to make up + add a
 reason code around cell F16 in the statistics spreadsheet (if the reason is different than
 other TPs discovered before).
- continue this procedure until we are at least 100% in the spreadsheet for each dataset (i.e., the target representative sample of warnings for each dataset)

We prototyped/developed this procedure on snippet 1,1 (selected by convenience).

Procedure for 2nd author:

- review each warning, code snippet, and judgement/justification given by the 1st author in this document
- determine if 1st author's assessment is correct (that indeed the warning is a false or true positive and the author's explanation is correct)
- if the assessment is correct, write "VERIFIED", otherwise if it is incorrect or unclear, write "INCORRECT_UNCLEAR" and give explanation for this assessment.
- in case of disagreements, meet with 1st author, discuss the cases and arrive at a conclusion. Update the document (by appending the final conclusion of the discussion) and spreadsheet if needed.

Warning analysis

dataset 1, snippet 1

OpenJML:

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/One/Tasks.java:48: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (ArithmeticOperationRange) in method main1: int multiply overflow

result = result * x;

۸

Double-checked that this matches the correlation results, which indicate that OpenJML issued 1 warning and the other tools issued zero.

This is definitely a false positive: x is 4 and reduces by 1 each loop iteration, so `result` cannot possibly overflow in an int (its size is bounded by $4^4 = 256$).

VERIFIED.

dataset 3, snippet 81

1 warning, from the typestate checker:

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_3.java:630: warnin\

g: Cannot assign because [this.importedPackages] is not accessible here importedPackages = new Vector();

This warning indicates that importedPackages shouldn't be assigned in this location. I think the reason for that is that the typestate checker is attempting to enforce linearity: that is, that each object is only used (+ assigned) in a unique location. Because this field is visible from other methods than this one, the warning is issued at re-assignment.

VERIFIED:

TypeStateChecker issues this type of warning when enforcing linearity:

https://github.com/jdmota/java-typestate-checker/blob/9a90e16bb431e6fcfbf79aa8298d0811056

2a0f6/tests/basic/LinearityTests.java#L470

dataset f, snippet 7

no warnings

dataset 2, snippet 8

3 expected warnings, all from the typestate checker. This snippet is lines 244-252 in Tasks.java. Note that there is overlap between datasets 1 and 2, and this is in the overlap, so I've counted it for both 1 & 2.

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/One/Tasks.java:249: warning: Cannot assign: cannot cast from Unknown to Shared{java.lang.String} | Null result += word.charAt(j);

Clearly a false positive, since chars cannot be null.

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/One/Tasks.java:244: warning: [result[85] StringConcat ToString charAt(word, j)] did not complete its protocol (found: Unknown)

public static void main14(String[] args) {

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/One/Tasks.java:244: warning: [result[85] = result[85] StringConcat ToString charAt(word, j)] did not complete its protocol (found: Unknown)

```
public static void main14(String[] args) {
```

These two errors are a bit nonsensical, since I'm not sure to what they're referring. The two are slightly different (note the "result[85]" vs "result[85]" a result[85]". In general, the TS checker issues this error when a protocol isn't finished (e.g, when you forget to close a stream). I'm not sure what protocol it's trying to enforce here, but it's definitely a false positive.

VERIFIED

dataset 6, snippet 12

94 (!) warnings:

- 91 (!) from the typestate checker
- 1 from infer
- 2 from openiml
- 0 from the CF

This snippet is lines 93-144 of CarReport.java.

OpenJML:

```
./CarReport.java:114: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (PossiblyNullDeReference) in method afterTextChanged mTxtTrustCertificate.setVisibility(View.GONE);

^
./CarReport.java:115: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (PossiblyNullDeReference) in method afterTextChanged mChkTrustCertificate.setChecked(false);
```

These are definitely false positives, because these fields are set just below those lines (and this is a callback).

Infer:

```
dataset6/src/main/java/CarReport.java:99: error: Null Dereference object returned by `this$0.getWindow()` could be null and is dereferenced at line 99. 97. super.onCreate(savedInstanceState); 98. setContentView(R.layout.activity_setup_webdav_sync);
```

99. > getWindow().setLayout(ViewGroup.LayoutParams.MATCH_PARENT, ViewGroup.LayoutParams.WRAP_CONTENT); 100.

101. mEdtUrl = (EditText) findViewByld(R.id.edt_url);

TRUE POSITIVE: This looks like an artifact of our stubbing-out process: getWindow() is defined to always return null, and I suspect Infer has deduced that and is reporting for that reason.

```
Typestate Checker:
```

many, many "cannot access" warnings (about ⅔ of the total warnings) like these:

```
dataset6/src/main/java/CarReport.java:139: warning: Cannot access [CarReport.Activity.RESULT_CANCELED] setResult(Activity.RESULT_CANCELED);
```

dataset6/src/main/java/CarReport.java:114: warning: Cannot access [this.mTxtTrustCertificate] mTxtTrustCertificate.setVisibility(View.GONE);

dataset6/src/main/java/CarReport.java:113: warning: Cannot access [CarReport.View.GONE] mTxtTrustCertificateDescription.setVisibility(View.GONE);

dataset6/src/main/java/CarReport.java:113: warning: Cannot access [this.mTxtTrustCertificateDescription] mTxtTrustCertificateDescription.setVisibility(View.GONE);

dataset6/src/main/java/CarReport.java:116: warning: Cannot access [CarReport.View.GONE] mChkTrustCertificate.setVisibility(View.GONE);

dataset6/src/main/java/CarReport.java:114: warning: Cannot access [CarReport.View.GONE] mTxtTrustCertificate.setVisibility(View.GONE);

dataset6/src/main/java/CarReport.java:115: warning: Cannot access [this.mChkTrustCertificate] mChkTrustCertificate.setChecked(false);

These are caused by the typestate checker's insistence that fields cannot be public without a human writing an annotation, which is overly conservative. Therefore, they are false positives.

• some "unsafe cast" warnings (about 1/6 of the total), like these:

dataset6/src/main/java/CarReport.java:129: warning: Unsafe cast

These casts are perfectly safe, so these are false positives, too.

• some "cannot assign" warnings, like these (about 1/6 of the total):

```
dataset6/src/main/java/CarReport.java:119: warning: Cannot assign because [this.mEdtUserName] is not accessible here mEdtUserName = (EditText) findViewByld(R.id.edt_user_name);
```

dataset6/src/main/java/CarReport.java:120: warning: Cannot assign because [this.mEdtPassword] is not accessible here

mEdtPassword = (EditText) findViewByld(R.id.edt_password);

These are false positives: the checker is enforcing an ownership model that is stronger than the standard Java one, but which this code does not (and should not) obey. It is safe to assign a field, and these fields are accessible under standard Java rules.

There are no other warnings.

VERIFIED.

dataset f, snippet 13

2 warnings from OpenJML:

fMRI_Study_Classes/RecursiveFibonacciVariant.java:14: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (ArithmeticOperationRange) in method compute: underflow in int sum return compute(number - 2) + compute(number - 4);

fMRI_Study_Classes/RecursiveFibonacciVariant.java:14: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (ArithmeticOperationRange) in method compute: overflow in int sum return compute(number - 2) + compute(number - 4);

The underflow is obviously a false positive, since compute() of any number less than 1 is 1 (with no recursive call).

The overflow warning is trickier. I think technically this code can overflow, if a sufficiently-large number is given as input. However, one could easily write a specification that limits the inputs to numbers that would not result in an overflow, and that spec would be verifiable. So, I think we can regard this as a case of a missing annotation.

VERIFIED.

dataset 9, snippet 2

10 warnings, 5 each from the typestate checker and openJML. The snippet is lines 458-471 of CodeSnippets.java.

OpenJML:

./CodeSnippets.java:466: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (InvariantLeaveCaller: /home/authors/openjml/specs/java/io/PrintStream.jml:35:) in method logAndEmailSeriousProblemS112: (Caller:

CodeSnippets.logAndEmailSeriousProblemS112(java.lang.Throwable,javax.servlet.http.HttpServletRequest), Callee: java.io.PrintStream.println(java.lang.String))

System.out.println("SERIOUS PROBLEM OCCURRED.");// changed to allow compilation

./CodeSnippets.java:466: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (InvariantLeaveCaller: /home/authors/openjml/specs/java/io/PrintStream.jml:42:) in method logAndEmailSeriousProblemS112: (Caller:

CodeSnippets.logAndEmailSeriousProblemS112(java.lang.Throwable,javax.servlet.http.HttpServletRequest), Callee: java.io.PrintStream.println(java.lang.String))

System.out.println("SERIOUS PROBLEM OCCURRED.");// changed to allow compilation

./CodeSnippets.java:467: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (InvariantLeaveCaller: /home/authors/openjml/specs/java/io/PrintStream.jml:42:) in method logAndEmailSeriousProblemS112: (Caller:

CodeSnippets.logAndEmailSeriousProblemS112(java.lang.Throwable,javax.servlet.http.HttpServletRequest), Callee: java.io.PrintStream.println(java.lang.String))

System.out.println(troubleTicket.toString());// changed to allow compilation

./CodeSnippets.java:467: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (InvariantLeaveCaller: /home/authors/openjml/specs/java/io/PrintStream.jml:35:) in method logAndEmailSeriousProblemS112: (Caller:

CodeSnippets.logAndEmailSeriousProblemS112(java.lang.Throwable,javax.servlet.http.HttpServletRequest), Callee: java.io.PrintStream.println(java.lang.String))

System.out.println(troubleTicket.toString());// changed to allow compilation

٨

./CodeSnippets.java:470: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (InvariantEntrance: /home/authors/openjml/specs/java/lang/CharSequence.jml:30:) in method logAndEmailSeriousProblemS112: (Caller:

CodeSnippets.logAndEmailSeriousProblemS112(java.lang.Throwable,javax.servlet.http.HttpServletRequest), Callee:

```
javax.servlet.ServletContext.setAttribute(java.lang.String,java.lang.Object)) setAttribute(MOST_RECENT_TROUBLE_TICKET, troubleTicket);
```

The first four errors are all saying that System.out's print stream might not be closed. Obviously false positives!

