

# Homework 4

Andrew Tindall  
Algebra II

September 27, 2019

## 1 Problems

**Problem 1.** Dummit & Foote Problem 7.5.2: Let  $R$  be an integral domain and let  $D$  be a nonempty, multiplicatively closed subset of  $R$ . Prove that the ring of fractions  $D^{-1}R$  is isomorphic to a subring of the field of fractions of  $R$ .

*Proof.* Let  $F_R$  be the field of fractions of  $R$ . There are two canonical maps,  $j : R \rightarrow D^{-1}R$  and  $i : R \rightarrow F_R$ , both of which send  $r \in R$  to the formal fraction  $\frac{r}{1}$ .

If  $D$  contains zero, then  $D^{-1}R = 0$ , which is trivially isomorphic to the subring 0 of  $F_R$ . So, we can assume that  $D$  does not contain zero, the only zerodivisor of  $R$ . Therefore, every element of  $D \subset R$  is mapped to a unit in  $R_F$  under the map  $i$ , and we can invoke the universal property of the localization.

The universal property states that  $j$  is initial in the subcategory of  $R/\text{cRing}$  consisting of those maps taking every element of  $D$  to a unit - that is, given a map  $f : R \rightarrow S$  which maps  $D$  to units, there is a unique map  $g : D^{-1}R \rightarrow S$  such that  $g \circ j = f$ .

In particular, the map  $i : R \rightarrow F_R$  can be factored through  $j$  by a unique map  $g : D^{-1}R \rightarrow F_R$  such that  $i = g \circ j$ . We need only show that  $g$  is injective, which will show that  $D^{-1}R$  is isomorphic to the image of  $g$ , which is a subring of  $F_R$ .

To see that this map is injective, let  $\frac{r_1}{d_1}, \frac{r_2}{d_2}$  be two elements of  $D^{-1}R$  such that  $g(r_1/d_1) = g(r_2/d_2)$ .

We first note that for any element  $d \in D$ ,  $g(1/d) = (g(d/1))^{-1}$ , since

$$\begin{aligned} g\left(\frac{1}{d}\right) g\left(\frac{d}{1}\right) &= g\left(\frac{1}{d} \frac{d}{1}\right) \\ &= g(1) \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Using this, we make the following calculation:

$$\begin{aligned}
g\left(\frac{r_1}{d_1}\right) &= g\left(\frac{r_1}{1} \frac{1}{d_1}\right) \\
&= g\left(\frac{r_1}{1}\right) g\left(\frac{1}{d_1}\right) \\
&= g(j(r_1)) g\left(\frac{1}{d_1}\right) \\
&= \frac{r_1}{1} \left(g\left(\frac{d_1}{1}\right)\right)^{-1} \\
&= \frac{r_1}{1} \frac{1}{g(j(d_1))} \\
&= \frac{r_1}{1} \frac{1}{d_1/1} \\
&= \frac{r_1}{1} \frac{1}{d_1} \\
&= \frac{r_1}{d_1}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,  $g(r_2/d_2) = r_2/d_2$ . So, if  $g(r_1/d_1) = g(r_2/d_2)$ , then it must be true that  $\frac{r_1}{d_1} = \frac{r_2}{d_2}$  as elements of the field of fractions. By definition of equality in this field, there must be some nonzero  $r \in R$  such that  $rr_1d_2 = rr_2d_1$ . Then, since  $R$  is an integral domain, we can cancel  $r$  to see that  $r_1d_2 = r_2d_1$ , and finally that  $\frac{r_1}{d_1} = \frac{r_2}{d_2}$  as elements of the localization  $D^{-1}R$ . This is sufficient to see that the map  $g$  is injective, and thus that it is an isomorphism of  $D^{-1}R$  with the subring  $\text{im}(g)$  of  $F_R$ .  $\square$

**Problem 2.** Dummit & Foote, 15.4.18. Prove that  $R_f$ , the localization of  $R$  away from  $f$ , is isomorphic to the quotient ring  $R[x]/(fx - 1)$  if  $f$  is not nilpotent in  $R$ .

*Proof.* We define the localization  $R_f$  as  $S^{-1}R$ , where  $S$  is the multiplicative set formed by all powers  $1, f, f^2, \dots$  of  $f$ .

