

# Homework 9

Andrew Tindall  
Analysis I

November 22, 2019

**Problem 1.** Given an open box  $A = (a_1, b_1) \times \cdots \times (a_n, b_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  of finite, positive volume, construct a sequence  $\varphi_n$  of functions in  $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $\varphi_n \nearrow \mathbf{1}_A$ .

*Proof.* We use the following step function:

$$\sigma(x) = \begin{cases} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{1-x^2}\right), & -1 < x < 0 \\ 0, & x \leq -1 \\ 1, & x \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

It is smooth, and it is 0 for  $x \leq -1$  and 1 for  $x \geq 0$ . Then, using the two linear transformations

$$\begin{aligned} x &\mapsto \frac{x-a}{\varepsilon} - 1, \text{ and} \\ y &\mapsto -\frac{y-b+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}, \end{aligned}$$

which map  $[a, a+\varepsilon]$  and  $[b, b-\varepsilon]$  to  $[-1, 0]$ , respectively, we get the two step functions

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\varepsilon,a}(x) &= \begin{cases} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{1-((x-a)/\varepsilon-1)^2}\right) & , x \in (a, a+\varepsilon) \\ 0, & x \leq a \\ 1, & x \geq a+\varepsilon \end{cases} \\ g_{\varepsilon,b}(x) &= \begin{cases} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{1-(-(y-b+\varepsilon)/\varepsilon)^2}\right) & , b-\varepsilon < x < b \\ 0, & x \geq b \\ 1, & x \leq b-\varepsilon \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Then, using these two step functions, and assuming that  $a+\varepsilon < b-\varepsilon$ , we have the following bump function:

$$h_{a,b,\varepsilon}(x) = \begin{cases} f_{\varepsilon,a}(x), & x \leq a+\varepsilon \\ 1, & a+\varepsilon < x < b-\varepsilon \\ g_{\varepsilon,b}(x), & x \geq b-\varepsilon \end{cases}$$

This is a smooth function with compact support that is 0 outside of  $(a, b)$ , and is 1 inside of  $(a + \varepsilon, b - \varepsilon)$ .

Now, let  $m$  be the minimum value of  $b_i - a_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and let  $\varepsilon < m/2$ . Define the function  $H_\varepsilon : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$H_\varepsilon(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (h_{a_1, b_1, \varepsilon}(x_1), \dots, h_{a_n, b_n, \varepsilon}(x_n)).$$

Because each component function is smooth, and has compact support,  $H$  is  $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty$ , and is 0 outside of  $A$  and 1 inside the box  $(a_1 + \varepsilon, b_1 - \varepsilon) \times \dots \times (a_n + \varepsilon, b_n - \varepsilon)$ .

Finally, let  $\varphi_n = H_{m/2^{n+1}}$ . This is a monotone increasing sequence of functions which, for any point in the interior of  $A$ , is eventually 1, and is always 0 for any point in the complement of  $A$ . Therefore,  $\varphi_n \nearrow \mathbf{1}_A$ .  $\square$

**Problem 2.** Prove that if  $A \in \mathcal{L}_{n+m}$  has measure 0, then for almost every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  the set:

$$A_y := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; (x, y) \in A\}$$

is in  $\mathcal{L}_n$  and has measure 0. (I did this problem in  $x$  instead of  $y$  before noticing my mistake; the argument is symmetric)

*Proof.* We first prove that the set  $A_x$  is in  $\mathcal{L}_m$ , for all  $x$ . The following proof follows Rudin in [1]:

Let  $\Omega$  be the class of all  $A \in \mathcal{L}_{n+m}$  such that  $A_x \in \mathcal{L}_m$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . If  $A = (a, b) \times (c, d)$  then  $A_x = (c, d)$  if  $x \in (a, b)$ , and  $\emptyset$  otherwise. Therefore, every measurable rectangle  $(a, b) \times (c, d)$  belongs to  $\Omega$ . The following statements show that  $\Omega$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, and therefore that it is equal to  $\mathcal{L}_{n+m}$ :

