Assessment Schedule - 2020

Music: Demonstrate understanding of two substantial and contrasting music works (91277)

Assessment Criteria

Achievement	Achievement with Merit	Achievement with Excellence
Demonstration of understanding involves a comparison of:	Demonstration of in-depth understanding involves a detailed comparison of:	Demonstration of comprehensive understanding involves a perceptive comparison of:
 the contexts in which the works were composed or performed 	the contexts in which the works were composed or performed	the contexts in which the works were composed or performed
 the use of musical elements and features of the works. 	the use of musical elements and features of the works.	the use of musical elements and features of the works.

Note: The discussion must include reference to the score of one of the works, but consideration of the accuracy of references must recognise that the candidate is not permitted to bring scores into the examination.

Evidence

Task	Evidence	
(a)	Discusses and compares the context of both works .	
(b)	Discusses and compares the significance of a musical feature in both works .	

N1	N2	А3	A4	M5	М6	E7	E8
Describes, simply: • an aspect of ONE work that is typical / atypical of the context • a feature used in ONE work.	Describes, simply: • an aspect of BOTH works that is typical / atypical of the context • a feature used in BOTH works.	Describes, simply: how both works are a product of the context the use of the chosen feature in both works. One of the descriptions of one work – may be	Describes, simply: • how both works are a product of the context • the use of the chosen feature in both works	Describes, in detail: how both works are a product of the context the use of the chosen feature in both works. One of the descriptions of one work – may be	Describes, in detail: • how both works are a product of the context • the use of the chosen feature in both works.	Describes, in detail: how both works are a product of the context the use of the chosen feature in both works One of the descriptions or the descriptions of one work – may be weaker than the other.	Describes, in detail: how both works are a product of the context the use of the chosen feature in both works.
		weaker than the other. Makes a simple comparison of: • the degree to which each work is typical / atypical of the context • how the chosen feature is used in both works. One of the comparisons may be weaker than the other.	Makes a simple comparison of: • the degree to which each work is typical / atypical of the context • how the chosen feature is used in both works.	weaker than the other. Makes a detailed comparison of: • the degree to which each work is typical / atypical of the context • how the chosen feature is used in both works. One of the comparisons may be weaker than the other.	Makes a detailed comparison of: • the degree to which each work is typical / atypical of the context • how the chosen feature is used in both works.	Makes a perceptive comparison of: • the degree to which each work is typical / atypical of the context • how the chosen feature is used in both works. One of the comparisons may be weaker than the other.	Makes a perceptive comparison of: • the degree to which each work is typical / atypical of the context • how the chosen feature is used in both works.
		Supports the responses with simple musical evidence.	Supports the responses with simple musical evidence.	Supports the responses with specific musical evidence.	Supports the responses with specific musical evidence.	Supports the responses with well-chosen musical evidence.	Supports the responses with well-chosen musical evidence.

N0 = No response; no relevant evidence.

Cut Scores

Not Achieved	Achievement	Achievement with Merit	Achievement with Excellence	
0 – 2	3 – 4	5 – 6	7 – 8	