

Regression

1. Find $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[Y | X_1, X_2, X_3] | X_1, X_2] | X_1$
2. Suppose that the random variables Y and X only take the values 0 and 1, and have the following joint probability distribution

	$X = 0$	$X = 1$
$Y = 0$.1	.2
$Y = 1$.4	.3

Find $\mathbb{E}[Y | X]$, $\mathbb{E}[Y^2 | X]$ and $\text{var}[Y | X]$ for $X = 0$ and $X = 1$.

3. True or False?

- If $Y = X\beta + e$, $X \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\mathbb{E}[e | X] = 0$, then $\mathbb{E}[X^2 e] = 0$.
- If $Y = X\beta + e$, $X \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\mathbb{E}[Xe] = 0$, then $\mathbb{E}[X^2 e] = 0$.
- If $Y = X'\beta + e$ and $\mathbb{E}[e | X] = 0$, then e is independent of X .
- If $Y = X'\beta + e$ and $\mathbb{E}[Xe] = 0$, then $\mathbb{E}[e | X] = 0$.
- If $Y = X'\beta + e$, $\mathbb{E}[e | X] = 0$, and $\mathbb{E}[e^2 | X] = \sigma^2$, then e is independent of X .

4. Take the homoskedastic model

$$\begin{array}{ll} Y &= X'_1\beta_1 + X'_2\beta_2 + e \\ \mathbb{E}[e | X_1, X_2] &= 0 \\ \mathbb{E}[e^2 | X_1, X_2] &= \sigma^2 \\ \mathbb{E}[X_2 | X_1] &= \Gamma X_1 \end{array}.$$

Assume $\Gamma \neq 0$. Suppose the parameter β_1 is of interest. We know that the exclusion of X_2 creates omitted variable bias in the projection coefficient on X_2 . It also changes the equation error. Our question is: what is the effect on the homoskedasticity property of the induced equation error? Does the exclusion of X_2 induce heteroskedasticity or not? Be specific.

5. Let $\hat{\beta}_n = (X'_n X_n)^{-1} X'_n Y_n$ denote the OLS estimate when Y_n is $n \times 1$ and X_n is $n \times k$. A new observation (Y_{n+1}, X_{n+1}) becomes available. Prove that the OLS estimate computed using this additional observation is

$$\hat{\beta}_{n+1} = \hat{\beta}_n + \frac{1}{1 + X'_{n+1}(X'_n X_n)^{-1} X_{n+1}} (X'_n X_n)^{-1} X_{n+1} (Y_{n+1} - X'_{n+1} \hat{\beta}_n).$$

6. Prove that R^2 is the square of the sample correlation between Y and \hat{Y} .

7. For the intercept-only model $Y_i = \beta + e_i$, show that the leave-one-out prediction error is

$$\tilde{e}_i = \left(\frac{n}{n-1} \right) (Y_i - \bar{Y}).$$

8. The observations are (Y_i, X_{1i}, X_{2i}) , $i = 1, \dots, n$. You estimate two least squares regressions.

$$\begin{aligned} Y_i &= X'_{1i} \tilde{\beta}_1 + \tilde{e}_i \\ Y_i &= X'_{1i} \hat{\beta}_1 + X'_{2i} \hat{\beta}_2 + \hat{e}'_i \end{aligned}$$

and calculate the residual variance estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\sigma}^2 &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{e}_i^2 \\ \hat{\sigma}^2 &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{e}'_i \end{aligned}$$

Show that for any $w \in (0, 1)$, there is a constant $a \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (w\hat{e}_i + (1-w)\tilde{e}_i)^2 = (1-a)\hat{\sigma}^2 + a\tilde{\sigma}^2.$$

Find the constant a .

9. Consider a regression of a $n \times 1$ vector of responses \mathbf{Y} on a $n \times k$ matrix of explanatory variables \mathbf{X} . Let $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{P}$, where \mathbf{P} is the projection matrix $\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'$, and \mathbf{I}_n is the identity matrix of order n . Suppose one adds a new explanatory variable, \mathbf{Z} , to the regression, so there are now $(k+1)$ regressors. Show that the new residual sum of squares is given by $\mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{M}\mathbf{Y} - b^2\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{M}\mathbf{Z}$ where $b = \mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{M}\mathbf{Y}/\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{M}\mathbf{Z}$ is the OLS coefficient on \mathbf{Z} .