The last error is referring to the <u>internal specification</u> of java.lang.CharSequence, suggesting that the internal character array hasn't been allocated. That is obviously false, since the constant string here is actually a string constant. So this is also an FP.

typestate:

aRequest.getSession().getServletContext().

dataset9/src/main/java/CodeSnippets.java:469: warning: Cannot call getServletContext on null

The 3 "cannot access" warnings are obviously FPs. The "cannot call" warnings are a bit trickier: effectively, they are claiming that aRequest and aRequest.getSession() might both be null. getSession() cannot return null according to <u>its docs</u>. aRequest is a method parameter, so if it

were nullable a human would need to indicate that, but the comments don't do that. We can definitely regard both as false positives.

VERIFIED.

dataset 3, snippet 25

5 warnings:

- 4 from the CF
- 1 from the typestate checker

Lines 839-847 in Tasks1.java.

CF:

/home/authors/Code-Complexity-Research/complexity-verification-project/simple-datasets/src/m ain/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:840: warning: [not.interned] attempting to use a non-@Interned comparison operand

```
if (p.getTile().getSettlement() != null && p.getTile().getSettlement().getOwner() == player
```

/home/authors/Code-Complexity-Research/complexity-verification-project/simple-datasets/src/m ain/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:840: warning: [not.interned] attempting to use a non-@Interned comparison operand

```
if (p.getTile().getSettlement() != null && p.getTile().getSettlement().getOwner() == player
```

/home/authors/Code-Complexity-Research/complexity-verification-project/simple-datasets/src/m ain/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:841: warning: [not.interned] attempting to use a non-@Interned comparison operand

```
&& p.getTile().getSettlement() != inSettlement) {
```

/home/authors/Code-Complexity-Research/complexity-verification-project/simple-datasets/src/m ain/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:841: warning: [not.interned] attempting to use a non-@Interned comparison operand

```
&& p.getTile().getSettlement() != inSettlement) {
```

These warnings are all because objects are being compared using the == operator. Presumably doing so is safe in this context (making these FPs - the warnings would be issued even if the code is correct), but with the context missing here there is no way to be 100% sure. These are borderline, but I'd judge them still to be false positives: this looks like videogame code, which is typically heavily optimized, which is one of the few places in Java where == over .equals() makes sense.

Another interpretation of this is that this snippet is wrong, and these really should be .equals() calls. If that were the case, these would be true positive warnings. Regardless, the use of ==

here rather than .equals() is definitely making this snippet more complicated for any competent Java programmer, so I don't feel these threaten our conclusions.

typestate:

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:844: warning: Cannot call add on null

```
destinations.add(new\ ChoiceItem(s.toString() + " (" + turns + ")",\ s));
```

destinations is a field, and there is no indication that it could be nullable. Looks like an FP to me (or at least a missing annotation).

VERIFIED.

dataset 3, snippet 65

4 warnings:

- 1 from OpenJML
- 3 from the typestate checker

lines 712-718 in Tasks_2.java

typestate checker:

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:713: warning: Cannot access [this.messages.length]

```
String[] texts = new String[messages.length];
```

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:714: warning: Cannot access field [length] of null

```
Imagelcon[] images = new Imagelcon[messages.length];
```

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:716: warning: Cannot call getMessageID on null

```
String ID = messages[i].getMessageID();
```

All of these warnings indicate that messages might be or contain null. The original snippet doesn't give any specification for messages, so these are presumably false positives or indicate an annotation is required.

openiml:

cog complexity validation datasets/Three/Tasks 2.java:716: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (PossiblyNegativeIndex) in method s65

String ID = messages[i].getMessageID();

Obviously a false positive, since i ranges from 0 to messages.length. This is the simplest for loop for an index checking tool to verify I've ever seen...

VERIFIED.

dataset 3, snippet 1

6 warnings, all from the TS checker

lines 529-542 in Tasks 1.java

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:537: warning: Cannot access [cog complexity validation datasets.Three.Tasks 1.RETURN] case RETURN:

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog complexity validation datasets/Three/Tasks 1.java:530: warning: Cannot access [cog_complexity_validation_datasets.Three.Tasks_1.callstack] Object ret = body.eval(callstack, interpreter);

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:530: warning: Cannot access [cog_complexity_validation_datasets.Three.Tasks_1.body] Object ret = body.eval(callstack, interpreter);

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:530: warning: Cannot access [cog_complexity_validation_datasets.Three.Tasks_1.interpreter] Object ret = body.eval(callstack, interpreter);

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:535: warning: Cannot access [ret.kind]

switch(((ReturnControl)ret).kind)

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:541: warning: Incompatible return value: cannot cast from Shared(java.lang.Object) | Null to Shared{java.lang.Object}

return ret; // had to be added to allow compilation

These are all false positives. The 5 "cannot access" errors indicate the need for ownership annotations, I think. The last error indicates that ret could be null, but there is no reason to

believe that it might be unless body.eval() could return null, which the original snippet doesn't give an indication of. A charitable interpretation of this last warning is that an @Nullable annotation or similar is needed on the method's return, but that would also be a false positive by our definition.

VERIFIED.

dataset 1, snippet 8

2 warnings, both from openiml

lines 159-168 in Tasks.java. Also DS2 snippet 4.

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/One/Tasks.java:164: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (ArithmeticOperationRange) in method main8: overflow in int sum

```
result = result + number % 10;
```

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/One/Tasks.java:164: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (ArithmeticOperationRange) in method main8: underflow in int sum

```
result = result + number % 10;
```

Both are false positives, since the loop is bounded and over/underflow never occurs.

VERIFIED.

dataset 3, snippet 90

2 warnings, both from the TS checker

```
lines 754-766 in Tasks 3
```

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_3.java:755: warning: Cannot access

```
[cog_complexity_validation_datasets.Three.Tasks_3.ViewMode.VIEW_TERRAIN_MODE]
    if (currentMode == ViewMode.VIEW_TERRAIN_MODE) {
```

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_3.java:757: warning: Cannot call getSelectedTile on null

```
Position selectedTilePos = gui.getSelectedTile();
```

First one is clearly a false positive (the TS checker's insistence that fields not be accessed).

Second one is also an FP, as it is asserting that gui is nullable, but there is no evidence of that.

VERIFIED.

dataset 1, snippet 17

no warnings. Also dataset 2, snippet 9

dataset 1, snippet 11

also dataset 2, snippet 6

one warning, from openiml

lines 203-211 in Tasks.java

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/One/Tasks.java:208: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (ArithmeticOperationRange) in method main11: int multiply overflow result = result * num1;

٨

False positive, since the values being multiplied are small constants.

VERIFIED.

dataset 6, snippet 6

29 warnings:

- 25 from the typestate checker
- 3 from the CF
- 1 from openjml

lines 75-106 in Pom.java

CF:

/home/authors/Code-Complexity-Research/complexity-verification-project/dataset6/src/main/jav a/Pom.java:75: warning: [initialization.fields.uninitialized] the constructor does not initialize fields: localizibleDescription

```
public HealthReport(int score, String iconUrl, Localizable description) {
```

False positive: a setter is called unconditionally on line 104.

/home/authors/Code-Complexity-Research/complexity-verification-project/dataset6/src/main/jav a/Pom.java:103: warning: [assignment] incompatible types in assignment.

this.description = null;

٨

found : null (NullType)

required: @Initialized @NonNull String

False positive: missing field annotation.

