ML HW5

Date: 10/01/2025

Week: 5

Author: Alvin B. Lin **Student ID:** 112652040

Problem 1: Integral of Multivariable Normal Distribution

Given

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^k |\mathbf{\Sigma}|}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \mu)^T \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \mu)},$$

where $\mathbf{x}, \mu \in \mathbb{R}^k$, Σ is a k-by-k positive definite matrix and $|\Sigma|$ is its determinant. Show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} f(\mathbf{x}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} = 1.$$

Solution:

We first do a substitution:

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x} - \mu; \qquad \Omega = \mathbb{R}^k \Longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^k = \Omega'.$$

We need the following lemma:

Theorem 1: Symmetric ← Orthogonally Diagonalisable

Given a matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{M}_{k \times k}$, then it follows that

$$\mathbf{A}$$
 is symmetric $\iff \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{Q}^{\mathsf{T}}$

for some **orthogonal** $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{M}_{k \times k}$, diagonal $\mathbf{D} \in \mathcal{M}_{k \times k}$.

Combine **Theorem 1** and the **positive definite** property on Σ , we know that:

$$\mathbf{\Sigma} = \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{Q}^{ op} = \left(\mathbf{Q} \sqrt{\mathbf{D}} \right) \left(\mathbf{Q} \sqrt{\mathbf{D}} \right)^{ op} := \left(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{1/2} \right) \left(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{1/2} \right)^{ op} = \left(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{1/2} \right) \left(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{1/2} \right),$$

where **Q** is orthogonal, **D** is a diagonal matrix with all diagonal entries positive, $\sqrt{\mathbf{D}}^2 = \mathbf{D}$. So now we have our exponent part becomes:

$$-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1/2} \mathbf{y} \right)^{\top} \left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1/2} \mathbf{y} \right) = -\frac{1}{2} \left\| \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1/2} \mathbf{y} \right\|^{2}$$

By letting $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1/2} \mathbf{y}$, we get $d\mathbf{z} = \left| \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1/2} \right| d\mathbf{y} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\mathbf{\Sigma}|}} d\mathbf{y}$, where $\left| \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1/2} \right|$ is the **Jacobian**.

Notice that $\|\mathbf{z}\|^2 = z_1^2 + z_2^2 + \dots + z_k^2$, and by **Fubini's Theorem**, we have:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \varphi_1(x_1) \cdot \varphi_2(x_2) \cdots \varphi_k(x_k) \, d\mathbf{x} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_1(x_1) \, dx_1 \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_2(x_2) \, dx_2 \right) \cdots \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_k(x_k) \, dx_k \right)$$

1

Also, there is a well-known result that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx = 1$. We are all set now.

Combine everything together, we get:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} f(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^k |\Sigma|}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \|\Sigma^{-1/2} \mathbf{y}\|^2} \, d\mathbf{y} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^k}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k z_i^2} \, d\mathbf{z}$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^k \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} z_i^2} \, dz_i \right)$$

$$= 1^k$$

$$= 1$$

Hence proved.

Problem 2: MLE of Multivariate Gaussian

- (a) Show that $\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{A}} \text{Tr}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{B}^{\top}$.
- (b) Show that $\mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \text{Tr}(\mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{A})$.
- (c) Derive the maximum likelihood estimator for the multivariate Gaussian.

Solution:

(a) Given $\mathbf{M} \in \mathbf{M}_{n \times n}$, recall that the definition of the **trace** is stated as:

$$\operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{M}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{ii}.$$

Also, for matrices $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times m}$ and $\mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}$, the matrix multiplication gives:

$$(\mathbf{AB})_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{ik} b_{kj} \qquad \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{AB}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{ik} b_{ki}$$

Since all the entries of **A** are independent, the derivative rule is given as:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial a_{lm}} (a_{ik}b_{ki}) = \begin{cases} b_{ki} = b_{ml}, & \text{if } l = i \text{ and } m = k. \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Recall that the matrix derivative is given as:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{A}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{11}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{1m}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{n1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{nm}} \end{pmatrix}$$

Combine everything, we get:

$$\frac{\partial \text{Tr}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B})}{\partial \mathbf{A}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{11}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{1m}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{n1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{nm}} \end{pmatrix} a_{ik} b_{ki} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{21} & \cdots & b_{m1} \\ b_{12} & b_{22} & \cdots & b_{m2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_{1n} & b_{2n} & \cdots & b_{mn} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{B}^{\top}$$

Hence proved.

(b) For $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{M}_{n \times n}$ and $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have:

$$\mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^{\top} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{1} \mathbf{x} \\ -\mathbf{A}_{2} \mathbf{x} \\ \vdots \\ -\mathbf{A}_{n} \mathbf{x} \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \mathbf{A}_{i} \mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{i} a_{ij} x_{j}$$
(1)

For A_i is the *i*th row of the matrix A; also:

$$\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{x} \begin{pmatrix} | & | & | \\ \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{A}_{1}' & \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{A}_{2}' & \cdots & \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{A}_{n}' \end{pmatrix},$$

where \mathbf{A}'_{j} is the jth column of matrix \mathbf{A} . Therefore,

$$\operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^{\top}\mathbf{A}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^{\top}\mathbf{A}\right)_{jj} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} a_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{i} a_{ij} x_{j}$$
(2)

We obtain the same result in (1) and (2), meaning that:

$$\mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_i a_{ij} x_j = \text{Tr}(\mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{A})$$

Hence proved.