1. Find $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[Y | X_1, X_2, X_3] | X_1, X_2] | X_1$

$$\mathbb{E}[Y | X_1, X_2, X_3] = g(X_1, X_2, X_3)$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[Y | X_1, X_2, X_3] | X_1, X_2] = \mathbb{E}[g(X_1, X_2, X_3) | X_1, X_2] = h(X_1, X_2)$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[Y | X_1, X_2, X_3] | X_1, X_2] | X_1] = \mathbb{E}[h(X_1, X_2) | X_1] = f(X_1)$$

$$\text{Objo: } \text{Si } f(X_1, X_2) = aX_1 + bX_2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[f(X_1, X_2) | X_1] &= aX_1 + b\mathbb{E}[X_2] \\ &= aX_1 + b \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X_2 f_{X_2 | X_1}(x_2 | x_1) dx_2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[Y | X_1, X_2, X_3] | X_1, X_2] | X_1] = \mathbb{E}[h(X_1, X_2) | X_1]$$

$$= \left(\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[Y | X_1, X_2, X_3] | X_1, X_2] \right) f_{X_2 | X_1}(x_2 | x_1) dx_2$$

$$= \iint \mathbb{E}[Y | X_1, X_2, X_3] f_{X_2 | X_1, X_3}(x_2 | x_1, x_3) dx_3 f_{X_2 | X_1}(x_2 | x_1) dx_2$$

$$= \iiint Y f_{Y | X_1, X_2, X_3}(y | x_1, x_2, x_3) dy f_{X_2 | X_1, X_3}(x_2 | x_1, x_3) dx_3 f_{X_2 | X_1}(x_2 | x_1) dx_2$$

$$= \iiint Y \frac{f(Y, X_1, X_2, X_3)}{f(X_1, X_2, X_3)} f_{X_2 | X_1, X_3}(x_2 | x_1, x_3) f_{X_2 | X_1}(x_2 | x_1) dx_2 dx_3 dy$$

$$= \iiint Y \frac{f(Y, X_1, X_2, X_3)}{f(X_1, X_2, X_3)} \cdot \frac{f(X_2, X_3 | X_1)}{f(X_2 | X_1)} \cdot \frac{f(X_2 | X_1)}{f(X_1)} dx_2 dx_3 dy$$

$$= \iint \left(Y \frac{f(Y, X_1, X_2, X_3)}{f(X_1)} \right) dx_2 dx_3$$

$$= \int Y dy \underbrace{\iint f(Y, X_1, X_2, X_3) dx_2 dx_3}_{\text{f}(x_1)} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{f(x_1)} \right)$$

$$= \int Y dy \frac{f(Y, X_1)}{f(X_1)} = \int Y f(Y | X_1) dy = \mathbb{E}[Y | X_1] //$$

¿ Necesario? o solo
Ley de formas
iteradas

Ojo: Teorema de Bayes:

$$f_{Y | X_1, X_2, X_3}(y | x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{f(Y, X_1, X_2, X_3)}{f(X_1, X_2, X_3)}$$

2. Suppose that the random variables Y and X only take the values 0 and 1, and have the following joint probability distribution

	$X = 0$	$X = 1$
$Y = 0$.1	.2
$Y = 1$.4	.3

Find $\mathbb{E}[Y | X]$, $\mathbb{E}[Y^2 | X]$ and $\text{var}[Y | X]$ for $X = 0$ and $X = 1$.

$$X=0 \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[Y | X=0] = \sum Y \cdot P_{Y|X=0}(Y | X=0) = \sum Y \frac{P_{Y|X=0}}{P(X=0)}$$

$$\cdot \mathbb{E}[Y | X=0] = 1 \times \frac{0.4}{0.5} = 0.8$$

$$\cdot \mathbb{E}[Y^2 | X=0] = 1^2 \times \frac{0.4}{0.5} = 0.8$$

$$\cdot \text{Var}[Y | X=0] = \mathbb{E}[Y^2 | X=0] - \mathbb{E}[Y | X=0]^2 = 0.8 - 0.8^2 = 0.16$$

$$X=1 \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[Y | X=1] = \frac{0.3}{0.5} \times 1 = 0.6$$

$$\rightarrow \mathbb{E}[Y^2 | X=1] = \frac{0.3}{0.5} \times 1^2 = 0.6$$

$$\rightarrow \text{Var}[Y | X=1] = \mathbb{E}[Y^2 | X=1] - \mathbb{E}[Y | X=1]^2 = 0.6 - 0.6^2 = 0.24$$

3. True or False?

- (a) If $Y = X\beta + e$, $X \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\mathbb{E}[e | X] = 0$, then $\mathbb{E}[X^2 e] = 0$.
- (b) If $Y = X\beta + e$, $X \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\mathbb{E}[Xe] = 0$, then $\mathbb{E}[X^2 e] = 0$.
- (c) If $Y = X'\beta + e$ and $\mathbb{E}[e | X] = 0$, then e is independent of X .
- (d) If $Y = X'\beta + e$ and $\mathbb{E}[Xe] = 0$, then $\mathbb{E}[e | X] = 0$.
- (e) If $Y = X'\beta + e$, $\mathbb{E}[e | X] = 0$, and $\mathbb{E}[e^2 | X] = \sigma^2$, then e is independent of X .

