Template Classes + Const Correctness

How do we make our classes general? How do we make them safe?

Attendance

bit.ly/446GZRK







CS 106B covers the barebones of C++ classes... we'll be covering the rest

template classes • const-correctness • operator overloading • special member functions • move semantics • RAII

This lecture is incredibly important for assn 2 But also very dense so ask questions and we may use the full time block

Today



- Classes Recap
- Template Classes
- Const Correctness

Turning Student into a class: Header File

//student.h

```
class Student {
   public:
    std::string getName();
   void setName(string
   name);
   int getAge();
   void setAge(int age);
   private:
    std::string name;
    std::string state;
   int age;
```

Public section:

- Users of the Student object can directly access anything here!
- Defines an interface for interacting with the private member variables!

Private section:

- Usually contains all member variables
- Users can't access or modify anything in the private section

Turning Student into a class: Header File + .cpp File

//student.h class Student { public: std::string getName(); void setName(string name); int getAge(); void setAge(int age); private: std::string name; std::string state; int age;

};

//student.cpp

```
#include student.h
std::string Student::getName() {
//implementation here!
void Student::setName() {
int Student::getAge() {
void Student::setAge (int age) {
```

//student.cpp

```
#include student.h
std::string Student::getName(){
   return name; //we can access name here!
void Student::setName(std::string name) {
   name = name; //huh?
int Student::getAge() {
void Student::setAge(int age) {
```

//student.h

```
class Student {
   public:
    std::string getName();
   void setName(string
   name);
    int getAge();
   void setAge(int age);
   private:
    std::string name;
    std::string state;
    int age;
```

The this keyword!

- Here, we mean "set the Student private member variable name equal to the parameter name"
- this->element_name means "the item in this Student object with name element_name".

 Use this for resolving naming conflicts!

```
void Student::setName(string name) {
    this->name = name; //better!
}
```









http://web.stanford.edu/class/cs106l/



What if the object has member variables?

If we need to access a pointer's object's member variables, instead of dereferencing (*ptr) and then accessing (.var), there's a shorthand!

(*ptr).var == ptr->var

```
//student.h
this is a pointer (Student *) to a Student object. Deference
the pointer (*this) to get the actual object and then access
the object's name private member variable
(*this).name = name; or this->name = name;
void Student::setName(string name) {
    this->name = name; //resolved!
int Student::getAge() {
    return age;
void Student::setAge(int age) {
    //We can define what "age" means!
    if(age >= 0){
         this -> age = age;
    else error ("Age cannot be negative!");
```

```
class Student {
   public:
    std::string getName();
   void setName(string
   name);
    int getAge();
   void setAge(int age);
    private:
    std::string name;
    std::string state;
```

int age;

//student.cpp

```
#include student.h
std::string Student::getName(){
   return name; //we can access name here!
void Student::setName(string name) {
   this->name = name; //resolved!
int Student::getAge() {
   return age;
void Student::setAge(int age) {
   //We can define what "age" means!
   if(age >= 0){
       this -> age = age;
   else error ("Age cannot be negative!");
```

//student.h

```
class Student {
   public:
    std::string getName();
   void setName(string
   name);
    int getAge();
   void setAge(int age);
   private:
    std::string name;
    std::string state;
    int age;
```

Questions?

Today



- Classes Recap

- Template Classes
- Const Correctness

- Vectors should be able to contain any data type!

- Vectors should be able to contain any data type!

Solution? Create IntVector, DoubleVector, BoolVector etc..

- Vectors should be able to contain any data type!

Solution? Create IntVector, DoubleVector, BoolVector etc...

- What if we want to make a vector of Students?
 - How are we supposed to know about every custom class?
- What if we don't want to write a class for every type we can think of?

- Vectors should be able to contain any data type!

Solution? Create IntVector, DoubleVector, BoolVector etc..

- What if we want to make a vector of Students?
 - How are we supposed to know about every custom class?
- What if we don't want to write a class for every type we can think of?

SOLUTION: Template classes!

Definition

Template Class: A class that is parametrized over some number of types. A class that is comprised of member variables of a general type/types.

