Macro, Money and Finance: A Continuous-Time Approach

Problem Set

Student: Alvaro Moran
Professor: Fernando Mendo

March 13, 2025

1. Consider an infinitely-lived household with logarithmic preferences over consumption $\{c_t\}_{t\geq 0}$,

$$U_0 = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^{\infty} e^{-\rho t}\log(c_t)dt\right] \tag{1}$$

The household has initial wealth $n_0 > 0$ and does not receive any endowment or labor income. Wealth can be invested into two assets:

- A risk-free bond with (instantaneous) return $r^b dt$.
- A risky stock with return $r^s dt + \sigma dZ_t$, where Z_t is a Brownian motion.

Here, r^b, r^s, and σ are constant parameters.

The household's net worth evolution is given by:

$$dn_t = -c_t dt + n_t\left[(1-\theta_t^s)r^b dt + \theta_t^s(r^s dt + \sigma dZ_t)\right] \tag{2}$$

where θ_t^s denotes the fraction of wealth invested into the stock. The household chooses consumption $\{c_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ and portfolio shares $\{\theta_t^s\}_{t\geq 0}$ to maximize utility U_0 subject to the net worth evolution and a solvency constraint $n_t \geq 0$.

(a) In this part, you will solve the consumption-portfolio choice problem using the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman (HJB) equation. The state space of this decision problem is one-dimensional with state variable n_t, so you can denote the household's value function by $V(n)$.

i. Write down the (deterministic) HJB equation for the value function $V(n)$.
La ecuación principal es:

$$\rho V(n) = \log(c) + E[V(n)]$$

Para resolver esto, tomamos la derivada temporal esperada:

$$\frac{E[V(n)]}{dt} = \frac{V_n\, dn + \frac{1}{2}V_{nn}\,(dn)^2}{dt}$$

$$V_n\, dn = V_n\left((-c + n[(1-\theta^s)r^b + r^s\theta^s])\, dt + n\sigma\theta^s\, dZ\right)$$

Y el término cuadrático:

$$V_{nn}\,(dn)^2 = V_{nn}\,(n\sigma\theta^s)^2\, dt$$

Combinando todo,

$$\frac{E[V(n)]}{dt} = \frac{V_n(-c + n[(1-\theta^s)r^b + r^s\theta^s])dt + \frac{1}{2}V_{nn}\,(n\sigma\theta^s)^2\, dt}{dt}$$

Simplificando:

$$\frac{E[V(n)]}{dt} = V_n\left(-c + n[(1-\theta^s)r^b + r^s\theta^s]\right) + \frac{1}{2}V_{nn}(n\sigma\theta^s)^2$$

Finalmente, resolviendo para $V(n)$:

$$\rho V(n) = \log(c) + V_n\left(-c + n[(1-\theta^s)r^b + r^s\theta^s]\right) + \frac{1}{2}V_{nn}(n\sigma\theta^s)^2$$

ii. Take first-order conditions with respect to all choice variables.

$$\rho V(n) = \max_c\{\log(c) - V_n c\} + \max_{\theta^s}\left\{V_n\, n\,(1-\theta^s)r^b + r^s\theta^s + \frac{1}{2}V_{nn}\,(n\sigma\theta^s)^2\right\}$$

Condición de Óptimo de Consumo
Para c^*, tenemos:

$$\frac{\partial \rho V(n)}{\partial c} = \frac{1}{c} - V_n = 0$$

De donde se obtiene:

$$\frac{1}{c} = V_n \quad \Rightarrow \quad c = \frac{1}{V_n}$$

Condición de Óptimo para θ^s
La ecuación de primer orden con respecto a θ^s es:

$$\frac{\partial \rho V(n)}{\partial \theta^s} = V_n(-nr^b + r^s n) + V_{nn}(n\sigma)^s \theta^s = 0$$

Despejando θ^s:

$$\theta^s = \frac{nr^b - r^s n^s}{(n\sigma)^2} \frac{V_n}{V_{nn}}$$

iii. Assume optimal consumption is proportional to net worth, $c(n) = an$, with some constant $a > 0$ (to be determined below). Use the first-order condition for consumption derived in part (b) to turn this into a guess for the value function $V(n)$. *Hint: Don't forget to add an integration constant (call it b) when moving from $V'(n)$ to $V(n)$; $V(n)$ is the sum of two terms.* Asumimos que en el óptimo se cumple:

$$\frac{1}{c} = V_n$$

Despejando:

$$c = \frac{1}{V_n}$$

Para la función de valor $V(n)$, integramos V_n:

$$V = \int V_n \, dn = \int \frac{1}{an} \, dn$$

Resolviendo la integral:

$$V(n) = \frac{1}{a} \log(n) + b$$

donde b es una constante de integración.
**Condición de Primer Orden (CPO)
De la ecuación de la CPO:

$$\theta^s = \frac{nr^b - r^s n^s}{(n\sigma)^2 V_{nn}}$$

Usamos las derivadas de $V(n)$:

$$V_n = \frac{1}{an}, \quad V_{nn} = \frac{-1}{an^2}$$

Sustituyendo en la ecuación de la CPO:

$$\theta^s = \frac{n(r^b - r^s)}{(n\sigma)^2} \left(\frac{V_n}{V_{nn}} \right)$$

Reemplazando V_n y V_{nn}:

$$\theta^s = \frac{n(r^b - r^s)}{(n\sigma)^2} \left(\frac{\frac{1}{an}}{\frac{-1}{an^2}} \right)$$

Simplificando:

$$\theta^s = \frac{(r^s - r^b)}{\sigma^2}$$

iv. Use your guess for $V(n)$ to simplify the first-order condition for θ_t^s and solve the resulting equation for θ_t^s.
Tenemos

$$\theta^s = \frac{n(r^b - r^s)}{(n\sigma)^2}\left(\frac{V_n}{V_{nn}}\right)$$

Reemplazando V_n y V_{nn}:

$$\theta^s = \frac{n(r^b - r^s)}{(n\sigma)^2}\left(\frac{\frac{1}{an}}{\frac{-1}{an^2}}\right)$$

Simplificando:

$$\theta^s = \frac{(r^s - r^b)}{\sigma^2}$$

v. Substitute the optimal choices and the guess for $V(n)$ into the HJB equation to eliminate $V(n)$, $V'(n)$, $V''(n)$, c, θ^s and the max operator.

$$\rho\left(\frac{1}{a}\log(n) + b\right) = \log(an) - \frac{an}{an} + \frac{1}{an}n\left[(1-\theta^s)r^b + r^s\theta^s\right] - \frac{1}{2an^2}(n\sigma\theta^s)^2$$

Expandiendo términos:

$$\rho\left(\frac{1}{a}\log(n) + b\right) = \log(a) + \log(n) - 1 + \frac{1}{a}\left[(r^s - r^b)\theta^s\right] - \frac{1}{2an^2}(n\sigma\theta^s)^2 + \frac{r^b}{a}$$

