

Technion – Israel Institute of Technology



HW2

## Vision Aided Navigation

086761

Alon Spinner	305184335	alonspinner@gmail.com
Sher Hazan	308026467	sherhazan@campus.technion.ac.il

November 24, 2021

## Basic Probability and Bayesian Inference

Question 1 : Consider a random vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with a Gaussian distribution, written in covariance form  $x \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$ . Show the corresponding information form  $x \sim N^{-1}(\eta, \Lambda)$  is:

$$p(x) = N^{-1}(\eta, \Lambda) = \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\eta^T \Lambda^{-1} \eta\right)}{\sqrt{\det(2\pi \Lambda^{-1})}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}x^T \Lambda x + \eta^T x\right)$$

$$\Lambda = \Sigma^{-1}, \eta = \Lambda \mu \rightarrow \Sigma = \Lambda^{-1}, \mu = \Lambda^{-1} \eta$$

$$\begin{aligned} p(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(2\pi \Sigma)}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x - \mu)\right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(2\pi \Lambda^{-1})}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x - \Lambda^{-1} \eta)^T \Lambda (x - \Lambda^{-1} \eta)\right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(2\pi \Lambda^{-1})}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x - \Lambda^{-1} \eta)^T \Lambda (x - \Lambda^{-1} \eta)\right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(2\pi \Lambda^{-1})}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x^T \Lambda x - x^T \Lambda \Lambda^{-1} \eta - (\Lambda^{-1} \eta)^T \Lambda x + (\Lambda^{-1} \eta)^T \Lambda \Lambda^{-1} \eta)\right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(2\pi \Lambda^{-1})}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x^T \Lambda x - x^T \eta - \eta^T \Lambda^{-T} \Lambda x + \eta^T \Lambda^{-T} \eta)\right) = \\ &= \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\eta^T \Lambda^{-T} \eta\right)}{\sqrt{\det(2\pi \Lambda^{-1})}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x^T \Lambda x - x^T \eta - \eta^T \Lambda^{-T} \Lambda x)\right) = \end{aligned}$$

since  $\Sigma$  is symmetric,  $\Sigma^{-1}$  is symmetric too,  $\Sigma^{-1} = \Lambda$  so  $\Lambda, \Lambda^{-1}$  are symmetric.

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\eta^T \Lambda^{-1} \eta\right)}{\sqrt{\det(2\pi \Lambda^{-1})}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x^T \Lambda x - x^T \eta - \eta^T \Lambda^{-1} \Lambda x)\right) = \\ &= \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\eta^T \Lambda^{-1} \eta\right)}{\sqrt{\det(2\pi \Lambda^{-1})}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x^T \Lambda x - x^T \eta - \eta^T x)\right) = \\ &= \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\eta^T \Lambda^{-1} \eta\right)}{\sqrt{\det(2\pi \Lambda^{-1})}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}x^T \Lambda x + \frac{1}{2}x^T \eta + \frac{1}{2}\eta^T x\right) = \end{aligned}$$

$x^T \eta$  is scalar, there for it is equal to its transpose  $(x^T \eta)^T = \eta^T x$ .

$$= \boxed{\frac{\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\eta^T \Lambda^{-1} \eta\right)}{\sqrt{\det(2\pi \Lambda^{-1})}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}x^T \Lambda x + \eta^T x\right)}$$

Question 2 : Consider a standard observation model involving a random variable  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

$$z = h(x) + v, v \sim N(0, \Sigma_v)$$

and assume the initial belief regarding the state  $x$  is a Gaussian with mean  $\hat{x}_0$  and covariance  $\Sigma_0$ .

- (a) Write an expression for the prior  $p(x)$  and the measurement likelihood  $p(z|x)$ .

$$p(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(2\pi\Sigma_0)}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x - \hat{x}_0)^T \Sigma_0^{-1}(x - \hat{x}_0)\right)$$

$$p(z|x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(2\pi\Sigma_v)}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(z - h(x))^T \Sigma_v^{-1}(z - h(x))\right)$$

- (b) A measurement  $z_1$  is acquired. Assuming the measurement was generated by the measurement model (1), write an expression for the posterior probability  $p(x|z_1)$  in terms of  $p(x)$  and the measurement likelihood  $p(z|x)$ .

