

➤ Auto-Boxing

```
// the following 2 codes are same
Integer vint = 100; // auto-boxing -----
Integer vint2 = Integer.valueOf(i: 100);
```

⌘

⌘ It is auto-boxing,

⌘ When we assign any **primitive** value to **wrapper class** (just like here assigning int value (100) to wrapper (Integer)). under the hood it is getting converted using that **valueOf** method.

⌘ **Integer.valueOf()** method takes **int** value as an argument and return one **Integer** type of object in return.

➤ Auto-unboxing

```
Integer vint = 100; // auto-boxing ----
int x = vint; // auto-unboxing -----
```

⌘

⌘ It is auto-unboxing.

⌘ Here, the wrapper type (**Integer**) is getting converted to primitive type (**int**).

```
int x = vint; // auto-unboxing -----
int y = vint.intValue(); // manual unboxing -----
```

⌘

⌘ This is what happens under the hood. **intValue** method returns the primitive i.e. **int** value of the wrapper i.e. **Integer**.

GENERIC

- The below is one non-generic class.

```
class MyIntClass {
    Integer obj;

    MyIntClass(Integer obj) {
        this.obj = obj;
    }
}

class MyStrClass {
    String obj;

    MyStrClass(String obj) {
        this.obj = obj;
    }
}

public class Generic {
    Run | Debug
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        MyIntClass vint = new MyIntClass(obj: 100);
        MyStrClass vstr = new MyStrClass(obj: "Hello");

        int intVal = (Integer) vint.obj;
        String strVal = (String) vstr.obj;

        System.out.println("Integer Value: " + intVal);
        System.out.println("String Value: " + strVal);
    }
}
```

- In here, for the same operations, we need to create different different classes for different types of data types. To overcome this issue, we can use **Generic classes**.
- The below is the example with **Generic class**.

```
class MyGenericClass<T> {
    T obj;

    MyGenericClass(T obj) {
        this.obj = obj;
    }
}

public class Generic {
    Run | Debug
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        MyGenericClass<Integer> vint = new MyGenericClass<Integer>(obj: 100);
        MyGenericClass<String> vstr = new MyGenericClass<String>(obj: "Hello");

        int intVal = vint.obj;
        String strVal = vstr.obj;

        System.out.println("Integer Value: " + intVal);
        System.out.println("String Value: " + strVal);
    }
}
```

- ⌘ <T> denotes the **Object** type.
- ⌘ **Generic type cannot take primitive type. It only takes the Objects (i.e. wrappers).**
- In the below example you can see if one class/interface is implementing/inheriting another **interface** or **class**, then it should include the class for the generic class.

```
interface MyInterface<T> {  
    void display(T obj);  
}  
  
class MyGenericClass<T> implements MyInterface<T> {  
    T obj;  
  
    MyGenericClass(T obj) {  
        this.obj = obj;  
    }  
  
    public void display(T obj) {  
        System.out.println("Value: " + obj);  
    }  
}
```

- ⌘ MyInterface<T> is mentioned with the MyGenericClass<T>
- You can give multi-letter generic type as well. But the convention is to use single letter.

```
class Box<TA> {  
    private TA value;  
  
    public void setValue(TA value) {  
        this.value = value;  
    }  
  
    public TA getValue() {  
        return value;  
    }  
}
```

- Multiple types can also be used.

```
class Pair<K, V> {
    private K key;
    private V value;

    public Pair(K key, V value) {
        this.key = key;
        this.value = value;
    }

    public K getKey() {
        return this.key;
    }

    public V getValue() {
        return this.value;
    }
}

public class Generic {
    Run | Debug
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        Pair<String, Integer> pair = new Pair<>(key: "Age", value: 30);
        System.out.println("Key: " + pair.getKey() + ", Value: " + pair.getValue());
    }
}
```

- Conventions:

- ⌘ **T** : Type
- ⌘ **K, V** : Key and Value in case of maps
- ⌘ **E** : Element (Used in Collections)
- ⌘ **N** : Number

