CSC 433/533 Computer Graphics

Joshua Levine
josh@email.arizona.edu

Lecture 25 Animation 3

Nov. 27, 2019

Today's Agenda

- Reminders:
  - A07 posted
  - Reminder: TCEs! Already at 8.33%. If the class achieves 85% response rate I will award a small amount of extra credit.
- Goals for today: wrap up animation

Integration Methods

Particle System Setup, Revisited

```
class Particle {
  float mass;
  Vector3 position;
  Vector3 velocity;
  Vector3 force;
};
```



Basic Algorithm

1) Clear forces from previous calculations

2) Calculate/accumulate forces for each particle

3) Solve for particle's state (position, velocity) for the next time step h

Solving the Equations of Motion

- Forward (explicit) Euler integration

Euler Step (1768)

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + h \cdot f(t_n, y_n)$$

- **Idea**: start at initial condition and take a step into the direction of the tangent.
- Iteration scheme: $y_n \rightarrow f(t_n, y_n) \rightarrow y_{n+1} \rightarrow f(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) \rightarrow \ldots$

Solving the Equations of Motion

- Forward (explicit) Euler integration
  - x(t+Δt) ← x(t) + Δt v(t)
  - v(t+Δt) ← v(t) + Δt f(x(t), v(t), t) / m



Hodgins

Solving the Equations of Motion

- Forward (explicit) Euler integration
  - x(t+Δt) ← x(t) + Δt v(t)
  - v(t+Δt) ← v(t) + Δt f(x(t), v(t), t) / m
- Problem:
  - Accuracy decreases as Δt gets bigger



Hodgins

Solving the Equations of Motion

Explicit Euler step

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + hf(t_n, y_n)$$

Implicit Euler step

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + hf(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1})$$

Why are these methods called like this?

- **Explicit**: all quantities are known (*given explicitly*)
- **Implicit**: y_{n+1} is unknown (*given implicitly*)

→ solve (nonlinear) equation(s)!

Solving the Equations of Motion

Explicit Euler step

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + hf(t_n, y_n)$$

Implicit Euler step

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + hf(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1})$$

Why are these methods called like this?

- **Explicit**: all quantities are known (*given explicitly*)
- **Implicit**: y_{n+1} is unknown (*given implicitly*)
- Stability conditions for Euler
  - Explicit $y_n = (1+h\lambda)^n y_0 \quad < \infty \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad |1+h\lambda| \quad < 1$
  - Implicit $y_n = (1-h\lambda)^{-n} y_0 \quad < \infty \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad |1-h\lambda|^{-1} < 1$

⇨ **Implicit Euler is stable for all $h > 0$!**

More Complex Particle Systems

Particle System Forces

- Gravity
  - Force due to gravitational pull (of earth)
  - g = acceleration due to gravity (m/s^2)

$$f_g = mg$$

g = (0, -9.80665, 0)

Particle System Forces

- Drag
  - Force due to resistance of medium
  - k_{drag} = drag coefficient (kg/s)

$$f_d = -k_{drag} v$$



  - Air resistance sometimes taken as proportional to v^2

Particle System Forces

- Gravitational pull of other particles
  - Newton's universal law of gravitation

$$f_G = G\frac{m_1 \cdot m_2}{d^2}$$

$G = 6.67428 \times 10^{-11}$ N m^2 kg^{-2}



Examples: Fountain, Gravity

Spring-Mass Models

Binary, *n*-ary Forces

Much more interesting behaviors to be had from particles that interact

Simplest: binary forces, e.g. springs

$$\vec{f}_i(\vec{x}_i, \vec{x}_j) = -k_s(\|\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_j\| - r_{ij})\frac{\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_j}{\|\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_j\|}$$

Nice example project with mass-spring systems:

- https://vimeo.com/73188339

More sophisticated models for deformable things use forces relating 3 or more particles

Particle System Forces

- Springs
  - Hooke's law

$$f_H(p) = k_s\big(d(p,q) - s\big)\ D$$

$$D = (q-p)/\|q-p\|$$
$$d(p,q) = \|q-p\|$$
$$s = \text{resting length}$$
$$k_s = \text{spring coefficient}$$



Examples: Rope

http://www.cs.princeton.edu/courses/archive/spring14/cos426/assignment4/examples/examples.html

Particle System Forces

- Spring-mass mesh



Hodgins

Solving Spring-Mass Systems

- Can be set up as an Euler integration
- $\mathbf{f}_0$ accumulates the spring forces, $\mathbf{M}^{-1}$ is a matrix of the masses at each point
- $\Delta\mathbf{x}$, $\Delta\mathbf{v}$ describe the discretized position and velocity update