/home/authors/Code-Complexity-Research/complexity-verification-project/dataset6/src/main/java/Pom.java:104: warning: [method.invocation] call to setLocalizibleDescription(Pom.Localizable) not allowed on the given receiver.

setLocalizibleDescription(description);

Λ

found : @Initialized @NonNull Pom.@UnderInitialization @NonNull HealthReport required: @Initialized @NonNull Pom.@Initialized @NonNull HealthReport

False positive: missing @UnderInitialization annotation on setLocalizableDescription()'s receiver.

openjml:

./Pom.java:103: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (PossiblyNullAssignment) in method HealthReport

this.description = null;

Λ

False positive (field is clearly intended to be nullable).

typestate checker:

dataset6/src/main/java/Pom.java:78: warning: Cannot access [Pom.HEALTH_0_TO_20] this.iconClassName = HEALTH_0_TO_20;

dataset6/src/main/java/Pom.java:78: warning: Cannot access [Pom.HEALTH_0_TO_20] this.iconClassName = HEALTH_0_TO_20;

dataset6/src/main/java/Pom.java:82: warning: Cannot access [Pom.HEALTH_41_TO_60] this.iconClassName = HEALTH_41_TO_60;

dataset6/src/main/java/Pom.java:86: warning: Cannot access [Pom.HEALTH_OVER_80] this.iconClassName = HEALTH_OVER_80;

۸

... many more of these. All of these are false positives, because these fields are clearly intended to be accessed from here.

dataset6/src/main/java/Pom.java:103: warning: Cannot assign: cannot cast from Null to Shared{java.lang.String}

this.description = null;

٨

False positive: field is clearly intended to be nullable. Missing annotation?

VERIFIED.

dataset f, snippet 16

six warnings:

- 1 from the CF
 - 5 from the typestate checker

lines 10-25 of YesNo.java

CF:

/home/authors/Code-Complexity-Research/complexity-verification-project/simple-datasets/src/m ain/java/fMRI_Study_Classes/YesNo.java:25: warning: [return] incompatible types in return. return null;

type of expression: null (NullType)

method return type: @Initialized @NonNull Boolean

Clear FP, indicating a missing @Nullable annotation.

Typestate checker:

Λ

٨

simple-datasets/src/main/java/fMRI_Study_Classes/YesNo.java:20: warning: Incompatible return value: cannot cast from Primitive{boolean} to Shared{java.lang.Boolean}

return true;

simple-datasets/src/main/java/fMRI_Study_Classes/YesNo.java:14: warning: Incompatible return value: cannot cast from Primitive{boolean} to Shared{java.lang.Boolean}

return false;

simple-datasets/src/main/java/fMRI_Study_Classes/YesNo.java:22: warning: Incompatible return value: cannot cast from Primitive{boolean} to Shared{java.lang.Boolean}

return true;

simple-datasets/src/main/java/fMRI_Study_Classes/YesNo.java:16: warning: Incompatible return value: cannot cast from Primitive{boolean} to Shared{java.lang.Boolean}

```
return false;
^
simple-datasets/src/main/java/fMRI_Study_Classes/YesNo.java:25: warning: Incompatible return value: cannot cast from Null to Shared{java.lang.Boolean}
return null;
```

All FPs as well, indicating missing annotations. The first four warnings are saying that Boolean and boolean aren't assignable (they are); the last is similar to the CF warning.

VERIFIED.

dataset 3, snippet 66

10 warnings:

- 1 from infer
- 5 from the typestate checker
- 4 from openiml

lines 722-729 of Tasks 2.java

Infer:

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:727: error: Null Dereference

object returned by `Tasks_2.devplugin.Plugin.getPluginManager()` could be null and is dereferenced at line 727.

```
725. Program p = (Program) mProgramTableModel.getValueAt(row, 1); 726.
```

727. > JPopupMenu menu =

devplugin.Plugin.getPluginManager().createPluginContextMenu(p,

CapturePlugin.getInstance());

728.

729. } // Added to allow compilation

TRUE POSITIVE: This is another instance of a stubbed-out null class causing an infer warning.

OpenJML:

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:725: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (PossiblyNullDeReference) in method s66

```
Program p = (Program) mProgramTableModel.getValueAt(row, 1);
```

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:725: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (NullFormal:

```
cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:1712:) in method s66: row in getValueAt(java.lang.Boolean[],int)
```

```
Program \ p = (Program) \ mProgram Table Model. get Value At (row, \ 1);
```

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:725: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (PossiblyBadCast) in method s66: a java.lang.Object cannot be proved to be a cog_complexity_validation_datasets.Three.Tasks_2.Program

```
\label{eq:program} Program \ p = (Program) \ mProgramTableModel.getValueAt(row, \ 1);
```

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:727: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (PossiblyNullDeReference) in method s66

JPopupMenu menu = devplugin.Plugin.getPluginManager().createPluginContextMenu(p, CapturePlugin.getInstance());

```
cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:722: verify: Validity is unknown - time or memory limit reached: : Aborted proof: timeout public void s66() {
```

The last is a timeout, so it is ignored. The first two are warnings about possible nullability in a field and parameter, respectively. These look like false positives/lack of annotations, to me: the code clearly doesn't expect them to be nullable. The third warning is a warning that the cast might fail at run time, which is obvious (the purpose of a cast is to suppress a false positive from Java's type system, so this warning is redundant with Java itself and clearly an FP). The fourth is an odd choice to complain about nullability, given that this is a static class and therefore guaranteed to be non-null, but a false positive regardless.

Typestate checker:

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:725: warning: Unsafe cast

```
Program p = (Program) mProgramTableModel.getValueAt(row, 1);
```

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:725: warning: Cannot call getValueAt on null

```
Program p = (Program) mProgramTableModel.getValueAt(row, 1);
```

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:727: warning: Cannot access [this.devplugin.Plugin]

 $\label{lem:JPopupMenu} JPopupMenu\ menu\ =\ devplugin.Plugin.getPluginManager().createPluginContextMenu(p, CapturePlugin.getInstance());$

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:723: warning: Cannot call changeSelection on null

```
mProgramTable.changeSelection(row, 0, false, false);
```

٨

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:723: warning: Incompatible parameter: cannot cast from Shared{java.lang.Boolean[]} | Null to Shared{java.lang.Boolean[]}

```
mProgramTable.changeSelection(row, 0, false, false);
```

The first two are duplicates of OpenJML warnings. The third is a false positive indicating the need for an annotation. The last two are spurious nullness warnings: the code seems to pretty clearly assume these values are not nullable.

VERIFIED.

dataset 3, snippet 51

lines 554-561 of Tasks_2

1 warning, from the typestate checker:

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:560: warning: Incompatible return value: cannot cast from Shared{java.lang.String} | Null to Shared{java.lang.String}

```
return className.substring(i+1);
```

Definitely an FP, as substring cannot return null.

VERIFIED.

dataset 6, snippet 38

9 warnings:

- 1 each from the CF, infer, openiml
- 6 from the typestate checker

lines 144-177 of SpringBatch.java

CF:

/home/authors/Code-Complexity-Research/complexity-verification-project/dataset6/src/main/java/SpringBatch.java:177: warning: [return] incompatible types in return.

```
return null;
```

type of expression: null (NullType)

method return type: T extends @Initialized @Nullable Object

FP, needs annotation. The method is a mock for different types of objects.

```
Infer:
```

dataset6/src/main/java/SpringBatch.java:148: error: Null Dereference object `ds` last assigned on line 146 could be null and is dereferenced at line 148.

146. DataSource ds = mock(DataSource.class);

147.

148. > when(ds.getConnection()).thenReturn(con); // con1

149. con.close();

150. when(ds.getConnection()).thenReturn(con); // con2

TRUE POSITIVE: this is also a consequence of stubbing out classes/methods using "return null" rather than "throw new Error()" or similar.

OpenJML:

```
./SpringBatch.java:167: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion
(PossiblyNullAssignment) in method testOperationWithDirectCloseCall
        con1_1 = null;
```

This is pretty clearly intended to be nullable, so I'm not sure what OpenJML is getting at here. OpenJML did encounter some internal errors while processing this class, which might be responsible for the oddly-incomplete output: for example, I'd expect to get the same warning on the next line (which also re-assigns a variable with null as the RHS), but we don't.

Typestate:

```
dataset6/src/main/java/SpringBatch.java:145: warning: Cannot assign: cannot cast from Shared{java.lang.Object} | Null to Shared{java.sql.Connection} | Null Connection con = mock(Connection.class);
```

dataset6/src/main/java/SpringBatch.java:145: warning: Cannot access [java.sql.Connection.class]

```
Connection con = mock(Connection.class);
```

dataset6/src/main/java/SpringBatch.java:146: warning: Cannot assign: cannot cast from Shared{java.lang.Object} | Null to Shared{javax.sql.DataSource} | Null

```
DataSource ds = mock(DataSource.class);
```

dataset6/src/main/java/SpringBatch.java:148: warning: Incompatible parameter: cannot cast from Shared{java.sql.Connection} | Null to Shared{java.sql.Connection}

```
when(ds.getConnection()).thenReturn(con); // con1
```

dataset6/src/main/java/SpringBatch.java:146: warning: Cannot access [javax.sql.DataSource.class]

```
DataSource ds = mock(DataSource.class);

^
dataset6/src/main/java/SpringBatch.java:150: warning: Incompatible parameter: cannot cast from Shared{java.sql.Connection} | Null to Shared{java.sql.Connection} when(ds.getConnection()).thenReturn(con); // con2
```

These are all false positives. 4 of the warnings are about nullness, and indicate missing annotations. The other two "cannot access" warnings are for some reason attempting to restrict the use of class literals, because they are in different packages. This is a nonsensical idea, and the checker should have permitted it (they are interned, so there is only ever one immutable .class object for each class loaded by the JVM).

