Computational Fluid Dynamics HW1

Almog Dobrescu

ID 214254252

January 10, 2025

CONTENTS LIST OF FIGURES

Contents

1	Invi	iscid Burgers Equation	2
	1.1	Boundary and Initial Conditions	2
	1.2	Finite Volume Formulation	2
	1.3	First Order Roe Method $(u_1 = 0.0)$	2
		1.3.1 CFL number	3
	1.4	Second Order Roe $(u_1 = 0.5)$	3
		1.4.1 Without Limiters	4
		1.4.2 With Limiters	4
0	C .	······································	5
Z	Cien	neralized Burgers Equation	'n

List of Figures

1 Inviscid Burgers Equation

The Inviscid Burgers equation, in conservation law form, is given by:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} = 0 \quad F = F_{(u)} = \frac{u^2}{2} \tag{1}$$

In non-conservation law form, is given by:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + A \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = 0 \quad A = \frac{\partial F}{\partial u} = u \tag{2}$$

The equation is obtained by neglecting the viscous term from the viscous Burger equation.

1.1 Boundary and Initial Conditions

$$u_{(x=0,t)} = 1.0$$

 $u_{(x=1,t)} = u_1$
 $u_{(x,t=0)} = 1 - (1 - u_1) \cdot x$ (3)

1.2 Finite Volume Formulation

$$u_i^{n+1} = u_i^n - \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} \left(f_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^n - f_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^n \right) \tag{4}$$

- For first-order schemes, there is no variation within a cell, and the value there is constant.
- For second-order schemes, the variation within the cell is linear.

1.3 First Order Roe Method $(u_1 = 0.0)$

Roe scheme is based on the solution of the linear problem:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \bar{A}\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = 0 \tag{5}$$

Where \bar{A} is a constant matrix that is dependent on local conditions. The matrix is constructed in a way to guarantee unifrom validity across discontinuities:

1. For any u_i , u_{i+1} :

$$F_{i+1} - F_i = \bar{A} \cdot (u_{i+1} - u_i)$$

2. When $u = u_i = u_{i+1}$ then:

$$\bar{A}_{(u_i,u_{i+1})} = \bar{A}_{(u,u)} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial u} = u$$

In case of the Burgers equation, the matrix \bar{A} is a scalar, namely, $\bar{A}=\bar{u}$. The equation becomes:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \bar{u}\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = 0 \tag{6}$$

The value of \bar{u} for the cell face between i and i+1 is determined from the first conditions:

$$\bar{u} = \bar{u}_{i+\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{F_{i+1} - F_i}{u_{i+1} - u_i} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}u_{i+1}^2 - \frac{1}{2}u_i^2}{u_{i+1} - u_i} = \begin{cases} \frac{u_i + u_{i+1}}{2} & u_i \neq u_{i+1} \\ u_i & u_i = u_{i+1} \end{cases}$$
(7)

The single wave that emanates from the cell interface travels either in the positive or negative direction, depending upon the sighn of $\bar{u}_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$. Define:

$$\begin{cases}
\bar{u}_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{+} \triangleq \frac{1}{2} \left(\bar{u}_{i+\frac{1}{2}} + \left| \bar{u}_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \right| \right) \geq 0 \\
\bar{u}_{i+\frac{1}{2}} = \bar{u}_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{+} + \bar{u}_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{-} \\
\bar{u}_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{-} \triangleq \frac{1}{2} \left(\bar{u}_{i+\frac{1}{2}} - \left| \bar{u}_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \right| \right) \leq 0
\end{cases} (8)$$

Using the jump relation, the numerical flux at the cell interface can be evaluated by one of the following:

$$\begin{cases}
f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} - F_i = \bar{u}_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^- \cdot (u_{i+1} - u_i) \\
F_{i+1} - f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} = \bar{u}_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^+ \cdot (u_{i+1} - u_i)
\end{cases}$$
(9)

The numerical flux may then be written in the following symmetric from:

$$f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{F_i + F_{i+1}}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\bar{u}_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^+ - \bar{u}_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^- \right) (u_{i+1} - u_i)$$
OR:
$$f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{F_i + F_{i+1}}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \left| \bar{u}_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \right| (u_{i+1} - u_i)$$
(10)