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Delta\mathbf{x} \\ \Delta\mathbf{v} \end{pmatrix} = h \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{v}_0 \\ \mathbf{M}^{-1}\mathbf{f}_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Compare with implicit form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Delta\mathbf{x} \\ \Delta\mathbf{v} \end{pmatrix} = h \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{v}_0 + \Delta\mathbf{v} \\ \mathbf{M}^{-1}\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_0 + \Delta\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}_0 + \Delta\mathbf{v}) \end{pmatrix}$$

Baraff, Witkin. SIGGRAPH 1998. https://www.cs.cmu.edu/~baraff/papers/sig98.pdf

Examples: Cloth, Flag

http://www.cs.princeton.edu/courses/archive/spring14/cos426/assignment4/examples/examples.html

Collision

Particle System Forces

- Collisions
  - Collision detection
  - Collision response



Witkin

Particle System Forces

- Collision detection
  - Intersect ray with scene
  - Compute up to Δt at time of first collision, and then continue from there



Witkin

Collision Detection



Collision Response for Particles



Collision Response for Particles



$v=v_n+v_t$

normal component
tangential component

Collision Response for Particles

- Tangential velocity v_t *often* unchanged
- Normal velocity v_n reflects:

$$v = v_t + v_n$$

$$v \leftarrow v_t - \varepsilon v_n$$

- Coefficient of restitution ε
- When $\varepsilon = 1$, mirror reflection







Collisions – Overshooting

- Usually, we detect collision when it is too late: we are already inside



Collisions – Overshooting

- Usually, we detect collision when it is too late: we are already inside
- Solution: Back up
  - Compute intersection point
  - Ray-object intersection!
  - Compute response there
  - Advance for remaining fractional time step



Collisions – Overshooting

- Usually, we detect collision when it is too late: we are already inside
- Solution: Back up
  - Compute intersection point
  - Ray-object intersection!
  - Compute response there
  - Advance for remaining fractional time step
- Other solution: Quick and dirty hack
  - Just project back to object closest point



Animation So Far

- Interpolation based animation:
  - Interpolation of images, for example based on keyframes
  - Interpolation of motions, for example scripted character animation by designing transformation on per entity basis
- Physically-based animation techniques where we solve an ODE of some sort
  - We've started talking about this with particle-based physics
  - Today we'll talk about another scheme for it
- Next: we'll talk about non-physical animations through behaviors.

Animating Based on Behavioral Rules

Boids

- Powerful, simple model
  - No central control
  - Only simple rules for each individual
  - Complex, emergent phenomena
  - Self-organization, swarm intelligence

Reynolds

Boids

- Computer graphics motivation
  - Scripting of the path of many individual objects using traditional computer animation techniques is tedious.



Reynolds

Boids

- Like a particle system, except …
  - Each boid may be an entire polygonal object with a local coordinate system (rather than a point)



Reynolds

Boids

- Like a particle system, except …
  - Each boid can "perceive" a local region around it, e.g., a spherical neighborhood





http://www.arges-systems.com

Boids

- Like a particle system, except …
  - Each boid exerts “intentional forces”



Reynolds

Flocking

- Complex flocking behaviors can be modeled with simple “intentional forces”
  - Separation
  - Alignment
  - Cohesion

Flocking – 3 Behaviors (1)

- Separation = collision avoidance: avoid collisions with nearby flockmates



http://www.red3d.com

Flocking – 3 Behaviors (2)

- Alignment = velocity matching: attempt to match velocity with nearby flockmates



http://www.red3d.com

Flocking – 3 Behaviors (3)

- Cohesion = flock centering:
  attempt to stay close to nearby flockmates



http://www.red3d.com

Other Examples (single behavior)

- Example behaviors
  - Seek
  - Flee
  - Evasion
  - Pursuit
  - Wander
  - Arrival
  - Obstacle Avoidance
  - Containment
  - Wall Following
  - Path Following

http://www.red3d.com/cwr/steer/

Examples

COURSE: 07
COURSE ORGANIZER: DEMETRI TERZOPOULOS

"BOIDS DEMOS"
CRAIG REYNOLDS
SILICON STUDIOS, MS 3L-980
2011 NORTH SHORELINE BLVD.
MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94039-7311

http://www.red3d.com/cwr/boids/

http://ncase.me/improv-wip/



Lec26 Required Reading

- FOCG, Ch. 15

Reminder: Assignment 07

Assigned: Wednesday, Nov. 27
Written Due: Monday, Dec. 9, 4:59:59 pm
Program Due: Wednesday, Dec. 11, 4:59:59 pm