A simpler class: My Int Pair (so specific)

```
//myintpair.h
class MyPair {
    public:
        int getFirst();
        int getSecond();
        void setFirst(int f);
        void setSecond(int f);
    private:
        int first;
        int second;
};
```

Can only hold ints:(

Writing a Template Class: Syntax

//mypair.h

```
template<typename First, typename Second> class MyPair {
   public:
       First getFirst();
       Second getSecond();
       void setFirst(First f);
       void setSecond(Second f);
   private:
       First first;
       Second second;
};
```

Generic! First and Second can be any type (including custom types) not just ints \bigcirc

Use generic typenames as placeholders!

//mypair.cpp

```
#include "mypair.h"

First MyPair::getFirst() {
    return first;
}
```

```
//mypair.cpp
#include "mypair.h"

First MyPair::getFirst() {
    return first;
}
//Compile error! Must announce every member function is templated :/
```

```
//mypair.cpp
#include "mypair.h"

template<class First, typename Second>
First MyPair::getFirst() {
    return first;
}
// So are we good now????
```

```
//mypair.cpp
#include "mypair.h"

template<class First, typename Second>
First MyPair::getFirst() {
    return first;
}
//Compile error! The namespace of the class isn't just MyPair
```

```
//mypair.cpp
#include "mypair.h"

template<class First, typename Second>
First MyPair<First, Second>::getFirst() {
    return first;
}
// Fixed!
```

```
Template
Function

template<class First, typename Second>
First MyPair<First, Second>::getFirst() {
    return first;
}
// Fixed!
```

```
Template
Function

class and typename
interchangeable

template<class First, typename Second>
First MyPair<First, Second>::getFirst() {
    return first;
}
// Fixed!
```

C++ reference is like 10% less scary now!

std::vector

```
template<
    class T,
    class Allocator = std::allocator<T>
    class vector;

namespace pmr {
    template< class T >
    using vector = std::vector<T, std::pmr::polymorphic_allocator<T>>;
}

1) std::vector is a sequence container that encapsulates dynamic size arrays.
2) std::pmr::vector is an alias template that uses a polymorphic allocator.
(1)
```

Screenshot from: https://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/container/vector

Questions?

Member Types

- Sometimes, we need a name for a type that is dependent on our template types
- Recall: iterators

```
std::vector<int> a = {1, 2};
std::vector<int>::iterator it = a.begin();
```

Member Types

- Sometimes, we need a name for a type that is dependent on our template types
- Recall: iterators

```
std::vector<int> a = {1, 2};
std::vector<int>::iterator it = a.begin();
```

- iterator is a **member type** of vector

Member Types: Syntax

```
//vector.h
template<typename T> class vector {
   public:
   using iterator = T* // something internal like T*
   iterator begin();
}
```

Member Types: Syntax

//compile error! Why?

```
//vector.h
template<typename T> class vector {
   public:
   using iterator = T* // something internal like T*
   iterator begin();
//vector.cpp
template <typename T>
iterator vector<T>::begin() {...}
```

Member Types: Syntax

```
//vector.h
template<typename T> class vector {
   public:
   using iterator = T* // something internal like T*
   iterator begin();
//vector.cpp
template <typename T>
iterator vector<T>::begin() {...}
//iterator is a nested type in namespace vector<T>::
```

Member Types: Syntax

```
//vector.h
template<typename T> class vector {
   public:
   using iterator = T* // something internal like T*
   iterator begin();
//vector.cpp
template <typename T>
typename vector<T>::iterator vector<T>::begin() {...}
```

Aside: Type Aliases

- You can use using type_name = type in application code as well!
- When using it in a class interface, it defines a nested type, like vector::iterator
- When using it in application code, like main.cpp, it just creates another name for type within that scope (until the next unmatched })

Member Types: Summary

- Used to make sure your clients have a standardized way to access important types.
- Lives in your namespace: vector<T>::iterator.
- After class specifier, you can use the alias directly (e.g. inside function arguments, inside function body).
- Before class specifier, use typename.

realVector.cpp

No more "this is the simplified version of the real thing"... We are writing the real thing (just a little simplified :p)

- Add template<class T1, T2..> before class definition in .h

- Add template<class T1, T2..> before class definition in .h
- Add template<class T1, T2..>before all function signatures in .cpp

- Add template<class T1, T2..> before class definition in .h
- Add template<class T1, T2..>before all function signatures in .cpp
- When returning nested types (like iterator types), put typename ClassName<T1, T2..>::member_type as return type, not just member type

- Add template<class T1, T2..> before class definition in .h
- Add template<class T1, T2..>before all function signatures in .cpp
- When returning nested types (like iterator types), put typename ClassName<T1, T2..>::member_type as return type, not just member type
- Templates don't emit code until instantiated, so #include
 the .cpp file in the .h file, not the other way around!