- (a)  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m} \in \Omega$
- (b) If  $A \in \Omega$ , then  $(A^c)_x = (A_x)^c$ , so  $(A^c)_x$  is measurable and  $A^c \in \Omega$
- (c) If  $A_i \in \Omega$  for  $i \in (1, 2, 3, \dots)$  and  $A = \bigcup A_i$ , then  $A_x = \bigcup (A_i)_x$ , so it  $A_x$  is measurable, and  $A \in \Omega$

So,  $\Omega = \mathcal{L}_{n+m}$ , and every set  $A$  in  $\mathcal{L}_{n+m}$  is such that  $A_x$  is measurable for all  $x$ .

Now, assume that  $A$  has measure 0; we show that  $A_x$  has measure 0 for almost every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $X_\varepsilon$  be the set of all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $m(A_x) \geq \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in X_\varepsilon$ ; by the above argument,  $(\bigcup_{\varepsilon > 0} X_\varepsilon)^c$  is the set of all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $A_x$  has measure 0.

Fix some  $\varepsilon > 0$ ; we show that  $X_\varepsilon$  has measure 0. To do this, fix  $\delta > 0$ ; we show that  $m(X_\varepsilon) \leq \delta$ .

Let  $A_\varepsilon$  be the set  $\{(x, y) \in A; x \in X_\varepsilon\}$ . Since  $A$  has measure 0, we can cover it with a union of boxes with total size  $\leq \delta\varepsilon$ . By definition of  $A_\varepsilon$ , the width of each box (its measure when projected onto its  $y$ -component) must be  $\geq \varepsilon$ ; therefore the sum of the heights of the boxes must be  $\leq \delta$ . Because  $\delta$  was arbitrary, the projection of  $A_\varepsilon$  onto its  $x$ -components must be measure 0, meaning that  $X_\varepsilon$  has measure 0.

Let  $X$  be the set of all  $x$  such that  $A_x$  has measure  $> 0$ . Because  $X = \bigcup X_\varepsilon$ , a countable union of measure 0 sets, it must also have measure 0, which was to be shown.  $\square$

**Problem 3.** *incomplete*

**Problem 4.** Let  $f_n : [0, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be given by:

$$f_n(x) = n \frac{\sin x}{1 + n^2 \sin^2 x}.$$

For a given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , find explicitly the Egoroff set  $E_\varepsilon$  on which the sequence  $f_n$  converges uniformly, and such that  $\mu(E_\varepsilon) > \pi - \varepsilon$ .

*Proof.* We show that  $[\varepsilon/3, \pi - \varepsilon/3]$  satisfies the requirements. The measure of this set is  $\pi - 2\varepsilon/3$ , so it satisfies the measure requirement; we can also show that  $f_n \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on  $E_\varepsilon$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Each  $f_n$  is the composition of the rational function  $g_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$g_n(x) = n \frac{x}{1 + n^2 x^2}$$

with the function  $\sin : [0, \pi] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ . Because  $\sin$  is smooth and monotone on  $[0, \pi/2]$  and on  $[\pi/2, \pi]$ , with bounded first derivative, it suffices to show that  $g_n$  converges smoothly to 0 on  $\sin([\varepsilon/3, \pi - \varepsilon/3])$ , which is  $[\sin(\varepsilon/3), 1]$ .

We wish to show that, for  $\delta > 0$ , there exists some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for all  $n \geq N$ ,  $|g_n(x)| < \delta$  for all  $x \in [\sin(\varepsilon/3), 1]$ .

