

# **Programming assignment 2 report**

**CSI2110**

**Fall Term 2022**

**By**

**Alp Tatar (300241739)**

## **Experiment 1**

1)

### **The KD Tree Algorithm**

number of neighbors= 5

[(-5.415942549526783,0.7715622302147948,-0.3968421613600826),  
(-5.420458778974271,0.7891803562243134,-0.3973486218703048),  
(-5.429850154613408,0.8075670478362598,-0.3982168226988382),  
(-5.43030556398262,0.8246710769927127,-0.3984338736632657),  
(-5.432677820578597,0.8420909833742529,-0.3987956432309413)]

### **Linear Search Algorithm**

number of neighbors= 5

[(-5.415942549526783,0.7715622302147948,-0.3968421613600826),  
(-5.420458778974271,0.7891803562243134,-0.3973486218703048),  
(-5.429850154613408,0.8075670478362598,-0.3982168226988382),  
(-5.43030556398262,0.8246710769927127,-0.3984338736632657),  
(-5.432677820578597,0.8420909833742529,-0.3987956432309413)]

These results match

2)

### **The KD Tree Algorithm**

number of neighbors= 2

[(-12.992860583393504,5.051138148093654,0.7622934861842156),  
(-12.976373725118926,5.090611379773172,0.7622388885867976)]

### **Linear Search Algorithm**

number of neighbors= 2

[(-12.992860583393504,5.051138148093654,0.7622934861842156),  
(-12.976373725118926,5.090611379773172,0.7622388885867976)]

These results match

3)

### **The KD Tree Algorithm**

number of neighbors= 1

[(-36.10818686248445,14.241618397722052,4.293473761897471)]

These results match

### **Linear Search Algorithm**

number of neighbors= 1

[(-36.10818686248445,14.241618397722052,4.293473761897471)]

These results match

4)

### **The KD Tree Algorithm**

number of neighbors= 17

[(3.120500565609992,0.0626817334571532,0.4610553779823442),  
(3.1325477563686954,0.0533098761028178,0.4628090065256689),  
(3.135663991597881,0.0437404978846847,0.4632473987090112),  
(3.124626229458665,0.071407284130788,0.4308557147843563),  
(3.101060042170619,0.0613504651643957,0.4275782041593502),  
(3.102224266499409,0.0518528106206318,0.4277147594345744),  
(3.127132326652067,0.0426729987558792,0.4311288249443483),  
(3.1074370070938127,0.0328693350571258,0.4283975616247083),  
(3.110494894440637,0.0233580135464159,0.4288072530996791),  
(3.1491863135474705,0.0139866281830143,0.4341331975609065),  
(3.124448583809515,0.0042909825235033,0.4307191323087426),  
(3.106996584743287,0.0607965822112821,0.3982019007320806),  
(3.1121272286089696,0.0513459811348819,0.3988373764321324),  
(3.123179921500925,0.041944387155322,0.4002354917039907),  
(3.1431306038656457,0.032567946655484,0.4027774803812885),  
(3.1233786019830654,0.0227801237087123,0.4002354923207727),  
(3.1274271451816897,0.0036195964459265,0.4007438901352219)]

### **Linear Search Algorithm**

number of neighbors= 17

[(3.120500565609992,0.0626817334571532,0.4610553779823442),  
(3.1325477563686954,0.0533098761028178,0.4628090065256689),  
(3.135663991597881,0.0437404978846847,0.4632473987090112),  
(3.124626229458665,0.071407284130788,0.4308557147843563),  
(3.101060042170619,0.0613504651643957,0.4275782041593502),  
(3.102224266499409,0.0518528106206318,0.4277147594345744),  
(3.127132326652067,0.0426729987558792,0.4311288249443483),  
(3.1074370070938127,0.0328693350571258,0.4283975616247083),  
(3.110494894440637,0.0233580135464159,0.4288072530996791),  
(3.1491863135474705,0.0139866281830143,0.4341331975609065),  
(3.124448583809515,0.0042909825235033,0.4307191323087426),  
(3.106996584743287,0.0607965822112821,0.3982019007320806),  
(3.1121272286089696,0.0513459811348819,0.3988373764321324),  
(3.123179921500925,0.041944387155322,0.4002354917039907),  
(3.1431306038656457,0.032567946655484,0.4027774803812885),  
(3.1233786019830654,0.0227801237087123,0.4002354923207727),  
(3.1274271451816897,0.0036195964459265,0.4007438901352219)]

These results match

5)

### **The KD Tree Algorithm**

number of neighbors= 2

[(11.597053489523276,3.032865894391464,1.8696242228185609),  
(11.580473933555549,2.9906018684790574,1.8654633424019456)]

### **Linear Search Algorithm**

number of neighbors= 2

[(11.597053489523276,3.032865894391464,1.8696242228185609),  
(11.580473933555549,2.9906018684790574,1.8654633424019456)]

These results match

6)

### **The KD Tree Algorithm**

number of neighbors= 2

[(14.159820885717384,4.680702456874969,-0.1337915844837233),  
(14.180766680737111,4.639415392714257,-0.1338543779659772)]

### **Linear Search Algorithm**

number of neighbors= 2

[(14.159820885717384,4.680702456874969,-0.1337915844837233),  
(14.180766680737111,4.639415392714257,-0.1338543779659772)]

These results match

### ***Discussion***

To actually find these points, the given Exp1 was used to solve them. It was run for every single point and pasted into the respective text files in the exp1 directory. For this part all the data was collected by compiling all the programs for each point and then using control f in google docs to compare each of the outputs, they were all matched meaning experiment 1 was a success.

.

### **Experiment 2 Note\* (nanoseconds were converted to ms)**

#### ***Finding the 10th point***

#### **The KD Tree Algorithm**

Total time for finding neighbors: 101.67489099999993ms

#### **Linear Search Algorithm**

Total time for finding neighbors: 855.556540000001ms

### ***Finding the 20th point***

#### **The KD Tree Algorithm**

Total time for finding neighbors: 50.800478000000005ms

#### **Linear Search Algorithm**

Total time for finding neighbors: 469.28282499999983ms

### ***Finding the 30th point***

#### **The KD Tree Algorithm**

Total time for finding neighbors: 35.814707999999996ms

#### **Linear Search Algorithm**

Total time for finding neighbors: 284.174794ms

### ***Finding the 29630th point***

#### **The KD Tree Algorithm**

Total time for finding neighbors: 1.095002ms

#### **Linear Search Algorithm**

Total time for finding neighbors: 7.982901ms

### ***Discussion***

As one can see the KD tree algorithm always out performed the linear search algorithm as expected. It was however a very significant difference for most, I did expect a significant difference due to the less amount of general searches and the time complexity of each algorithm. This was implemented with a simple class taking command line arguments and in turn, simply calculating the end time of the rangequery.

### **Experiment 3**

#### **The KD Tree Algorithm**

Point\_cloud\_1.csv: 1402 Milliseconds

Point\_cloud\_2.csv:3941 Milliseconds

Point\_cloud\_3.csv:1980 Milliseconds

#### **Linear Search Algorithm**

Point\_cloud\_1.csv: 29633 Milliseconds

Point\_cloud\_2.csv:14180 Milliseconds

Point\_cloud\_3.csv:45141 Milliseconds

***Discussion***

As clearly demonstrated the overall KD algorithm stands over the linear search algorithm even including the tree construction the runtime still remained superior to the linear search algorithm. As one can see there are significant differences between them, on average around 10 000 milliseconds different. This was done by calculating the times for each point cloud, one with the assignment 1 solutions for the linear search. This algorithm was adjusted with the KD-trees then run again to see the respective outputs.