VERIFIED.

dataset 3, snippet 59

5 warnings:

- 3 from typestate
- 1 from infer
- 1 from openiml

lines 647-654 in Tasks_2

```
typestate:
```

```
simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:649:
warning: Cannot access
[cog_complexity_validation_datasets.Three.Tasks_2.Session.INFO_CONNECTION_READONL
Y]
Object info = getAttribute(Session.INFO_CONNECTION_READONLY);

^
simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:651:
warning: Unsafe cast
    isReadOnly = ((Boolean) info).booleanValue();

^
simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:651:
warning: Cannot assign because [this.isReadOnly] is not accessible here
```

First and third indicate missing annotations and are therefore FPs. 2nd is redundant with a javac FP.

isReadOnly = ((Boolean) info).booleanValue();

openjml:

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:651: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (PossiblyBadCast) in method isReadOnly: a java.lang.Object cannot be proved to be a java.lang.Boolean

```
isReadOnly = ((Boolean) info).booleanValue();
```

FP, redundant with javac.

infer:

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:651: error: Null Dereference

object 'info' last assigned on line 649 could be null and is dereferenced at line 651.

Object info = getAttribute(Session.INFO_CONNECTION_READONLY);

650.

651. > isReadOnly = ((Boolean) info).booleanValue();

652.

653. return isReadOnly;

TRUE POSITIVE: getAttribute is stubbed to always return null.

VERIFIED.

dataset 3, snippet 48

4 warnings, all from OpenJML

lines 507-519 in Tasks 2

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:514: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (InvariantExceptionExit:

/home/authors/openjml/specs/java/lang/CharSequence.jml:30:) in method ComparisonFailure: cog_complexity_validation_datasets.Three.Tasks_2.ComparisonFailure.ComparisonFailure(java.lang.String,java.lang.String,java.lang.String) (parameter actual)

```
public\ Comparison Failure\ (String\ message,\ String\ expected,\ String\ actual)\ \{
```

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:514: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (InvariantExceptionExit:

/home/authors/openjml/specs/java/lang/CharSequence.jml:30:) in method ComparisonFailure: cog_complexity_validation_datasets.Three.Tasks_2.ComparisonFailure.ComparisonFailure(java.lang.String,java.lang.String,java.lang.String) (parameter expected)

```
public\ Comparison Failure\ (String\ message,\ String\ expected,\ String\ actual)\ \{
```

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:514: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (InvariantExit:

/home/authors/openjml/specs/java/lang/CharSequence.jml:30:) in method ComparisonFailure: cog_complexity_validation_datasets.Three.Tasks_2.ComparisonFailure.ComparisonFailure(java .lang.String,java.lang.String,java.lang.String) (parameter expected)

```
public\ Comparison Failure\ (String\ message,\ String\ expected,\ String\ actual)\ \{
```

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:514: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (InvariantExit:

/home/authors/openjml/specs/java/lang/CharSequence.jml:30:) in method ComparisonFailure: cog_complexity_validation_datasets.Three.Tasks_2.ComparisonFailure.ComparisonFailure(java.lang.String,java.lang.String,java.lang.String) (parameter actual)

```
public\ Comparison Failure\ (String\ message,\ String\ expected,\ String\ actual)\ \{
```

These are all clearly false positives, seemingly related to an issue in how OpenJML handles strings. In particular, the problem's root cause seems to be an invariant in CharSequence.jml in their distributed specs.

VERIFIED.

dataset 3, snippet 18

3 warnings, all from openiml

```
lines 758-764 in Tasks_1
```

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:758: verify: Validity is unknown - time
or memory limit reached: : Aborted proof: timeout
 public Result runMain(String... args) {

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:759: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (InvariantEntrance:

/home/authors/openjml/specs/java/lang/CharSequence.jml:30:) in method runMain: (Caller: cog_complexity_validation_datasets.Three.Tasks_1.runMain(java.lang.String...), Callee: java.lang.String.concat(@org.jmlspecs.annotation.Nullable java.lang.String))

System.out.println("JUnit version" + Version.id());

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:759: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (InvariantLeaveCaller:

/home/authors/openjml/specs/java/io/PrintStream.jml:35:) in method runMain: (Caller: cog_complexity_validation_datasets.Three.Tasks_1.runMain(java.lang.String...), Callee: java.io.PrintStream.println(java.lang.String))

System.out.println("JUnit version " + Version.id());

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:759: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (InvariantLeaveCaller:

/home/authors/openjml/specs/java/io/PrintStream.jml:42:) in method runMain: (Caller: cog_complexity_validation_datasets.Three.Tasks_1.runMain(java.lang.String...), Callee: java.io.PrintStream.println(java.lang.String))

```
System.out.println("JUnit version " + Version.id());
```

One instance of the string problem, and two instances of the printstream problem. All are obvious FPs. Also, a timeout.

VERIFIED.

dataset 3, snippet 67

no warnings

dataset 3, snippet 53

10 warnings:

- 1 from infer
- 1 from the CF
- 5 from the typestate checker
- 3 from openiml

lines 576-587 in Tasks_2

infer:

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:579: error: Null Dereference

object `Tasks_2.suiteMethod` last assigned on line 578 could be null and is dereferenced at line 579.

TRUE POSITIVE: stubbed null returns

CF:

/home/authors/Code-Complexity-Research/complexity-verification-project/simple-datasets/src/m ain/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:582: warning: [argument] incompatible argument for parameter o of invoke.

suite= (Test) suiteMethod.invoke(null); // static method

found : null (NullType)

required: @Initialized @NonNull Object

FP: indicates missing annotation on library method

typestate:

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:578: warning: Cannot assign because [this.suiteMethod] is not accessible here suiteMethod= klass.getMethod("suite");

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:578: warning: Cannot call getMethod on null

suiteMethod= klass.getMethod("suite");

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:582: warning: Unsafe cast

suite= (Test) suiteMethod.invoke(null); // static method

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:582: warning: Cannot assign because [this.suite] is not accessible here suite= (Test) suiteMethod.invoke(null); // static method

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:582: warning: Incompatible parameter: cannot cast from Null to Shared{java.lang.Object} suite= (Test) suiteMethod.invoke(null); // static method

All false positives:

- the first is an access error, which indicates a missing annotation
- the second also indicates a missing annotation: klass is clearly intended to be nonnull.
 This checker is modular, so (unlike infer) it cannot tell that klass is actually null because of stubbing: it would warn regardless of the implementation.
- the unsafe cast warning is redundant with javac and therefore obviously intentional (and so an FP)
- the fourth warning again indicates the need for human annotation

• the fifth is the same, but in a library: invoke clearly is intended to be null safe

openjml:

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:582: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (NullFormal:

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:1575:) in method s53: o in invoke(java.lang.Object)

suite= (Test) suiteMethod.invoke(null); // static method

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:582: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (PossiblyNullDeReference) in method s53

suite= (Test) suiteMethod.invoke(null); // static method

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:580: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (PossiblyNullDeReference) in method s53

throw new Exception(klass.getName() + ".suite() must be static");

The first of these is similar to the warning from the CF: openjml requires the called method to have a spec indicating it can accept null. That indicates a missing annotation. The other two occur because OpenJML is assuming that suiteMethod and klass could be null, because no spec on the methods that produced them said otherwise. Again, annotation problem ergo FP.

VERIFIED.

dataset 3, snippet 30

5 warnings:

- 1 from openiml
- 4 from the typestate checker

lines 902-908 in Tasks_1

openiml:

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:904: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (PossiblyBadCast) in method setMapTransform: a java.lang.Object cannot be proved to be a cog_complexity_validation_datasets.Three.MapControlsAction

MapControlsAction mca = (MapControlsAction)

free ColClient.get Action Manager (). get Free ColAction (Map Controls Action. ID);

Redundant with the javac cast, so this is clearly intentional and therefore an FP.

typestate:

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:903: warning: Cannot assign because [this.currentMapTransform] is not accessible here currentMapTransform = mt;

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:904: warning: Cannot access [cog_complexity_validation_datasets.Three.MapControlsAction.ID]

MapControlsAction mca = (MapControlsAction)

free ColClient.get Action Manager ().get Free ColAction (Map Controls Action.ID);

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:904: warning: Unsafe cast

MapControlsAction mca = (MapControlsAction) freeColClient.getActionManager().getFreeColAction(MapControlsAction.ID);

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:904: warning: Cannot call getActionManager on null

MapControlsAction mca = (MapControlsAction) freeColClient.getActionManager().getFreeColAction(MapControlsAction.ID);

First and second indicate need for access annotations; fourth indicates need for nullability annotation. 3rd is redundant with javac. All FPs.