Recordemos que:

$$\theta^s = \frac{(r^s - r^b)}{\sigma^2}$$

Sustituyendo θ^s:

$$\rho\left(\frac{1}{a}\log(n) + b\right) = \log(a) + \log(n) - 1 + \frac{1}{a}\left[(r^s - r^b)\frac{(r^s - r^b)}{\sigma^2}\right] - \frac{1}{2a}\left(\sigma\frac{(r^s - r^b)}{\sigma^2}\right)^2 + \frac{r^b}{a}$$

Finalmente, despejando ρb:

$$\rho b = \log a + \log n\left(n - \frac{\rho}{a}\right) - 1 + \frac{1}{2a}\frac{(r^b - r^s)^2}{\sigma^2} + \frac{r^b}{a}$$

vi. The resulting equation in step (e) has to hold for all $n > 0$ (if it does not, the previous guess was incorrect). Show that this is indeed possible if we choose a and b appropriately. What are the required values for a and b?
Para eliminar la dependencia en n, necesitamos que:

$$\log(n) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{\rho}{a}\right) = 0$$

De donde se sigue que:

$$1 - \frac{\rho}{a} = 0$$

Despejando a:

$$a = \rho$$

Ahora, considerando b, tenemos la ecuación:

$$\rho b = \log\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right) - 1 + \frac{1}{2\rho}\frac{(r^b - r^s)^2}{\sigma^2} + \frac{r^b}{\rho}$$

Despejamos b:

$$b = \frac{1}{\rho}\left(\log\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right) - 1 + \frac{1}{2\rho}\frac{(r^b - r^s)^2}{\sigma^2} + \frac{r^b}{\rho}\right)$$

(b) Now consider the same decision problem as before but approach it with the stochastic maximum principle instead of the HJB equation.

i. Denote by ξ_t the costate for net worth n_t and by σ_t^ξ its (arithmetic) volatility loading (that is $d\xi_t = \mu_t^\xi dt + \sigma_t^\xi dZ_t$ with some drift μ_t^ξ). Write down the Hamiltonian of the problem.

$$H = e^{-\rho t} \ln u(c) + n\xi_t\mu^n + \operatorname{tr}\left((\sigma_\xi)^T \sigma^n\right)$$

Recordar

$$dn = n\left(\frac{-c}{n} + \left[(1-\theta^s)r^b + r^s\theta^s\right]\right) dt + n\sigma\theta^s\, dZ)$$

Definiendo μ^n y σ^n:

$$\mu^n = \left(\frac{-c}{n} + \left[(1-\theta^s)r^b + r^s\theta^s\right]\right)$$

$$\sigma^n = \sigma\theta^s$$

Entonces, la ecuación diferencial se puede reescribir como:

$$dn = n\mu^n dt + n\sigma^n dZ$$

Una reformulación de la Hamiltoniana:

$$H = e^{-\rho t} \ln u(c) + n\xi_t\left(\frac{-c}{n} + \left[(1-\theta^s)r^b + r^s\theta^s\right]\right) + \operatorname{tr}\left((\sigma^\xi)^T\sigma\theta^s n\right)$$

ii. The choice variables have to maximize the Hamiltonian at all times. Take the first-order conditions in this maximization problem.
Calculamos las CPO: CPO c:

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial c} = -\xi_t + \frac{e^{\rho t}}{c} = 0$$

De aquí, despejamos c^*:

$$c^* = \frac{e^{-\rho t}}{\xi_t}$$

CPO θ^s

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial \theta^s} = n\xi_t(-r^b + r^s) + \left((\sigma^\xi)^T n\sigma\right) = 0$$

$$(r^s - r^b) = -\frac{\left((\sigma^\xi)\sigma\right)}{\xi_t}$$

iii. Let's again make the guess $c_t = an_t$ with an unknown constant $a > 0$. Use the first-order condition for consumption derived in part (b) to turn this into a guess for the costate ξ_t. Also determine the implied costate volatility σ_t^ξ.
Partimos de la condición óptima para c^*:

$$c^* = \frac{e^{-\rho t}}{\xi_t}$$

Dado nuestro **guess** $c = an$, igualamos ambas expresiones:

$$an = \frac{e^{-\rho t}}{\xi_t}$$

Despejamos ξ_t:

$$\xi_t = \frac{e^{-\rho t}}{an}$$

Partimos de la ecuación diferencial para ξ_t:

$$d\xi_t = \mu^\xi\, dt + \sigma^\xi dZ$$

Sustituyendo $\xi_t = \frac{e^{-\rho t}}{an}$, obtenemos:

$$d\xi_t = -\frac{\rho e^{-\rho t}}{an}dt + \frac{e^{-\rho t}}{an^3}(dn)^2 - \frac{e^{-\rho t}}{an^2}dn$$

Recordando que:

$$dn = n\left(r^b(1-\theta^s) + r^s\theta^s - \frac{c}{n}\right)dt + n\sigma\theta^s dZ$$

Al elevar al cuadrado dn:

$$(dn)^2 = n^2(\sigma\theta^s)^2 dZ^2$$

Dado que $dZ^2 = dt$, sustituimos:

$$(dn)^2 = n^2(\sigma\theta^s)^2 dt$$

Sustituyendo en $d\xi_t$:

$$d\xi_t = -\frac{\rho e^{-\rho t}}{an}dt - \frac{e^{-\rho t}}{an^2}dn + \frac{e^{-\rho t}}{an^3}n^2(\sigma\theta^s)^2 dt$$

Simplificando:

$$d\xi_t = -\frac{\rho e^{-\rho t}}{an}dt - \frac{e^{-\rho t}}{an^2}dn + \frac{e^{-\rho t}}{an}(\sigma\theta^s)^2 dt$$

También tenemos que:

$$\sigma^\xi = \frac{-e^{-\rho t}}{an}\sigma\theta^s$$

$$\sigma^\xi = -\xi_t\sigma\theta^s$$

iv. Determine the optimal solution for θ_t^s.
Usando

$$(r^s - r^b) = -\frac{\left((\sigma^\xi)\sigma\right)}{\xi_t}$$

$$(r^s - r^b) = \frac{((\xi_t\sigma\theta^s)\sigma)}{\xi_t}$$

$$\frac{(r^s - r^b)}{\sigma^2} = \theta^s$$

v. Write down the costate equation for ξ_t and substitute in your guess for c_t, the implied guesses for ξ_t and σ_t^ξ, and the implied optimal solution for θ_t^s. Show that the costate equation is indeed satisfied (and hence the guess was correct) if you choose a suitably. Which value(s) for a work?
Partimos de la ecuación diferencial:

$$d\xi_t = \mu^\xi\, dt + \sigma^\xi dZ$$

Sustituyendo su expresión:

$$d\xi_t = -\frac{\rho e^{-\rho t}}{an}dt + \frac{e^{-\rho t}}{an^3}(dn)^2 - \frac{e^{-\rho t}}{an^2}dn$$

Recordamos que:

$$dn = n\left(r^b(1-\theta^s) + r^s\theta^s - \frac{c}{n}\right)dt + n\sigma\theta^s dZ$$