a) $Y = X\beta + e$ $E[X^2 e] = E(E[X^2 e | X]) = E(X^2 E[e | X]) = 0$ Verdadero
 $E[e | X] = 0$

b) $Y = X\beta + e$ $E[X^2 e] \rightarrow$ relacion
 $E[Xe] = 0$ no lineal Falso
 \hookrightarrow ortogonal, no hay corr (lineal)

c) $Y = X'\beta + e$
 $E[e | X] = 0$ mean independence } NO es
 \hookrightarrow independence !

d) $Y = X'\beta + e$ $E[e | X] = 0$
 $E[Xe] = 0$ Falso

e) Falso, tiene q' ser en todos los momentos

4. Take the homoskedastic model

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= X_1'\beta_1 + X_2'\beta_2 + e \\ \mathbb{E}[e | X_1, X_2] &= 0 \quad \text{mean independence} \\ \mathbb{E}[e^2 | X_1, X_2] &= \sigma^2 \\ \mathbb{E}[X_2 | X_1] &= \Gamma X_1 \quad \rightarrow \text{corr}(X_2, X_1) \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Assume $\Gamma \neq 0$. Suppose the parameter β_1 is of interest. We know that the exclusion of X_2 creates omitted variable bias in the projection coefficient on X_1 . It also changes the equation error. Our question is: what is the effect on the homoskedasticity property of the induced equation error? Does the exclusion of X_2 induce heteroskedasticity or not? Be specific.

$$Y = X_1'\beta_1 + X_2'\beta_2 + e \rightarrow e = Y - X_1'\beta_1 - X_2'\beta_2$$

$$E[e | X_1, X_2] = 0$$

$$E[e^2 | X_1, X_2] = \sigma^2$$

$$E[X_2 | X_1] = \Gamma X_1$$

Si modelo $Y = X_1'\beta_1 + e^* \rightarrow e^* = Y - X_1'\beta_1 = e + X_2'\beta_2$

$$\text{var}(e^* | X_1) = E[e^* e^* | X_1] - E[e^* | X_1] E[e^* | X_1] \quad \text{var}(x) = E[x^2] - E[x]^2$$

$$= E[(e + X_2'\beta_2)(e + X_2'\beta_2) | X_1]$$

$$= E[ee' + eB_2'X_2 + X_2'B_2e' + X_2'B_2B_2'X_2 | X_1]$$

$$= E[ee' | X_1] + E[eB_2'X_2 | X_1] + E[X_2'B_2e' | X_1] + E[X_2'B_2B_2'X_2 | X_1]$$

$$\text{var}(e^* | X_1) = \sigma^2 + E[X_2'B_2B_2'X_2 | X_1]$$

Como $E[X_2 | X_1] = \Gamma X_1$ Ya no hay homocedasticidad !

$$\hat{\beta}_{(-i)} = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}_i\mathbf{X}_i')^{-1}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}_i\mathbf{Y}_i).$$

$$\times \quad (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}_i\mathbf{X}_i')$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathbf{B}}_{(-i)} - (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}_i\mathbf{X}_i'\hat{\mathbf{B}}_{(-i)} &= (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}_i\mathbf{X}_i')(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}_i\mathbf{X}_i')^{-1}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}_i\mathbf{Y}_i) \\ \hat{\mathbf{B}}_{(-i)} - (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}_i\mathbf{X}_i'\hat{\mathbf{B}}_{(-i)} &= \hat{\mathbf{B}} - (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}_i\mathbf{Y}_i\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathbf{B}}_{(-i)} &= \hat{\mathbf{B}} - (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}_i\mathbf{Y}_i + (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}_i\mathbf{X}_i'\hat{\mathbf{B}}_{(-i)} \\ &= \hat{\mathbf{B}} - (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}_i(\mathbf{Y}_i - \mathbf{X}_i'\hat{\mathbf{B}}_{(-i)}) \\ \hat{\mathbf{B}}_{(-i)} &= \hat{\mathbf{B}} - (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}_i \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_n\end{aligned}$$

specific.

5. Let $\hat{\beta}_n = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}_n)^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Y}_n$ denote the OLS estimate when \mathbf{Y}_n is $n \times 1$ and \mathbf{X}_n is $n \times k$. A new observation (Y_{n+1}, X_{n+1}) becomes available. Prove that the OLS estimate computed using this additional observation is

$$\hat{\beta}_{n+1} = \hat{\beta}_n + \frac{1}{1 + \mathbf{x}_{n+1}'(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}_n)^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{n+1}}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}_n)^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{n+1}(Y_{n+1} - \mathbf{x}_{n+1}'\hat{\beta}_n).$$