Questions?

Today



- Finish StrVector
- Template Classes
- Const Correctness

Const and Const References

Definition

const: keyword indicating a variable, function or parameter can't be modified

```
std::vector<int> vec{1, 2, 3};
const std::vector<int> c vec{7, 8}; // a const variable
const std::vector<int>& c ref = vec; // a const reference
vec.push back (3);
c vec.push back(3);
ref.push back(3);
c ref.push back(3);
```

```
std::vector<int> vec{1, 2, 3};
const std::vector<int> c vec{7, 8}; // a const variable
const std::vector<int>& c ref = vec; // a const reference
vec.push back(3); // OKAY
c vec.push back(3);
ref.push back(3);
c ref.push back(3);
```

```
std::vector<int> vec{1, 2, 3};
const std::vector<int> c vec{7, 8}; // a const variable
const std::vector<int>& c ref = vec; // a const reference
vec.push back(3); // OKAY
c vec.push back(3); // BAD - const
ref.push back (3);
c ref.push back(3);
```

```
std::vector<int> vec{1, 2, 3};
const std::vector<int> c vec{7, 8}; // a const variable
const std::vector<int>& c ref = vec; // a const reference
vec.push back(3); // OKAY
c_vec.push back(3); // BAD - const
ref.push back(3); // OKAY
c ref.push back(3);
```

```
std::vector<int> vec{1, 2, 3};
const std::vector<int> c vec{7, 8}; // a const variable
const std::vector<int>& c ref = vec; // a const reference
vec.push back(3); // OKAY
c_vec.push back(3); // BAD - const
ref.push back(3); // OKAY
c ref.push back(3); // BAD - const
```

Why const?

Why const? Find the typo in this code

```
void f(int x, int y) {
   if ((x==2 \&\& y==3) | (x==1))
       cout << 'a' << endl;
   if ((y==x-1) \& \& (x==-1 | y=-1))
       cout << 'b' << endl;
   if ((x==3) \& \& (y==2*x))
       cout << 'c' << endl;
```

Why const? Find the typo in this code

```
void f(const int x, const int y) {
   if ((x==2 \&\& y==3) | (x==1))
       cout << 'a' << endl;
   if ((y==x-1) \& \& (x==-1 | | y=-1))
       cout << 'b' << endl;
   if ((x==3) \& \& (y==2*x))
       cout << 'c' << endl;
```

Const and Classes

Recall: Student class

//student.h

```
class Student {
   public:
   std::string getName();
   void setName(string name);
   int getAge();
   void setAge(int age);
   private:
   std::string name;
   std::string state;
   int age;
```

//student.cpp #include student.h std::string Student::getName(){ return name; //we can access name here! void Student::setName(string name) { this->name = name; //resolved! int Student::getAge() { return age; void Student::setAge(int age) { //We can define what "age" means!

else error ("Age cannot be negative!");

if(age >= 0) {

this -> age = age;

```
//student.h
class Student {
    public:
    std::string getName();
    void setName(string
    name);
    int getAge();
    void setAge(int age);
    private:
    std::string name;
    std::string state;
    int age;
```

//main.cpp

//main.cpp

The compiler doesn't know getName and getAge don't modify s!

//main.cpp

- The compiler doesn't know getName and getAge don't modify s!
- We need to promise that it doesn't by defining them as const functions

//main.cpp

- The compiler doesn't know getName and getAge don't modify s!
- We need to promise that it doesn't by defining them as const functions
- Add const to the **end** of function signatures!