Elevando al cuadrado:

$$(dn)^2 = n^2(\sigma\theta^s)^2 dt$$

Sustituyendo en la ecuación de $d\xi_t$:

$$d\xi_t = -\frac{\rho e^{-\rho t}}{an}dt + \frac{e^{-\rho t}}{an}(\sigma\theta^s)^2 dt - \frac{e^{-\rho t}}{an}\left(\left(r^b(1-\theta^s) + r^s\theta^s - \frac{c}{n}\right)dt + \sigma\theta^s dZ\right)$$

Recordamos que:

$$\mu^\xi = \frac{e^{-\rho t}}{an}\left(\rho + ((\sigma\theta^s)^2 + r^b(1-\theta^s) + r^s\theta^s - \frac{c}{n})\right)$$

Y utilizando las relaciones:

$$c = an, \quad \theta^s = \frac{r^s - r^b}{\sigma^2}$$

Sustituyendo estos valores en la ecuación final de μ^ξ:

$$\mu^\xi = -\frac{e^{-\rho t}}{an}\left(\rho + \left(\frac{r^s - r^b}{\sigma}\right)^2 + r^b + \frac{(r^s - r^b)^2}{\sigma^2} - a\right)$$

Simplificamos:

$$\mu^\xi = -\frac{e^{-\rho t}}{an}\left(\rho + r^b - a\right)$$

Calculo de H_n^n Dado que:

$$\xi_t = \frac{e^{-\rho t}}{an}$$

La función Hamiltoniana es:

$$H = e^{-\rho t}\ln u(c) + n\xi_t\left(\frac{-c}{n} + \left[(1-\theta^s)r^b + r^s\theta^s\right]\right) + \operatorname{tr}\left((\sigma^\xi)^T\sigma\theta^s n\right)$$

Calculamos H_n^n:

$$H_n^n = -r^b\theta^s\xi_t + r^b\xi_t + r^s\theta^s\xi_t + \sigma(-\xi_t\sigma\theta^s)\theta^s$$

Sustituyendo $\xi_t = \frac{e^{-\rho t}}{an}$ en **todas** las instancias:

$$H_n^n = -r^b\theta^s\frac{e^{-\rho t}}{an} + r^b\frac{e^{-\rho t}}{an} + r^s\theta^s\frac{e^{-\rho t}}{an} + \sigma\left(-\frac{e^{-\rho t}}{an}\sigma\theta^s\right)\theta^s$$

Factorizamos $\frac{e^{-\rho t}}{an}$:

$$H_n^n = \frac{e^{-\rho t}}{an}\left(-r^b\theta^s + r^b + r^s\theta^s - \sigma^2\theta^s\theta^s\right)$$

Sustituyendo $\theta^s = \frac{r^s - r^b}{\sigma^2}$:

$$H_n^n = \frac{e^{-\rho t}}{an}\left(r^b + (r^s - r^b)\frac{r^s - r^b}{\sigma^2} - (r^s - r^b)\sigma^2\left(\frac{r^s - r^b}{\sigma^2}\right)^2\right)$$

$$H_n^n = \frac{e^{-\rho t}}{an}\left(r^b + \frac{(r^s - r^b)^2}{\sigma^2} - \frac{(r^s - r^b)^2}{\sigma^2}\right)$$

$$H_n^n = \frac{e^{-\rho t}}{an}r^b$$

Por lo tanto, la expresión final es:

$$H_n^n = \frac{e^{-\rho t}r^b}{an}$$

vi. Verify that the optimal solution coincides with the one you obtained from the HJB approach. Also show that $\xi_t = e^{-\rho t} V'(n_t)$, where V is the value function determined previously.

2. In this exercise, you will solve BruSan (2014) numerically, under the assumption of log utility. Our goal is to construct functions $q(\eta)$, $\iota(\eta)$, $\kappa(\eta)$ and $\sigma^q(\eta)$ on the $[0,1]$ grid. Slides 133-135 describe the set of equations and the algorithm. The parameter values are:

$$\rho_e = 0.06, \quad \rho_h = 0.05, \quad a_e = 0.11, \quad a_h = 0.03, \quad \delta = 0.05, \quad \phi = 10, \quad \alpha = 0.5, \quad \sigma = 0.1$$

where $\Phi(\iota) = (1/\phi)\log(1+\phi\iota)$.

(a) Solve the model at the boundaries: for $\eta = 0$ and $\eta = 1$.
Por market clearing conditions tenemos que

$$\underbrace{\eta x^{k,e}}_{\kappa^e} + \underbrace{(1-\eta)x^{k,h}}_{\kappa^h} = 1$$

$$(a^e - a^h)\kappa^e + a^h = \iota(q) + q\left[\eta\rho_e + (1-\eta)\rho_h\right]$$

Tenemos tambien

$$\Phi'(\iota) = (q)^{-1}$$
$$1 + \phi\iota = (q)$$
$$\iota = \frac{1}{\phi}(q) - \frac{1}{\phi}$$

En el caso $eta = 1$ Tenemos que $k^e = 1$ y $k^h = 0$ y

$$(a^e) = \frac{1}{\phi}(q) - \frac{1}{\phi} + q[\rho_e]$$

$$q = \frac{1 + a^e\phi}{1 + \rho_e\phi}$$

En el caso $eta = 0$ $k^e = 0$ y $k^h = 1$

$$a^h = \frac{1}{\phi}(q) - \frac{1}{\phi} + q\left(\rho_h\right)$$

$$q = \frac{1 + a^h\phi}{1 + \rho_h\phi}$$

(b) Create a uniform grid for $\eta \in [0.0001, 0.9999]$.

(c) Solve the ODE for $q(\eta)$ assuming $\kappa(0) = 0$ as boundary condition. Stop once you reach $\kappa \geq 1$. From here on, set $\kappa = 1$, solve for q and σ^q.

(d) Verify your solution by plotting $q(\eta)$ and $\sigma^q(\eta)$. Also plot $\iota(\eta)$, $\kappa(\eta)$.

(e) An alternative derivation for the drift and volatility of η in the general case is given by:

$$\mu_t^\eta = (1-\eta_t)\left[(\varsigma_t^e - \sigma - \sigma_t^q)(\sigma_t^\eta + \sigma + \sigma_t^q) - (\varsigma_t^h - \sigma - \sigma_t^q)\left(\frac{-\eta_t}{1-\eta_t}\sigma_t^\eta + \sigma + \sigma_t^q\right)\right] - \left(\frac{C_t^e}{N_t^e} - \frac{C_t^h}{N_t^h}\right) \tag{3}$$

$$\sigma_t^\eta = \frac{\kappa_t - \eta_t}{\eta_t}(\sigma + \sigma_t^q) \tag{4}$$

where ς_e and ς_h are risk prices. Show these expressions are equivalent to the ones derived in the slides (you can assume logarithmic preferences).
Tenemos que de los slides:

$$\eta\mu^{\eta} = \eta(1-\eta)\left(\mu^{n,e} - \mu^{n,h} + \frac{c_h}{n_h} - \frac{c_e}{n_e}\right) - \eta\sigma_{\eta}\left(\eta\sigma^{n,e} + (1-\eta)\sigma^{n,h}\right) \tag{5}$$