$$\begin{aligned}(\hat{\mathbf{B}}_{n+1} &= (\underline{\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}_n} + \mathbf{x}_{n+1}\mathbf{x}_{n+1}')^{-1}(\underline{\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Y}_n} + \mathbf{x}_{n+1}\mathbf{Y}_{n+1})) \times (\underline{\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}_n} + \mathbf{x}_{n+1}\mathbf{x}_{n+1}') \\ \hat{\mathbf{B}}_{n+1} &+ (\underline{\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}_n})^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_{n+1}\mathbf{x}_{n+1}')\hat{\mathbf{B}}_{n+1} = (\underline{\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}_n})^{-1}(\underline{\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}_n} + \mathbf{x}_{n+1}\mathbf{x}_{n+1}')(\underline{\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}_n} + \mathbf{x}_{n+1}\mathbf{x}_{n+1}')^{-1}(\underline{\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Y}_n} + \mathbf{x}_{n+1}\mathbf{Y}_{n+1}) \\ \hat{\mathbf{B}}_{n+1} &+ (\underline{\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}_n})^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_{n+1}\mathbf{x}_{n+1}')\hat{\mathbf{B}}_{n+1} = (\underline{\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}_n})^{-1}(\underline{\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Y}_n} + \mathbf{x}_{n+1}\mathbf{Y}_{n+1}) \\ \hat{\mathbf{B}}_{n+1} &= (\underline{\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}_n})^{-1}(\underline{\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Y}_n} + \mathbf{x}_{n+1}\mathbf{Y}_{n+1}) - (\underline{\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}_n})^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_{n+1}\mathbf{x}_{n+1}')\hat{\mathbf{B}}_{n+1} \\ \hat{\mathbf{B}}_{n+1} &= \hat{\mathbf{B}}_n + (\underline{\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{x}_n})^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{n+1}\mathbf{Y}_{n+1} - (\underline{\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{x}_n})^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_{n+1}\mathbf{x}_{n+1}')\hat{\mathbf{B}}_{n+1} \\ \hat{\mathbf{B}}_{n+1} &= \hat{\mathbf{B}}_n + (\underline{\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{x}_n})^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{n+1}(\mathbf{Y}_{n+1} - \mathbf{x}_{n+1}'\hat{\mathbf{B}}_n) \\ \times \mathbf{x}_{n+1}' &(\hat{\mathbf{B}}_{n+1} = \hat{\mathbf{B}}_n + (\underline{\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{x}_n})^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{n+1}\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{n+1}) \\ \hat{\mathbf{Y}}_{n+1} &= \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_{n+1} + \mathbf{x}_{n+1}'(\underline{\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{x}_n})^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{n+1}\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{n+1} \\ (\mathbf{Y}_{n+1} - \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_{n+1}) &= (\underline{\mathbf{Y}_{n+1} - \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_{n+1}}) + \mathbf{x}_{n+1}'(\underline{\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{x}_n})^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{n+1}\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{n+1} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{n+1} &= (1 + \mathbf{x}_{n+1}'(\underline{\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{x}_n})^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{n+1})\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{n+1} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{n+1} &= \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{n+1} \\ (1 + \mathbf{x}_{n+1}'(\underline{\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{x}_n})^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{n+1}) &\downarrow \\ \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{n+1} &= \frac{\mathbf{Y}_{n+1} - \mathbf{x}_{n+1}'\hat{\mathbf{B}}_n}{(1 + \mathbf{x}_{n+1}'(\underline{\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{x}_n})^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{n+1})} \\ \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\beta}_{(-i)} &= \left(\sum_{j \neq i} X_j X_j' \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{j \neq i} X_j Y_j \right) \\ &= (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}_i\mathbf{X}_i')^{-1}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}_i\mathbf{Y}_i) \\ &= (\mathbf{X}'_{(-i)}\mathbf{X}_{(-i)})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'_{(-i)}\mathbf{Y}_{(-i)}.\end{aligned}$$

6. Prove that R^2 is the square of the sample correlation between Y and \hat{Y} .

$$\left(\rho_{Y,\hat{Y}} = \frac{\text{Cov}(Y, \hat{Y})}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(Y)} \sqrt{\text{Var}(\hat{Y})}} \right)^2$$

$$\rho_{Y,\hat{Y}}^2 = \frac{\text{Cov}(\hat{Y} + \hat{e}, \hat{Y})^2}{\text{Var}(Y) \text{Var}(\hat{Y})} = \frac{[\text{Cov}(\hat{Y}, \hat{Y}) + \text{Cov}(\hat{Y}, \hat{e})]^2}{\text{Var}(Y) \text{Var}(\hat{Y})} = \frac{[\text{Var}(\hat{Y})]^2}{\text{Var}(Y) \text{Var}(\hat{Y})} = \frac{\text{Var}(\hat{Y})}{\text{Var}(Y)} = \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum (\hat{Y}_i - \bar{Y})^2}{\frac{1}{n} \sum (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2} = R^2$$

SCE
SCT

7. For the intercept-only model $Y_i = \beta + e_i$, show that the leave-one-out prediction error is

$$\tilde{e}_i = \left(\frac{n}{n-1} \right) (Y_i - \bar{Y}).$$

$$Y_i = \beta + e_i$$

$$h_{ii} = x_i' (x' x)^{-1} x_i$$

$$= 1 \cdot \left[\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}}_{n \text{ veces}} \right]^{-1} \cdot 1$$

$$Y = X \beta + e$$

$$h_{ii} = \frac{1}{n} = \bar{h} \quad \text{todos los elementos de la diagonal de } H \text{ son } \frac{1}{n}$$

$$\text{recordar: } \hat{e}_i = (1 - h_{ii})^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{e}_i = (1 - \bar{h})^{\frac{1}{2}} (Y_i - \hat{\beta})$$