VERIFIED.

dataset 3, snippet 64

11 warnings:

- 5 from openiml
- 6 from the typestate checker

lines 702-708 in Tasks_2

openimi:

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:705: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (InvariantEntrance:

/home/authors/openjml/specs/java/lang/CharSequence.jml:30:) in method s64: (Caller:

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:704: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (PossiblyBadCast) in method s64: a java.lang.Object cannot be proved to be a java.lang.String

```
clsName = (String) classNames.next();
```

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:706: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (InvariantEntrance:

/home/authors/openjml/specs/java/lang/CharSequence.jml:30:) in method s64: (Caller: cog_complexity_validation_datasets.Three.Tasks_2.s64(), Callee:

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:706: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (PossiblyNullDeReference) in method s64

```
clsSchem = ns.getSchemaName(clsName);
```

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:706: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (NullFormal:

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:1401:) in method s64: clsName in getSchemaName(java.lang.String)

```
clsSchem = ns.getSchemaName(clsName);
```

The first and third warnings are the string invariant issue, and therefore obvious FPs. The second is a cast and therefore redundant with javac, and therefore also an FP. The fourth and fifth both indicate the need for annotations/specs about nullability.

typestate:

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:704: warning: Unsafe cast

```
clsName = (String) classNames.next();
```

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:706: warning: Cannot assign because [this.clsSchem] is not accessible here

The first is about a cast; the others all clearly indicate the need for specs/annotations about accessibility/nullability.

VERIFIED

dataset 3, snippet 42

no warnings

dataset 3, snippet 7

lines 616-627 in Tasks_1

1 warning from the Checker Framework:

/home/authors/Code-Complexity-Research/complexity-verification-project/simple-datasets/src/m ain/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:622: warning: [dep-ann] deprecated item is not annotated with @Deprecated

public void Date(int daysSince1970) { // return type void added to allow compilation

javac also gives this warning, so it's definitely not a real Checker Framework warning. I've added an @Deprecated annotation to the code, so when we re-run the Checker Framework this will go away (and it did: it's not in our final version). The actual cause of this warning is the @deprecated tag in the Javadoc comment just before the method.

VERIFIED

dataset 3, snippet 60

3 warnings:

- 2 from typestate
- 1 from openiml

lines 658-662 in Tasks_2

openjml:

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:660: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (NullFormal:

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:1680:) in method s60: warehouseDialog in remove(cog_complexity_validation_datasets.Three.Tasks_2.WareHouse) remove(warehouseDialog);

FP: missing nullability spec on formal parameter

typestate:

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:659: warning: Cannot call getResponseBoolean on null

boolean response = warehouseDialog.getResponseBoolean();

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:661: warning: Incompatible return value: cannot cast from Primitive{boolean} to Shared{java.lang.Object}

return response;

both FPs: first indicates a missing nullability spec, second is just flat wrong (that is a legal cast in Java)

VERIFIED

dataset 3, snippet 79

16 warnings:

- 9 from the CF
- 7 from typestate

lines 594-605 of Tasks 3

CF:

/home/authors/Code-Complexity-Research/complexity-verification-project/simple-datasets/src/m ain/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_3.java:596: warning: [array.access.unsafe.low] Potentially unsafe array access: the index could be negative.

int i = Column.compare(session.database.collation, a[cols[j]],

found: @LowerBoundUnknown int

required: an integer >= 0 (@NonNegative or @Positive)

/home/authors/Code-Complexity-Research/complexity-verification-project/simple-datasets/src/m ain/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_3.java:596: warning:

[array.access.unsafe.high] Potentially unsafe array access: the index could be larger than the array's bound

int i = Column.compare(session.database.collation, a[cols[j]],

nŧ

found: @UpperBoundUnknown int

required: @IndexFor("this.a") or @LTLengthOf("this.a") -- an integer less than this.a's length /home/authors/Code-Complexity-Research/complexity-verification-project/simple-datasets/src/m ain/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_3.java:596: warning: [array.access.unsafe.high.range] Potentially unsafe array access: the index could be larger than

the array's bound int i = Column.compare(session.database.collation, a[cols[i]],

index type found: @IntRange(from=-2147483648) int array type found: @UnknownVal int @UnknownVal []

required : index of type @IndexFor("this.cols") or @LTLengthOf("this.cols"), or array of type @MinLen(-9223372036854775808)

/home/authors/Code-Complexity-Research/complexity-verification-project/simple-datasets/src/m ain/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_3.java:597: warning:

[array.access.unsafe.low] Potentially unsafe array access: the index could be negative.

b[cols[j]], coltypes[cols[j]]);

found: @LowerBoundUnknown int

required: an integer >= 0 (@NonNegative or @Positive)

/home/authors/Code-Complexity-Research/complexity-verification-project/simple-datasets/src/m ain/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_3.java:597: warning:

[array.access.unsafe.high] Potentially unsafe array access: the index could be larger than the array's bound

b[cols[j]], coltypes[cols[j]]);

found: @UpperBoundUnknown int

required: @IndexFor("this.b") or @LTLengthOf("this.b") -- an integer less than this.b's length /home/authors/Code-Complexity-Research/complexity-verification-project/simple-datasets/src/m ain/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_3.java:597: warning:

[array.access.unsafe.high.range] Potentially unsafe array access: the index could be larger than the array's bound

b[cols[j]], coltypes[cols[j]]);

index type found: @IntRange(from=-2147483648) int array type found: @UnknownVal int @UnknownVal []

required : index of type @IndexFor("this.cols") or @LTLengthOf("this.cols"), or array of type @MinLen(-9223372036854775808)

/home/authors/Code-Complexity-Research/complexity-verification-project/simple-datasets/src/m ain/java/cog complexity validation datasets/Three/Tasks 3.java:597: warning:

[array.access.unsafe.low] Potentially unsafe array access: the index could be negative.

b[cols[j]], coltypes[cols[j]]);

found : @LowerBoundUnknown int

required: an integer >= 0 (@NonNegative or @Positive)

/home/authors/Code-Complexity-Research/complexity-verification-project/simple-datasets/src/m ain/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_3.java:597: warning:

[array.access.unsafe.high] Potentially unsafe array access: the index could be larger than the array's bound

b[cols[j]], coltypes[cols[j]]);

found: @UpperBoundUnknown int

required: @IndexFor("this.coltypes") or @LTLengthOf("this.coltypes") -- an integer less than this.coltypes's length

/home/authors/Code-Complexity-Research/complexity-verification-project/simple-datasets/src/m ain/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_3.java:597: warning:

[array.access.unsafe.high.range] Potentially unsafe array access: the index could be larger than the array's bound

b[cols[j]], coltypes[cols[j]]);

index type found: @IntRange(from=-2147483648) int array type found: @UnknownVal int @UnknownVal []

required : index of type @IndexFor("this.cols") or @LTLengthOf("this.cols"), or array of type @MinLen(-9223372036854775808)

These warnings all come from four missing facts:

- fieldcount is equal to the length of the cols[] array
- elements of the cols array are indices for the a, b, and coltypes arrays

Both of those are easy to express with the Index Checker, which would remove the warnings, so we can consider them FPs. Tbh, I'm a bit surprised that OpenJML didn't warn on this. I bet it timed out. (I checked, it did. Nice.)

typestate:

```
simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_3.java:597: warning: Cannot call [#helpers.arrayAccess] on Shared{int[]} | Null
```

b[cols[j]], coltypes[cols[j]]);

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_3.java:596: warning: Cannot call [#helpers.arrayAccess] on Shared{int[]} | Null

int i = Column.compare(session.database.collation, a[cols[j]],

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_3.java:597: warning: Cannot call [#helpers.arrayAccess] on Shared{int[]} | Null

b[cols[j]], coltypes[cols[j]]);

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_3.java:597: warning: Cannot call [#helpers.arrayAccess] on Shared{int[]} | Null

b[cols[j]], coltypes[cols[j]]);

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_3.java:596: warning: Cannot access field [database] of null

int i = Column.compare(session.database.collation, a[cols[j]],

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_3.java:596: warning: Cannot call [#helpers.arrayAccess] on Shared{int[]} | Null

int i = Column.compare(session.database.collation, a[cols[j]],

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_3.java:597: warning: Cannot call [#helpers.arrayAccess] on Shared{int[]} | Null

b[cols[j]], coltypes[cols[j]]);

All are false positives: all result from missing specifications about nullability. dataset 3, snippet 29

3 warnings, all from typestate

lines 888-893 of Tasks_1

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:891: warning: Cannot assign because [this.outlen] is not accessible here

outlen = parameters.length;

٨

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:892: warning: Cannot assign because [this.offset] is not accessible here

```
offset = 0;
```

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:891: warning: Cannot access [this.parameters.length]

outlen = parameters.length;

٨

All false positives due to ownership model. Needs annotations.

