

COMS20012: Memory Corruption

Joseph Hallett



bristol.ac.uk

So what is all this about?

- Software has bugs
 - Sometimes we can use these bugs to violate security principles
- Memory corruption bugs (and memory safety)
 - Corrupt the memory of a program to violate security principles
 - Can lead to:
 - > arbitrary read
 - > arbitrary write
 - ➤ control flow hijack
 - > control flow corruption



Next few lectures

- We're going to go over some memory corruption bugs and how to exploit them to cause weird behaviour
 - -...but first a bit more on memory corruption *in general*



Pointers

- Pointers allow you to refer to (semi) arbitrary memory addresses in most programming languages
 - -Well... in C
- Some languages claim not to have them (e.g. Java)
 - Not strictly true... just not usually as easy to abuse as Cs
 - (no arithmetic, no arbitrary addresses)
- To introduce a bug...
 - Get a pointer pointing somewhere it shouldn't



Example

```
#include <stdio.h>
int main(void) {
 int x;
 int buffer[4];
 x = 0;
 printf("x = %d\n", x);
 buffer[-2] = 1;
 printf("&x = %p\n", &x);
 printf("buffer = %p\n", buffer);
 printf("buffer-2 = %p\n", buffer-2);
 printf("x = %d\n", x);
 return 0;
```

Obviously a warning...

(though this is technically allowed by the C standard so not an error 2)



But without assigning to x...

```
[joseph@Dingus-Mingus Desktop % ./example
x = 0
&x = 0x16bcff6e0
buffer = 0x16bcff6e8
buffer-2 = 0x16bcff6e0
x = 1
```



There is a whole lot more fun to be had

- What happens if you go beyond a local array's end?
 - You can get arbitrary execution...
 - (See Smashing the stack for fun and profit)
 - Sometimes called a spatial error
- What happens if you use memory after you've freed it?
 - You can get an arbitrary write...
 - (See the *malloc maleficarum*)
 - Sometimes called a temporal error
- What happens if you can pass an arbitrary string to printf?
 - You can get an arbitrary write...
 - (See format string vulnerabilities)
 - WTF C?



The problem with C (and C++)

- C was designed to write operating systems
- Programmers were expected to know what they were doing
 - −i.e. if you're going off the end of an array its deliberate and not a mistake
- If you don't know what you're doing C can be dangerous...
 - There is no type safety like Java or Haskell
 - You can do strange maths with pointers
 - Semantics are weird and surprising
 - Programmers have been trained to ignore warnings...



How do we fix this?

- Short term:
 - Don't teach programmers unsafe practice
 - Listen to your compiler (-Wall -Wextra -Weverything -Werror)
- Longer term
 - Maybe we should make it harder to do dangerous things?
 - Lots of legacy C code out there (some even pre-ANSI C)
 - Do you really need pointers to write fast applications?
 - Will the cost of rewriting 30 year old code in a memory safe language outweigh the bugs you'll inevitable introduce rewriting it?