Entonces

$$\text{SSE} = \sum \hat{e}_i^2$$

$$(\text{PO: } \frac{\partial \text{SSE}}{\partial \beta} : 2 \sum (Y_i - \hat{\beta})(-1) = 0)$$

$$\sum (Y_i - \hat{\beta}) = 0$$

$$= n \left(\frac{1}{n} \right) \sum Y_i$$

$$\sum Y_i - \sum \hat{\beta} = 0$$

$$n \bar{Y} - n \hat{\beta} = 0 \quad \} \quad \bar{Y} = \hat{\beta}$$

Luego

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{e}_i &= (1 - \bar{h})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{e}_i \\ &= (1 - \frac{1}{n})^{-\frac{1}{2}} (Y_i - \bar{Y}) \\ \tilde{e}_i &= \left(\frac{n}{n-1} \right) (Y_i - \bar{Y}) // \end{aligned}$$

8. The observations are (Y_i, X_{1i}, X_{2i}) , $i = 1, \dots, n$. You estimate two least squares regressions.

$$Y_i = X'_{1i} \hat{\beta}_1 + \tilde{e}_i$$

$$Y_i = X'_{1i} \hat{\beta}_1 + X'_{2i} \hat{\beta}_2 + \hat{e}'_i \rightarrow \tilde{e}_i = X'_{1i} \hat{\beta}_1 + \hat{e}'_i$$

and calculate the residual variance estimates

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{e}_i^2$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{e}_i^2$$

Show that for any $w \in (0,1)$, there is a constant $a \in (0,1)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (w\hat{e}_i + (1-w)\tilde{e}_i)^2 = (1-a)\hat{\sigma}^2 + a\tilde{\sigma}^2.$$

Find the constant a .

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (w\hat{e}_i^2 + 2w(1-w)\hat{e}_i \tilde{e}_i + (1-w)\tilde{e}_i^2) = (1-a)\hat{\sigma}^2 + a\tilde{\sigma}^2$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum w^2 \hat{e}_i^2 + \left(\frac{1}{n}\right) 2 \sum w(1-w) \hat{e}_i \tilde{e}_i + \left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \sum (1-w) \tilde{e}_i^2 = (1-a)\hat{\sigma}^2 + a\tilde{\sigma}^2$$

$$\frac{w^2}{\hat{\sigma}^2} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \sum \hat{e}_i^2 + 2w(1-w) \left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \sum \hat{e}_i \tilde{e}_i + \frac{(1-w)^2}{\tilde{\sigma}^2} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \sum \tilde{e}_i^2 = (1-a)\hat{\sigma}^2 + a\tilde{\sigma}^2$$

$$w^2 \hat{\sigma}^2 + (1-w)^2 \tilde{\sigma}^2 + 2w(1-w) \left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \sum \hat{e}_i \tilde{e}_i = (1-a)\hat{\sigma}^2 + a\tilde{\sigma}^2$$

$$\text{Como } \tilde{e}_i = X'_{2i} \hat{\beta}_2 + \hat{e}_{2i}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \hat{e}_i \tilde{e}_i &= \sum \hat{e}_i (X'_{2i} \hat{\beta}_2 + \hat{e}_{2i}) \\ &= \sum \hat{e}_i X'_{2i} \hat{\beta}_2 + \sum \hat{e}_i \hat{e}_{2i} \\ &= \hat{\beta}_2 \sum \hat{e}_i X'_{2i} + \sum \hat{e}_i \hat{e}_{2i} \end{aligned}$$

$$w^2 \hat{\sigma}^2 + (1-w)^2 \tilde{\sigma}^2 + 2w(1-w) \left(\frac{1}{n}\right) (\hat{\beta}_2 \sum \hat{e}_i X'_{2i} + \sum \hat{e}_i \hat{e}_{2i}) = (1-a)\hat{\sigma}^2 + a\tilde{\sigma}^2$$

$$w^2 \hat{\sigma}^2 + (1-w)^2 \tilde{\sigma}^2 + 2w(1-w) \left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \sum \hat{e}_i^2 + 2w(1-w) \left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \hat{\beta}_2 \sum \hat{e}_i X'_{2i} = (1-a)\hat{\sigma}^2 + a\tilde{\sigma}^2$$

$$w^2 \hat{\sigma}^2 + (1-w)^2 \tilde{\sigma}^2 + 2w(1-w) \hat{\sigma}^2 + 2w(1-w) \left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \hat{\beta}_2 \sum \hat{e}_i X'_{2i} = (1-a)\hat{\sigma}^2 + a\tilde{\sigma}^2$$

$$[w^2 + 2w(1-w)] \hat{\sigma}^2 + (1-w)^2 \tilde{\sigma}^2 + 2w(1-w) \left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \hat{\beta}_2 \sum \hat{e}_i X'_{2i} = (1-a)\hat{\sigma}^2 + a\tilde{\sigma}^2$$

$$[w^2 + 2w(1-w)] \hat{\sigma}^2 + (1-w)^2 \tilde{\sigma}^2 = (1-a)\hat{\sigma}^2 + a\tilde{\sigma}^2$$

