

ML HW3

Date: 09/17/2025

Week: 3

Author: Alvin B. Lin

Student ID: 112652040

Problem 1: Explanation to The Lemma

1. Reading and Explaining Lemmas:

Your task is to read [Ryck et al., On the approximation of function by tanh neural networks](#). Focus on **Lemma 3.1** and **Lemma 3.2**.

Setup: Before stating the explanation, we define the following terms:

Definition 1: L^p Space

The **Lebesgue space** is defined as:

$$L^p(\Omega) = \left\{ f : \Omega \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}} : \int_{\Omega} |f|^p d\mu < +\infty \right\},$$

where $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, $p, d \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $\mu(\cdot)$ be the **Lebesgue measure**.

$$L^\infty(\Omega) = \left\{ f : \Omega \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}} : \mu\{x \in \Omega : |f(x)| = \infty\} = 0 \right\},$$

where $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $\mu(\cdot)$ be the **Lebesgue measure**.

Definition 2: L^p Norm

Given $d \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, function $f : \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$. For $p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, the norm is defined as:

$$\|f\|_{L^p} = \left(\int_{\Omega} |f|^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

for $p = +\infty$, the norm is defined as:

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty} = \sup_{x \in \Omega} |f(x)|$$

Definition 3: Sobolev Space

Let $d \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $p \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{+\infty\}$ and let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open, $L^p(\Omega)$ be the Lebesgue space. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we define **Sobolev space** as:

$$W^{k,p}(\Omega) = \left\{ f \in L^p(\Omega) : D^\alpha f \in L^p(\Omega), \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d, |\alpha| \leq k \right\},$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d)$, $D^\alpha = \partial_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial_d^{\alpha_d}$.

Definition 4: Seminorm on Sobolev Space

For $p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, the seminorm of f on $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ is

$$|f|_{W^{m,p}(\Omega)} = \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=m} \|D^\alpha f\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p \right)^{1/p}, \text{ for } m = 0, \dots, k.$$

For $p = +\infty$, we define the seminorm:

$$|f|_{W^{k,\infty}(\Omega)} = \max_{|\alpha|=m} \|D^\alpha f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \text{ for } m = 0, \dots, k.$$

Definition 5: Norm on Sobolev Space

Based on **definition 3**, we define norm of f for $p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$:

$$\|f\|_{W^{k,p}(\Omega)} = \left(\sum_{m=0}^k |f|_{W^{m,p}(\Omega)}^p \right)^{1/p},$$

for $p = +\infty$, we define as

$$\|f\|_{W^{k,\infty}(\Omega)} = \max_{0 \leq m \leq k} |f|_{W^{m,\infty}(\Omega)}$$

In **Section 2.3. Neural network**, it is mentioned in this paper that: Ψ_Θ is a neural network for which activation function is $\tanh x$, where Θ is the set of parameters (weight matrices W_k , biases b_k).

Neural networks Ψ_Θ , in this paper, can be categorised into 2 classes:

- *Shallow neural network*: For neural networks who have only 1 hidden layer.
- *Deep neural network*: For neural networks who have more than 1 hidden layers.

In this paper, for $p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $M \in \mathbb{R}^+$, the monomials $f_p : [-M, M] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined as

$$f_p(y) := y^p; \quad \text{for instance, } f_3(y) = y^3, \quad f_3(2) = 2^3 = 8.$$

Lemma 3.1

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in 2\mathbb{Z}^+ - 1$. Then it holds that for all $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$, there exists a *shallow* \tanh neural network $\Psi_{s,\varepsilon} : [-M, M] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\frac{s+1}{2}}$ of width $\frac{s+1}{2}$ such that

$$\max_{\substack{p \leq s, \\ p \text{ odd}}} \left\| f_p - (\Psi_{s,\varepsilon})_{\frac{p+1}{2}} \right\|_{W^{k,\infty}} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Moreover, the weights of $\Psi_{s,\varepsilon}$ scale as $\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{s}{2}}(2(s+2)\sqrt{2M})^{s(s+3)}\right)$ for small ε and large s .

What to notice?

- $\Psi_{s,\varepsilon}$ is a **single-hidden-layer** neural network with $\frac{s+1}{2}$ neurons.
- The lemma only holds for closed interval $[-M, M]$, instead of \mathbb{R} .
- The norm used is **Sobolev space norm**, which is stronger than L^∞ norm.
- Taking **max** ensures for all odd $p \leq s$ the inequality holds.

What does the lemma tell us?