$$p(x|z_1) \stackrel{BR}{=} \frac{p(x)p(z_1|x)}{p(z_1)} = \boxed{\eta p(x)p(z_1|x)}$$

- (c) Derive expressions for the posterior mean  $\hat{x}_1$  and covariance  $\Sigma_1$  such that  $p(x|z_1) = N(\hat{x}_1, \Sigma_1)$ .

$$\hat{x}_1 = \operatorname{argmax}(p(x|z_1)) = \operatorname{argmin}(-\log(\eta p(x)p(z_1|x)))$$

Plugging in values, we notice that:

$$\eta p(x)p(z_1|x) = \eta \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x - \hat{x}_0)^T \Sigma_0^{-1}(x - \hat{x}_0)\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(z - h(x))^T \Sigma_v^{-1}(z - h(x))\right)}{\sqrt{\det(2\pi\Sigma_0)} \sqrt{\det(2\pi\Sigma_v)}}$$

$$-\log(\eta p(x)p(z_1|x)) = -\operatorname{Const} \left( \left( -\frac{1}{2}(x - \hat{x}_0)^T \Sigma_0^{-1}(x - \hat{x}_0) \right) + \left( -\frac{1}{2}(z - h(x))^T \Sigma_v^{-1}(z - h(x)) \right) \right)$$

where  $\operatorname{Const} > 0$

We define:

$$J(x, z) = -\frac{1}{2} \|x - \hat{x}_0\|_{\Sigma_0}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|z - h(x)\|_{\Sigma_v}^2$$

such that:

$$-\log(\eta p(x)p(z_1|x)) = \operatorname{Const} \cdot (\|x - \hat{x}_0\|_{\Sigma_0}^2 + \|z - h(x)\|_{\Sigma_v}^2) = \operatorname{Const} \cdot J(x, z)$$

$$\hat{x}_1 = \operatorname{argmin}(-\log(\eta p(x)p(z_1|x))) = \operatorname{argmin}(\operatorname{Const} \cdot J(x, z)) = \operatorname{argmin}(J(x, z))$$

since  $h(x)$  is non linear, we will use iterative optimization as showed in the lecture(NG).

Linearization about  $\bar{x}$  :

$$x = \bar{x} + \Delta x$$

$$\hat{x}_1 = \operatorname{argmin}(J(\bar{x} + \Delta x))$$

$$x - \hat{x}_0 = \bar{x} + \Delta x - \hat{x}_0 = \Delta x + (\bar{x} - \hat{x}_0)$$

$$z - h(x) = z - h(\bar{x} - \Delta x) \approx z - h(\bar{x}) - H\Delta x$$

$$\begin{aligned} J(\bar{x} + \Delta x) &= \|\Delta x + (\bar{x} - \hat{x}_0)\|_{\Sigma_0}^2 + \|H\Delta x + h(\bar{x}) - z\|_{\Sigma_v}^2 = \\ &= \left\| \Sigma_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Delta x + (\bar{x} - \hat{x}_0)) \right\|^2 + \left\| \Sigma_v^{-\frac{1}{2}}(H\Delta x + h(\bar{x}) - z) \right\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ \Sigma_v^{-\frac{1}{2}}H \end{pmatrix}, b = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\bar{x} - \hat{x}_0) \\ \Sigma_v^{-\frac{1}{2}}(h(\bar{x}) - z) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\hat{x}_1 = \operatorname{argmin} \|A\Delta x + b\|^2$$

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} \hat{x}_1 &= (A^T A)^{-1} A^T b \\ \Sigma_1 &= A^T A \\ p(x|z_1) &= N(\hat{x}_1, \Sigma_1) \end{aligned}}$$

(d) A second measurement,  $z_2$ , is obtained. Assuming  $p(x|z_1) = N(\hat{x}_1, \Sigma_1)$  from last clause is given, derive expressions for  $p(x|z_1, z_2) = N(\hat{x}_2, \Sigma_2)$ .

$$p(x|z_1, z_2) \stackrel{BR}{=} \frac{p(x|z_1)p(z_2|x, z_1)}{p(z_2|z_1)} = \frac{p(x|z_1)p(z_2|x)}{p(z_2)} = \eta p(x|z_1)p(z_2|x)$$

$$J(x) = \|x - \hat{x}_1\|_{\Sigma_1}^2 + \|z_2 - h(x)\|_{\Sigma_v}^2$$

$$\hat{x}_2 = \operatorname{argmax}(p(x|z_1, z_2)) = \operatorname{argmin}(-\log(\eta p(x|z_1)p(z_2|x))) = \operatorname{argmin}(J(x))$$

since  $h(x)$  is non linear, we will use iterative optimization as showed in the lecture.