$$\mu^{\eta} = (1-\eta)\left(\mu^{n,e} - \mu^{n,h} + \frac{c_h}{n_h} - \frac{c_e}{n_e}\right) - \sigma_{\eta}\left(\eta\sigma^{n,e} + (1-\eta)\sigma^{n,h}\right) \tag{1}$$

$$\mu^{n,e} = r + \zeta_e\sigma^{n,e} \tag{2}$$

$$\mu^{n,h} = r + \zeta_h\sigma^{n,h} \tag{3}$$

$$\sigma^{n,e} = x_{k,e}(\sigma + \sigma_q) = \frac{\kappa_e}{\eta}(\sigma + \sigma_q) \tag{4}$$

$$\sigma^{n,h} = x_{k,h}(\sigma + \sigma_q) = \frac{1-\kappa_e}{1-\eta}(\sigma + \sigma_q) \tag{5}$$

$$\eta\sigma^{\eta} = (\kappa^e - \eta)(\sigma + \sigma_q) \tag{6}$$

Con esto aplicamos los reemplazos de 3 y 2 en 1

$$\mu^{\eta} = (1-\eta)(\left(r + \zeta_e\sigma^{n,e} - r - \zeta_h\sigma^{n,h} + \frac{c_h}{n_h} - \frac{c_e}{n_e}\right) - \frac{\sigma_{\eta}}{(1-\eta)}\left(\eta\sigma^{n,e} + (1-\eta)\sigma^{n,h}\right))$$

$$\mu^{\eta} = (1-\eta)(\left(\zeta_e\sigma^{n,e} - \zeta_h\sigma^{n,h} + \frac{c_h}{n_h} - \frac{c_e}{n_e}\right) - \frac{\sigma_{\eta}}{(1-\eta)}\left(\eta\sigma^{n,e} + (1-\eta)\sigma^{n,h}\right))$$

Reordenamos 6

$$\frac{\eta\sigma^{\eta}}{\sigma + \sigma^q} + \eta = \kappa^e$$

$$\frac{\eta\sigma^{\eta} + \eta(\sigma + \sigma^q)}{\sigma + \sigma^q} = \kappa^e$$

Reordenamos 5

$$\sigma^{n,h} = \frac{1-\kappa_e}{1-\eta}(\sigma + \sigma_q)$$

$$\sigma^{n,h} = \frac{(\sigma + \sigma_q)}{1-\eta} - \frac{k_e}{1-\eta}(\sigma + \sigma_q)$$

Incorporamos 6 en 5 y 4

$$\sigma^{n,e} = \frac{1}{\eta}(\sigma + \sigma_q) * \frac{\eta\sigma^{\eta} + \eta(\sigma + \sigma^q)}{\sigma + \sigma^q}$$

$$\sigma^{n,h} = \frac{(\sigma + \sigma_q)}{1-\eta} - \frac{\eta\sigma^{\eta} + \eta(\sigma + \sigma^q)}{\sigma + \sigma^q} * \frac{1}{1-\eta}(\sigma + \sigma_q)$$

Tenemos para $\sigma^{n,e}$

$$\sigma^{n,e} = \sigma^{\eta} + (\sigma + \sigma^q)$$

Mientras que para $\sigma^{n,h}$

$$\sigma^{n,h} = \frac{(\sigma+\sigma_q)}{1-\eta} - \frac{\eta\sigma^\eta + \eta(\sigma+\sigma^q)}{1-\eta}$$

$$\sigma^{n,h} = \frac{(1-\eta)*(\sigma+\sigma_q) - \eta\sigma^\eta}{1-\eta}$$

$$\sigma^{n,h} = (\sigma+\sigma_q) - \frac{\eta\sigma^\eta}{1-\eta}$$

Utilizo lo desarrollado $\sigma^{n,e}$ y $\sigma^{n,h}$

$$\sigma^{n,h} - \sigma^{n,e} = (\sigma+\sigma_q) - \frac{\eta\sigma^\eta}{1-\eta} - \sigma^\eta - (\sigma+\sigma^q)$$

$$\sigma^{n,h} - \sigma^{n,e} = -\frac{\sigma^\eta}{1-\eta}$$

Reemplazo lo encontrado en μ^η

$$\mu^\eta = (1-\eta)((\left(\zeta_e\sigma^{n,e} - \zeta_h\sigma^{n,h} + \frac{c_h}{n_h} - \frac{c_e}{n_e}\right) - \frac{\sigma_\eta}{(1-\eta)}\left(\eta\sigma^{n,e} + (1-\eta)\sigma^{n,h}\right)))$$

$$\mu^\eta = (1-\eta)((\left(\zeta_e\sigma^{n,e} - \zeta_h\sigma^{n,h} + \frac{c_h}{n_h} - \frac{c_e}{n_e}\right) + \left(\sigma^{n,h} - \sigma^{n,e}\right)\left(\eta\sigma^{n,e} + (1-\eta)\sigma^{n,h}\right)))$$

Reemplazo la expresión $(\eta\sigma^{n,e} + (1-\eta)\sigma^{n,h})$ en μ^η con los valores en 4 y 5

$$\mu^\eta = (1-\eta)((\left(\zeta_e\sigma^{n,e} - \zeta_h\sigma^{n,h} + \frac{c_h}{n_h} - \frac{c_e}{n_e}\right) + \left(\sigma^{n,h} - \sigma^{n,e}\right)\left(\eta\frac{\kappa_e}{\eta}(\sigma+\sigma_q) + (1-\eta)\frac{1-\kappa_e}{1-\eta}(\sigma+\sigma_q)\right)))$$

$$\mu^\eta = (1-\eta)((\left(\zeta_e\sigma^{n,e} - \zeta_h\sigma^{n,h} + \frac{c_h}{n_h} - \frac{c_e}{n_e}\right) + \left(\sigma^{n,h} - \sigma^{n,e}\right)((\sigma+\sigma_q)))$$

Reordeno lo que encontre anteriormente

$$\mu^\eta = (1-\eta)((\left(\zeta_e\sigma^{n,e} - \zeta_h\sigma^{n,h} + \frac{c_h}{n_h} - \frac{c_e}{n_e}\right) + \left(\sigma^{n,h} - \sigma^{n,e}\right)((\sigma+\sigma_q)))$$

$$\mu^\eta = (1-\eta)((\left((\zeta_e - (\sigma+\sigma_q))\sigma^{n,e} - (\zeta_h - (\sigma+\sigma_q))\sigma^{n,h} + \frac{c_h}{n_h} - \frac{c_e}{n_e}\right)$$

Reemplazo lo que defini de $\sigma^{n,e}$ y $\sigma^{n,h}$

$$\mu^\eta = (1-\eta)\Bigg[((\zeta_e - (\sigma+\sigma_q))\left(\sigma^\eta + (\sigma+\sigma^q)\right)) - \left((\zeta_h - (\sigma+\sigma_q))\left(\sigma + \sigma_q - \frac{\eta\sigma^\eta}{1-\eta}\right)\right) - \left(\frac{c_e}{n_e} - \frac{c_h}{n_h}\right)\Bigg]$$

Obtuve la expresión equivalente a lo solicitado en la pregunta

(f) Plot $\eta\mu^\eta(\eta)$ and $\eta\sigma^\eta(\eta)$.