Making Student const-correct

```
//student.cpp
#include student.h
std::string Student::getName()const{
    return name;
void Student::setName(string name) {
    this->name = name;
int Student::getAge()const{
    return age;
void Student::setAge(int age) {
    if(age >= 0){
        this -> age = age;
    else error ("No Negative Age!");
```

```
//student.h
class Student {
    public:
    std::string getName() const;
    void setName(string name);
    int getAge() const;
    void setAge(int age);
    private:
    std::string name;
    std::string state;
    int age;
 };
```

Definition

const-interface: All member functions marked const in a class definition. Objects of type const ClassName may only use the const-interface.

```
//main.cpp
```

```
class StrVector {
public:
    using iterator = std::string*;
    const size t kInitialSize = 2;
    /*...*/
    size t size();
   bool empty();
   void push back(const std::string& elem);
    std::string& at(size t indx); // like vec[] but with error checking
    iterator begin();
    iterator end();
    /*...*/
```

```
class StrVector {
public:
    using iterator = std::string*;
    const size t kInitialSize = 2;
   /*...*/
    size t size();
   bool empty();
   void push back (const std::string& ele.,,
    std::string& at(size t indx); // like vec[] but with error checking
    iterator begin();
    iterator end();
   /* * */
```

Questions to ask:

- Should this function be able available to a const object?
 - a.) Can I mark the function const as is (i.e. the function doesn't modify the object)?
 - Otherwise, can I make a const version of the function?

```
class StrVector {
public:
    using iterator = std::string*;
    const size t kInitialSize = 2;
    /*...*/
  size t size();
    bool empty();
   void push back(const std::string& elem);
    iterator begin();
    iterator end();
   /*...*/
```

Questions to ask:

- Should this function be able available to a const object?
 - a.) Can I mark the function const as is (i.e. the function doesn't modify the object)?
 - Otherwise, can I make a const version of the function?

```
std::string& at(size t indx); // like vec[] but with error checking
```

```
class Str
         size t StrVector::size() {
public:
   using return logicalSize;
    const
    /*...
   size t size();
   bool empty();
   void push back(const std::string& elem);
    std::string& at(size t indx); // like vec[] but with error checking
    iterator begin();
    iterator end();
   /* . . */
```

Questions to ask:

- Should this function be able available to a const object?
 - a.) Can I mark the function const as is (i.e. the function doesn't modify the object)?
 - Otherwise, can I make a const version of the function?

```
class StrVector {
public:
   using iterator = std::string*;
    const size t kInitialSize = 2;
   /*...*/
 size t size() const;
   bool empty();
   void push back(const std::string& elem);
    std::string& at(size t indx); // like vec[] but with error checking
    iterator begin();
    iterator end();
   /*...*/
```

```
class StrVector {
publ: bool StrVector::empty() {
        return size() == 0;
    size t size() const;
   bool empty();
    void push back(const std::string& elem);
    std::string& at(size t indx); // like vec[] but with error checking
    iterator begin();
    iterator end();
    /* . . */
```

Questions to ask:

- Should this function be able available to a const object?
 - a.) Can I mark the function const as is (i.e. the function doesn't modify the object)?
 - Otherwise, can I make a const version of the function?

```
class StrVector {
public:
   using iterator = std::string*;
    const size t kInitialSize = 2;
   /*...*/
   size t size() const;
  bool empty() const;
   void push back(const std::string& elem);
    std::string& at(size t indx); // like vec[] but with error checking
    iterator begin();
    iterator end();
   /*...*/
```

```
class StrVector {
public:
    using iterator = std::string*;
    const size_t kInitialSize = 2;
    /*...*/
    size_t size() const;
    bool empty() const;
```

Questions to ask:

- 1.) Should this function be able available to a const object?
 - a.) Can I mark the function const as is (i.e. the function doesn't modify the object)?
 - b.) Otherwise, can I make a const version of the function?

```
void push_back(const std::string& elem);
std::string& at(size_t indx); // like vec[] but with error checking
iterator begin();
iterator end();
```

/* . . */

```
ace
void StrVector::push back(const std::string& elem)
  if (allocatedSize == size()) {
      grow();
   *end() = elem;
  logicalSize++;
    NOOT Embry () Const,
   void push back(const std::string& elem);
    std::string& at(size t indx); // like vec[] but with error checking
    iterator begin();
    iterator end();
    /*...*/
```

```
class StrVector {
  public:
    using iterator = std::string*;
    const size_t kInitialSize = 2;
    /*...*/
    size_t size() const;
    bool empty() const;
    void push_back(const std::string& elem);
    std::string& at(size t indx); // like year
```