Linearization about  $\bar{x}$  :

$$x = \bar{x} + \Delta x$$

$$\hat{x}_2 = \operatorname{argmin}(J(\bar{x} + \Delta x))$$

$$x - \hat{x}_1 = \bar{x} + \Delta x - \hat{x}_1 = \Delta x + (\bar{x} - \hat{x}_1)$$

$$z_2 - h(x) = z_2 - h(\bar{x} - \Delta x) \approx z_2 - h(\bar{x}) - H\Delta x$$

$$J(\bar{x} + \Delta x) = \|\Delta x + (\bar{x} - \hat{x}_1)\|_{\Sigma_1}^2 + \|H\Delta x + h(\bar{x}) - z_2\|_{\Sigma_v}^2$$

$$\left\| \Sigma_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Delta x + (\bar{x} - \hat{x}_1)) \right\|^2 + \left\| \Sigma_v^{-\frac{1}{2}}(H\Delta x + h(\bar{x}) - z_2) \right\|^2$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ \Sigma_v^{-\frac{1}{2}}H \end{pmatrix}, b = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\bar{x} - \hat{x}_1) \\ \Sigma_v^{-\frac{1}{2}}(h(\bar{x}) - z_2) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\hat{x}_2 = \operatorname{argmin} \|A\Delta x + b\|^2$$

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} \hat{x}_2 &= (A^T A)^{-1} A^T b \\ \Sigma_2 &= A^T A \\ p(x|z_1, z_2) &= N(\hat{x}_2, \Sigma_2) \end{aligned}}$$

Question 3 : Consider a multivariate random variable  $x_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . with the following state transition mode and a standard observation model as in exercise 2.

$$x_{k+1} = f(x_k, u_k) + w_k, w_k \sim N(0, \Sigma_w)$$

(a) Write an expression for the motion mode  $p(x_k | x_{k-1}, u_{k-1})$ .

$$\begin{aligned} p(x_k | x_{k-1}, u_{k-1}) &= \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(2\pi\Sigma_w)}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x_k - f(x_{k-1}, u_{k-1}))^T \Sigma_w (x_k - f(x_{k-1}, u_{k-1}))\right) = \\ &= \boxed{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(2\pi\Sigma_w)}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\|x_k - f(x_{k-1}, u_{k-1})\|_{\Sigma_w}^2\right)} \end{aligned}$$

(b) Assume the robot executes action  $u_0$  and then acquires a measurement  $z_1$ . Write an expression for the a posteriori pdf  $p(x_1 | z_1, u_0)$  in terms of the prior, motion and observation models.

*The prior –  $p(x)$*

*The motion modle –  $p(x_k | x_{k-1}, u_{k-1})$*

*The observation modle –  $p(z_1 | x_1)$*

$$p(x_1 | z_1, u_0) \stackrel{BR}{=} \frac{p(x_1 | u_0) p(z_1 | x_1, u_0)}{p(z_1 | u_0)} \stackrel{Indep.}{=} \frac{p(x_1 | u_0) p(z_1 | x_1)}{p(z_1)} = \eta p(x_1 | u_0) p(z_1 | x_1)$$

$$p(x_1 | u_0) \stackrel{MR}{=} \int p(x_1, x_0 | u_0) dx_0 \stackrel{CR}{=} \int p(x_1 | x_0, u_0) p(x_0 | u_0) dx_0 \stackrel{indep.}{=} \int p(x_1 | x_0, u_0) p(x_0) dx_0$$

$$\boxed{p(x_1 | z_1, u_0) = \eta p(z_1 | x_1) \int p(x_1 | x_0, u_0) p(x_0) dx_0}$$

(c) In the same setting, consider the a posteriori pdf over the joint state

$$x = x_{0:1} = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ with } x_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

Show that calculating the maximum a posteriori (MAP) estimate for  $x$  is equivalent to solving a non-linear least squares problem.

