Dynami Battery Corp — SBV Protocol (Before & After Revisions) — 2025-10-26

Header

Company: Dynami Battery Corp | Homepage: https://dynami-battery.com/ | As-of: 2025-10-26

BEFORE (Original SBV + Constriction)

Scope: Company site (primary) + 2+ independent sources. Key claims: chemistry-agnostic microstructured electrodes; faster charging and higher capacity; less critical minerals.

Position: Upstream electrode/process supplier; complementary to existing lines.

Bottlenecks (sev 0-5): B1 scale-up=5; B2 EHS/solvent=4; B3 anchor pilot=5; B4 certs=4; B5 unit-econ=4; B6 integration=3; B7 capital=4.

Metrics (fixed-scale): S=29, Md=4.0, Mx=5.0, Cx=1.25 \rightarrow Cl_fix=0.788. Readiness: TRL \approx 5, IRL \approx 3.5, ORL \approx 3.5, RCL \approx 1.5 \rightarrow RI \approx 0.344. RAR \approx 0.073.

Wayback: Unknown—no public snapshot found on 2025-10-26 via pattern search; baseline for "funding since snapshot" skipped.

Evidence highlights: company homepage (value props & services); Third Derivative portfolio ($5 \times$ faster; chemistry-agnostic); Koffman 2025 update (NSF-backed green-solvent work; prototyping); 2023 FuzeHub grant (NECCES/Dynami); NECCES dry-room context.

AFTER (Revised with Likely & Lovely + Skeptical Evidence)

New layers added:

- Evidence-based rating (E, 1–5), Theory-based rating (T, 1–5 with citations), Social proof (SP, 1–5), producing Likely score LS norm.
- Lovely score LV (1-5). Claim Confidence Factor CCF = LS norm×LV norm.
- Evidence Penalty EP for unverified critical claims; RI skeptical = RI×EP.

For headline claim (fast charge + capacity + less critical minerals): E=2 (no independent performance data); T=4 (peer-review supports microstructure transport benefits); SP=3 (accelerator/grant/incubator). LS norm=0.55, $LV=4 \rightarrow LV$ $norm=0.8 \rightarrow CCF=0.44$.

Skeptical readiness: assume 3 of 4 critical claims unverified \rightarrow p_unver=0.75; α =0.25 \rightarrow EP=0.8125. RI skeptical \approx 0.279; RAR \approx 0.059.

Interpretation: core story remains promising (high Lovely), but limited external validation depresses Likely and RI_skeptical; near-term focus is anchored pilots, third-party performance curves, and pre-cert testing.

Citations (date-seen 2025-10-26)

- Dynami homepage (claims & services): https://dynami-battery.com/
- \bullet Third Derivative portfolio (5×, chemistry-agnostic, less critical minerals): https://www.third-derivative.org/portfolio/dynami-battery
- Koffman 2025 update (NSF-backed green-solvent work; prototyping): https://thekoffman.com/dynami-brings-power-and-safer-production-to-battery-innovation/
- FuzeHub 2023 grants (NECCES/Dynami \$50k project): https://oswegocountytoday.com/news/fuzehub-announces-second-round-of-2023-grant-awards-to-support-innovations-in-manufacturing-throughout-new-york-state/
- NECCES dry-room context: https://www.binghamton.edu/centers/necces/dry-room/
- Theory references: RSC 2025 review on electrode microstructure/transport; Wiley 2025 review on solvent-free electrodes.