Questions to ask:

- 1.) Should this function be able available to a const object?
 - a.) Can I mark the function const as is (i.e. the function doesn't modify the object)?
 - b.) Otherwise, can I make a const version of the function?

```
std::string& at(size_t indx); // like vec[] but with error checking
```

```
iterator begin();
iterator end();
/*...*/
```

```
std::string& StrVector::at(size_t index) {
   if (index >= size()) {
      throw std::out_of_range("Index out of range in at.");
   }
   return operator[](index); // operator[] = return *(begin() + index)
}
```

```
void push_back(const std::string& elem);
std::string& at(size_t indx); // like vec[] but with error checking
```

```
iterator begin();
iterator end();
/*...*/
```

```
std::string& StrVector::at(size_t index) {
   if (index >= size()) {
      throw std::out_of_range("Index out of range in at.");
   }
   return operator[] (index); // operator[] = return *(begin() + index)
}
```

```
void push_back(const std::string& elem);
std::string& at(size_t indx); // like vec[] but with error checking
```

```
iterator begin();
iterator end();
/*...*/
```

Seems like **at** doesn't modify the vector... can we just mark at const like we did with the other functions?

```
std::string& StrVector::at(size_t index) {
   if (index >= size()) {
      throw std::out_of_range("Index out of range in at.");
   }
   return operator[] (index); // operator[] = return *(begin() + index)
}
```

```
void push_back(const std::string& elem);
std::string& at(size_t indx); // like vec[] but with error checking
```

```
iterator begin();
iterator end();
/*...*/
```

Seems like **at** doesn't modify the vector... can we just mark at const like we did with the other functions?

Problem: at returns a reference to an element in the vector. That element reference could be modified (thereby modifying the vector).

onst-interface

```
public:
   using iterator = std::string*;
    const size t kInitialSize = 2;
   /*...*/
    size t size() const;
   bool empty() const;
   void push back(const std::string& elem);
   std::string& at(size t indx); // like vec[] but with error checking
```

```
iterator begin();
iterator end();
/*...*/
```

Problem: at returns a reference to an element in the vector. That element reference could be modified (thereby modifying the vector).

onst-interface

m);

```
Example

// StrVector my_vec = {"sarah", "haven"};

std::string& elem_ref = my_vec.at(1);

elem_ref = "Now I'm Different";

// my_vec = {"sarah", "Now I'm Different"}
```

std::string& at(size_t indx); // like vec[] but with error checking

```
iterator begin();
iterator end();
/*...*/
```

```
class StrVector {
public:
   using iterator = std::string*;
    const size t kInitialSize = 2;
   /*...*/
    size t size() const;
   bool empty() const;
   void push back(const std::string& elem)
   std::string& at(size t indx); // like vec[] but with error checking
    const std::string& at(size t indx) const;
    iterator begin();
    iterator end();
   /*...*/
```

Questions to ask:

- Should this function be able available to a const object?
 - a.) Can I mark the function const as is (i.e. the function doesn't modify the object)?
 - Otherwise, can I make a const version of the function?

DON'T DO THIS!!!!!!!!!!

```
std::string& StrVector::at(size t index) {
   if (index >= size()) {
       throw std::out of range ("Index out of range in at.");
   return operator[] (index); // operator[] = return *(begin() + index)
  DON'T DO THIS!!!!!!!!!
const std::string& StrVector::at(size t index) const
                                                        DON'T DO THIS!!!!!!!!!
   if (index >= size()) {
       throw std::out of range ("Index out of range in at.");
   return operator[] (index); // operator[] = return *(begin() + index)
```

```
std::string& StrVector::at(size_t index) {
    if (index >= size()) {
        throw std::out_of_ranc
    }
    return operator[] (index);
    This is bad because you are reimplementing the same logic multiple times (i.e. copy-pasting).
    This makes code harder to maintain and is more bug-prone
```

DON'T DO THIS!!!!!!!!!

```
const std::string& StrVector::at(size_t index) const {
    if (index >= size()) {
        throw std::out_of_range("Index out of range in at.");
    }
    return operator[] (index); // operator[] = return *(begin() + index)
```