VERIFIED

dataset 3, snippet 45

6 warnings, all from typestate checker

lines 469-474 of Tasks_2

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:472: warning: Cannot access [cog_complexity_validation_datasets.Three.Tasks_2.COLUMNS] final JTextField inputWidth = new JTextField(Integer.toString(DEFAULT_WIDTH), COLUMNS);

٨

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:472: warning: Incompatible parameter: cannot cast from Shared{java.lang.String} | Null to Shared{java.lang.String}

final JTextField inputWidth = new JTextField(Integer.toString(DEFAULT_WIDTH), COLUMNS);

۸

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:473: warning: Incompatible parameter: cannot cast from Shared{java.lang.String} | Null to Shared{java.lang.String}

final JTextField inputHeight = new JTextField(Integer.toString(DEFAULT_HEIGHT), COLUMNS);

٨

```
simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog complexity validation datasets/Three/Tasks 2.java:472:
warning: Cannot access
[cog complexity validation datasets.Three.Tasks 2.DEFAULT WIDTH]
    final JTextField inputWidth = new JTextField(Integer.toString(DEFAULT_WIDTH),
COLUMNS);
simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog complexity validation datasets/Three/Tasks 2.java:473:
warning: Cannot access
[cog complexity validation datasets.Three.Tasks 2.DEFAULT HEIGHT]
    final JTextField inputHeight = new JTextField(Integer.toString(DEFAULT_HEIGHT),
COLUMNS);
                                          ٨
simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks 2.java:473:
warning: Cannot access [cog_complexity_validation_datasets.Three.Tasks_2.COLUMNS]
    final JTextField inputHeight = new JTextField(Integer.toString(DEFAULT_HEIGHT),
COLUMNS);
All access errors are false positives and just require annotations.
VERIFIED
dataset 3, snippet 62
5 warnings:

    3 from TS

   • 2 from OpenJML
lines 679-686 of Tasks 2
TS:
simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:683:
warning: Unsafe cast
       dataServiceId = (String) in.readObject();
simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:683:
warning: Cannot call readObject on null
       dataServiceId = (String) in.readObject();
simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog complexity validation datasets/Three/Tasks 2.java:683:
warning: Cannot assign because [this.dataServiceId] is not accessible here
```

dataServiceId = (String) in.readObject();

All three are false positives: the cast is redundant with javac; in is a field that needs a nonnull annotation; and dataServiceId is clearly intended to be accessible here (and therefore needs an annotation).

VERIFIED

OpenJML:

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:683: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (PossiblyBadCast) in method s62: a java.lang.Object cannot be proved to be a java.lang.String

```
dataServiceId = (String) in.readObject();
```

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:684: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (PossiblyNullDeReference) in method s62

```
channelId = "" + in.readInt();
```

Both FPs: redundant with javac on the cast, in needs a spec on the second one.

VERIFIED

dataset 3, snippet 72

lines 502-520 in Tasks 3

3 warnings:

- 2 from TS
- 1 from OpenJML

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_3.java:509: warning: Unsafe cast

JLabel label = (JLabel) super.getListCellRendererComponent(list, value, index, isSelected, cellHasFocus);

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_3.java:509: warning: Unsafe cast

JLabel label = (JLabel) super.getListCellRendererComponent(list, value, index, isSelected, cellHasFocus);

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_3.java:509: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (PossiblyBadCast) in method getListCellRendererComponent: a java.lang.Object cannot be proved to be a javax.swing.JLabel

JLabel label = (JLabel) super.getListCellRendererComponent(list, value, index, isSelected, cellHasFocus);

All false positives: these casts are javac FPs.

VERIFIED

dataset 3, snippet 80

1 warning, from OpenJML

lines 612-620 of Tasks_3

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_3.java:615: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (UndefinedCalledMethodPrecondition:

/home/authors/openjml/specs/java/lang/String.jml:447:) in method s99

// we're still in the game then log an error because at this

Note: Call stack

/home/authors/openjml/specs/java/lang/String.jml:458: java.lang.String.equals /home/authors/openjml/specs/java/lang/StringBuffer.jml:35: java.lang.String.equals cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_3.java:885: java.lang.StringBuffer.<init>/home/authors/openjml/specs/java/lang/String.jml:447: verify: Associated declaration: cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_3.java:615:

 $@ \ public \ static \ model \ helper \ boolean \ equals (nullable \ String \ s1, \ nullable \ String \ s2);$

/home/authors/openjml/specs/java/lang/String.jml:436: verify: Precondition conjunct is false: s1.charArray != null

@ //-RAC@ requires s1.charArray != null & s2.charArray != null; // OPENJML: TODO Needs the invariant that charArray is not null

/home/authors/openjml/specs/java/lang/String.jml:439: verify: Precondition conjunct is false: s1 == s2

@ requires s1 == s2;

/home/authors/openjml/specs/java/lang/String.jml:442: verify: Precondition conjunct is false: s1 == null || (java.lang.String.isInterned(s1) && java.lang.String.isInterned(s2))

@ requires s1 == null || s2 == null ||

This is pretty obviously a false positive, because the implicated line is a comment? Not sure what's going on here. This may be a bug? Notice that the warning says: in method s99. Since

we aren't sure how to interpret this one, we decided to keep it at the location where it's reported (i.e., in this snippet, not s99) and treat it as a false positive (as it clearly isn't reporting about a real problem in s80).

dataset 3, snippet 63

lines 691-698

5 warnings:

• 1 from each tool, except TS, which has 2

TS:

```
simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:694: warning: Cannot assign: cannot cast from Shared{java.lang.Object} | Null to Shared{cog_complexity_validation_datasets.Three.Tasks_2.Method} | Null for (Method method : testMethods)

^ simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:697: warning: Incompatible return value: cannot cast from Null to Shared{cog_complexity_validation_datasets.Three.Tasks_2.Description}

return null; // Added to allow compilation
```

The first of these is definitely an FP. The other, and the warnings from OpenJML and the CF (after this comment), are more murky: the method does actually return null. The warnings could be avoided with annotations, but the cause is the `return null` that we added to enable compilation. Because they can be avoided by adding an annotation or spec, we consider them FPs.

OpenJML:

```
cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:697: verify: Associated method exit return null; // Added to allow compilation
```

CF:

/home/authors/Code-Complexity-Research/complexity-verification-project/simple-datasets/src/m ain/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:697: warning: [return] incompatible types in return.

```
return null; // Added to allow compilation
```

type of expression: null (NullType)

method return type: @Initialized @NonNull Description

Infer:

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:695:

error: Null Dereference

object 'spec' last assigned on line 692 could be null and is dereferenced at line 695.

693. List<Method> testMethods = fTestMethods;

694. for (Method method : testMethods)

695. > spec.addChild(methodDescription(method));

696.

697. return null; // Added to allow compilation

TRUE POSITIVE: spec is only null because of our stub

dataset 3, snippet 57

8 warnings:

- 3 from TS
- 1 from infer
- 4 from openJML

lines 629-634 in Tasks_2

TS:

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:630: warning: Cannot call readObject on null

```
String classname = (String) in.readObject();
```

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:630: warning: Unsafe cast

```
String classname = (String) in.readObject();
```

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:631: warning: Unsafe cast

```
String devname = (String)in.readObject();
```

All fps: in is clearly supposed to be nonnull (and needs to be annotated as such); and the other two are javac FPs.

Infer:

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:633:

error: Null Dereference

object returned by 'getInstance()' could be null and is dereferenced at line 633.

```
631. String devname = (String)in.readObject();
632.
633. > DeviceIf dev = DriverFactory.getInstance().createDevice(classname, devname);
634. } // Added to allow compilation
635.
```

TRUE POSITIVE: stubbing

OpenJML:

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:630: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (PossiblyBadCast) in method s57: a java.lang.Object cannot be proved to be a java.lang.String

```
String classname = (String) in.readObject();
```

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:631: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (PossiblyNullDeReference) in method s57

```
String devname = (String)in.readObject();
```

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:631: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (PossiblyBadCast) in method s57: a java.lang.Object cannot be proved to be a java.lang.String

```
String devname = (String)in.readObject();
```

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:633: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (InvariantEntrance:

/home/authors/openjml/specs/java/lang/CharSequence.jml:30:) in method s57: (Caller: cog_complexity_validation_datasets.Three.Tasks_2.s57(), Callee:

cog_complexity_validation_datasets.Three.Tasks_2.DriverFactory.createDevice(java.lang.String,java.lang.String))

```
DeviceIf dev = DriverFactory.getInstance().createDevice(classname, devname);
```

All are FPs. The first and third warnings are redundant with javac. The fourth is the string FP from OpenJML. The second indicates a missing spec.

VERIFIED

dataset 3, snippet 28

3 warnings, all from TS.

lines 876-884 in Tasks_1

There is no indication that any of these might be nullable. So, I think this is a need for specifications indicating that they're nonnull.