(g) Plot $r(\eta)$. Note that you will need to approximate a second order derivative.

3. Consider the first monetary model studied in class with log utility and without government policy ($\mu_B = i = \sigma_B = G = \tau = 0$). There can still be a constant supply of bonds $B_t \neq 0$. In this problem, we add stochastic volatility to the model. Suppose idiosyncratic risk $\bar{\sigma}$ evolves according to the exogenous stochastic process

$$d\bar{\sigma}_t = b(\bar{\sigma}_{ss} - \bar{\sigma}_t)dt + \nu\sqrt{\bar{\sigma}_t}dZ_t \tag{6}$$

where $\bar{\sigma}_{ss}$, b, and ν are constants.

(a) Use goods market clearing and optimal investment to express q_K, q_B, and ι in terms of

$$\vartheta := \frac{q_B}{q_B + q_K}.$$

A partir de lo encontrado en la 3b Tenemos condiciones de Primer Orden (CPO):
Para c:

$$\frac{1}{c} = \frac{1}{\rho n} \Rightarrow c = \rho n$$

Para ι:

$$\Phi'(\iota) = (q^K)^{-1}$$

Además tengo las market clearing conditions

- **Bienes**

$$a - \iota = \left(q_t^B + q_t^K\right)\frac{c_t}{n_t}$$

- **Capital**

$$x^k = \frac{q_t^K}{q_t^K + q_t^B}$$

recordar que

$$\Phi(\iota) = (1/\phi)\log(1 + \phi\iota)$$

$$\Phi'(\iota) = \frac{1}{1 + \phi\iota}.$$

$$\Phi'(\iota) = (q^K)^{-1}$$
$$1 + \phi\iota = (q^K)$$
$$\iota = \frac{1}{\phi}(q^K) - \frac{1}{\phi}$$

Recordar que

$$c = \rho n$$

reemplazar aquí

$$a - \iota = \left(q_t^B + q_t^K\right)\rho$$

$$a - \frac{1}{\phi}(q^K) + \frac{1}{\phi} = \left(q_t^B + q_t^K\right)\rho$$

$$a - \frac{1}{\phi}(q^K) + \frac{1}{\phi} = \left(q_t^B + q_t^K\right)\rho\frac{q_t^k}{q_t^k}$$

$$a - \frac{1}{\phi}(q^K) + \frac{1}{\phi} = \left(q_t^B + q_t^K\right)\rho\frac{q_t^k}{q_t^k}$$

$$a\phi - (q^K) + 1 = \phi\left(q_t^B + q_t^K\right)\rho\frac{q_t^k}{q_t^k}$$

$$a\phi - (q^k) + 1 = \phi\left(\frac{1}{1-\vartheta}\right)\rho q_t^k$$

$$a\phi + 1 = (\phi(\frac{1}{1-\vartheta})\rho + 1)q_t^k$$

$$(1-\vartheta)\frac{a\phi + 1}{\phi\rho + 1 - \vartheta} = q_t^k$$

Hallar q_t^b

$$a - \frac{1}{\phi}(q_t^K) + \frac{1}{\phi} = \left(q_t^B + q_t^K\right)\rho$$

$$q_t^B = \frac{1}{\rho}(a + \frac{1}{\phi} - (\frac{1}{\phi} + \rho)q_t^K)$$

$$q_t^B = \frac{1}{\rho}(a + \frac{1}{\phi} - (\frac{1}{\phi} + \rho)(1-\vartheta)\frac{a\phi + 1}{\phi\rho + 1 - \vartheta})$$

$$q_t^B = \frac{1}{\rho}\left(a + \frac{1}{\phi} - \frac{(1-\vartheta)(a\phi+1)(\frac{1}{\phi}+\rho)}{\phi\rho + 1 - \vartheta}\right)$$

$$q_t^B = \frac{1}{\rho}\left(\frac{a\phi + 1}{\phi} - \frac{1}{\phi}(1-\vartheta)\frac{(a\phi+1)(1+\phi\rho)}{\phi\rho + 1 - \vartheta}\right)$$

$$q_t^B = \frac{1}{\rho}\left(\frac{a\phi + 1}{\phi}\left[1 - \frac{(1-\vartheta)(1+\phi\rho)}{\phi\rho + 1 - \vartheta}\right]\right)$$

$$q_t^B = \frac{1}{\rho}\left(\frac{a\phi + 1}{\phi} \cdot \frac{\vartheta\phi\rho}{\phi\rho + 1 - \vartheta}\right)$$

$$q_t^B = \left(\frac{\vartheta(a\phi+1)}{\phi\rho + 1 - \vartheta}\right)$$

Ahora hallemos ι

$$\iota = \frac{1}{\phi}(q^K) - \frac{1}{\phi}$$

$$\iota = \frac{1}{\phi}((1-\vartheta)\frac{a\phi + 1}{\phi\rho + 1 - \vartheta}) - \frac{1}{\phi}$$

$$\iota = \frac{a(1-\vartheta) - \rho}{(1-\vartheta) + \rho}$$

(b) Derive the "money valuation equation", i.e., an expression of the form $\mu_{\vartheta t} = f(\vartheta_t, \bar{\sigma}_t)$ (drift of ϑ) where f only depends on parameters of the model.

Feel free to use the following suggestion or an alternative procedure:

(a) Postulate a Geometric Brownian motion for ϑ, q_B, and q_K.

(b) Use the definition of ϑ to find the law of motion $d\vartheta_t$ using Itô's Lemma.

(c) Use the martingale pricing condition to simplify the expression for $\mu_{\vartheta t}$:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{dr_t^{K,i}}{dt}\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{dr_t^B}{dt}\right] = \zeta_t\left(\sigma_t^{K,i} - \sigma_t^B\right) + \tilde{\zeta}_t\left(\bar{\sigma}_t^{K,i} - \bar{\sigma}_t^B\right) \tag{7}$$

where ζ is the price of risk.

(d) Find the price of risk and replace it in the expression for $\mu_{\vartheta t}$.