Preguntar

$$w[w + 2(1-w)] \hat{\sigma}^2 + (1-w)^2 \tilde{\sigma}^2 =$$

$$w(2-w) \hat{\sigma}^2 + (1-w)^2 \tilde{\sigma}^2 =$$

$$(2w-w^2) \hat{\sigma}^2 + (1-w)^2 \tilde{\sigma}^2 = (1-a)\hat{\sigma}^2 + a\tilde{\sigma}^2$$

$$1-a = 1 - (1-w)^2 = 1 - (1^2 - 2w + w^2) = 1 - 1 + 2w - w^2 = 2w - w^2 \checkmark$$

$$\text{entonces } a = 1-w$$

Find the constant a .

9. Consider a regression of a $n \times 1$ vector of responses \mathbf{Y} on a $n \times k$ matrix of explanatory variables \mathbf{X} . Let $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{P}$, where \mathbf{P} is the projection matrix $\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'$, and \mathbf{I}_n is the identity matrix of order n . Suppose one adds a new explanatory variable, \mathbf{Z} , to the regression, so there are now $(k+1)$ regressors. Show that the new residual sum of squares is given by $\mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{M}\mathbf{Y} - b^2 \mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{M}\mathbf{Z}$ where $b = \mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{M}\mathbf{Y}/\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{M}\mathbf{Z}$ is the OLS coefficient on \mathbf{Z} .

$$SSE_1 = \hat{e}' \hat{e} = (\mathbf{M}\mathbf{Y})'(\mathbf{M}\mathbf{Y}) = \mathbf{y}' \mathbf{M} \mathbf{y}$$

Nuevo regresor \mathbf{Z} P \mathbf{Z} → proyección de \mathbf{Z} sobre el espacio generado por \mathbf{X}

M \mathbf{Z} → parte de " \mathbf{Z} " que no está relacionada con \mathbf{X} } aporta información nueva para explicar \mathbf{y}

$$(b\mathbf{M}\mathbf{Z})' (b\mathbf{M}\mathbf{Z}) = b^2 \mathbf{Z}' \mathbf{M} \mathbf{Z}$$

proyección

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}\mathbf{x} &= \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{P}\mathbf{y} &= \hat{\mathbf{y}} \end{aligned}$$

residuos

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M}\mathbf{x} &= \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{M}\mathbf{y} &= \hat{\mathbf{e}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow \tilde{e}_i = MY - bMz$$

$$SSE_2 = (MY - bMz)'(MY - bMz)$$

$$SSE_2 = Y'MY - 2Z'MY z'My + (Z'My)^2 Z'Nz$$

$$\frac{\partial SSE_2}{\partial b} = -2Z'NY + 2bZ'Nz = 0$$

$$b = \frac{Z'NY}{Z'Nz}$$

$$= Y'MY - 2\frac{Z'NY}{Z'Nz} Z'NY + \frac{(Z'NY)(Z'NY)}{(Z'Nz)(Z'Nz)}$$

$$= Y'MY - \frac{(Z'NY)(Z'NY)}{Z'Nz}$$

$$; b^2 = \frac{(Z'NY)(Z'NY)}{(Z'Nz)(Z'Nz)}$$

$$SSE_2 = Y'MY - b^2(Z'Nz)$$

$$b^2(Z'Nz) = \frac{(Z'NY)(Z'NY)}{Z'Nz}$$

Regression (Cont.)

1. For some integer k , set $\mu_k = \mathbb{E}[Y^k]$.

(a) Construct an estimator $\hat{\mu}_k$ for μ_k .

(b) Show that $\hat{\mu}_k$ is unbiased for μ_k .

(c) Calculate the variance of $\hat{\mu}_k$, say $\text{var}[\hat{\mu}_k]$. What assumption is needed for $\text{var}[\hat{\mu}_k]$ to be finite?

(d) Propose an estimator of $\text{var}[\hat{\mu}_k]$.

2. Let $\mu = \mathbb{E}[Y]$, $\sigma^2 = \mathbb{E}[(Y - \mu)^2]$ and $\mu_3 = \mathbb{E}[(Y - \mu)^3]$ and consider the sample mean $\bar{Y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$. Find $\mathbb{E}[(\bar{Y} - \mu)^3]$ as a function of μ , σ^2 , μ_3 and n .

