Technion — Israel Institute of Technology



HW3

Autonomous Navigation and Perception 086762

Alon Spinner	305184335	alonspinner@gmail.com
Dan Hazzan	308553601	danhazzan@campus.technion.ac.il

Theoretical questions

Q1:

Consider two distributions p(X,Y) & q(X,Y) over random variables X & Y.

Prove that the KL divergence between these two distributions can be factorized as:

$$KL[p(X,Y)||q(X,Y)] = KL[p(Y)||q(Y)] + KL[p(X|Y)||q(X|Y)]$$

Proof:

$$KL[p(X,Y)||q(X,Y)] \doteq \Sigma_{X}\Sigma_{Y}p(X,Y)\log\left(\frac{p(X,Y)}{q(X,Y)}\right) =$$

$$\stackrel{=}{\underset{CR}{=}} \Sigma_{X}\Sigma_{Y}p(X,Y)\log\left(\frac{p(Y)p(X|Y)}{q(Y)q(X|Y)}\right) =$$

$$\stackrel{=}{\underset{\log rules}{=}} \Sigma_{X}\Sigma_{Y}p(X,Y)\left[\log\left(\frac{p(Y)}{q(Y)}\right) + \log\left(\frac{p(X|Y)}{q(X|Y)}\right)\right] =$$

$$= \Sigma_{Y}\Sigma_{X}p(X,Y)\log\left(\frac{p(Y)}{q(Y)}\right) + \Sigma_{Y}\Sigma_{X}p(X,Y)\log\left(\frac{p(X|Y)}{q(X|Y)}\right) =$$

$$= \Sigma_{Y}\left(\Sigma_{X}p(X,Y)\right)\log\left(\frac{p(Y)}{q(Y)}\right) + \Sigma_{Y}\Sigma_{X}p(X|Y)p(Y)\log\left(\frac{p(X|Y)}{q(X|Y)}\right) =$$

$$\stackrel{=}{\underset{MR+CR}{=}} \Sigma_{Y}p(Y)\log\left(\frac{p(Y)}{q(Y)}\right) + \Sigma_{Y}p(Y)\left(\Sigma_{X}p(X|Y)\log\left(\frac{p(X|Y)}{q(X|Y)}\right)\right) \doteq$$

$$\stackrel{=}{\underset{MR+CR}{=}} KL[p(Y)||q(Y)] + KL[p(X|Y)||q(X|Y)]$$

Q2:

a.
$$J(b_k, a_k) = \underset{z_{k+1}}{\mathbb{E}} \left[r(b_k, a_k) + r(b_{k+1}) \right]$$
$$= \sum_{z_{k+1} \in \mathbb{Z}} p(z_{k+1} | b_k, a_k) (r(b_k, a_k) + r_\tau(b_{k+1} | b_k, a_k, z_{k+1})) =$$
$$= r(b_k, a_k) + \sum_{z_{k+1} \in \mathbb{Z}} p(z_{k+1} | b_k, a_k) r_\tau(b_{k+1} | b_k, a_k, z_{k+1})$$

Steps:

- 1. Calculate $r(b_k, a_k)$
- 2. sample N = |Z| observations z_{k+1}^i from probability distribution
- 3. From for each observation z_{k+1}^i update belief b_{k+1}^i
- 4. Calculate expectancy $\Sigma_{z_{k+1} \in \mathbb{Z}} p(z_{k+1} | b_k, a_k) r_{\tau}(b_{k+1} | b_k, a_k, z_{k+1})$
- 5. Add calculated $r(b_k, a_k)$ to the expectancy calculated in step 4.

$$p(z_{k+1}|b_k,a_k) = \sum_{marginalization} \Sigma_{b_{k+1}} p(z_{k+1},b_{k+1}|,b_k,a_k)$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{chain \, rule}} \sum_{b_{k+1}} p(z_{k+1}|b_{k+1},b_k,a_k) p(b_{k+1}|b_k,a_k)$$

$$= \sum_{markov} \Sigma_{b_{k+1}} p(z_{k+1}|b_{k+1}) p(b_{k+1}|b_k, a_k)$$

c.