VERIFIED

dataset 3, snippet 2

9 warnings:

- 2 from the CF
- 2 from openiml
- 5 from the typestate checker

lines 546-560 of Tasks_1

CF:

/home/authors/Code-Complexity-Research/complexity-verification-project/simple-datasets/src/m ain/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:551: warning: [return] incompatible types in return.

```
return null;
```

type of expression: null (NullType)

method return type: @Initialized @NonNull Object

/home/authors/Code-Complexity-Research/complexity-verification-project/simple-datasets/src/m ain/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:555: warning: [array.access.unsafe.high.constant] Potentially unsafe array access: the constant index 0 could be larger than the array's bound

Action action = menu.getSubItems()[0].getAction();

^

found: @UnknownVal AbstractButton @UnknownVal [] required: @MinLen(1) -- an array guaranteed to have at least 1 elements

Both are FPs. The first is caused because there is no @Nullable annotation on the return type. The second is caused by the CF not being aware that the getSubitems() method is pure.

OpenJML:

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:555: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (PossiblyTooLargeIndex) in method s2

Action action = menu.getSubItems()[0].getAction();

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:551: verify: Associated method exit return null;

These warnings are exactly the same as the CF warnings, and have the same causes, so they're also FPs.

TS:

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:555: warning: Cannot call getAction on null

Action action = menu.getSubItems()[0].getAction();

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:551: warning: Incompatible return value: cannot cast from Null to Shared{java.lang.Object} return null;

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:548: warning: Cannot assign: cannot cast from Shared{java.lang.Object} | Null to Shared{cog_complexity_validation_datasets.Three.Tasks_1.ActionMenu} | Null ActionMenu menu = actionList.get(0);

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:548: warning: Cannot access [cog_complexity_validation_datasets.Three.Tasks_1.actionList]

ActionMenu menu = actionList.get(0);

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:547: warning: Cannot access [cog_complexity_validation_datasets.Three.Tasks_1.actionList] if (actionList.size() == 1) {

These are all false positives. The first is caused by a lack of nullness specs for getSubitems. The second is like the warnings on the same line from the other two checkers. The third is totally nonsensical and seems to be caused by type erasure. The last two are about accessing fields, which indicate missing annotations.

VERIFIED

dataset 3, snippet 19

3 warnings, all from TS

lines 771-777 of Tasks 1

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:776: warning: Cannot assign because [this.constType] is not accessible here constType = type;

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:774: warning: Cannot assign because [this.core] is not accessible here

```
core = new ConstraintCore();
```

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:775: warning: Cannot assign because [this.constName] is not accessible here

```
constName = name;
```

All FPs indicating missing annotations to allow mutation.

VERIFIED

dataset 3, snippet 98

3 warnings:

- 2 from TS
- 1 from OpenJML

lines 870-875 in Tasks_3

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_3.java:871: warning: Incompatible parameter: cannot cast from Shared{java.lang.Object} | Null to Shared{java.lang.Object}

Description description = Description.createSuiteDescription(name); simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog complexity validation datasets/Three/Tasks 3.java:872: warning: Cannot call testCount on null int n= ts.testCount(); Both FPs indicating missing nullability annotations. OpenJML: cog complexity validation datasets/Three/Tasks 3.java:872: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (PossiblyNullDeReference) in method s98 int n= ts.testCount(); Same. **VERIFIED** dataset 3, snippet 54 4 warnings, all from TS lines 591-598 in Tasks 2 simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog complexity validation datasets/Three/Tasks 2.java:595: warning: Incompatible parameter: cannot cast from Shared{cog_complexity_validation_datasets.Three.Tasks_2.Ns} | Null to Shared(cog complexity validation datasets.Three.Tasks 2.Ns) addColumn(t, "PROCEDURE CAT", Types.VARCHAR); simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog complexity validation datasets/Three/Tasks 2.java:595: warning: Cannot access [cog_complexity_validation_datasets.Three.Tasks_2.Types.VARCHAR] addColumn(t, "PROCEDURE CAT", Types.VARCHAR); simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog complexity validation datasets/Three/Tasks 2.java:597: warning: Cannot access [cog_complexity_validation_datasets.Three.Tasks_2.Types.VARCHAR] addColumn(t, "PROCEDURE NAME", Types.VARCHAR, false); // not null

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:596: warning: Cannot access [cog_complexity_validation_datasets.Three.Tasks_2.Types.VARCHAR]

addColumn(t, "PROCEDURE SCHEM", Types.VARCHAR);

All FPs. The first appears to be concerned about the receiver parameter being nullable? Or maybe t? The former is 100% impossible; the latter there is no indication of (and would be solvable with annotations regardless). The other three are access errors, which could easily be solved via annotation.

VERIFIED

dataset 3, snippet 73

2 warnings, both from TS

lines 524-533 in Tasks_3

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_3.java:526: warning: Incompatible parameter: cannot cast from Shared{java.lang.String} | Null to Shared{java.lang.String}

return Assert.format(message, fExpected, fActual);

٨

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_3.java:526: warning: Incompatible parameter: cannot cast from Shared{java.lang.String} | Null to Shared{java.lang.String}

 $return\ Assert.format (message,\ fExpected,\ fActual);$

۸

These two warnings are about fExpected and fActual, which definitely are nullable at this point. However, the code *explicitly* checks for their nullability before making this call, so this code must be assuming that Assert#format can take nullable parameters. The TS checker is missing that info: that is, it needs more annotations. The CF not warning about this guarantees that fact, actually, because it would warn by default: however, it must have a built-in model for Assert#format that permits nullability.

VERIFIED

dataset 3, snippet 84

6 warnings, all from TS

lines 671-679 in Tasks 3

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_3.java:678: warning: Cannot assign because [this.core.refColArray] is not accessible here

```
core.refColArray = refCols;
simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog complexity validation datasets/Three/Tasks 3.java:677:
warning: Cannot access [this.core.colLen]
    core.colLen = core.mainColArray.length;
simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog complexity validation datasets/Three/Tasks 3.java:678:
warning: Cannot access [this.core.refColArray]
    core.refColArray = refCols;
simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog complexity validation datasets/Three/Tasks 3.java:676:
warning: Cannot assign because [this.core.mainColArray] is not accessible here
    core.mainColArray = mainCols;
simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog complexity validation datasets/Three/Tasks 3.java:676:
warning: Cannot access [this.core.mainColArray]
    core.mainColArray = mainCols;
       ٨
simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_3.java:677:
warning: Cannot assign because [this.core.colLen] is not accessible here
                    = core.mainColArray.length;
    core.colLen
               ٨
All FPs related to accessibility and missing annotations about it.
VERIFIED
dataset 3, snippet 20
1 warning from TS
lines 781-786
simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:782:
warning: Cannot assign: cannot cast from Null | Primitive{int} to Primitive{int}
    int eventId = active? ON: OFF;
       ٨
```

ON and OFF are primitives and cannot be null, so this is obviously an FP.

VERIFIED

dataset 3, snippet 41

```
6 warnings, all from TS
lines 426-434 of Tasks 2
simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog complexity validation datasets/Three/Tasks 2.java:429:
warning: Cannot access [cog_complexity_validation_datasets.Three.Tasks_2.EQ]
         t = jj_consume_token(EQ);
simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog complexity validation datasets/Three/Tasks 2.java:429:
warning: Cannot assign because [this.t] is not accessible here
         t = jj_consume_token(EQ);
          ٨
simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:428:
warning: Cannot access [cog complexity validation datasets.Three.Tasks 2.EQ]
       case EQ:
          ٨
simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:431:
warning: Cannot access [cog complexity validation datasets.Three.Tasks 2.NE]
       case NE:
          ٨
simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:432:
warning: Cannot access [cog complexity validation datasets.Three.Tasks 2.NE]
         t = jj_consume_token(NE);
```

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:432: warning: Cannot assign because [this.t] is not accessible here

t = jj_consume_token(NE);

All are access warnings, which are all FPs.

VERIFIED

dataset 3, snippet 55

6 warnings, all from TS

```
lines 602-612 of Tasks 2
```

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:606: warning: Incompatible parameter: cannot cast from Null | Primitive{boolean} to Primitive{boolean}

loadMissionChip(gc, color, expertMission);

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:606: warning: Incompatible parameter: cannot cast from Shared{java.awt.GraphicsConfiguration} | Null to Shared{java.awt.GraphicsConfiguration}

loadMissionChip(gc, color, expertMission);

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:605: warning: Cannot call getDefaultConfiguration on null

.getDefaultConfiguration();

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:609: warning: Cannot assign because [this.missionChip] is not accessible here

missionChip = expertMissionChips.get(color);

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:604: warning: Cannot call getDefaultScreenDevice on null

GraphicsConfiguration gc =

Graphics Environment.get Local Graphics Environment ().get Default Screen Device ()

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:606: warning: Incompatible parameter: cannot cast from Shared{java.lang.Object} | Null to Shared{java.lang.Object}

loadMissionChip(gc, color, expertMission);

All FPs. The nullability warnings all indicate missing annotations. The access warnings do, too.