- In the below example, I used T in the implemented interface and in the methods as well. But didn't defined it; So, it'll give me error.

```
interface Container<T> {  
    void add(T items);  
  
    T get();  
}  
  
class GenericContainer implements Container<T> {  
  
    private T item;  
  
    @Override  
    public void add(T item) {  
        this.item = item;  
    }  
  
    @Override  
    public T get() {  
        return this.item;  
    }  
}
```

```
interface Container<T> {  
    void add(T items);  
  
    T get();  
}  
  
class GenericContainer<T> implements Container<T> {  
  
    private T item;  
  
    @Override  
    public void add(T item) {  
        this.item = item;  
    }  
  
    @Override  
    public T get() {  
        return this.item;  
    }  
}
```

- ☞ Now it is fixed.

➤ Bounded type

- ⌘ It means the **object type** should be such that it will be inheriting one **Class** or/and implementing **one/more** interface(s).

```
interface Wheels {
    void setWheelSize(int size);
}

interface Luxury {
    void setLuxuryLevel(String level);
}

class Vehicle implements Wheels, Luxury {
    private int wheelSize;
    private String luxuryLevel;

    @Override
    public void setWheelSize(int size) {
        this.wheelSize = size;
    }

    @Override
    public void setLuxuryLevel(String level) {
        this.luxuryLevel = level;
    }
}

class GenericVehicle<T extends Vehicle & Wheels & Luxury> {
    private T vehicle;

    public void setVehicle(T vehicle) {
        this.vehicle = vehicle;
    }

    public T getVehicle() {
        return vehicle;
    }
}
```

- ⌘ **T extends class & interface1 & interface2**
- ⌘ If you only want T to implement only interfaces then:
 - ⌘ T extends **interface1 & interface2** (NOTE: **extends** keyword will be used)
- ⌘ The **class** should be written first (if you want T to extend some class); If you write **class** in 2nd or 3rd or... after places, it'll assume that that is **interface** (not class)

```
}
The type Vehicle is not an interface; it cannot be used as a
parameter Java(16777745)
Vehicle
View Problem (Alt+F8) Quick Fix... (Ctrl+.) Fix (Ctrl+I)
class GenericVehicle<T extends Wheels & Vehicle & Luxury> {
```

- First one can be **class** or **interface** doesn't matter; but after **first**, it must be interfaces.
- The reason is, in java, multiple inheritance is not supported; extending multiple classes won't be allowed; so if you want, then write the class in the first place then go ahead with the interfaces.

Here you can say why to write like this **T extends SomeClass**, we can directly write **SomeClass** and we can use **SomeClassB** instead of that **T**; but what is the problem?

Lets consider the below example:

```
class Parent {
    public String toString() {
        return "This is parent class";
    }
}

class Child extends Parent {
    public String toString() {
        return "This is child class";
    }
}
```

I have 2 classes; **Parent** and **Child**. **Child extends Parent class**.

```
class SomeRandomClass {
    Parent ob;

    public Parent get() {
        return this.ob;
    }

    public void set(Parent ob) {
        this.ob = ob;
    }
}
```

This class accepts **Parent** type values; so we can also use **Child** values here.


```

SomeRandomClass src = new SomeRandomClass();

src.set(new Parent());
Parent val = src.get();
System.out.println(val);

src.set(new Child());
Parent val2 = src.get();
System.out.println(val2);

```

- * Here it is perfectly fine because we are keeping the return value of **get** method in a variable of type **Parent**.
- * And also, in the class **SomeRandomClass**, the variable is of type **Parent** so it can store **Child** type objects without doing **upcasting** explicitly.
- * **NOTE: upcasting** happens by default; but for **downcasting**, you need to explicitly mention.

```

src.set(new Child());
Child val2 = src.get();
System.out.println(val2);

```

- * Now it'll fail, because **get** method is returning one **Parent** type object and we are creating variable of **Child** type.

```

src.set(new Child());
Child val2 = (Child) src.get();
System.out.println(val2);

```

- * Now it'll work properly because we did **downcasting** here.

Now, the problem is, why to use this **downcasting** and **upcasting** ; its not generic anymore.

```

class SomeClass<T extends Parent> {
    T ob;

    public T get() {
        return this.ob;
    }

    public void set(T ob) {
        this.ob = ob;
    }
}

```

- * I have one more class here which is using **generic** type **T** which needs to inherit the **Parent** class.

