Office of Chief Counsel Internal Revenue Service Memorandum

Number: 20121701F

Release Date: 4/27/2012

CC:LB&I:RFPH:CH1:GHSCHOEN

POSTF-107028-11

UILC: 453A.00-00, 61.00-00, 1001.02-03

date: March 21, 2012

to: Lisa Wingler Revenue Agent

(Large Business & International)

from: James M. Cascino

Associate Area Counsel (Chicago) (Large Business & International)

subject: Gain Recognition

This memorandum responds to your request for assistance. This advice may not be used or cited as precedent. This writing may contain privileged information. Any unauthorized disclosure of this writing may undermine our ability to protect the privileged information. If disclosure is determined to be necessary, please contact this office for our views.

ISSUES

I. Whether ("Taxpayer") must recognize income in or from the discharge of \$ in nonrecourse debt pursuant to Internal Revenue Code section 61(a)(12)¹ as a result of the bankruptcy filing of

, the

guarantor of the collateral securing the \$ debt.

II. Whether Taxpayer must recognize in or the gain deferred under the installment sale rules pursuant to sections 453 and 453B as a result of the bankruptcy filing by

¹ Unless otherwise indicated, section references are to sections of the Internal Revenue Code effective for the taxable years at issue.

III. Whether Taxpayer must recognize gain in or from a disposition of an installment obligation under section 1001 as a result of the bankruptcy filing by

CONCLUSIONS

- I. Taxpayer is not required to recognize income in or from the discharge of indebtedness because the debt has not been discharged and no identifiable event occurred to indicate that the collateral underlying the debt has become worthless or was abandoned.
- II. Taxpayer is not required to recognize in or the gain deferred pursuant to its installment sale because the bankruptcy filing did not trigger any payments on the installment sale notes and the notes were not disposed of and did not become unenforceable as a result of the bankruptcy filing.
- III. Taxpayer is not required to recognize gain in or on a disposition of the installment obligation because Taxpayer did not effectively or actually disposed of the installment obligation.

FACTS

Taxpayer), sold In to , a newly created corporation controlled by , a private equity investment firm. Taxpayer received \$ on the sale: in the form of installment notes. in cash and formed two limited liability companies to issue the installment notes: and 2 issued Taxpayer a Installment Note in the amount of \$ ("Installment Note"). Under the Installment Note, Taxpayer was to receive semi-annual interest payments beginning in ; the principal of \$ would not be paid until the Installment Note's maturity date, . The Installment Note bears an interest rate of % per year.

In order to finance the payment of interest and principal required under the Installment Note, sold the to a third party and purchased a Collateral Note from for \$ cash. Interest on the Collateral Note was due quarterly beginning; the principal would not be due until the Collateral Note matured on . The Collateral Note had an interest rate of % per annum. Thus, the Collateral Note provided with the funds to make payments of interest and principal due to Taxpayer under the terms of the

² Only the Installment Note issued by is relevant.

Installment Note. The Installment Note holder does not have recourse against the or the affiliates of . The only asset of is the Collateral Note. pledged the Collateral Note to in exchange for a quaranty ("Guaranty"). Through the Guaranty, issued by guaranteed payment of principal and interest due to the holder of the Installment Note from , Taxpayer formed a wholly owned subsidiary, In , which in turn formed a wholly owned subsidiary, . Taxpayer contributed both the Installment Note and the Guaranty to , which in turn contributed both the Installment Note and the Guaranty to . Both and are disregarded entities. issued notes collateralized by the Installment Note and the Guaranty in exchange for \$ cash (" Notes"). The Notes required to make semi-annual, interest-only payments to the Note holders beginning in . The principal of \$ would not be due until the Notes matured on . The terms of the Notes allowed to extend the maturity date to subject to an increased interest rate during the extension period. Recourse on the Notes is limited to the proceeds of the Installments Notes and the Guaranty. There is no recourse against Taxpayer or the Collateral Note. . agreed to purchase the entire initial principal amount of the Notes. As the initial purchaser, had the right to resell the Notes. The Notes are currently registered to , a company that acts as a clearing house for stock and bond transactions. As Notes for the benefit of of the time of default, held the acted as the Indenture Trustee for the issuance of the Notes, with the right to collect payments under the Installment Note directly from and to use the proceeds to make the payments also had the right to make a required under the Notes. demand for payment under the Guaranty if an event of default occurred related to the Installment Note. As part of the transaction, we understand obtained possession of the Installment Note on behalf of the Notes holders and still holds that note as of the current time in its capacity as Indenture Trustee.