Tenemos lo siguiente Partimos de la ecuación:

$$\vartheta = \frac{q_B}{q_B + q_K}$$

Las derivadas parciales son:

$$\vartheta_{q_B} = \frac{q_K}{(q_B + q_K)^2}$$

$$\vartheta_{q_K} = -\frac{q_B}{(q_B + q_K)^2}$$

Las segundas derivadas parciales son:

$$\vartheta_{q_B q_B} = -\frac{2q_K}{(q_B + q_K)^3}$$

$$\vartheta_{q_K q_K} = \frac{2q_B}{(q_B + q_K)^3}$$

$$\vartheta_{q_B q_K} = \frac{q_B - q_K}{(q_B + q_K)^3}$$

Dado un proceso estocástico q_t, aplicamos el Lema de Itô para calcular la diferencial de ϑ:

$$d\vartheta = \vartheta_{q_B} dq_B + \vartheta_{q_K} dq_K + \frac{1}{2}\left(\vartheta_{q_B q_B}(dq_B)^2 + 2\vartheta_{q_B q_K} dq_B dq_K + \vartheta_{q_K q_K}(dq_K)^2\right)$$

Sabemos que:

$$dq_B = q_B \mu^{q_B} dt + q_B \sigma^{q_B} dZ$$

$$dq_K = q_K \mu^{q_K} dt + q_K \sigma^{q_K} dZ$$

Sustituyendo en la ecuación de $d\vartheta$:

$$d\vartheta = \vartheta_{q_B}(q_B \mu^{q_B} dt + q_B \sigma^{q_B} dZ) + \vartheta_{q_K}(q_K \mu^{q_K} dt + q_K \sigma^{q_K} dZ)$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}\left(\vartheta_{q_B q_B}(q_B \sigma^{q_B} dZ)^2 + 2\vartheta_{q_B q_K}(q_B \sigma^{q_B} dZ)(q_K \sigma^{q_K} dZ) + \vartheta_{q_K q_K}(q_K \sigma^{q_K} dZ)^2\right)$$

Usando la propiedad de **diferenciales estocásticas**:

$$(dZ)^2 = dt$$

Se obtiene:

$$d\vartheta = \left[\vartheta_{q_B} q_B \mu^{q_B} + \vartheta_{q_K} q_K \mu^{q_K} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\vartheta_{q_B q_B} q_B^2 (\sigma^{q_B})^2 + 2\vartheta_{q_B q_K} q_B q_K \sigma^{q_B} \sigma^{q_K} + \vartheta_{q_K q_K} q_K^2 (\sigma^{q_K})^2\right)\right] dt$$

$$+\left[\vartheta_{q_B} q_B \sigma^{q_B} + \vartheta_{q_K} q_K \sigma^{q_K}\right] dZ$$

Sustituyendo las derivadas:

$$\vartheta_{q_B} = \frac{q_K}{(q_B + q_K)^2}, \quad \vartheta_{q_K} = -\frac{q_B}{(q_B + q_K)^2}$$

$$\vartheta_{q_B q_B} = -\frac{2q_K}{(q_B + q_K)^3}, \quad \vartheta_{q_K q_K} = \frac{2q_B}{(q_B + q_K)^3}, \quad \vartheta_{q_B q_K} = \frac{q_B - q_K}{(q_B + q_K)^3}$$

Sustituyendo en la ecuación de $d\vartheta$:

$$d\vartheta = \left[\frac{q_K}{(q_B + q_K)^2} q_B \mu^{q_B} - \frac{q_B}{(q_B + q_K)^2} q_K \mu^{q_K}\right] dt$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(-\frac{2q_K}{(q_B + q_K)^3}\right) q_B^2 (\sigma^{q_B})^2 + 2\left(\frac{q_B - q_K}{(q_B + q_K)^3}\right) q_B q_K \sigma^{q_B} \sigma^{q_K} + \left(\frac{2q_B}{(q_B + q_K)^3}\right) q_K^2 (\sigma^{q_K})^2\right] dt$$

$$+\left[\frac{q_K}{(q_B + q_K)^2} q_B \sigma^{q_B} - \frac{q_B}{(q_B + q_K)^2} q_K \sigma^{q_K}\right] dZ$$

Dado que:

$$\vartheta = \frac{q_B}{q_B + q_K}, \quad 1 - \vartheta = \frac{q_K}{q_B + q_K}$$

Sustituyéndolo en la ecuación diferencial de $d\vartheta$:

$$d\vartheta = \left[\vartheta(1-\vartheta)(\mu^{q_B} - \mu^{q_K})\right] dt$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}\left[-2\vartheta^2(1-\vartheta)q_B(\sigma^{q_B})^2 + 2\vartheta(1-\vartheta)(\vartheta-(1-\vartheta))\sigma^{q_B}\sigma^{q_K} + 2\vartheta(1-\vartheta)^2 q_K(\sigma^{q_K})^2\right]\frac{dt}{q_B + q_K}$$

$$+\left[\vartheta(1-\vartheta)(\sigma^{q_B} - \sigma^{q_K})\right] dZ$$

Simplifico

$$d\vartheta = \vartheta(1-\vartheta)[[(\mu^{q_B} - \mu^{q_K})] dt$$

$$\left[-\vartheta q_B(\sigma^{q_B})^2 + (\vartheta-(1-\vartheta))\sigma^{q_B}\sigma^{q_K} + (1-\vartheta)q_K(\sigma^{q_K})^2\right] dt$$

$$+\left[(\sigma^{q_B} - \sigma^{q_K})\right] dZ]$$

La ecuación diferencial de ϑ está dada por:

$$d\vartheta = \vartheta(1-\vartheta)((\mu^{q_B} - \mu^{q_K})dt$$

$$+(\sigma^{q_K} - \sigma^{q_B})\left[(1-\vartheta)\sigma^{q_K} + \vartheta\sigma^{q_B}\right] dt$$

$$+(\sigma^{q_B} - \sigma^{q_K}))dZ$$

Recordar que

$$dk_{i,t} = k_{i,t}((\Phi(u_{i,t}) - \delta)\, dt + \tilde{\sigma} d\tilde{z})$$

$$dK = \int (\Phi(u_{i,t}) - \delta) k_{i,t}\, dt$$

Tengo también

$$d(q_t^k k_t) = k_t dq_t^k + q_t^k dk_t$$

Sustituyendo las expresiones diferenciales:

$$d(q_t^K k_t) = k_t q_t^k\left(\mu^{q_k} dt + \sigma^{q_K} dZ\right) + q_t^K k_t((\Phi(\iota) - \delta)\, dt + \tilde{\sigma} d\tilde{z})$$

Por lo tanto, la expresión final para dr_t^k queda:
Dado que:

$$d(q_t^k k_t) = k_t dq_t^k + q_t^k dk_t$$

Sustituyendo las expresiones diferenciales:

$$d(q_t^k k_t) = k_t q_t^k\left(\mu^{q_k} dt + \sigma^{q_K} dZ\right) + q_t^k k_t\left((\Phi(\iota) - \delta)dt + \tilde{\sigma} d\tilde{z}\right)$$

Por lo tanto, la expresión final para dr_t^k queda:

$$dr_t^k = \left(\frac{a-\iota}{q}\right) dt + \mu^{q_K} dt + \sigma^{q_K} dZ + (\Phi(\iota) - \delta)dt + \tilde{\sigma} d\tilde{z}$$

Para dr_t^B
La ecuación diferencial está dada por:

$$dr_t^B = \frac{d\left(\frac{q_t^B K_t}{B_t}\right)}{\frac{q_t^B K_t}{B_t}}$$

La diferencial de la fracción se obtiene aplicando la regla de Itô:

$$d\left(\frac{q_t^B K_t}{B_t}\right) = \frac{K_t}{B_t} dq_t^B + \frac{q_t^B}{B_t} dK_t$$