- * One more thing, **T extends Parent** means, **T** can be **Parent** itself or any subclass of **Parent**.

```
SomeClass<Child> sc = new SomeClass<>();  
sc.set(new Child());  
Child ob = sc.get();
```

- * Now it'll perfectly work.

Generic Constructor

- If we don't want to explicitly write any generic type **T** for the whole class, but just want to use the generic for the constructor then we can do this like below:

```
class Boxx {  
    public <T> Boxx(T value) {  
    }  
}
```

```
Boxx ob = new Boxx(value: 5);  
Boxx ob2 = new Boxx(value: "Alok");
```

* You can use like this.

➤ Generic Method

```
public <T> void someMethod(T ob) {  
}
```

Return type is: **void**

```
public <T> T getElem(T[] array) {  
    return array[0];  
}
```

Return type: **T**

Here I am getting one array of type **T**, and returning the first value of the array which is of course of type **T** only.

```
Boxx ob = new Boxx();  
  
// it'll not work, because generic doesn't support primitive types  
System.out.println(ob.getElem(new int[] { 1, 2, 3, 4 }));  
  
System.out.println(ob.getElem(new Integer[] { 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 }));  
  
System.out.println(ob.getElem(new String[] { "some", "any", "other" }));  
  
// also the following is not a valid java syntax  
System.out.println(ob.getElem({ "some", "any", "other" }));
```

⚠ The first one is giving error because **int[]** is a primitive type array and generic doesn't support primitive.

⚠ The last one is not valid syntax because **Java never allows a raw array initializer as an expression.**

⚡ {1,2,3} or {"some", "any", "other"} is NOT an object, NOT an array, NOT an expression. It is ONLY a shorthand allowed in one specific place: variable initialization. {...}.

⚡ In simple terms, {1,2,3} or {"some", "other"} ..etc are shorthand for new int[] {1,2,3} or new String[] {"some", "other"} only when it is being initialized to a variable. Apart from this, it is not valid anywhere else.

```
public <T> void display(T ob) {  
}  
  
public void display(Integer elem) {  
}
```

⚡

⚡ It is method overloading. If Integer is passed then the 2nd method will be called. Otherwise the first method will be called.

⚡

```
enum Operation {  
    ADD, SUBTRACT, MULTIPLY, DIVIDE;  
  
    public <T extends Number> Double apply(T a, T b) {  
        Double a_Double = a.doubleValue(); // doubleValue is there inside Number  
        Double b_Double = b.doubleValue();  
        switch (this) {  
            case ADD:  
                return a_Double + b_Double;  
            case SUBTRACT:  
                return a_Double - b_Double;  
            case MULTIPLY:  
                return a_Double * b_Double;  
            case DIVIDE:  
                return a_Double / b_Double;  
        }  
        return 0.0;  
    }  
}
```

⚡

⚡ I wrote one generic method inside enum here. [switch (this)]

```
Operation add = Operation.ADD;  
  
System.out.println(Operation.ADD.apply(a: 4, b: 5));  
System.out.println(Operation.SUBTRACT.apply(a: 4, b: 5));  
System.out.println(Operation.MULTIPLY.apply(a: 4, b: 5));  
System.out.println(Operation.DIVIDE.apply(a: 12, b: 5));
```

⚡

⚡ Now we can use like this.

Wildcards in Generics

- Wildcards (?) is used in method arguments or class definitions to represent an **unknown** type.
 - ⌘ The type can be specified later or be more loosely defined.
 - ⌘ If you are just doing **read** operation and **not returning** anything then **wildcards** is useful.
- In the below example, you can see, we are only reading the values.

```
public <T> void printArrayList(ArrayList<T> list) {  
    for (T o : list) {  
        System.out.println(o);  
    }  
}
```

- We can write like the below:

```
public void printArrayList(ArrayList<?> list) {  
    for (Object o : list) {  
        System.out.println(o);  
    }  
}
```

- ⌘ No need to explicitly mention <?> before void just like the generic type T.
- In the below example, we are returning something.

```
public static Object getFirst(ArrayList<?> list) {  
    return list.get(index: 0);  
}
```

