cubical evaluation semantics

Carlo Angiuli Jon Sterling
May 4, 2018

I've been thinking a bit more carefully about the evaluation semantics and the semantic domain. What I think we want to do is take a term and an environment and return a family of values (indexed in restrictions); but crucially, I think it is important that the environment be a vector of *values* and not of value-families. By studying carefully the case for instantiating closures (where the rubber meets the road for variables), I think it becomes clear that this is the right way.

To interpret a variable, we just project from the environment and ignore the restriction. I think the way that we had been thinking of doing it would result in the restriction from inside the closure infecting the argument.

Colors: terms, value families, values, restrictions. I am writing $\phi^* F$ to mean the reindexing of a family by a restriction.

$$\begin{split} & \left[\left[(\lambda\{M\}) \right]_{\rho}^{\pi} = \left[\phi \right] \lambda \langle M, \rho, \pi, \phi \rangle \\ & \left[\left(\Pi \ A \ \{B\} \right) \right]_{\rho}^{\pi} = \left[\phi \right] \Pi \left(\phi^* \left[A \right]_{\rho}^{\pi}, \langle B, \rho, \pi, \phi \rangle \right) \\ & \left[\left[(M \ N) \right]_{\rho}^{\pi} = \underbrace{\mathbf{app}}_{\rho} (\left[M \right]_{\rho}^{\pi}, \left[N \right]_{\rho}^{\pi}) \\ & \left[\left[x_i \right]_{\rho}^{\pi} = \left[_ \right] \rho_i \end{split}$$

We write $\phi \models F \Downarrow V$ as a shorthand for $F[\phi] \equiv V$.

$$\frac{\phi \vDash F \Downarrow \lambda \langle M, \rho, \pi, \psi \rangle}{\phi \vDash \underline{\mathbf{app}}(F, N) \Downarrow \llbracket M \rrbracket_{[\rho, N[\phi]]}^{\pi}[\psi]} \qquad \frac{\phi \vDash F \Downarrow \uparrow^{C}R \qquad : \vDash C \Downarrow \Pi(A, \langle B, \rho, \pi, \psi \rangle)}{\phi \vDash \underline{\mathbf{app}}(F, N) \Downarrow \uparrow^{\psi^{*}} \llbracket^{B} \rrbracket_{[\rho, N[\phi]]}^{\pi}([\chi] \underline{\mathbf{app}}(\chi^{*}R, \chi \circ \phi^{*}N))}$$

$$\frac{\phi \vDash F \Downarrow \mathbf{coe}_{\langle \alpha \rangle C}^{r \leadsto r'} M \qquad \cdot \vDash C \Downarrow \Pi(A, \langle B, \rho, \pi, \psi \rangle)}{\phi \vDash \underline{\mathbf{app}}(F, N) \Downarrow \nu \beta. \nu \gamma. \underline{\mathbf{coe}}_{\langle \beta \rangle}^{r \leadsto r'} \llbracket^{[\pi, (\beta \alpha)]} \underbrace{\mathbf{app}}_{[\rho, \mathbf{coe}_{\langle \gamma \rangle (\gamma \alpha) \cdot A}^{r \leadsto r'} X^{\circ}} \underbrace{\mathbf{app}}_{[\gamma, (\beta \alpha)]} \underbrace{\mathbf{app}}_{[\gamma$$

$$\frac{\cdot \models r = r}{\underbrace{\mathbf{hcom}_{C}^{r \leadsto r'} M \left[\overline{\xi_{i} \hookrightarrow \langle \alpha_{i} \rangle N_{i}} \right] \equiv M[\cdot]}$$

$$\exists_{min} k, \cdot \models \xi_k$$

$$\mathbf{ncom}_{c}^{r \leadsto r'} M \left[\overline{\xi_i} \hookrightarrow \langle \alpha_i \rangle N_i \right] \equiv N_k [\alpha_k = r'] \cdot$$

$$\frac{\cdot \models C \Downarrow \mathbf{bool}}{\underline{\mathbf{hcom}}_{C}^{r \leadsto r'} M \left[\overline{\xi_{i} \hookrightarrow \langle \alpha_{i} \rangle N_{i}} \right] \equiv M[\cdot]}$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{coe}^{r \leadsto r'}}{\langle \alpha \rangle C} M = \begin{cases} \models r[\cdot] = r'[\cdot] & \mapsto & M[\cdot] \\ C[\cdot] \equiv \mathbf{\Pi}(A, B) & \mapsto & \mathbf{coe}^{r \leadsto r'}_{\langle \alpha \rangle C} M \\ C[\cdot] \equiv \mathbf{bool} & \mapsto & M[\cdot] \end{cases}$$