We first construct a surjective homomorphism  $\varphi$  from  $R[x] \rightarrow R_f$ . We extend the map taking  $r \in R$  to  $\frac{r}{1}$ , and  $x \in R$  to  $\frac{1}{f}$ , so that an arbitrary element  $a \in R[x]$ , where  $a$  is some arbitrary polynomial with coefficients  $a_i$ , is mapped as follows:

$$\varphi\left(\sum a_i x^i\right) = \sum \frac{a_i}{f^i}$$

Now, we show that every element of  $(fx - 1)$  is mapped to zero under this map - let  $b \in (fx - 1)$ , say  $b = b' \cdot (fx - 1)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(b) &= \varphi(b' \cdot (fx - 1)) \\
&= \varphi(b') \cdot \varphi(fx - 1) \\
&= \varphi(b') \cdot \left(\frac{f}{f} - 1\right) \\
&= \varphi(b') \cdot (1 - 1) \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\varphi$  can be lowered to a surjective homomorphism from  $R[x]/(fx - 1)$  to  $S^{-1}R$ .

We now show that  $\varphi$  admits an inverse. We can construct the inverse function by again appealing to the universal property of the localization. The canonical map  $R \rightarrow R[x]$  which identifies  $R$  with the scalars of  $R[x]$ , when composed with the quotient map  $R[x] \rightarrow R[x]/(fx - 1)$ , gives a function  $i : R \rightarrow R[x]/(fx - 1)$  which maps every element  $f^n$  of  $S$  to a unit, since  $x^n f^n = 1$  in this quotient ring.

We therefore know that there is a unique map  $g : S^{-1}R \rightarrow R[x]/(fx - 1)$  such that  $g \circ j = i$ . In particular,  $g$  maps an element  $\frac{r}{1}$  to  $r$ , and it maps the element  $\frac{1}{f}$  to  $f^{-1}$ , which in the ring  $R[x]/(fx - 1)$  is equal to  $x$ .

So, calculating the value of  $g \circ \varphi$  on arbitrary elements of  $R$  gives  $g(\varphi(r)) = g(1/r) = r$ , and on  $x$ , gives  $g(\varphi(x)) = g(1/f) = x$ . This determines the map  $g \circ \varphi$  as the identity. Therefore,  $g$  is an inverse to  $\varphi$ , and the two rings are isomorphic.  $\square$

## 2 Extra Stuff

**Problem 1.** Dummit & Foote, 15.4.2: Let  $I$  be an ideal in a commutative ring  $R$ , let  $D$  be a multiplicatively closed subset of  $R$  with ring of fractions  $S^{-1}R$ , and let  ${}^c({}^e I)$  be the saturation of  $I$  with respect to  $S$ .

- (a) Prove that  ${}^c({}^e I) = R$  if and only if  ${}^e I = S^{-1}R$  if and only if  $I \cap S \neq \emptyset$ .
- (b) Prove that  $I = {}^c({}^e I)$  is saturated with respect to  $S$  if and only if for every  $s \in S$ , if  $sa \in I$  then  $a \in I$ .
- (c) Prove that extension and contraction define inverse bijections between the ideals of  $R$  saturated with respect to  $S$  and the ideals of  $S^{-1}R$ .
- (d) Let  $I = (2x, 3y) \subset \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ . Show the saturation of  $I$  with respect to  $\mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$  is  $(x, y)$ .

*Proof.* Writing  $\pi$  for the canonical map  $R \rightarrow S^{-1}R$ , we note that  ${}^c J = \pi^{-1}J$  for any ideal  $J$  of  $S^{-1}R$ .

- (a)  ${}^c({}^e I) = R$  if and only if  $\pi^{-1}({}^e I) = R$ , if and only if the ideal  ${}^e I$  contains the whole image  $\pi(R)$  of the ring  $R$ . Since  $\frac{1}{1} \in \pi(R)$ , this occurs if and only if the ideal  ${}^e I$  is the whole ring  $S^{-1}R$ .

In turn,  ${}^e I = S^{-1}R$  if and only if  ${}^e I$  contains  $\frac{1}{1}$ . Every element of  ${}^e I$  may be written as  $\frac{i}{s}$  for some elements  $i \in I$ ,  $s \in S$ , so  $\frac{1}{1} \in {}^e I$  if and only if  $\frac{i}{s} = 1$  for some  $i, s$ , which occurs if and only if some  $s \in S$  is also in  $I$ , i.e. iff they have nonempty intersection.