Key Idea: Don't reimplement logic unnecessarily

DO THIS!

```
std::string& StrVector::at(size t index) {
  if (index >= size()) {
       throw std::out of range ("Index out of range in at.");
  return operator[] (index); // operator[] = return *(begin() + index)
   DO THIS!
                                                           DO THIS!
const std::string& StrVector::at(size t index) const {
  return static cast<const
std::string&>(const cast<StrVector*>(this)->at(index));
```

Key Idea: Don't reimplement logic unnecessarily

DO THIS!

```
std::string& StrVector::at(size t index) {
   if (index >= size()) {
       throw std::out of range ("Index out of range in at.");
   return operator[] (index); // operator[] = return *(begin() + index)
   DO THIS!
                                                              DO THIS!
const std::string& StrVector::at(size t index) const {
  return static cast<const
std::string&>(const cal
                        This fancy static_cast/const_cast trick
                        allows us to reuse the non-const
                        version to implement the const version!
                        YAY! 💝 🙌 🎉
```

```
std::string& StrVector::at(size_t index) {
   if (index >= size()) {
      throw std::out_of_range("Index out of range in at.");
   }
   return operator[](index); // operator[] = return *(begin() + index)
}
```

 Casts this (i.e. the current object) from const StrVector* to StrVector* (i.e. removes the const)

```
const std::string& StrVector::at(size_t index) const {
   return static_cast<const
std::string&>(const cast<StrVector*>(this)->at(index));
```

```
void printidx2(const StrVector& const_vec) {
   cout << "Item: " << const_vec.at(2) << endl;
}
int main() { // omitted return 0 for space
   StrVector myVec;
   in at.");</pre>
```

myVec.push back("Sarah");

myVec.push back("Haven");

printidx2 (myVec);

```
1. Casts this (i.e. the current object) from const StrVector* to StrVector* (i.e. removes the const)
```

*(begin() + index)

const std::string& StrVector::at(size_t index) const {
 return static_cast<const</pre>

std::string&>(const cast<StrVector*>(this)->at(index));

```
std::string& StrVector::at(size_t index) {
   if (index >= size()) {
      throw std::out_of_range("Index out of range in at.");
   }
   return operator[](index); // operator[] = return *(begin() + index)
}
```

2. Calls the non-const version of at on this (remember this is now a StrVector*)

```
const std::string& StrVector::at(size_t index) const {
   return static_cast<const
std::string&>(const cast<StrVector*>(this)->at(index));
```

```
std::string& StrVector::at(size_t index) {
   if (index >= size()) {
      throw std::out_of_range("Index out of range in at.");
   }
   return operator[](index); // operator[] = return *(begin() + index)
}
```

3. Casts the return of at from std::string& to a const std::string&

```
const std::string& StrVector..at(size_t index) const {
   return static_cast<const
std::string&>(const cast<StrVector*>(this)->at(index));
```

```
std::string& StrVector::at(size t index) {
  if (index >= size()) {
       throw std::out of range ("Index out o The idea is to
  return operator[] (index); // operator[] =
```

In general, this fancy static_cast/const_cast trick allows us to reuse the non-const version to implement the const version! YAY! **

- 1. Cast this (i.e. the current object, which in this situation is const) so it's pointing to a non-const object
- 2. Call the non-const version of the function
- 3. And then cast the non-const return from the function call to a const version

```
const std::string& StrVector::at(size t index) const {
  return static cast<const
std::string&>(const cast<StrVector*>(this)->at(index));
```

Aside: static_cast and const_cast

- static_cast<new-type>(expression);
 - Used to convert from one type to another
 - Example: int my_int = static_cast<int>(3.1);
 - CANNOT BE USED WHEN conversion would cast away constness

Aside: static_cast and const_cast

- static_cast<new-type>(expression);
 - Used to convert from one type to another
 - Example: int my_int = static_cast<int>(3.1);
 - CANNOT BE USED WHEN conversion would cast away constness
- const_cast<new-type>(expression);
 - const_cast can be used to cast away (remove) constness
 - Allows you to make non-const pointer or reference to const-object const int const_int = 3;
 int& my_int = const_cast<int&>(const_int);

```
class StrVector {
public:
   using iterator = std::string*;
    const size t kInitialSize = 2;
   /*...*/
   size t size() const;
   bool empty() const;
   void push back(const std::string& elem);
    std::string& at(size t indx); // like vec[] but with error checking
    const std::string& at(size t indx) const;
   iterator begin();
   iterator end();
   /*...*/
```