The following chart summarizes the above described obligations.

			T	
	Installment Note	Collateral Note	<u>Guaranty</u>	<u>Notes</u>
Issuer				
				(Taxpayer)
Holder	as			
	Indenture		as	
	Trustee for		Indenture Trustee	
			for holders of	
	(Taxpayer)			
			Notes	
Principal	\$	\$	Up to amount of	\$
Amount			Installment Note,	
			\$	
Interest	% per year	% per year	Upon written	% per year
payments	payable semi-	payable	demand when	payable semi-
due	annually,	quarterly,	principal or	annually, last day of
			interest due	
	beginning		under Installment	beginning
		beginning	Note is not timely	
			paid by	
Principal				
due				(option to extend to
(Maturity))

This series of transactions, th	rough which Taxp	ayer essentially received	cash for
	, allowe	d Taxpayer to defer repor	rting the
gain on the sale of the	until the Installm	ent Note's maturity in	pursuant
to the installment method of sect	ion 453, or anothe	er event triggered section	453 gain
recognition. Taxpayer's ta	xable year was au	idited by the IRS. Taxpay	/er's
treatment of the		was not adjus	
pledge of the Installment Notes t	0	was not treated as a	constructive
sale in part because the	Notes		

Installment Note's value.3

3

On filed a petition for bankruptcy in the United States Bankruptcy Court . As a result of the bankruptcy filing, an event of default occurred under the Installment Note. An event of default also occurred under the Installment Note on when failed to make the scheduled interest payments under the Installment Note. formally notified of the event of default on . Due to the event of default under the Installment Note. an event of default occurred under the Notes. The interest due on was not paid to the Note holders. , as Indenture Trustee, made a demand for accelerated payment on Since this time, there have been no payments on the Installment Note and continues to hold the Installment Note as collateral for the Notes. At the time of the bankruptcy filing reasonable estimates projected that claims filed with respect the Guaranty or the Collateral Note had more than a nominal value. Reasonable estimates since that time have continued to reflect more than a nominal value for the claims, with recovery estimates being between % of the claim face values. In fact, while the bankruptcy was pending, the estimated value of the claims increased over time. filed a claim in the bankruptcy based on the Collateral have each filed a claim based on the Note. and Guaranty. The claims of have since been and consolidated: , and have agreed that will pursue the claim filed by in the bankruptcy proceedings.4 The Guaranty and the Collateral Note are classified as .⁵ On the bankruptcy court entered an ("Bankruptcy will make a distribution of Plan"). Pursuant to the available cash to claim holders on the effective date of the plan and semi-annually . The effective date of the Bankruptcy Plan was thereafter. Bankruptcy Plan selling its assets and distributing the . The process of

⁵ ld.

proceeds to claim holders is expected to take

Taxpayer originally believed it had to recognize gain on the Installment Note in bankruptcy filing. Accordingly, Taxpayer paid an estimated tax bill which included a potential gain from the Installment Note. However, on its finalized tax return, Taxpayer changed its position based on its current belief that it does not have to recognize the income until the bankruptcy becomes final and any payout to Taxpayer is transferred to the holders of the Notes or until the Installment Note and the Guaranty are transferred to Notes as payment in full of Taxpayer's obligation to the holders of the them.⁶ Taxpayer obtained an opinion from in support of its position. Taxpayer requested and received a refund of the taxes paid. Taxpaver never took the position that it recognized discharge of indebtedness income in bankruptcy filing. Taxpayer the Notes as result of the also believes that any such discharge of indebtedness income will be deferred until the bankruptcy is completed and distributions are made under the confirmed bankruptcy plan. The opinion did not deal with this latter issue.

LAW AND ANALYSIS

The below discussion presents alternative theories under which Taxpayer could be required to recognize gain in on the \$ Installment Note and or or with respect to the \$ Notes, which were secured by the in Installment Note and Guaranty. Events of default occurred under the Installment Note and consequently under the Notes when filed for because the flow of money depended on bankruptcy in payments under the Collateral Note. Exam has questioned whether Taxpayer was essentially forgiven of the debt it owed to the holders of the Notes, which could result in income recognition from a discharge of indebtedness under section 61(a)(12) as a result of the bankruptcy filing (Issue 1 below). Alternatively, Exam has questioned whether Taxpayer may have effectively disposed of the Installment Note, which could result in income recognition under the installment method rules in sections 453 and 453B (Issue 2 below) or result in income recognition on the disposition of property pursuant to section 1001 (Issue 3 below).

