

CPSC 320: Intermediate Algorithm Design and Analysis  
Assignment #7, due Thursday, March 29<sup>th</sup>, 2012 at 11:00

- [15] 1. Consider the problem of taking a **sorted** array  $A$  containing distinct integers, and determining whether or not there is a position  $i$  such that  $A[i] = i$ .
- [6] a. Describe a divide-and-conquer algorithm to solve this problem. Your algorithm should return such a position if it exists, or **false** otherwise. If  $A[i] = i$  for several different integers  $i$ , then you may return any one of them.

**Solution:** The algorithm described here will find if such a position exists between two positions **first** and **last** of the array, including the endpoints. The idea is simple: we look at the middle position, and then recurse on the either the first half or the second half of the array depending on the result of the comparison.

```
Algorithm findPosition(A, first, last)

    if (first = last) then
        if (A[first] = first) then
            return first
        endif
        return false
    endif

    mid  $\leftarrow$  (first + last)/2
    if (A[mid] = mid) then
        return mid
    endif

    if (A[mid] < mid) then
        return findPosition(A, mid+1, last)
    else
        return findPosition(A, first, mid-1)
    endif
```

- [6] b. Prove the correctness of your algorithm. That is, show that it will always return a value of  $i$  for which  $A[i] = i$ , unless no such value exists in which case it will return **false**.

**Solution:** We prove the correctness of the algorithm by induction on  $n$ , where  $n$  is the number of elements of  $A$  from position **first** to position **last**. Clearly the algorithm will return the correct answer if  $n = 1$ , since this is the case where **first** = **last**.

So suppose that `first` < `last`. If  $A[\text{mid}] = \text{mid}$  then the algorithm will return the correct position. Consider now the case  $A[\text{mid}] < \text{mid}$ .

**Claim 1** For every non-negative integer  $j \leq \text{mid}$ ,  $A[\text{mid} - j] < \text{mid} - j$ .

**Proof:** By induction on  $j$ . When  $j = 0$ , we are comparing  $A[\text{mid}]$  to  $\text{mid}$ , which is true since this is the case we are examining. Suppose now that the claim holds for  $j$ . Because  $A$  contains elements that are distinct and sorted,

$$A[\text{mid} - (j + 1)] \leq A[\text{mid} - j] - 1 < (\text{mid} - j) - 1 = \text{mid} - (j + 1).$$

QED

Thus, a position  $i$  such that  $A[i] = i$  can not satisfy  $i \leq \text{mid}$ , and hence the solution can only be found in between positions `mid+1` and `last`.

Finally, we need to consider the case where  $A[\text{mid}] > \text{mid}$ . The proof of this case is symmetric to the previous one, and completes the induction step and the proof of the theorem.

- [3] c. Analyze the running time of your algorithm as a function of the number of elements of  $A$ .

**Solution:** The running time of the algorithm satisfies the recurrence relation

$$T(n) \leq \begin{cases} T(n/2) + \Theta(1) & \text{if } n \geq 2 \\ \Theta(1) & \text{if } n = 1 \end{cases}$$

We have:

- The number of sub-problems at a given level  $i$  is 1.
- The size of the sub-problem at a given level  $i$  is  $n/2^i$ , however, note that the amount of work required to solve the only one sub-problem at level  $i$  is constant  $\Theta(1) = c$ .
- Therefore the total amount of work at level  $i$  is constant  $\Theta(1) = c$ .
- The total number of levels required in the algorithm is  $\log_2(n)$ .

so we get:

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &\leq T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + c \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\log_2 n} c \\ &= c \cdot \log_2 n \end{aligned}$$

Our algorithm therefore requires  $\mathcal{O}(\log_2(n))$  time.