First, we note that for large enough  $n$ ,  $g_n$  is monotone decreasing on  $[\sin(\varepsilon/3), 1]$ . In particular, this holds for all  $n > \sin^{-1}(1/\varepsilon)$ , as  $g'_n$  has only one 0 in  $[0, 1]$ , which is at  $1/n$ . For  $n > \sin^{-1}(3/\varepsilon)$ , this maximum falls outside of the range  $[\sin(\varepsilon/3), 1]$ , so  $g_n$  is positive and monotone decreasing on this interval, and we need only check that  $g_n(\sin(\varepsilon/3)) < \delta$  for large enough  $n$ :

$$\begin{aligned} n \frac{\sin(\varepsilon/3)}{1 + n^2 \sin^2(\varepsilon/3)} &< \delta \\ n \sin(\varepsilon/3) &< \delta + \delta n^2 \sin^2(\varepsilon/3) \\ 0 &< \delta n^2 \sin^2(\varepsilon/3) - n \sin(\varepsilon/3) + \delta \end{aligned}$$

Because this is a quadratic polynomial in the  $\sin$  terms with positive first coefficient, it suffices to show that, for sufficiently large  $n$ , both zeroes of the polynomial

$$\delta n^2 x^2 - nx + \delta$$

are less than  $\sin(\varepsilon/3)$ . By the quadratic formula, the zeroes are

$$\frac{n \pm \sqrt{n^2 - 4\delta n^2}}{2\delta^2 n^4} = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 4\delta}}{2\delta^2 n^3}.$$

Assuming  $\delta$  is small enough,  $\sqrt{1 - 4\delta}$  is close to 1, so the zeroes are at approximately 0 and  $1/\delta^2 n^3$ , with neither one greater than  $1/\delta^2 n^3$ . For  $n > (\delta^2 \sin(\varepsilon/3))^{-1/3}$ , both zeroes are less than  $[\sin(\varepsilon/3)]$ , and so the function  $g_n$  is bounded as desired. Therefore,  $f_n$  converge uniformly to 0 on  $E_\varepsilon$ .  $\square$

**Problem 5.** Prove that for every set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  which is not of Lebesgue measure 0, there holds:

$$\forall c \in (0, 1) \quad \exists P \subset \mathbb{R}^n \quad \mu^*(A \cap P) > c\mu^*(P).$$

*Proof.* We show the contrapositive: if  $A$  is a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that there exists a  $c$  for which, for all closed boxes  $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\mu^*(A \cap P) \leq c\mu^*(P)$ , then  $A$  is of Lebesgue measure 0.

First, we note that if this property holds for all closed boxes  $P$ , it holds for all countable unions of these sets as well, by subadditivity of the outer measure.

Now, assume  $A$  has the given property, for some  $c \in (0, 1)$ , and let  $\varepsilon = 1 - c$ . Let  $A_m$  be the set  $A \cap ([-m, m] \times \cdots \times [-m, m])$ , which has finite outer measure. The same property, with  $c\mu^*(P)$  bounding the measure of  $\mu^*(P \cap A_m)$ , assuming that  $P \subset [-m, m] \times \cdots \times [-m, m]$ . Take  $P = [-m, m] \times \cdots \times [-m, m]$ ; then  $\mu^*(P) = (2m)^n$ , which is less than  $\infty$ . Write  $M = (2m)^n$ , then we see that

$$\mu^*(A_m \cap P) \leq (1 - \varepsilon)M$$

By definition of the Lebesgue outer measure, there must be some countable set of boxes whose union contains  $A_m$ , and such that the outer measure of their union is  $(1 - \varepsilon/2)M$ . Call the union of these boxes  $P_1$ ; then

$$\mu^*(A_m \cap P_1) \leq (1 - \varepsilon)(1 - \varepsilon/2)M$$

Inductively, we can construct a sequence of measurable sets  $P_i$ , such that for each one,

$$\mu^*(A_m \cap P_i) \leq (1 - \varepsilon)(1 - \varepsilon/2)^i M$$

Thus  $A_m$  can be covered by a measurable set of arbitrarily small measure, and it must have Lebesgue measure 0. Because  $A = \bigcup A_m$ , a countable union of measure 0 sets, it too must have Lebesgue measure 0.

□

## References

- [1] Rudin, W. Real and Complex Analysis, 3rd edition.