I. Cancellation of Indebtedness on Notes

Gross income means all income from whatever source derived, including income from discharge of indebtedness. I.R.C. § 61(a)(12). "Whether a debt has been

6 -

⁶ The case may be subject to review by the Joint Committee due to the amount of losses claimed by Taxpayer; Joint Committee review is likely dependant on whether Taxpayer should recognize income in or as a result of the bankruptcy as additional income recognition would reduce losses and eliminate the need for Joint Committee review.

discharged is dependent on the substance of the transactions." Cozzi v. Comm'r, 88 T.C. 435, 445 (1987). "The moment it becomes clear that a debt will never have to be paid, such debt must be viewed as having been discharged. The test for determining such moment requires a practical assessment of the facts and circumstances relating to the likelihood of payment." Id. "Any 'identifiable event' which fixes the loss with certainty may be taken into consideration." Id. There may be more than one identifiable event sufficient to trigger recognition of income. Id. at 447. The abandonment of worthless collateral that represents the sole payment source of a nonrecourse debt "is an 'identifiable event' which establishes the moment when the underlying debt is discharged." Carlins v. Comm'r, T.C. Memo 1988-79. Worthlessness is established when there is no reasonable possibility that the underlying collateral will have value in the future. Cozzi, 88 T.C. at 446.

Taxpayer has an outstanding debt of \$ to the holders of the Notes with interest due semi-annually and the principal due in . Payment under the Notes is secured by and limited to Taxpayer's proceeds from the Installment Note and the Guaranty. If that collateral becomes worthless so that Taxpayer will never receive payments under either the Installment Note or the Guaranty to finance the debt it owes to the holders of the Notes, then Taxpayer will not have to repay the debt it owes to the holders of the Notes. In that case, Taxpayer should recognize discharge of indebtedness income from the holders of the Notes.

The collateral for the Notes likely does not have value aside from potential bankruptcy payouts. Upon an event of default, such as a failure to pay interest when due, the holder of the Installment Note (Taxpayer), must make a demand for payment from pursuant to the Guaranty before it can exercise other rights and remedies under the Installment Note at law or in equity. Because it made a demand for payment from pursuant to the Guaranty, Taxpayer can enforce its rights under the terms of the Installment Note. However, the Installment Note provides that its holder shall have no recourse to the or to any affiliate of , a special purpose entity, was established to buy the

does not have other assets from which Taxpayer's claim for amounts owing under the Installment Note could be satisfied.

However, the Installment Note and Guaranty have not been abandoned and have value as a result of the future bankruptcy payouts. The rights held under the Installment Note and Guaranty have always had value while the bankruptcy was pending; the value actually increased since the bankruptcy filing. All parties are still pursuing their rights under the Notes' collateral: the Installment Note and the Guaranty. Taxpayer, through , filed a claim in the bankruptcy seeking to recover the value of the Guaranty. , as indenture trustee also filed a claim in the bankruptcy case to recover the value of the

Guaranty. Any amount recovered in the bankruptcy case pursuant to the claim under the Guaranty would be paid to the holders of the Notes.

Additionally, filed a claim in the bankruptcy for the value of the Collateral Note, payment of which finances payments to under the Installment Note. Any amount recovered pursuant to claim under the Collateral Note would be paid to under the Installment Note and then to the holders of the Notes.

Although its liabilities exceed its assets. has some assets to be distributed in the bankruptcy case and the amount that unsecured creditors will receive is still unknown. The claims under the Installment Note and the Guaranty have had substantially more than a nominal value since the original bankruptcy filing. Any amount that Taxpayer may recover on the Installment Note through the Collateral Note or on the Guaranty was not certain when Taxpayer paid an estimated tax on discharge , nor was the amount certain in of indebtedness income in . Taxpayer and other relevant parties are still pursing claims and have not abandoned the collateral as worthless. Thus, Taxpayer is not required to recognize income from the discharge of because no identifiable event indicated that the indebtedness in Installment Note and the Guaranty became worthless or that the Notes holders had abandoned their rights to payments under the Collateral Note or the Guaranty securing the Notes.