Sustituyendo las ecuaciones diferenciales de q_t^B, K_t y B_t:

$$d\left(\frac{q_t^B K_t}{B_t}\right) = \frac{K_t}{B_t}\left((q_t^B)\left(\mu^{q_B} dt + \sigma^{q_B} dZ\right)\right)$$

$$+\frac{q_t^B}{B_t} K_t\left((\Phi(\iota) - \delta)dt\right)$$

Por lo tanto, la ecuación final para dr_t^B queda:

$$dr_t^B = \mu^{q_B} dt + \sigma^{q_B} dZ + (\Phi(\iota) - \delta)dt$$

Con esta información puedo plantear el problema de maximización

$$\max\left(\int_0^\infty e^{\rho t} c_t \, dt\right)$$

Sujeto a la ecuación diferencial:

$$dn_t = -c_t dt + \left(n_t - q_t^K k_t\right) dr_t^B + q_t^K k_t dr_t^K$$

Reemplazando en dn_t:

$$\begin{aligned} dn_t = &- c_t dt + \left(n_t - q_t^K k_t\right)\Big[(\mu^{q_B} dt + \sigma^{q_B} dZ) + (\Phi(\iota) - \delta)dt\Big] \\ &+ q_t^K k_t \left[\left(\frac{a-\iota}{q}\right) dt + \mu^{q_K} dt + \sigma^{q_K} dZ + (\Phi(\iota) - \delta)dt + \tilde{\sigma} d\tilde{z}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{dn}{n} = -\frac{c}{n} dt + \mu^n dt + \tilde{\sigma}^n d\tilde{Z} + \sigma^n dZ$$

$$\mu^n = (1 - x_t)\Big(\mu^{q_B} dt + (\Phi(\iota) - \delta)dt\Big) + x_t\left(\frac{a-\iota}{q} dt + \mu^{q_K} dt + (\Phi(\iota) - \delta)dt + \tilde{\sigma} d\tilde{z}\right)$$

$$\sigma^n = (1 - x_t)\sigma^{q_B} + x_t \sigma^{q_K}$$

$$\tilde{\sigma}^n = x_t \tilde{\sigma}$$

Donde

$$x_t = \frac{q_t^K k_t}{n}$$

Con esta información puedo plantear la HJB Tenemos que

$$u = \log(c_t)$$

Suponemos que la función valor tiene esta forma

$$V(\xi n) = \frac{1}{\rho}\log(\xi n)$$

Recordemos que la HJB

$$\rho(\frac{1}{\rho})\log(\xi n) = log(c_t) + \frac{E(\frac{1}{\rho}\log(\xi n))}{dt}$$

$$\frac{E(\frac{1}{\rho}\log(\xi n))}{dt} = \frac{1}{\rho dt}((\frac{1}{\xi}d\xi + \frac{1}{n}(-\frac{c}{n}dt + \mu^n dt) - \frac{1}{\xi^2}(d\xi)^2 - \frac{1}{n^2}(dn)^2)$$

$$d\xi = \mu^\xi \xi dt + \sigma^\xi \xi dZ$$

$$\frac{E(\frac{1}{\rho}\log(\xi n))}{dt} = \frac{1}{\rho}((\mu^\xi) + (-\frac{c}{n} + \mu^n) - (\sigma^\xi)^2 - (\tilde{\sigma}^n)^2 - (\sigma^n)^2$$

Planteamos la ecuación de HJB:

$$\log(\xi n) = \log(c_t) + \frac{1}{\rho}\left(\mu^\xi + \left(-\frac{c}{n} + \mu^n\right) - (\sigma^\xi)^2 - (\tilde{\sigma}^n)^2 - (\sigma^n)^2\right)$$

El problema de maximización:

$$\log(\xi n) = \max_c \left\{\log(c_t) - \frac{c}{\rho n}\right\} + \max_{x,i}\left\{\frac{1}{\rho}\left(\mu^n - (\tilde{\sigma}^n)^2 - (\sigma^n)^2\right)\right\} + \frac{1}{\rho}\left(\mu^\xi - (\sigma^\xi)^2\right)$$

Condiciones de Primer Orden (CPO):
Para c:

$$\frac{1}{c} = \frac{1}{\rho n} \Rightarrow c = \rho n$$

Para x:

$$\mu^{q_K} - \mu^{q_B} + \frac{a-\iota}{q^K} + x\left(\sigma^{q_K} - \sigma^{q_B}\right)^2 + \sigma^{q_K}\sigma^{q_B} - (\sigma^{q_B})^2 + \tilde{\sigma}^2(x) = 0$$

Para ι:

$$\Phi'(\iota) = (q^K)^{-1}$$
$$\iota = \frac{1}{\phi}(q^K) - 1$$

Para encontrar $d\vartheta$
Usamos la CPO de x

$$\mu^{q_K} - \mu^{q_B} - \mu^B + \frac{a-\iota}{q^K} - x\left(\sigma^{q_K} - \sigma^{q_B}\right)^2 - \sigma^{q_K}\sigma^{q_B} + (\sigma^{q_B})^2 - \tilde{\sigma}^2(x) = 0$$

$$\mu^{q_K} - \mu^{q_B} - \mu^\beta + \frac{a-\iota}{q^K} + \left(\sigma^{q_B} - \sigma^{q_K}\right)\left(x\sigma^{q_K} + (1-x)\sigma^{q_B}\right) + \tilde{\sigma}^2(x) = 0$$

recordar que por clearing market condition

$$x = \frac{q_t^k}{q_t^k + q_t^B}$$

$$x = 1 - \vartheta$$

$$\mu^{q_K} - \mu^{q_B} + \frac{a-\iota}{q^K} + \left(\sigma^{q_B} - \sigma^{q_K}\right)\left((1-\vartheta)\sigma^{q_K} + (\vartheta)\sigma^{q_B}\right) - \tilde{\sigma}^2(1-\vartheta) = 0$$

$$\mu^{q_K} - \mu^{q_B} + \frac{a-\iota}{q^K} + \left(\sigma^{q_B} - \sigma^{q_K}\right)\left((1-\vartheta)\sigma^{q_K} + (\vartheta)\sigma^{q_B}\right) - \tilde{\sigma}^2(1-\vartheta) = 0$$

$$\frac{a-\iota}{q^K} - \tilde{\sigma}^2(1-\vartheta) = -\mu^{q_K} + \mu^{q_B} - \left(\sigma^{q_B} - \sigma^{q_K}\right)\left((1-\vartheta)\sigma^{q_K} + \vartheta\sigma^{q_B}\right)$$

Tenemos que

$$d\vartheta = \vartheta(1-\vartheta)\left[(\mu^{q_B} - \mu^{q_K})dt + \left(\sigma^{q_K} - \sigma^{q_B}\right)\left[(1-\vartheta)\sigma^{q_K} + \vartheta\sigma^{q_B}\right]dt + (\sigma^{q_B} - \sigma^{q_K})dZ\right]$$

Y dada esta estructura:

$$\mu_\vartheta = (1-\vartheta)\left[(\mu^{q_B} - \mu^{q_K}) + \left(\sigma^{q_K} - \sigma^{q_B}\right)\left[(1-\vartheta)\sigma^{q_K} + \vartheta\sigma^{q_B}\right]\right]$$

$$\mu_\vartheta = (1-\vartheta)\left[\frac{a-\iota}{q^K} - \tilde{\sigma}^2(1-\vartheta)\right]$$

Uso el market clearing para reemplazar $a-\iota$

$$\mu_\vartheta = (1-\vartheta)\left[\frac{q_t^k + q_t^B}{q_t^K}\frac{c}{n} - \tilde{\sigma}^2(1-\vartheta)\right]$$

$$\mu_\vartheta = (1-\vartheta)\left[\frac{1}{1-\vartheta}\frac{c}{n} - \tilde{\sigma}^2(1-\vartheta)\right]$$

Recordar que $c = \rho n$

$$\mu_\vartheta = (1-\vartheta)\left[\frac{1}{1-\vartheta}\frac{\rho n}{n} - \tilde{\sigma}^2(1-\vartheta)\right]$$

La money value equiation

$$\mu_\vartheta = \rho - \tilde{\sigma}^2(1-\vartheta)^2$$

(c) Suppose that $\sigma_{\sigma,t} = 0$ and the economy is at the steady state with $\bar{\sigma}_t = \bar{\sigma}^{ss}$ for some $\bar{\sigma}^{ss} > 0$.

