

No part of the candidate evidence in this exemplar material may be presented in an external assessment for the purpose of gaining credits towards an NCEA qualification.

3

91436



914360



NEW ZEALAND QUALIFICATIONS AUTHORITY
MANA TOHU MĀTAURANGA O AOTEAROA

QUALIFY FOR THE FUTURE WORLD
KIA NOHO TAKATŪ KI TŌ ĀMUA AO!

SUPERVISOR'S USE ONLY

Level 3 History, 2015

91436 Analyse evidence relating to an historical event of significance to New Zealanders

2.00 p.m. Friday 20 November 2015
Credits: Four

Achievement	Achievement with Merit	Achievement with Excellence
Analyse evidence relating to an historical event of significance to New Zealanders.	Analyse, in depth, evidence relating to an historical event of significance to New Zealanders.	Comprehensively analyse evidence relating to an historical event of significance to New Zealanders.

Check that the National Student Number (NSN) on your admission slip is the same as the number at the top of this page.

You should attempt ALL the questions in this booklet.

Pull out Resource Booklet 91436R from the centre of this booklet.

If you need more room for any answer, use the extra space provided at the back of this booklet.

Check that this booklet has pages 2–11 in the correct order and that none of these pages is blank.

YOU MUST HAND THIS BOOKLET TO THE SUPERVISOR AT THE END OF THE EXAMINATION.

Merit

TOTAL

14

ASSESSOR'S USE ONLY

INSTRUCTIONS

Read ALL the sources in Resource Booklet 91436R before you begin answering the questions in this booklet.

QUESTION ONE: CAUSE AND EFFECT

Refer to the **Introduction**, and **Sources A, B, C, and D** in the resource booklet when answering this question. You may refer to other sources in the resource booklet to expand your answer.

An important historical relationship is cause and effect.

Analyse and explain possible causes and effects of Colin Scrimgeour's actions and involvement with radio in the 1930s.

Colin Scrimgeour was some what of a celebrity in New Zealand due to his radio channel 'ZZB', having such a following of his channel meant that he would have people who wouldn't agree with what he says. Being so well known before he even went to air because he work in the home mission and made a great name for himself.

One of the main causes for Colin's involvement with radio in the 1930's was because at the time New Zealand was going through a depression and when "Uncle Scrim" took to the air giving hope and comforting people in New Zealand who were effected by the depression. Having a show where he spoke calm words really made the people of NZ follow him and he started to gain a following. Colin's shows like "Man in the street" started gaining a cult like following. This in turn caused Colin to continue with his on air broadcast, having a big following ~~also~~ also meant that Colin would also have people

who wouldn't agree with what he was saying and in 1935 when the general elections were being held Colin who was known for supporting Labour over National was about to tell his listeners to vote for Labour when he started becoming "jammed", meaning someone at the time ~~was~~ no one knew who had purposely blocked Colin's channel. This incident actually had a massive cause to what would happen in the general elections.

Being known for being a supporter of Labour Colin's channel was "jammed" as he was telling people listening to vote for Labour. The causes as to why someone did this was unknown at the time, but people started to believe the Government at the time who was National had done it so people would listen to him and vote against them. The main consequence that came from Colin's radio channel was that because National had denied jamming the channel and then later admitted to doing it by the General of the post and telegraph department. This made it a complete and solid victory for Labour that year all because of the consequence of jamming Colin's radio channel.

The main causes to Colin's channel being jammed are quite obvious, being that he spoke about Labour to his listeners and how they were better, a huge fan base as well as this resulting in the consequence of his channel being jammed.

QUESTION TWO: PERSPECTIVES

Refer to **Sources E, F, and G** in the resource booklet when answering this question. You may refer to other sources in the resource booklet to expand your answer.

An important consideration for a historian studying the past is the difference between accounts of the same historical event.

Analyse and explain the ways in which Colin Scrimgeour has been viewed by differing groups of people at differing periods of time.

Colin Scrimgeour was a well known public figure to New Zealand and was also well known within the government circles. After being part of the labour government Colin decided to go it alone and go against the government he once supported. People throughout New Zealand and mainly Auckland had their own opinion on Colin, with either people supporting him or people who were against him and disagreed with what he said and did.

Having people have their own views on you there is always going to be split decisions about you. With the first group of people for example Michael Field who wrote "Heart of NZ" ^{Resource F} we no longer know "is clearly on the side of agreeing with Colin and supported the moves he made. Michael writes about Colin in a way as though Colin is to thank for the way NZ politics is today, "Scrim was undoubtedly significant figure in New Zealand's 20th century political history". Michael speaks about Colin as though everyone else is at fault for what happened in his radio career, saying that "Scrim broadcasts were actively censored and monitored by prime ministers".