- ⌘ Here, Object is the parent class of every class, so it'll not give any error in the method.
- ⌘ But, we need to explicitly **downcast** in the function call step.

```
ArrayList<Integer> list = (ArrayList<Integer>) Arrays.asList(...a: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6);  
Integer val = (Integer) getFirst(list);
```

- ⌘ If we don't downcast that, it'll give error.

```
ArrayList<Integer> list = (ArrayList<Integer>) Arrays.asList(...a: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6);  
Integer val = getFirst(list);
```

- So, the method should be using generic only.

```
public static <T> T getFirst(ArrayList<T> list) {  
    return list.get(index: 0);  
}
```

➤ NOTE

- ♣ `Arrays.asList()` returns `List` type.

```
public static <T> List<T> asList(T... a) {  
    return new ArrayList<>(a);  
}
```

- ♣ As the return type of `List<T>`, and its returning `ArrayList<T>` so its basically doing **upcasting** (which is not needed to be explicitly mentioned).
- ♣ So, there can be 2 possibilities:

```
List<Integer> list1 = Arrays.asList(...a: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6);  
  
ArrayList<Integer> list2 = (ArrayList<Integer>) Arrays.asList(...a: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6);
```

- ♣ If you don't **downcast** it then it'll give error just like below.

```
List<Integer> list1 = Arrays.asList(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6);  
ArrayList<Integer> list2 = Arrays.asList(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6);
```

Type mismatch: cannot convert from List<Integer> to ArrayList<Integer>
View Problem (Alt+F8) Quick Fix... (Ctrl+.) Fix (Ctrl+I)

- ♣ One more thing, as the **generic** doesn't support **primitive** type then how are we able to pass normal **1,2,3 ..** inside the function as arguments.

- ♣ You can see, in the definition of `asList` method, `(T... a)` is mentioned.

- ♣ So, the **int** values are getting **auto-boxing** by default.

- ♣ NOTE: We are not assigning **T** as **int**, but we are passing the **int** value; which are being converted to **Integer**.

- ♣ The above is same as the below:

```
List<Integer> list1 = Arrays.asList(Integer.valueOf(1), Integer.valueOf(2), Integer.valueOf(3),  
    Integer.valueOf(4), Integer.valueOf(5), Integer.valueOf(6));
```

- ♣ `Integer.valueOf` is used to convert **int** to **Integer**.

- So, in shorts: when only **read-only** operation is being done and **not returning** anything; then **wildcards** can be used.

- It can also **extend** some class just like generic **T**

```
public static double sum(ArrayList<? extends Number> numbers) {  
    double sum = 0;  
    for (Number o : numbers)  
        sum += o.doubleValue();  
    return sum;  
}
```

- ♣ It is **upper-bound**.
- ♣ It means max **Number** class can be given. Parent of **Number** cannot be given.

- Below is the example of **lower-bound**

```
public static void printNumbers(List<? super Integer> list) {  
    for (Object o : list) {  
        System.out.println(o);  
    }  
}
```

⌘

- ⌘ **super** keyword is used for this.

⌘ **NOTE:** You **cannot** write **T super Integer** for generics.

- In case of upper bound, we can't do **write** operation like below.

```
public static void copy(ArrayList<? extends Number> from, ArrayList<? extends Number> to){  
    for (Number num : from)  
        to.add(num);  
}
```

⌘

- ⌘ The **to** list can be of **Integer**, **Double** or anything.
- ⌘ Lets say the **from** list is of type **Integer**.
- ⌘ Here you need to know the type of ? in **to** list to store the array i.e. the type of **to** is **Double**, and you are trying to store **Number** type (which is super class of **Double** and **Integer**), but to store **Parent type in Child type** you need to do downcast explicitly. Which is not possible in this case without knowing the exact type of **to** list.
- ⌘ So, if we know the **sub-class** then we can downcast and perform the **write** operation.

⌘ So, we can perform **write operation in lower-bound type of wildcards**.

➤

➤ F

➤ F

➤ F

➤ F

➤ F

➤ F

➤

➤