# Functional programming, Seminar No. 4

Danya Rogozin Lomonosov Moscow State University, Serokell ÖÜ

Higher School of Economics Faculty of Computer Science

#### Intro

#### On the previous seminar, we

- introduced parametric polymorphism
- discussed type classes and their examples (Show, Eq. Ord, etc)

#### Intro

#### On the previous seminar, we

- introduced parametric polymorphism
- discussed type classes and their examples (Show, Eq, Ord, etc)

#### Today, we

- study pattern matching and such type constructions as algebraic data types, new types, type synonyms, and records
- learn folds
- talk about lazy evalution enforcing more systematically

### Pattern matching

Let us take a look at the following functions:

```
swap :: (a, b) \rightarrow (b, a)

swap (a, b) = (b, a)

length :: [a] \rightarrow Int

length [] = 0

length (x : xs) = 1 + length xs
```

### Pattern matching

Let us take a look at the following functions:

```
swap :: (a, b) -> (b, a)
swap (a, b) = (b, a)

length :: [a] -> Int
length [] = 0
length (x : xs) = 1 + length xs
```

- Expressions like (a,b), [], and (x : xs) are ofteb called patterns
- In such calls as swap (45, True) or lenght [1,2,3], we deal with pattern matching

### Pattern matching

Let us take a look at the following functions:

```
swap :: (a, b) -> (b, a)
swap (a, b) = (b, a)

length :: [a] -> Int
length [] = 0
length (x : xs) = 1 + length xs
```

- Expressions like (a,b), [], and (x : xs) are ofteb called patterns
- In such calls as swap (45, True) or lenght [1,2,3], we deal with pattern matching
- One needs to check that constructors (,) and ( : ) are relevant.
- In the call swap (45, True), variables a and b are binded with the values 45 and True.
- In the call lenght [1,2,3], variables x and xs are binded with the values 1 and [2,3]

## Algebraic data types. Enumerations

• The simplest example of an algebraic data type is a data type defined with an enumeration of constructors that stores no values.

```
data Colour = Red | Blue | Green | Purple | Yellow deriving (Show, Eq)
```

The example of pattern matching for this data type

## Algebraic data types. Products

- The example of a product data type:
- data Point = Point Double Double
- 2 deriving Show
- 1 > :type Point
- 2 Point :: Double -> Double -> Point
- The example of a function
- 1 taxCab :: Point -> Point -> Double
- $_2$  taxCab (Point x1 y1) (Point x2 y2) =
- abs (x1 x2) + abs (y1 y2)
- The example in a GHCi session
- 1 > taxCab (Point 3.0 5.0) (Point 7.0 9.0)
- 2 8.0

### Polymorphic data types

- The point data type might parametrised with a polymorphic type:
- data Point a = Point a a
- deriving Show
- data Point a = Pt a a
- deriving Show
- The type of the Point constructor
  - >:type Point
  - Point ::  $a \rightarrow a \rightarrow Point a$
- Point is a type operator. One also has a type (kind) system for type operators:
  - > :k Point
- 2 Point :: \* -> \*

## Polymorphic data types and type classes

Suppose we have a function:

```
midPoint :: Fractional a => Point a -> Point a -> Point a and point (Pt x1 y1) (Pt x2 y2) = Pt ((x1 + x2) / 2) ((y1 + y2) / 2)
```

Playing with GHCi:

### Polymorphic data types and type classes

Suppose we have a function:

```
midPoint :: Fractional a => Point a -> Point a -> Point a
midPoint (Pt x1 y1) (Pt x2 y2) = Pt ((x1 + x2) / 2) ((y1 + y2) / 2)
```

• Playing with GHCi:

```
> :t midPoint (Pt 3 5) (Pt 6 4)
midPoint (Pt 3 5) (Pt 6 4) :: Fractional a => Point a
midPoint (Pt 3 5) (Pt 6 4)
midPoint (Pt 3 5) (Pt 6 4)
Pt 4.5 4.5
: t it
it :: Fractional a => Point a
```

- The type of point is a polymorphic itself. But one needs to use ad hoc polymorphism (the Fractional context) to apply division.
- On the other hand, polymorphism here is ambiguous. The fractional type is Double by default. Haskell has a defaulting mechanism for numerical data types



### Inductive data types

The list is the first example of an inductive data type

```
data List a = Nil | Cons a (List a)
deriving Show
```

- Data constructors are Nil :: List a and Cons :: a -> List a -> List a
- The processing of such data types: pattern matching and recursion

```
concat :: List a -> List a -> List a
concat Nil ys = ys
concat (Cons x xs) ys = Cons x (xs 'concat' ys)
```

The GHCi session:

```
    > x = Cons 'a' (Cons 'b' Nil)
    > y = Cons 'c' (Cons 'd' Nil)
    > concat x y
    Cons 'a' (Cons 'b' (Cons 'c' (Cons 'd' Nil)))
```