II. Income Recognition on Installment Note as Installment Sale

An installment sale is defined by the receipt of at least one payment after the close of the taxable year of the disposition. I.R.C. § 453(b)(1). A payment must be recognized as income in proportional relationship between gross profit and the contract price. I.R.C. § 453(c). A payment does not include receipt of a bond or other evidence of indebtedness unless payable on demand. I.R.C. § 453(f). Taxpayer sold in exchange for the Installment Note in an installment sale because Taxpayer was to receive payment in rather than in the year of the sale, . Taxpayer's receipt of the Installment Note was not receipt of a payment because the Installment Note was not payable on demand when received by Taxpayer; the Installment Note only became payable on demand after an event of default.

A taxpayer must also recognize income on an installment obligation when it sells or otherwise disposes of the installment obligation. I.R.C. § 453B(a). If the installment obligation becomes unenforceable, the obligation is treated as if it were disposed of in a transaction other than a sale or exchange. I.R.C. § 453B(f). The gain is the fair market value of the installment obligation at the time it becomes unenforceable less the taxpayer's basis in the installment obligation. I.R.C. § 453B(a).

⁷ As mentioned above, the claims have been consolidated

Taxpayer retained the Installment Note and pledged it as collateral for the Note, through , who took a security interest in the Installment Note as the indenture trustee. The Installment Note has not become unenforceable. The Installment Note can be enforced against and a demand has been made under the Guaranty. Claims under the Guaranty cannot be pursued outside of the bankruptcy case, but all relevant parties filed claims in the bankruptcy case seeking to recover amounts that would ultimately be paid to the holders of the Notes. Taxpayer enforced its installment obligation by filing a claim in bankruptcy court. Therefore, the Installment Note did not become unenforceable in so as to require Taxpayer to recognize gain.

III. Other Disposition of Installment Note

"A debtor's transfer, or abandonment, of property to a creditor in satisfaction of a nonrecourse liability is treated as a sale or other disposition of the property, and any resulting income constitutes gain on the disposition of property rather than discharge of indebtedness income." Coburn v. Comm'r, T.C. Memo 2005-283 (citing L&C Spring Assocs. v. Comm'r, 188 F.3d 866 (7th Cir. 1999); Treas. Reg. § 1.1001-2(a)). When the abandonment of collateral would result in income recognition, an act of abandonment need not be overt if the taxpayer clearly did not retain the collateral. L&C Springs Assocs., 188 F.3d at 870; Great Plains Gasification Assocs. v. Comm'r, T.C. Memo. 2006-276 at *22. A taxpayer realizes gain from the sale or other disposition of property in an amount determined by subtracting from the amount realized the taxpayer's adjusted basis. I.R.C. § 1001(a). Any gain realized during a taxable year must be recognized in the same taxable year. I.R.C. § 1001(c). In the case of abandonment of an installment obligation, a taxpayer would recognize gain or loss equal to the difference between the taxpayer's basis in the obligation and the obligation's fair market value at the time of disposition. I.R.C. § 453B(a)(2).

due from Taxpayer The Note holders cannot recover the \$ except from the value of the collateral, the Installment Note and the Guaranty. Taxpayer has not yet transferred the collateral to the Note holders. Therefore, Taxpayer does not yet have to recognize gain as the result of a transfer of collateral in satisfaction of its liability to the holders of the Notes. Once Taxpayer transfers the Installment Note and the Guaranty to the Note holders, Taxpayer's \$ debt (or some lesser portion thereof) will be extinguished. Taxpayer must then recognize income from the discharge of indebtedness. As discussed above, the Taxpayer cannot be deemed to have disposed of the Installment Note at the time of the bankruptcy filing because the Installment Note had not become unenforceable, abandoned, or essentially worthless.

While there could be arguments for treating the transaction as a sale, rather than a pledge, of the Installment Note, we understand the period of limitations for assessment is no longer open for Taxpayer's tax year.



In conclusion, Taxpayer did not recognize income from discharge of indebtedness in or as a result of the bankruptcy. Taxpayer did not recognize income on the Installment Note in or since Taxpayer had not disposed of the Installment Note and the Installment Note had not become unenforceable or worthless in either year. This opinion was coordinated with Counsel and CC:ITA:B05. Please feel free to contact me with any questions at (312) 368-8545.

GWEN H. SCHOEN

Attorney (Chicago) (Large Business & International)

cc: James M. Cascino
Associate Area Counsel (Chicago)
(Large Business & International)