3. Now assume that

$$\sqrt{n} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\theta}_1 - \theta_1 \\ \hat{\theta}_2 - \theta_2 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \Sigma)$$

where $\|\Sigma\| < \infty$, then find the asymptotic distribution of the following statistics

(a) $\hat{\theta}_1 \hat{\theta}_2$

(b) $\exp(\hat{\theta}_1 + \hat{\theta}_2)$

(c) If $\theta_2 \neq 0$, $\hat{\theta}_1 / \hat{\theta}_2^2$

(d) $\hat{\theta}_1^3 + \hat{\theta}_1 \hat{\theta}_2^2$

4. Take a regression model $Y = X\beta + e$ with $\mathbb{E}[e | X] = 0$ and i.i.d. observations (Y_i, X_i) and scalar X . The parameter of interest is $\theta = \beta^2$. Consider the OLS estimators $\hat{\beta}$ and $\hat{\theta} = \hat{\beta}^2$.

(a) Find $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta} | X]$ using our knowledge of $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\beta} | X]$ and $V_{\hat{\beta}} = \text{var}[\hat{\beta} | X]$. Is $\hat{\theta}$ biased for θ ?

(b) Suggest an (approximate) biased-corrected estimator $\hat{\theta}^*$ using an estimator $\hat{V}_{\hat{\beta}}$ for $V_{\hat{\beta}}$.

(c) For $\hat{\theta}^*$ to be potentially unbiased, which estimator of $V_{\hat{\beta}}$ is most appropriate?

Under which conditions is $\hat{\theta}^*$ unbiased?

5. Consider an i.i.d. sample $\{Y_i, X_i\}_{i=1, \dots, n}$ where X_i is $k \times 1$. Assume the linear conditional expectation model $Y = X'\beta + e$ with $\mathbb{E}[e | X] = 0$. Assume that $n^{-1}X'X = I_k$ (orthonormal regressors). Consider the OLS estimator $\hat{\beta}$.

(a) Find $V_{\hat{\beta}} = \text{var}[\hat{\beta}]$

(b) In general, are $\hat{\beta}_j$ and $\hat{\beta}_\ell$ for $j \neq \ell$ correlated or uncorrelated?

(c) Find a sufficient condition so that $\hat{\beta}_j$ and $\hat{\beta}_\ell$ for $j \neq \ell$ are uncorrelated.

6. Take the model in vector notation

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= X\beta + e \\ \mathbb{E}[e | X] &= 0 \\ \mathbb{E}[ee' | X] &= \Omega \end{aligned}$$

Assume for simplicity that Ω is known. Consider the OLS and GLS estimators $\hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}(X'Y)$ and $\tilde{\beta} = (X'\Omega^{-1}X)^{-1}(X'\Omega^{-1}Y)$. Compute the (conditional) covariance between $\hat{\beta}$ and $\tilde{\beta}$:

$$\mathbb{E}[(\hat{\beta} - \beta)(\tilde{\beta} - \beta)' | X].$$

Find the (conditional) covariance matrix for $\hat{\beta} - \tilde{\beta}$:

$$\mathbb{E}[(\hat{\beta} - \tilde{\beta})(\hat{\beta} - \tilde{\beta})' | X].$$

7. The model is $Y = X\beta + e$ with $\mathbb{E}[e | X] = 0$ and $X \in \mathbb{R}$. Consider the two estimators

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\beta} &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i Y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2} \\ \tilde{\beta} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{Y_i}{X_i}\end{aligned}$$

(a) Under the stated assumptions are both estimators consistent for β ?

(b) Are there conditions under which either estimator is efficient?

8. Take the linear model $Y = X\beta + e$ with $\mathbb{E}[e | X] = 0$ and $X_i \in \mathbb{R}$. Consider the estimator

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^3 Y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^4}.$$

Find the asymptotic distribution of $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta} - \beta)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

9. The model is $y = X'\beta + e$ with $\mathbb{E}[e | X] = 0$. An econometrician is worried about the impact of some unusually large values of the regressors. The model is thus estimated on the subsample for which $|X_i| \leq c$ for some fixed c . Let $\tilde{\beta}$ denote the OLS estimator on this subsample. It equals

$$\tilde{\beta} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i X_i' \mathbb{1}\{|X_i| \leq c\} \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i Y_i \mathbb{1}\{|X_i| \leq c\} \right).$$

(a) Show that $\tilde{\beta} \xrightarrow{p} \beta$.

(b) Find the asymptotic distribution of $\sqrt{n}(\tilde{\beta} - \beta)$.

Binary Response

- For a binary response y , let \bar{y} be the proportion of ones in the sample (which is equal to the sample average of the y_i). Let \hat{q}_0 be the percent correctly predicted for the outcome $y = 0$ and let \hat{q}_1 be the percent correctly predicted for the outcome $y = 1$. If \hat{p} is the overall percent correctly predicted, show that \hat{p} is a weighted average of \hat{q}_0 and \hat{q}_1 :

$$\hat{p} = (1 - \bar{y})\hat{q}_0 + \bar{y}\hat{q}_1.$$

In a sample of 300, suppose that $\bar{y} = .70$, so that there are 210 outcomes with $y_i = 1$ and 90 with $y_i = 0$. Suppose that the percent correctly predicted when $y = 0$ is 80, and the percent correctly predicted when $y = 1$ is 40. Find the overall percent correctly predicted.