VERIFIED

dataset 3, snippet 60

3 warnings:

- 2 from TS
- 1 from OpenJML

lines 658-662 of Tasks_2

TS:

```
simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:659: warning: Cannot call getResponseBoolean on null
```

boolean response = warehouseDialog.getResponseBoolean();

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:661: warning: Incompatible return value: cannot cast from Primitive{boolean} to Shared{java.lang.Object}

return response;

۸

Both FPs. First is a missing nullability annotation. Second is just false: you certainly can cast from boolean to Object (such casts are automatic and safe).

OpenJML:

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:660: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (NullFormal:

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_2.java:1680:) in method s60: warehouseDialog in remove(cog_complexity_validation_datasets.Three.Tasks_2.WareHouse) remove(warehouseDialog);

Λ

Missing nonnull spec on warehouseDialog; resolvable by annotation. FP.

VERIFIED

dataset 3, snippet 26

31 warnings:

- 9 from the CF
- 5 from the TS checker
- 17 from openJML

lines 851-859 in Tasks_1

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:853: warning: Incompatible parameter: cannot cast from Shared{java.lang.Object} | Null to Shared{java.lang.Object}

System.arraycopy(buffer, bufpos - len + 1, ret, 0, len);

٨

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:855: warning: Incompatible parameter: cannot cast from Shared{java.lang.Object} | Null to Shared{java.lang.Object}

System.arraycopy(buffer, bufsize - (len - bufpos - 1), ret, 0,

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:853: warning: Incompatible parameter: cannot cast from Null | Primitive{int} to Primitive{int}

System.arraycopy(buffer, bufpos - len + 1, ret, 0, len);

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:853: warning: Incompatible parameter: cannot cast from Shared{java.lang.Object} | Null to Shared{java.lang.Object}

System.arraycopy(buffer, bufpos - len + 1, ret, 0, len);

simple-datasets/src/main/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:855: warning: Incompatible parameter: cannot cast from Shared{java.lang.Object} | Null to Shared{java.lang.Object}

System.arraycopy(buffer, bufsize - (len - bufpos - 1), ret, 0,

All FPs. Nullability is not a problem here, especially for ints: ints cannot be null, and for the rest, annotations could easily resolve them. The TS checker is really missing the complexity of this method.

CF:

/home/authors/Code-Complexity-Research/complexity-verification-project/simple-datasets/src/m ain/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:853: warning: [argument] incompatible argument for parameter arg1 of arraycopy.

System.arraycopy(buffer, bufpos - len + 1, ret, 0, len);

found: @LowerBoundUnknown int

required: @NonNegative int

/home/authors/Code-Complexity-Research/complexity-verification-project/simple-datasets/src/m ain/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:853: warning: [argument] incompatible argument for parameter arg4 of arraycopy.

System.arraycopy(buffer, bufpos - len + 1, ret, 0, len);

found: @LowerBoundUnknown int

required: @NonNegative int

/home/authors/Code-Complexity-Research/complexity-verification-project/simple-datasets/src/m ain/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:853: warning: [argument] incompatible argument for parameter arg4 of arraycopy.

System.arraycopy(buffer, bufpos - len + 1, ret, 0, len);

٨

found: @UpperBoundUnknown int

required: @LTLengthOf(offset={"this.bufpos - this.len + 1 - 1", "0 - 1"}, value={"this.buffer", "this.ret"}) int

/home/authors/Code-Complexity-Research/complexity-verification-project/simple-datasets/src/m ain/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:855: warning: [argument] incompatible argument for parameter arg1 of arraycopy.

System.arraycopy(buffer, bufsize - (len - bufpos - 1), ret, 0,

٨

found: @LowerBoundUnknown int

required: @NonNegative int

/home/authors/Code-Complexity-Research/complexity-verification-project/simple-datasets/src/m ain/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:856: warning: [argument] incompatible argument for parameter arg4 of arraycopy.

found: @LowerBoundUnknown int

required: @NonNegative int

/home/authors/Code-Complexity-Research/complexity-verification-project/simple-datasets/src/m ain/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:856: warning: [argument] incompatible argument for parameter arg4 of arraycopy.

```
len - bufpos - 1);
```

found: @UpperBoundUnknown int

required: @LTLengthOf(offset={"this.bufsize - (len - bufpos - 1) - 1", "0 - 1"}, value={"this.buffer", "this.ret"}) int

/home/authors/Code-Complexity-Research/complexity-verification-project/simple-datasets/src/m ain/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:857: warning: [argument] incompatible argument for parameter arg3 of arraycopy.

System.arraycopy(buffer, 0, ret, len - bufpos - 1, bufpos + 1);

found : @LowerBoundUnknown int

required: @NonNegative int

/home/authors/Code-Complexity-Research/complexity-verification-project/simple-datasets/src/m ain/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:857: warning: [argument] incompatible argument for parameter arg4 of arraycopy.

```
System.arraycopy(buffer, 0, ret, len - bufpos - 1, bufpos + 1);
```

found: @LowerBoundUnknown int

required: @NonNegative int

/home/authors/Code-Complexity-Research/complexity-verification-project/simple-datasets/src/m ain/java/cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:857: warning: [argument] incompatible argument for parameter arg4 of arraycopy.

```
System.arraycopy(buffer, 0, ret, len - bufpos - 1, bufpos + 1);
```

found: @UpperBoundUnknown int required: @LTLengthOf(offset={"0 - 1", "this.len - this.bufpos - 1 - 1"}, value={"this.buffer", "this.ret"}) int

All FPs: all of these warnings are caused by missing annotations on the various integer values involved ('len', 'bufpos'). System.arraycopy has a very difficult-to-satisfy specification (because it is a direct wrapper of the C standard library's memcopy!), so given arbitrary integers as the lengths, as here, it is unsurprising that the CF warns.

OpenJML:

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:855: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (ArithmeticOperationRange) in method s26: overflow in int difference System.arraycopy(buffer, bufsize - (len - bufpos - 1), ret, 0,

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:855: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (ArithmeticOperationRange) in method s26: overflow in int difference System.arraycopy(buffer, bufsize - (len - bufpos - 1), ret, 0,

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:855: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (ArithmeticOperationRange) in method s26: underflow in int difference System.arraycopy(buffer, bufsize - (len - bufpos - 1), ret, 0,

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:855: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (ArithmeticOperationRange) in method s26: underflow in int difference System.arraycopy(buffer, bufsize - (len - bufpos - 1), ret, 0,

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:856: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (ArithmeticOperationRange) in method s26: overflow in int difference len - bufpos - 1);

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:855: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (ArithmeticOperationRange) in method s26: underflow in int difference System.arraycopy(buffer, bufsize - (len - bufpos - 1), ret, 0,

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:855: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (Precondition: /home/authors/openjml/specs/java/lang/System.jml:312:) in method s26

System.arraycopy(buffer, bufsize - (len - bufpos - 1), ret, 0,

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:856: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (ArithmeticOperationRange) in method s26: underflow in int difference len - bufpos - 1);

٨ cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:852: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (ArithmeticOperationRange) in method s26: overflow in int sum if ((bufpos + 1) >= len)cog complexity validation datasets/Three/Tasks 1.java:853: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (Precondition: /home/authors/openjml/specs/java/lang/System.jml:312:) in method s26 System.arraycopy(buffer, bufpos - len + 1, ret, 0, len); cog complexity validation datasets/Three/Tasks 1.java:853: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (ArithmeticOperationRange) in method s26: overflow in int difference System.arraycopy(buffer, bufpos - len + 1, ret, 0, len); cog complexity validation datasets/Three/Tasks 1.java:856: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (ArithmeticOperationRange) in method s26: underflow in int difference len - bufpos - 1); cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:857: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (ArithmeticOperationRange) in method s26: underflow in int difference System.arraycopy(buffer, 0, ret, len - bufpos - 1, bufpos + 1); cog complexity validation datasets/Three/Tasks 1.java:857: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (ArithmeticOperationRange) in method s26: overflow in int difference System.arraycopy(buffer, 0, ret, len - bufpos - 1, bufpos + 1); cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:853: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (ArithmeticOperationRange) in method s26: overflow in int sum System.arraycopy(buffer, bufpos - len + 1, ret, 0, len); cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:857: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (Precondition: /home/authors/openjml/specs/java/lang/System.jml:312:) in method s26 System.arraycopy(buffer, 0, ret, len - bufpos - 1, bufpos + 1); cog complexity validation datasets/Three/Tasks 1.java:857: verify: The prover cannot establish an assertion (ArithmeticOperationRange) in method s26: overflow in int sum System.arraycopy(buffer, 0, ret, len - bufpos - 1, bufpos + 1);

cog_complexity_validation_datasets/Three/Tasks_1.java:851: verify: Validity is unknown - time
or memory limit reached: : Aborted proof: timeout
 public void s26() {

٨

All are FPs:

- the warnings about System.jml correspond to the warnings from the CF.
- the warnings about over- and under-flow are borderline, but definitely resolvable with annotations. They cannot be true positives, since java arrays are 32-bit addressable but ints are 64 bit on modern machines.

VERIFIED