(c) The original likelihood function of N k-dimensional multivariate Gaussian is:

$$\mathbf{L}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^k |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \boldsymbol{\mu})}$$

After taking log, the log-likelihood function is:

$$\ell(\mu, \Sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(-\frac{k}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \ln|\Sigma| - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \mu)^{\top} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \mu) \right).$$

If we take the derivative with respective to μ ,

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \mu} &= \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{2} \left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \mu) \right)^\top + \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \mu)^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \mu)^\top \left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} + (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1})^\top \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N (\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \mu)^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \end{split}$$

Letting $\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \mu} = \mathbf{0}$, we must have $\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{x}^{(i)}$.

Now we take the derivative with respect to Σ :

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \mathbf{\Sigma}} = -\frac{N}{2} \underbrace{\frac{\partial \ln |\mathbf{\Sigma}|}{\partial \mathbf{\Sigma}}}_{(i)} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{\Sigma}} \left((\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \mu)^{\top} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \mu) \right)}_{(ii)}$$

In the equation, we have two derivatives to deal with:

(i) For this part, we need several lemmas to cover:

Lemma 1: Jacobi's Formula

For $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{M}_{n \times n}$ invertible matrix, we have the following identity:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}|\mathbf{A}(t)| = \mathrm{tr}\left((\mathbf{A}^*(t))\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{A}(t)}{\mathrm{d}t}\right) = |\mathbf{A}(t)| \cdot \mathrm{tr}\left(\mathbf{A}(t)^{-1} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{A}(t)}{\mathrm{d}t}\right)$$

In special case:

$$\frac{\partial |\mathbf{A}|}{\partial \mathbf{A}} = \frac{\partial |\mathbf{A}|}{\partial \mathbf{A}_{ii}} = \mathbf{A}_{ji}^* = (\mathbf{A}^*)^\top$$

Where A^* be the adjoint matrix of A.

Lemma 2: Adjoint Matrix Identity

For a **invertible** matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{M}_{n \times n}$, and \mathbf{A}^* be \mathbf{A} 's adjoint, we have:

$$\mathbf{A}^* = |\mathbf{A}| \cdot \mathbf{A}^{-1}$$

With the assist of **lemma 1, 2**, we have:

$$\frac{\partial \ln |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|}{\partial \boldsymbol{\Sigma}} = \frac{\partial \ln |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|}{\partial |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|} \cdot \frac{\partial |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|}{\partial \boldsymbol{\Sigma}} = \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|} \cdot |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}| \cdot \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}$$

(ii) We use the result in (b), we get:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{\Sigma}} \left((\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \mu)^{\top} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \mu) \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{\Sigma}} \operatorname{Tr} \left((\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \mu) (\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \mu)^{\top} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} \right)$$

Recall that $Tr(\cdot, \cdot)$ is **reflexive**, *i.e.* $Tr(\mathbf{AB}) = Tr(\mathbf{BA})$:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{\Sigma}} \operatorname{Tr} \left((\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \mu) (\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \mu)^{\top} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{\Sigma}} \operatorname{Tr} \left(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \mu) (\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \mu)^{\top} \right)$$

Lemma 3: Inverse Matrix Differential

For $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$, and \mathbf{A}^{-1} be its inverse:

$$\mathrm{d}(\mathbf{A}^{-1}) = -\mathbf{A}^{-1}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{A})\mathbf{A}^{-1} \iff \mathrm{d}\mathbf{A} = -\mathbf{A}\mathrm{d}(\mathbf{A}^{-1})\mathbf{A}$$

With **lemma 3**, we get the following:

$$\partial \operatorname{Tr}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\top}) = \operatorname{Tr}(\partial(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1})(\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\top}))$$

$$= \operatorname{Tr}(-\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\partial \boldsymbol{\Sigma})\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\top})$$

$$= \operatorname{Tr}(-(\partial \boldsymbol{\Sigma})\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\top}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1})$$

Therefore, with the result in (a),

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{\Sigma}} \mathrm{Tr} \left(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) (\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\top} \right) &= - \left(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) (\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\top} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} \right)^{\top} \\ &= - \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) (\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\top} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} \end{split}$$

Bring everything back to the original identity:

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \mathbf{\Sigma}} = -\frac{N}{2} \underbrace{\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}}_{(i)} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \underbrace{\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \mu)^{\top} (\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \mu) \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}}_{(ii)}$$

By letting $\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \Sigma} = \mathbf{0}$, we multiply the equations on the left and right by Σ :

$$\mathbf{0} = -N\mathbf{\Sigma} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \mu)(\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \mu)^{\top} \Longrightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{\Sigma}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \hat{\mu})(\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \hat{\mu})^{\top}$$

Since $\log \cdot$ is an increasing function, likelihood function and log-likelihood function will share the same **MLE**.

Hence, finally, we get our maximum likelihood estimators are:

$$\begin{cases} \hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \\ \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \hat{\mu}) (\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \hat{\mu})^{\top} \end{cases}$$

My Question 1: Theoretical Limitations of Logistic Regression on Imbalanced Data

In classification problems with **severe class imbalance**, standard Logistic Regression models often exhibit a bias toward the majority class. Could you elaborate on how the **Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE)** objective function, which underlies Logistic Regression, fundamentally contributes to this bias, and what the theoretical justification is for its reduced effectiveness in maximizing a performance metric like **F1-score** or **Recall** for the minority class? (This question is refined by **Gemini**.)