*In this case we use smoothing instead of marginlization.*

$$\text{As showed in the lecture : } p(x_{0:1} | u_{x:k}, z_{1:k}) = \eta p(x_0) \prod_{i=1}^k p(x_i | x_{i-1}, u_{i-1}) p(z_i | x_i)$$

$$p(x_{0:1} | u_0, z_1) = \eta p(x_0) p(x_1 | x_0, u_0) p(z_1 | x_1)$$

*We will find the MAP as follows*

$$\begin{aligned} x^* &= \operatorname{argmax}(p(x_{0:1} | u_0, z_1)) = \operatorname{argmax}(\eta p(x_0) p(x_1 | x_0, u_0) p(z_1 | x_1)) = \\ &= \operatorname{argmin}(-\log(p(x_0) p(x_1 | x_0, u_0) p(z_1 | x_1))) = \operatorname{argmin}(J(x)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } J(x) = \|x_0 - \hat{x}_0\|_{\Sigma_0}^2 + \|z_1 - h(x_1)\|_{\Sigma_v}^2 + \|x - f(x_{k-1}, u_{k-1})\|_{\Sigma_w}^2$$

*Like in Q2 we will use linearization*

$$x_k = x_{k-1} + \Delta x$$

$$x^* = \operatorname{argmin}(J(x_{k-1} + \Delta x))$$

$$x_k - \hat{x}_0 = x_{k-1} + \Delta x - \hat{x}_0 = \Delta x + (x_{k-1} - \hat{x}_0)$$

$$z_1 - h(x_k) = z_1 - h(x_{k-1} + \Delta x) \approx z_1 - h(x_{k-1}) - H\Delta x$$

$$J(x_{k-1} + \Delta x) = \|\Delta x + (x_{k-1} - \hat{x}_0)\|_{\Sigma_0}^2 + \|H\Delta x + h(x_{k-1}) - z_1\|_{\Sigma_v}^2 + \|\Delta x + (x_{k-1} - f(x_{k-1}, u_{k-1}))\|_{\Sigma_w}^2$$

$$\left\| \Sigma_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\Delta x + (x_{k-1} - \hat{x}_0)) \right\|^2 + \left\| \Sigma_v^{-\frac{1}{2}} (H\Delta x + h(x_{k-1}) - z_1) \right\|^2 + \left\| \Sigma_w^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\Delta x + (x_{k-1} - f(x_{k-1}, u_{k-1}))) \right\|^2$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ \Sigma_v^{-\frac{1}{2}} H \\ \Sigma_w^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}, b = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} (x_{k-1} - \hat{x}_0) \\ \Sigma_v^{-\frac{1}{2}} (h(x_{k-1}) - z_1) \\ \Sigma_w^{-\frac{1}{2}} (x_{k-1} - f(x_{k-1}, u_{k-1})) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\boxed{x^* = \operatorname{argmin} \|A\Delta x + b\|^2}$$

(d) Assume the a posteriori pdf over the joint state  $x_{0:1}$  is given in covariance and information forms as

$$p(x_{0:1}|u_0, z_1) = N(\hat{x}_{0:1}, \Sigma_{0:1}) = N^{-1}(\hat{\eta}_{0:1}, I_{0:1})$$

$$\Sigma_{0:1} = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_{00} & \Sigma_{01} \\ \Sigma_{01}^T & \Sigma_{11} \end{bmatrix}, I_{1:0} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{00} & I_{01} \\ I_{01}^T & I_{11} \end{bmatrix}$$

Indicate the dimensionality of the covariance matrix  $\Sigma_{0:1}$  and of its components. We are interested in the marginal pdf over the state  $x_1$ , while marginalizing out the past state  $x_0$ . Write expressions for the marginal covariance and information matrices,  $\Sigma_{01}$  and  $I_{01}$ , over the state  $x_1$  such that:

$$p(x_{0:1}|u_0, z_1) = N(x, \Sigma'_1) = N^{-1}(x, I'_1)$$

$$x_k \in \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow x_{0:1} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \Sigma_{0:1} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n \times 2n}$$

$$p(x_1|u_0, z_1) = N(x, \Sigma_{11}) = N^{-1}(x, I_{11} - I_{01}^T I_{00} I_{10})$$