(i) Derive expressions for q^B, q^K and ϑ in the monetary and non-monetary equilibria.
Equilibrio no monetario caso q^B $q_B = 0$ Caso q^K

$$a - \frac{1}{\phi}(q^K) + \frac{1}{\phi} = \left(q^K\right)\rho$$

$$q^K = \frac{a\phi + 1}{\rho\phi + 1}$$

caso ι

$$\iota = \frac{1}{\phi}(q^K) - 1$$

$$\iota = \frac{1}{\phi}\left(\frac{a\phi+1}{\rho\phi+1}\right) - \frac{1}{\phi}$$

$$\iota = \frac{1}{\phi}\left(\frac{a\phi+1}{\rho\phi+1} - 1\right)$$

$$\iota = \left(\frac{a-\rho}{\rho\phi+1}\right)$$

Equilibrio monetario
Partimos de que

La money value equation

$$\mu_\vartheta = \rho - \tilde{\sigma}^2(1-\vartheta)^2$$

$$\mu_\vartheta = 0$$

$$\frac{\rho}{\tilde{\sigma}^2} = (1-\vartheta)^2$$

$$\sqrt{\frac{\rho}{\tilde{\sigma}^2}} = 1-\vartheta$$

$$\frac{\sqrt{\rho}}{\tilde{\sigma}} = 1-\vartheta$$

Además

$$\frac{\sqrt{\rho}}{\tilde{\sigma}} = 1-\vartheta$$

$$\vartheta = 1 - \frac{\sqrt{\rho}}{\tilde{\sigma}}$$

Uso esto para reemplazar en las definiciones encontradas en 3a

$$(1-\vartheta)\frac{a\phi+1}{\phi\rho+1-\vartheta} = q_t^k$$

$$q_t^B = \left(\frac{\vartheta(a\phi+1)}{\phi\rho+1-\vartheta}\right)$$

$$\iota = \frac{a(1-\vartheta)+\rho}{(1-\vartheta)+\rho}$$

Reemplazo para hallar el equilibrio monetario

$$(\frac{\sqrt{\rho}}{\tilde{\sigma}})\frac{a\phi+1}{\phi\rho+(\frac{\sqrt{\rho}}{\tilde{\sigma}})} = q^k$$

$$q^k = (\frac{\sqrt{\rho}(a\phi+1)}{\sigma\phi\rho+\sqrt{\rho}})$$

$$q^B = \left(\frac{(1-\frac{\sqrt{\rho}}{\tilde{\sigma}})(a\phi+1)}{\phi\rho\tilde{\sigma}+\sqrt{\rho}\frac{\sqrt{\rho}}{\tilde{\sigma}}}\right)$$

$$q^B = \left(\frac{(\tilde{\sigma}-\sqrt{\rho}(a\phi+1)}{\phi\rho\tilde{\sigma}+\sqrt{\rho}}\right)$$

$$\iota = \frac{a(\frac{\sqrt{\rho}}{\tilde{\sigma}})-\rho}{(\frac{\sqrt{\rho}}{\tilde{\sigma}})+\rho}$$

$$\iota = \frac{a\sqrt{\rho}-\tilde{\sigma}\rho}{\sqrt{\rho}+\tilde{\sigma}\rho}$$

Recordar que en el equilibrio $\tilde{\sigma} = \tilde{\sigma}^{ss}$.
Equilibrio no monetario

$$q^K = \frac{a\phi+1}{\rho\phi+1}$$

$$q^B = 0$$

$$\vartheta = 0$$

Equilibrio monetario

$$\vartheta = 1 - \frac{\sqrt{\rho}}{\tilde{\sigma}^{ss}}$$

$$q^B = \frac{(\tilde{\sigma}^{ss}-\sqrt{\rho})(a\phi+1)}{\phi\rho\tilde{\sigma}^{ss}+\sqrt{\rho}}$$

$$q^K = \frac{\sqrt{\rho}(a\phi+1)}{\tilde{\sigma}^{ss}\phi\rho+\sqrt{\rho}}$$

(ii) What is the smallest value of $\bar{\sigma}^{ss}$ that allows for a monetary equilibrium? Denote this value by $\bar{\sigma}^{ss}_{\min}$.

(iii) Suppose that $\bar{\sigma}^{ss} > \bar{\sigma}^{ss}_{\min}$, what happens to q^B, q^K and ϑ as $\bar{\sigma}^{ss}$ rises?

(iv) Suppose that $0 < \bar{\sigma}^{ss} < \bar{\sigma}^{ss}_{\min}$, what happens to q^B, q^K and ϑ as $\bar{\sigma}^{ss}$ falls?

4. Solving the previous model numerically

   (a) Set $a = 0.2$, $\phi = 1$, $\delta = 0.05$, $\rho = 0.01$, $\bar{\sigma}^{ss} = 0.2$, $b = 0.05$, $\nu = 0.02$.

   (b) Apply Itô's lemma to $\vartheta = \vartheta(\bar{\sigma}_t)$, and equate the drift term with $\vartheta_t \mu_t^{\vartheta}$, where μ_t^{ϑ} is given by the Cox-Ingersol-Ross process above. This gives you an HJB-looking equation for $\vartheta(\bar{\sigma})$.

   (c) Solve the model using value function iteration:

   (i) Suggest a grid for $\bar{\sigma}$ and construct the M matrix using `buildM.m`.

   (ii) Rewrite the money valuation equation such that in the discretized form you get:

$$\rho\vartheta = u(\vartheta) + M\vartheta \tag{8}$$

   (iii) Write a loop that updates $\vartheta(\bar{\sigma})$ with the implicit method:

$$\vartheta_{t-\Delta t} = \left((1+\rho\Delta t)I - \Delta t M\right)^{-1}\left(\Delta t u(\vartheta_t) + \vartheta_t\right) \tag{9}$$

   (iv) Iterate over $\vartheta(\bar{\sigma})$ until convergence.

   (d) Plot ϑ, q^B, q^K, r^f, ς, $\tilde{\xi}$ as functions of $\bar{\sigma}$. Explain the dependence of the variables on $\bar{\sigma}$.