#### Standard lists

• The list data type is a default one, but its approximate definition is the following one:

```
infixr 5 :
data [] a = [] | a : ([] a)
deriving Show
```

• Some syntax sugar

$$[1,2,3,4] == 1:2:3:4:[]$$

• The example of a definition with built-in lists:

```
infixr 5 ++
(++):: [a] -> [a] -> [a]
(++) [] ys = ys
(++) (x:xs) ys = x : xs ++ ys
```

### case ... of ... expressions

• case ... of ... expressions allows one to perform pattern matching everywhere

```
filter :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> [a]
filter p [] = []
filter p (x : xs) =
case p x of
True -> x : filter p xs
False -> filter p xs
```

• The pattern matching from the previous slide is a syntax sugar for the corresponding case ... of ... expression

### Semantical aspects of pattern matching

- Pattern matching is performed from up to down and from left to right after that.
- Pattern matching is either
  - succeed
  - or failed
  - or diverged
- Here is an example:
  - foo (1,4) = 7foo (0, ) = 8
- (0, undefined) fails in the first case and it's succeed in the second one
- (undefined, 0) is diverged automatically
- (2,1) is a diverged pattern
- What about (1,7-3)?

### As-patterns

Suppose we have the following function

```
dupHead :: [a] \rightarrow [a]
dupHead (x : xs) = x : x : xs
```

• One may rewrite this function as follows:

```
dupHead :: [a] \rightarrow [a]
dupHead s@(x : xs) = x : s
```

- Here, the name s is assigned to the whole pattern x : xs
- In fact, such a construction is a syntax sugar for the following one. It is not so hard to ensure that both functions have the same behaviour

```
dupHead :: [a] \rightarrow [a]
dupHead (x : xs) =
let s = (x : xs) in x : s
```

### Irrefutable patterns

- Irrefutable patterns are wild-cards, variables, and lazy patterns
- The example of a lazy pattern:

```
> (***) f g (a,b) = (f a, g b)

> (const 2 *** const 1) undefined

*** Exception: Prelude.undefined

> (***) f g ~(a,b) = (f a, g b)

> const 2 *** const 1 $ undefined

(2,1)
```

### The newtype and type declarations

- The keyword type allows one to introduce type synonyms. The example given
- type String = [Char]
- In Haskell, the string data type type is merely a type synonym for the list of characters
- The keyword newtype defined a new type with the single constructor that packs an
  existing types
  - newtype Age = Age Int
- The same type Age defined with the equipped function runAge
  - $_{1}$   $\mathbf{newtype} \ \mathsf{Age} = \mathsf{Age} \ \{ \ \mathsf{runAge} :: \mathbf{Int} \ \}$
- The type of runAge
- > :t runAge
- $_2$  runAge :: Age -> Int



#### Field labels

- Sometimes product data types are too cumbersome:
  - data Person = Person String String Int Float String
- As an alternative, one may define a data type with field labels

```
data Person =
Person { firstName :: String
, lastName :: String
, age :: Int
, height :: Float
, phoneNumber :: String
}
```

- Such a data type is a record with accessors, e.g. firstName :: Person -> String
- In fact, this data type is a product with accessor function



## Field labels and type classes

• Let us recall the Eq type class once more

```
class Eq a where

(==) :: a -> a -> Bool

(/=) :: a -> a -> Bool

instance Eq Int where

x == y = x 'eqInt' y

isZero :: Int -> Bool

isZero x = if x == y then True else False
```

- In fact, type classes are syntax sugar for records defined with field labels
- The constraint Eq a is an additional argument

### Field labels and type classes

• The previous listing has the following meaning (very roughly):

### Some of standard algebraic data types

• The Maybe a data type allows one to define an optional value:

maybe :: b -> (a -> b) -> Maybe a -> b

data Maybe  $a = Nothing \mid Just a$ 

2

5

```
maybe b Nothing = b
      maybe \overline{f}(Just \times) = f \times

    The simple example given

      safeHead :: [a] \rightarrow Maybe a
     safeHead [] = Nothing
      safeHead (x : ) = Just x
       \item The GHCi session:
       > maybe (maxBound :: Int) (+ 176) (safeHead [])
 2
      9223372036854775807
 3
      > maybe (maxBound :: Int) (+ 176) (safeHead [1..1500])
       177
```

### Some of standard algebraic data types

• The Either data type describes one or the other value

```
data Either e a = Left e | Right a

either :: (a -> c) -> (b -> c) -> Either a b -> c

either f _ (Left x) = f x

either _ g (Right x) = g x
```

• The example given:

```
safeTail :: [a] \rightarrow Either String [a]
safeTail [] = Left "I have no tail, mate"
safeTail (_ : xs) = Right xs
```

The GHCi example

```
> either id (map succ) (safeTail [])
"I have no tail, mate"
> either id (map succ) (safeTail "\USdmbqxos\USld+\USokd'rd")
"encrypt me, please"
```

# Strictness in Haskell. The seq function

# Strictness in Haskell. The strict application

# Strictness in Haskell. Bang patterns