## Assessment Schedule - 2016

History: Interpret sources of an historical event of significance to New Zealanders (91003)

## Evidence

	Not Achieved		Achievement		Merit		Excellence		
			Interpret sources of a significance to New 2		Interpret in depth sources of an historical event of significance to New Zealanders		Comprehensively interpret sources of an historical event of significance to New Zealanders		
Is there evidence?	No	Yes – Some	Yes – Some	Yes – Some	Frequent	Frequent	Extensive	Extensive	
Is it relevant?	No	No	Sometimes	Sometimes	Usually	Usually	Primarily	Consistently	
Is it USED to address the question?	No	Glimmer	Minimal	Minimal	Usually	Usually	Consistently – This doesn't mean always	Consistently – This doesn't mean always	
Is the question answered?	No	No	Some attempt	Answered with some detail	In some depth	In depth	Comprehensively	Comprehensively	
	Interpretation = showing understanding of the material, and selecting appropriate information to answer the question								

## **Cut Scores**

Not Achieved	Achievement	Merit	Excellence
0 – 8	9 – 12	13 – 18	19 – 24

**EVIDENCE NOT NECESSARILY QUOTES** 

## Appendix – Sample evidence

**Question One:** In your own words, describe what six o'clock closing was, and why it was first introduced in New Zealand. <u>Use specific evidence</u> from the sources to support your ideas.

The Candidate's response should state "What it was", and "Why it was first introduced", and may include the following:

- Matter of public debate concerning morality, family dynamics and economic concerns.
- As a wartime measure and a palliative to the temporal movements.
- Supporters promoted sobriety as a 'patriotic duty' during the war, in an attempt to stop bored soldiers at training camps heading into town and causing mayhem.
- In 1915 / 1916 nearly 160,000 New Zealanders signed petition calling for the six o'clock closing.
- Government intention of increasing the efficiency of the workforce.
- It was good for family life as it encouraged men to go home for dinner.

**Question Two:** In your own words, describe TWO different reasons why people **did not** want pubs to stay open later than six o'clock. <u>Use specific evidence</u> from the sources to support your ideas. This may include the following from the source document:

- It was a 'patriotic duty' during wartime.
- Ensured men got home to their families at a respectable hour.
- Fewer bad debts, more money for family comforts and a 'happier home life'. Source C: 6 o'clock closing is the safest, proved a boon for business, workers and families. Source D: Women feared they would never see their husbands if pubs stayed open.
- McLauchlan suspects many men shared their concern ... Heavy drinking father confession: he had voted for 6 o'clock closing ... he didn't trust himself ... Pub owners didn't mind because it meant shorter working hours.
- Staff were paid relatively well because of the limited number of pubs.
- Later opening hours would bring increased costs (overtime).

**Question Three:** In your own words, describe the factor(s) that caused people's opinions about six o'clock closing to change by the time of the second referendum in 1967. <u>Use specific evidence</u> from the sources to support your ideas. This evidence could include the following from the source document:

- Tourism increased with jet travel ... closing seen as outdated concept.
- In the 1960s wine and cheese evenings popular, new habits were established and organized to educate New Zealanders about range of wines produced.
- Licensing Control Commission stated hours of sale were 'neither equitable, enforceable or in public interest.
- 6 o'clock closing taught generations of men to drink as fast as possible, reinforcing the culture of binge drinking.

- Baby boomers were voting a new generation, who had been on OE, seen the European model of moderation and wine with food and a new liberal attitude to drink ...

  Socialising restaurant industry, local sports clubs and RSA sought change.
- Advantages of 10pm closing included gardening until later in the day and men were joined by women.

**NB:** "Use specific evidence" means clear reference to the sources; ideally the source(s) is / are identified, but this is not essential and should not be used to penalise a candidate.