Since Roe's scheme can't distinguish between the types of discontinuity, it may result in an expansion shock where the analytical solution is an expansion wave. To guarantee a physical solution the scheme will be modified like so:

 $\varepsilon = \max\left(0, \frac{u_{i+1} - u_i}{2}\right)$

The interface wave speed becomes

$$\bar{u}_{i+\frac{1}{2}} = \begin{cases} \bar{u}_{i+\frac{1}{2}} & \bar{u}_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \ge \varepsilon & \text{compression} \\ \varepsilon & \bar{u}_{i+\frac{1}{2}} < \varepsilon & \text{expansion} \end{cases}$$
(11)

1.3.1 CFL number

Define

For the Roe method, the CFL number is defined as:

$$CFL = \frac{u\Delta t}{\Delta x} \tag{12}$$

We will want to set the maximal value of the *CFL* number. We will find the Δt at each cell and (Δt_i) and set the Δt of the current step as:

$$\Delta t = \min\left(\Delta t_i\right) \ \forall i \tag{13}$$

1.4 Second Order Roe $(u_1 = 0.5)$

The first-order accurate Roe method interface flux function will be denoted like this:

$$f_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Roe},1} = f_{(u_i,u_{i+1})}$$

The second order accurate Roe takes the form:

$$f_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{\mathrm{Roe},2} = f_{\left(u_{i+1}^{l},u_{i+1}^{r}\right)}$$

Hence:

$$f_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Roe},2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(F_{\left(u_{1+\frac{1}{2}}^{l}\right)} + F_{\left(u_{1+\frac{1}{2}}^{r}\right)} - \left| \bar{u}_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \right| \left(u_{1+\frac{1}{2}}^{r} - u_{1+\frac{1}{2}}^{l} \right) \right)$$

$$\bar{u}_{1+\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{F_{\left(u_{1+\frac{1}{2}}^{r}\right)} - F_{\left(u_{1+\frac{1}{2}}^{l}\right)}}{u_{1+\frac{1}{2}}^{r} - u_{1+\frac{1}{2}}^{l}} = \frac{u_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{l} + u_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{r}}{2}$$

$$(14)$$

1.4.1 Without Limiters

The interface values without limiters are evaluated as:

$$\begin{cases}
 u_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{l} &= u_{i} + \frac{1-k}{4} \delta u_{i-\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1+k}{4} \delta u_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \\
 u_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{r} &= u_{i+1} - \frac{1+k}{4} \delta u_{i+\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1-k}{4} \delta u_{i+\frac{3}{2}}
\end{cases} \qquad \delta u_{i} \triangleq u_{i+\frac{1}{2}} - u_{i-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{15}$$

The parameter k determines the scheme:

$$k = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{upwind} \\ 1 & \text{central} \end{cases}$$

1.4.2 With Limiters

The interface values with limiters are evaluated as:

$$\begin{cases} u_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{l} = u_{i} + \frac{1-k}{4} \overline{\delta^{+}} u_{i-\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1+k}{4} \overline{\delta^{-}} u_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \\ u_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{r} = u_{i+1} - \frac{1+k}{4} \overline{\delta^{+}} u_{i+\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1-k}{4} \overline{\delta^{-}} u_{i+\frac{3}{2}} \end{cases} \overline{\delta^{\pm}} u \text{ are limited slopes}$$
 (16)

 $\overline{\delta}$ is an operator such that $\overline{\delta}u_i = \psi \delta u_i$, where $\psi(r)$ is a limiter function and:

$$r^{\pm} = \begin{cases} r_{1+\frac{1}{2}}^{+} & \triangleq \frac{u_{i+2} - u_{i+1}}{u_{i+1} - u_{i}} = \frac{\Delta u_{i+1}}{\Delta u_{i}} \\ r_{1+\frac{1}{2}}^{-} & \triangleq \frac{u_{i} - u_{i-1}}{u_{i+1} - u_{i}} = \frac{\nabla u_{i}}{\nabla u_{i+1}} \end{cases}$$
(17)

There are many types of limiters. For example, the van Albada limiter:

$$\psi\left(r\right) = \frac{r+r^2}{1+r^2}\tag{18}$$

2 Generalized Burgers Equation