Should begin() and end() be const?

```
void printVec(const StrVector& vec) {
  cout << "{ ";
  for(auto it = vec.begin(); it != vec.end(); ++it) {
     cout << *it << endl;
  }
  cout << " }" << endl;
  These seem like reasonable
  calls! Let's mark them const.
  What could go wrong? :)</pre>
```

```
void printVec(const StrVector& vec) {
   cout << "{ ";
   for(auto it = vec.begin(); it != vec.end(); ++it){
       *it = "dont mind me modifying a const vector :D";
                                   This code will compile!
   cout << " }" << endl;
                                   begin() and end() don't
                                  explicitly change vec, but
                                 they give us an iterator that
                                           can!
```

```
void printVec(const StrVector& vec) {
  cout << "{ ";
  for(auto it = vec.begin(); it != vec.end(); ++it) {
    *it = "dont mind me modifying a const vector :D";
  }
  cout << " }" << endl;
    iterate through a const vec
    just to access it</pre>
```

Solution: const iterator

```
class StrVector {
public:
   using iterator = std::string*;
   using const iterator = const std::string*;
   /*...*/
   size t size() const;
   bool empty() const;
   /*...*/
   void push back(const std::string& elem);
   const std::string& at(size t indx) const;
   iterator begin();
   iterator end();
   const iterator begin()const;
   const iterator end() const;
   /* */
```

```
void printVec(const StrVector& vec) {
  cout << "{ ";
  for(auto it = vec.begin(); it != vec.end(); ++it) {
    *it = "HELLO"; //compile error!
  }
  cout << " }" << cout;
    *it equal to something: it
    will be a compile error!</pre>
```

Abilities of Iterator Permutations

Iterator Type	Increment Iterator?	Change underlying value?
iterator		
const_iterator	V	X
const iterator	X	V
<pre>const const_iterator</pre>	X	X

const iterator vs const_iterator: Nitty Gritty

```
using iterator = std::string*;
using const_iterator = const std::string*;

const iterator it_c = vec.begin(); //string * const, const ptr to non-const obj
*it_c = "hi"; //OK! it_c is a const pointer to non-const object
it_c++; //not ok! can't change where a const pointer points!
```

const iterator vs const_iterator: Nitty Gritty

```
using iterator = std::string*;
using const iterator = const std::string*;
const iterator it c = vec.begin(); //string * const, const ptr to non-const obj
*it c = "hi"; //OK! it c is a const pointer to non-const object
it c++; //not ok! can't change where a const pointer points!
const iterator c it = vec.begin(); //const string*, a non-const ptr to const obj
c it++; // totally ok! The pointer itself is non-const
*c it = "hi" // not ok! Can't change underlying const object
cout << *c it << endl; //allowed! Can always read a const object, just can't change
```

const iterator vs **const_iterator**: Nitty Gritty

```
using iterator = std::string*;
using const iterator = const std::string*;
const iterator it c = vec.begin(); //string * const, const ptr to non-const obj
*it c = "hi"; //OK! it c is a const pointer to non-const object
it c++; //not ok! can't change where a const pointer points!
const iterator c it = vec.begin(); //const string*, a non-const ptr to const obj
c it++; // totally ok! The pointer itself is non-const
*c it = "hi" // not ok! Can't change underlying const object
cout << *c it << endl; //allowed! Can always read a const object, just can't change
//const string * const, const ptr to const obj
const const iterator c it c = vec.begin();
cout << c it c << " points to " << *c it c << endl; //only reads are allowed!</pre>
c it c++; //not ok! can't change where a const pointer points!
*c it c = "hi" // not ok! Can't change underlying const object
```

realVector.cpp

No more "this is the simplified version of the real thing"... We are writing the real thing (just a little simplified :p)

Recap: Const and Const-correctness

- Use const parameters and variables wherever you can in application code
- Every member function of a class that doesn't change its member variables should be marked const
- Don't reinvent the wheel! Use our fancy static_cast/const_cast trick to use the non-const version to implement a const version of a function
- auto will drop all const and &, so be sure to specify
- Make iterators and const_iterators for all your classes!