Michael who writes about Colin now days and not from the past can see that Colin was actually quite important to New Zealand and that he sees and believed what Colin did was all good.

Someone who saw Colin as something completely different and didn't agree with what he was doing and made it public is his political enemies, the Labour party and when he stood against prime minister Peter Fraser in Resource 6. Clearly this opinion is in a different time period and is a completely different group, that alone shows that Colin had many different groups and people who had their own views on him. The Labour party saw Colin as some what a rogue agent who was out to get them and bring them down. Someone who had backstabbed them, having been party of the Labour party for many years to now ~~being~~ running against the prime minister who is from Labour was something Labour found horrible. In the statement that they created in Resource 6 their aim here is to shoot down and make sure everyone sees Colin as someone pathetic, highlighting how "Mr. C. L. Seargeant" has made such bitter and unfounded attacks upon the prime minister and also made sure they made it clear Colin was "Dismissed", all because they saw what Colin was doing as wrong.

Clearly you can see from these two Resources E, 6 that in different time periods different groups had different views on Colin and what he did.

QUESTION THREE: RELIABILITY AND/OR USEFULNESS OF EVIDENCE

Refer to **Sources H, I, and J** in the resource booklet when answering this question. You may refer to other sources in the resource booklet to expand your answer.

An important consideration for a historian is the reliability and/or the usefulness of historical sources.

Analyse and explain how reliable and/or useful these sources would be to a historian studying the significance of Colin Scrimgeour and the related actions of the New Zealand Government in the 1930s and 1940s.

Resource H which was by Larry Scrimgeour who is Colin's son, this alone shows that this source will not be reliable as it was written by a close family member about their own father and how they were treated by the NZ Government. This source doesn't speak any bad at all about Colin and makes him out to be some sort of guy who did no wrong and was treated shockingly for it. This source would not be useful as it would ruin any information you had as it wasn't written by someone in a neutral stand point but instead by someone on the left who of course supported their father. //

Resource I which is diary between C. H. Scrimgeour and John A. Lee and how he was treated when joining the army and the Air Force. This source which is diary so it isn't someone's own view on the topic it is actually quotes of what happened by the people involved is very reliable as there is no stand point to take and would be viewed as a neutral stand point. This resource highlights //

how Colin was first punished and sent to join the army where he would be ~~dealt~~^{dealt} to health reasons, he would then join the Air Force at his own will to where he would be treated poorly by men in charge who didn't want him there. This source would be useful as its direct quotes from Colin himself and it also shows what he was treated like so it would give a good understanding to people. //

In Resource J, which is a poster for a movie in which Colin "Uncle Scrim" is the main character, the poster has in ~~that~~ big letters "New Zealand's first great national faller". Even though this is a movie poster for "On the Friendly Road" it is also an unreliable source as its a poster trying to advertise and sell tickets to their movie, of course they are going to talk up the main character to sell more tickets and attract more interest as Colin would be talked up. A historian was to use this source would be raising their research as its biased towards Colin as its an advertisement for a movie. //

Merit exemplar for 91436 2015			Total score	14
Q	Grade score	Annotation		
1	M5	This response explains two causes and effects. Scrim's large audience and that he was Labour-leaning are explained as the causes and the government jamming and subsequent election are the causes. This response runs the causes and effects together somewhat, and a better response would have differentiated them more clearly. An M6 response would specifically use evidence in support of generalisations.		
2	M6	The candidate establishes that there the risk of being well known is that people may become very opinionated about a person and then explains the perspective of two different groups. The perspective of Michael Field is identified as being a modern one, however the level of understanding demonstrated is quite straightforward and does not come close to reaching the 'discerning' requirement for E7. The explanation of the Labour parties' view is better than that of Michael Field as it establishes some context to the perspective. Both perspectives are sufficiently in-depth to reach M level and the use of specific evidence is pleasing.		
3	A3	The candidate correctly establishes that there are issues of reliability with H, but dismisses the source as having no usefulness to an historian. Source I is incorrectly assessed as being very reliable and from a "neutral standpoint", but correctly as useful regarding Scrim's experiences with the air force. The candidate makes an interesting comment about the nature of Source J as 'advertising', and correctly identifies some bias towards Scrim, but again dismisses the source as not unreliable and nor useful. On balance, this candidate has shown enough understanding for an A3, as they have correctly identified issues of usefulness and reliability for the sources, as well as incorrectly identifying other issues.		