The lemma tells us that under a compact interval $[-M, M]$, $s \in 2\mathbb{Z}^+ - 1$, error $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$, we can always find a **one-layer- $\frac{s+1}{2}$ -neuron neural network** $\Psi_{s,\varepsilon}$ to approximate all the **odd** term monomial up to order s within the error ε in the sense of **Sobolev norm**, which also ensures the **derivative** $D_y^n \Psi_{s,\varepsilon}$ is close to the **derivative of the object function** $D_y^n y^p$ for $0 \leq n \leq k$.

Moreover, we can also predict the **growth speed of weights**, they grow as fast as $\varepsilon^{-\frac{s}{2}}(2(s+2)\sqrt{2M})^{s(s+3)}$ for large number of neurons s and small enough error ε .

Excellent, let's move on to the **lemma 3.2**, which is very similar to **lemma 3.1**.

Lemma 3.2

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in 2\mathbb{Z}^+ - 1$. Then it holds that for all $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$, there exists a *shallow* tanh neural network $\psi_{s,\varepsilon} : [-M, M] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^s$ of width $\frac{3(s+1)}{2}$ such that

$$\max_{p \leq s} \left\| f_p - (\psi_{s,\varepsilon})_p \right\|_{W^{k,\infty}} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Moreover, the weights of $\psi_{s,\varepsilon}$ scale as $\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{s}{2}}((s+2)\sqrt{M})^{\frac{3s(s+3)}{2}}\right)$ for small ε and large s .

What does the lemma tell us?

Similar to **lemma 3.1**, under a compact interval $[-M, M]$, $s \in 2\mathbb{Z}^+ - 1$, error $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$, we can always find a **one-layer- $\frac{3(s+1)}{2}$ neuron-neural network** $\psi_{s,\varepsilon}$ to approximate **all** the monomial up to order s within the error ε in the sense of **Sobolev norm**, which also ensures the **derivative** $D_y^n \psi_{s,\varepsilon}$ is close to the **derivative of the object function** $D_y^n y^p$ for $0 \leq n \leq k$.

Moreover, we can also predict the **growth speed of weights**, they grow as fast as $\varepsilon^{-\frac{s}{2}}((s+2)\sqrt{M})^{\frac{3s(s+3)}{2}}$ for large number of neurons s and small enough error ε .

What is it different from lemma 3.1?

Lemma 3.2 is an enhanced version of **lemma 3.1**, it shows that using $s+1$ more neurons can let us approximate the even monomials. Overall, to approximate an order n polynomial, we need $\frac{3(n+1)}{2}$ neurons.

How does it work in practice?

We then look at an example of how it work **theoretically**.

Example: Approximate $g(x) = 5x^5 - 3x^3 + x^2 - x$ on $[-2, 2]$ within error $= 10^{-4}$.

Step 1: Notice that g is a degree 5 polynomial, we choose $s = 5$, also $k = 1$.

Step 2: Our neural network will have $\frac{3(5+1)}{2} = 9$ neurons in the hidden layer.

Step 3: Since for all $p \leq 5$, we have

$$\|f_p - (\psi_{s,\varepsilon})_p\|_{W^{k,\infty}} \leq \varepsilon;$$

by the **triangular inequality**,

$$\begin{aligned} \|g - \psi_{s,\varepsilon}\|_{L^\infty} &\leq \|g - \psi_{s,\varepsilon}\|_{W^{k,\infty}} \leq 5\|f_5 - (\psi_{s,\varepsilon})_5\|_{W^{k,\infty}} + 3\|f_3 - (\psi_{s,\varepsilon})_3\|_{W^{k,\infty}} \\ &\quad + \|f_2 - (\psi_{s,\varepsilon})_2\|_{W^{k,\infty}} + \|f_1 - (\psi_{s,\varepsilon})_1\|_{W^{k,\infty}} \\ &\leq (5 + 3 + 1 + 1)\varepsilon \\ &= 10\varepsilon \\ &\leq 10^{-4} \end{aligned}$$

we choose $\varepsilon = 10^{-5}$.

Step 4: By setting $k = 1$, $s = 5$, $\varepsilon = 10^{-5}$, **lemma 3.2** renders the theoretical **existence of neural network** $\psi_{5,10^{-5}}$ that approximate $g(x)$ on $[-2, 2]$ with error no more than 10^{-4} .

Moreover, since we set $k = 1$, the error between their derivatives will be less than 10^{-4} .

$$\|g' - (\psi_{s,\varepsilon})'\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|g' - (\psi_{s,\varepsilon})'\|_{W^{k-1,\infty}} \leq 10^{-4}$$

This is the reason why we say **Sobolev norm** is stronger than **L^∞ norm** and use **Sobolev norm** instead of **L^∞ norm**.

This is my brief explanation of **lemma 3.1** and **lemma 3.2**, hope you find it easy to read.