- (b)  $I$  is saturated with respect to  $S$  iff  $I = \pi^{-1}({}^e I)$ . One inclusion  $I \subset \pi^{-1}({}^e I)$  is immediate, since each  $i \in I$  is the inverse image of  $\frac{i}{1} \in {}^e I$ . So we show that the reverse inclusion  $\pi^{-1}({}^e I) \subset I$  holds if and only if, for every  $s \in S$ , if  $sa \in I$  then  $a \in I$ .

Assume first that the condition holds, and let  $a \in \pi^{-1}({}^e I)$  be arbitrary. Then  $\pi(a) = \frac{a}{1}$  may be written as  $\frac{i}{s}$  for some  $s$  in  $S$ ,  $i \in I$ . This means that  $s'a = s'i$  for some  $s' \in S$ . But since  $s'i \in I$ , our condition implies that  $a \in I$ .

On the other hand, assume that  $\pi^{-1}({}^e I) \subset I$ , and let  $s \in S$ ,  $a \in R$  be arbitrary elements such that  $sa \in I$ . Then the element  $\pi(a) = \frac{a}{1} = \frac{sa}{s} \in {}^e I$ . This means that  $a \in \pi^{-1}({}^e I)$ , which by assumption means that  $a \in I$ .

- (c) This follows quickly from the observation that an ideal of  $R$  being saturated means that  ${}^c({}^e I) = I$ , and that (as shown in D&F), for every ideal  $J$  of  $S^{-1}R$ ,  ${}^e({}^c J) = J$ . Restricted to these domains,  ${}^c$  and  ${}^e$  are inverses, and therefore form a bijection.
- (d) We show first that any element  $a$  of  $(x, y)$  may be written as  $\frac{a}{1} = \frac{i}{z}$ , where  $i \in (2x, 3y)$  and  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $\sum_{i+j \geq 1} a_{ij} x^i y^j$  be an element of  $(x, y)$ , and let  $I, J$  be the maximum values of  $i, j$  respectively, such that  $a_{ij} \neq 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{a}{1} &= \frac{\sum_{i+j \geq 1} a_{ij} x^i y^j}{1} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{i+j \geq 1} (2^I 3^J) a_{ij} x^i y^j}{2^I 3^J} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{i+j \geq 1} 2^{I-i} 3^{J-j} a_{ij} (2x)^i (2y)^j}{2^I 3^J} \in {}^e I \end{aligned}$$

We now show that no element  $r$  of  $\mathbb{Z}[x, y] \setminus (x, y)$  may be written as  $\frac{r}{1} = \frac{i}{s}$  for  $i \in I$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $\mathbb{Z}[x, y] \setminus (x, y)$  is simply the scalars  $\mathbb{Z}$ , this is an argument by minimal degree - this can only occur if  $ss'r = s'i$  for nonzero  $s' \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The degree of  $i$  is at least 1,  $s'$  is nonzero, and  $\mathbb{Z}$  is an integral domain, so the degree of  $s'r$  is at least 1. Therefore the degree of  $ss'r$  is at least 1, and because  $s$  and  $s'$  are both integers, the degree of  $r$  is at least 1 and it cannot be a scalar.

□

**Problem 2.** Dummit & Foote, 7.5.5: If  $F$  is a field, prove that the field of fractions of  $F[[x]]$  is the ring  $F((x))$  of formal Laurent series. Show the field of fractions of the ring  $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$  is *properly* contained in the field of Laurent series  $\mathbb{Q}((x))$ .

*Proof.* We construct a homomorphism  $\varphi : F[[x]] \rightarrow F((x))$ , and show that it is both injective and surjective. Let  $g, h \in F[[x]]$  be formal power series, with coefficients  $g_n$  and  $h_n$ , and let  $h_n$  be nonzero:

$$\begin{aligned} g &= \sum_n g_n x^n \\ h &= \sum_n h_n x^n \end{aligned}$$

The element  $g/h$  is a generic element of the field of fractions of  $F[[x]]$ . We want  $\varphi(g/h)$  to be “ $g/h$ ” in some reasonable way. It is possible to divide formal power series using the formula for  $1/h$ , which gives a well-defined power series as long as the zero-th coefficient  $h_0$  is nonzero (D&F, Exercise 7.2.3). This is not necessarily true for our  $h$ , but it has at least one nonzero coefficient; let  $h = x^i h'$ , where  $i$  is the degree of the lowest nonzero term of  $h$ . Then  $h^{-1} = x^{-i} h'^{-1}$ , which is a well-defined formal Laurent series.