Recap: Template classes

- Add template<class T1, T2..> before class definition in .h
- Add template<class T1, T2..>before all function signatures in .cpp
- When returning nested types (like iterator types), put typename ClassName<T1, T2..>::member_type as return type, not just member type
- Templates don't emit code until instantiated, so #include the .cpp file in the .h file, not the other way around!

An unintuitive (at first!) bug (if time)

The Takeaway

Templates don't emit code until instantiated, so include the .cpp in the .h instead of the other way around!

A compile error....

```
// vector.h
template <typename T>
class vector<T> {
    T& at(int i);
};
```

```
// vector.cpp
#include "vector.h"
template <typename T>
T& vector<T>::at(int i) {
    // oops
}
```

```
// main.cpp
#include "vector.h"
vector<int> a;
a.at(5);
```

A compile error....

```
// vector.h
template <typename T>
class vector<T> {
    T& at(int i);
};
```

```
// vector.cpp
#include "vector.h"
template <typename T>
T& vector<T>::at(int i) {
    // oops
}
```

```
// main.cpp
#include "vector.h"
vector<int> a;
a.at(5);
```

```
// main.cpp
#include "vectorint.h"
vectorInt a;
a.at(5);
```

 g++ -c vectorint.cpp main.cpp: Compile and create all the code in vectorint.cpp and main.cpp. All the functions in vectorint.h have implementations that have been compiled now, and main can access them because it included vectorint.h

```
// main.cpp
#include "vectorint.h"
vectorInt a;
a.at(5);
```

- 1. g++ -c vectorint.cpp main.cpp: Compile and create all the code in vectorint.cpp and main.cpp. All the functions in vectorint.h have implementations that have been compiled now, and main can access them because it included vectorint.h
- 2. "Oh look she used vectorInt::at, sure glad I compiled all that code and can access vectorInt::at right now!"

```
// main.cpp
#include "vector.h"
vector a;
a.at(5);
```

 g++ -c vector.cpp main.cpp: Compile and create all the code in main.cpp. Compile vector.cpp, but since it's a template, don't create any code yet.

```
// main.cpp
#include "vector.h"
vector a;
a.at(5);
```

- 1. g++ -c vector.cpp main.cpp: Compile and create all the code in main.cpp. Compile vector.cpp, but since it's a template, don't create any code yet.
- 2. "Oh look she made a vector<int>! Better go generate all the code for one of those!"

```
// main.cpp
#include "vector.h"
vector a;
a.at(5);
```

- 1. g++ -c vector.cpp main.cpp: Compile and create all the code in main.cpp. Compile vector.cpp, but since it's a template, don't create any code yet.
- 2. "Oh look she made a vector<int>! Better go generate all the code for one of those!"
- 3. "Oh no! All I have access to is vector.h! There's no implementation for the interface in that file! And I can't go looking for vector<int>.cpp!"

The fix...

```
// vector.h
template <typename T>
class vector<T> {
    T& at(int i);
};
```

```
// vector.cpp
#include "vector.h"
template <typename T>
T& vector<T>::at(int i) {
    // oops
}
```

```
// main.cpp
#include "vector.h"
vector<int> a;
a.at(5);
```

Include vector.cpp in vector.h!

```
// vector.h
#include "vector.cpp"
template <typename T>
class vector<T> {
    T& at(int i);
};
```

```
// vector.cpp

template <typename T>
T& vector<T>::at(int i) {
    // oops
}
```

```
// main.cpp
#include "vector.h"
vector<int> a;
a.at(5);
```

```
// main.cpp
#include "vector.h"
vector<int> a;
a.at(5);
```

- 1. "Oh look she included vector.h! That's a template, I'll wait to link the implementation until she instantiates a specific kind of vector"
- 2. "Oh look she made a vector<int>! Better go generate all the code for one of those!"
- 3. "vector.h includes all the code in vector.cpp, which tells me how to create a vector<int>::at function :)"

The Takeaway

Templates don't emit code until instantiated, so include the .cpp in the .h instead of the other way around!

Practice with Template Classes!

- Implement Vector yourself!
- We got you started with some basic functionality but you can write many more methods including: <u>insert</u>, <u>erase</u>, <u>pop_back</u>. See <u>documentation</u> for notes about functionality







Next time: Template Functions