Following the solutions above, we wrote an algorithm to compute $p(z_{k+1}|b_k,a_k)$, given that $b_k \doteq b[x_k]$, which results in $O_Z = O(|X|^2)$

init p(touth by x) = 0

for each x; in x do:

Init plant xiland = 0

Sor each x; in x do:

| p(but xiland) += p(but xilat x) p(bi = x;)

| p(tout b) h, and += p(tout x) p(but xilat x)

| p(tout b) h, and += p(tout x) p(but xilat x)

| p(tout b) h, and += p(tout x) p(but xilat x)

| p(tout b) h, and += p(tout x) p(but xilat x)

| p(tout b) h, and += p(tout x) p(but xilat x)

| p(tout x) h, and += p(tout x) p(but xilat x)

| p(tout x) h, and += p(tout x) p(but xilat x)

| p(tout x) h, and += p(tout x) p(but xilat x)

| p(tout x) h, and += p(tout x) p(but xilat x)

| p(tout x) h, and += p(tout x) p(but xilat x)

| p(tout x) h, and += p(tout x) p(but xilat x)

| p(tout x) h, and += p(tout x) p(but xilat x)

| p(tout x) h, and += p(tout x) p(tout xilat x)

| p(tout x) h, and += p(tout x) p(tout xilat x)

| p(tout x) h, and += p(tout x) p(tout xilat x)

| p(tout x) h, and += p(tout x) p(tout xilat x)

| p(tout x) h, and += p(tout xilat x)

| p(tout x) h, and += p(tout xilat x)

| p(tout x) h, and += p(tout xilat x)

| p(tout x) h, and += p(tout xilat x)

| p(tout x) h, and += p(tout xilat x)

| p(tout x) h, and += p(tout xilat x)

| p(tout x) h, and += p(tout xilat x)

| p(tout x) h, and += p(tout xilat x)

| p(tout x) h, and += p(tout xilat x)

| p(tout x) h, and += p(tout xilat x)

| p(tout x) h, and += p(tout xilat x)

| p(tout x) h, and += p(tout xilat x)

| p(tout x) h, and += p(tout xilat x)

| p(tout x) h, and += p(tout xilat x)

| p(tout x) h, and += p(tout xilat x)

| p(tout x) h, and += p(tout xilat x)

| p(tout x) h, and += p(tout xilat x)

| p(tout x) h, and += p(tout xilat x)

| p(tout x) h, and += p(tout xilat x)

| p(tout x) h, and += p(tout xilat x)

| p(tout x) h, and += p(tout xilat x)

| p(tout x) h, and += p(tout xilat x)

| p(tout x) h, and += p(tout xilat x)

| p(tout x) h, and += p(tout xilat x)

| p(tout x) h, and += p(tout xilat x)

| p(tout x) h, and += p(tout xilat x)

| p(tout x) h, and += p(tout xilat x)

| p(tout x) h, and += p(tout xilat x)
| p(tout xilat x) h, and += p(tout xilat x)
|

d.

$$b_{k+1} = p(x_{k+1}|b_k, a_k, z_{k+1}) \underset{bayes}{=} \frac{p(z_{k+1}|b_k, a_k, x_{k+1})p(x_{k+1}|b_k, a_k)}{p(z_{k+1}|b_k, a_k)}$$

$$\underset{markov \& same \ as \ 2(b)}{\overset{=}{\sum}} \frac{p(z_{k+1}|x_{k+1})p(x_{k+1}|b_k,a_k)}{\sum_{b_{k+1}} p(z_{k+1}|b_{k+1})p(b_{k+1}|b_k,a_k)}$$

e.

Numerator:

Computing $p(z_{k+1}|x_{k+1}) \rightarrow O(1)$ Computing $p(x_{k+1}|b_k, a_k) \rightarrow O(|X|)$

Denominator:

Computing the denominator has the same computational complexity as in (2c) $\rightarrow O(|X|^2)$

Hence:

$$O_h = O(1) + O(|X|) + O(|X|^2) = O(|X|^2)$$

f.

$$r(b[x], a) = H(b[x]) = -\Sigma_x b[x] \log (b[x])$$

Since the algorithm runs over all the states, $O_r = O(|x|)$

g.

Calculating the objective, we have:

$$\begin{split} J(b_k, a_k) &= \Sigma_{z_{k+1} \in Z} p(z_{k+1} | b_k, a_k) \big(r(b_k, a_k) + r(b_{k+1}) \big) = \\ &= r(b_k, a_k) + \Sigma_{z_{k+1} \in Z} p(z_{k+1} | b_k, a_k) r_{\tau}(b_{k+1} | b_k, a_k, z_{k+1}) \end{split}$$

Running over all observations: |Z|

$$\Rightarrow O\big(J(b_k,a_k)\big) = O_r + \; |Z| \cdot \big(O_z + (O_r + O_b)\big) = O(|Z| \cdot |X|^2)$$