We define  $\varphi$ 's value on  $g/h$  as follows:

$$\varphi(g/h) = x^{-i} \cdot g \cdot h'^{-1} = gh^{-1}$$

This is a well-defined function; if  $g_1/h_1 = g_2/h_2$ , then  $g_1h_2 = g_2h_1$ , so

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(g_1/h_1) &= g_1 \cdot h_1^{-1} \\ &= (h_2^{-1}h_2) \cdot g_1 \cdot h_1^{-1} \cdot (g_2g_2^{-1})^{-1} \\ &= h_2^{-1} \cdot (h_1g_2) \cdot (h_1g_2)^{-1}g_2 \\ &= h_2^{-1} \cdot g_2 \\ &= \varphi(g_2/h_2)\end{aligned}$$

It is also a ring homomorphism:  $\varphi$  takes 1 to 1, scalars factor out of the denominator, and  $\varphi(g_1/h_1 + g_2/h_2) = \varphi(g_1/h_1) + \varphi(g_2/h_2)$  - we show this last one with a quick calculation:

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi\left(\frac{g_1}{h_1} + \frac{g_2}{h_2}\right) &= \varphi\left(\frac{g_1h_2 + g_2h_1}{h_1h_2}\right) \\ &= (g_1h_2 + g_2h_1) \cdot (h_1h_2)^{-1} \\ &= g_1h_2 \cdot (h_1h_2)^{-1} + g_2h_1 \cdot (h_1h_2)^{-1} \\ &= g_1h_1^{-1} + g_2h_2^{-1} \\ &= \varphi\left(\frac{g_1}{h_1}\right) + \varphi\left(\frac{g_2}{h_2}\right)\end{aligned}$$

We can also see that  $\varphi$  is injective, but I won't run through the proof here; we finally see that it is surjective, as if  $\sum_{i=-n}^{\infty} g_i x^i$  is a formal Laurent series, then  $g = x^{-n}g'$ , where  $g'$  is a formal power series with no terms with negative exponents, and  $g = \varphi(g'/x^n)$ .

It is *not* true that, for a more general ring, the ring of fractions of its polynomial ring is equal to the ring of Laurent series over that ring's field of fractions: for example, the field of fractions of  $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$  does not contain  $\mathbb{Q}((x))$ : the series  $\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{x^n}{n!}$  is not equal to the formal fraction of any two power series with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , as the denominators of its terms grow too quickly (?).  $\square$

**Problem 3.** Dummit & Foote, 7.4.30: Let  $I$  be an ideal of the commutative ring  $R$ . Prove that the radical of  $I$  is an ideal containing  $I$ , and that  $(\text{rad } I)/I = \mathfrak{N}(R/I)$ , the nilradical of  $R/I$ .

*Proof.* It is clear that the radical of  $I$  contains  $I$ , since for any  $x \in I$ ,  $x^1 \in I$ . On the other hand, if  $f$  and  $g$  are members of the radical of  $I$ , say  $f^n \in I$  and  $g^m \in I$ , then  $f + g$  is also a member of the radical of  $I$ , because  $(f + g)^{m+n} \in I$ , because every term of  $(f + g)^{m+n}$  either has  $f^n$  or  $g^m$  as a factor, and if  $f$  is a member of the radical of  $I$  with  $f^n \in I$ , and  $a \in R$  is an arbitrary element, then  $(fa)^n = f^n a^n \in I$ . Therefore  $\text{rad } I$  is an ideal.

We now show that  $(\text{rad } I)/I = \mathfrak{N}(R/I)$ . If  $f + I \in (\text{rad } I)/I$ , then  $(f + I)^n = f^n + I = 0$ , so  $f + I$  is in the nilradical of  $R/I$ . On the other hand, if  $f + I$  is in the nilradical, then  $(f + I)^n = f^n + I = 0$ , meaning that  $f^n \in I$  for some  $n$ , so  $f + I$  is in  $\text{rad}(I) + I$ . So indeed the two ideals are equal.  $\square$