- Emily estimates a probit regression setting her dependent variable to equal $Y = 1$ for a purchase and $Y = 0$ for no purchase. Using the same data and regressors, Jacob estimates a probit regression setting the dependent variable to equal $Y = 1$ if there is no purchase and $Y = 0$ for a purchase. What is the difference in their estimated slope coefficients?
- Jackson estimates a logit regression where the primary regressor is measured in dollars. Julie estimates a logit regression with the same sample and dependent variable, but measures the primary regressor in thousands of dollars. What is the difference in the estimated slope coefficients?
- For the logistic distribution $\Lambda(x) = (1 + \exp(-x))^{-1}$ verify that
 - $\frac{d}{dx}\Lambda(x) = \Lambda(x)(1 - \Lambda(x))$.
 - $h_{\text{logit}}(x) = \frac{d}{dx}\log\Lambda(x) = 1 - \Lambda(x)$.
 - $H_{\text{logit}}(x) = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\log\Lambda(x) = \Lambda(x)(1 - \Lambda(x))$.
 - $|H_{\text{logit}}(x)| \leq 1$.
- For the normal distribution $\Phi(x)$ verify that
 - $h_{\text{probit}}(x) = \frac{d}{dx}\log\Phi(x) = \lambda(x)$ where $\lambda(x) = \phi(x)/\Phi(x)$.
 - $H_{\text{probit}}(x) = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\log\Phi(x) = \lambda(x)(x + \lambda(x))$.

Multinomial Response

1.

- a. For estimating the mean of a nonnegative random variable y using a random sample $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^n$, the Poisson quasi-log likelihood for a random draw is $\gamma_i(\mu) = y_i \log(\mu) - \mu, \mu > 0$ (where terms not depending on μ have been dropped). Letting $\mu_0 \equiv \mathbb{E}(y_i)$, we have $\mathbb{E}[\ell_i(\mu)] = \mu_0 \log(\mu) - \mu$. Show that this function is uniquely maximized at $\mu = \mu_0$.
 - b. The gamma (exponential) quasi-log likelihood is $\ell_i(\mu) = -y_i/\mu - \log(\mu), \mu > 0$. Show that $\mathbb{E}[\ell_i(\mu)]$ is uniquely maximized at $\mu = \mu_0$.
2. In a balanced panel data setting, i.e. $\{\{y_{it}, \mathbf{x}_{it}\}_{t=1}^T\}_{i=1}^n$, consider an unobserved effects model for count data with exponential regression function

$$\mathbb{E}(y_{it} | \mathbf{x}_{i1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{iT}, c_i) = c_i \exp(\mathbf{x}_{it} \boldsymbol{\beta}).$$

If $\mathbb{E}(c_i | \mathbf{x}_{i1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{iT}) = \exp(\alpha + \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i \gamma)$, find $\mathbb{E}(y_{it} | \mathbf{x}_{i1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{iT})$ where $\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i = T^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_{i1} + \dots + \mathbf{x}_{iT})$.

3. Let patents be the number of patents applied for by a firm during a given year. Assume that the conditional expectation of patents given sales and RD is

$$\mathbb{E}(\text{patents} | \text{sales}, RD) = \exp[\beta_0 + \beta_1 \log(\text{sales}) + \beta_2 RD + \beta_3 RD^2],$$

where sales is annual firm sales and RD is total spending on research and development over the past 10 years.

- a. How would you estimate the β_j ? Justify your answer by discussing the nature of patents.
- b. How do you interpret β_1 ?
- c. Find the partial effect of RD on $\mathbb{E}(\text{patents} | \text{sales}, RD)$.

High-Dimensional Models

1. Take the model $Y = X'\beta + e$ with $\mathbb{E}[Xe] = 0$. Define the ridge regression estimator

$$\hat{\beta} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i X_i' + \lambda I_k \right)^{-1} (\sum_{i=1}^n X_i Y_i),$$

here $\lambda > 0$ is a fixed constant.

- (a) Find $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\beta} | X]$.
 - (b) Is $\hat{\beta}$ biased for β ?
 - (c) Find the probability limit of $\hat{\beta}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
 - (d) Is $\hat{\beta}$ consistent for β ?
 - (e) Derive $\text{var}[\hat{\beta} | X]$.
2. Show that the ridge regression estimator can be computed as least squares applied to an augmented data set. Take the original data (Y, X) . Add p 0's to Y and p rows of $\sqrt{\lambda} I_p$ to X , apply least squares, and show that this equals $\hat{\beta}_{\text{ridge}}$.
3. Which estimator produces a higher regression R^2 , least squares or ridge regression?