On the Realisability of Chemical Pathways

Jakob L. Andersen¹ <u>Sissel Banke</u>¹ Rolf Fagerberg¹ Christoph Flamm² Daniel Merkle¹ Peter F. Stadler^{2,3}

¹Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Southern Denmark, Denmark

²Institute for Theoretical Chemistry, University of Vienna, Austria

³Bioinformatics Group, Department of Computer Science, University of Leipzig, Germany

ISBRA 2023








Goal

Given a pathway, which is a steady state solution with integer constraints, we want to compute the sequence of reactions. This can later be used for atom tracing.






Preliminaries — Extended Hypergraph

We model chemical reaction networks (CRNs) as directed hypergraphs

- Vertices correspond to molecules.
- Hyperedges correspond to reactions.

We extend the directed hypergraph such that each vertex has input and output channels (Andersen et al. 2019).






Preliminaries — Pathways as Integer Hyperflows

Pathways in CRNs can be modelled by integer hyperflows on the extended hypergraph (Andersen et al. 2019).

- The flow must uphold the flow conservation constraint.
- The flow specifies the number of times the reactions have to be used in the pathway.
- Gives a mechanistic understanding of pathway.

It allows one to specify input and output compounds and pathways that fulfills this specification are enumerated.






Extension of Integer Hyperflows


We want to extend the integer hyperflow framework, such that we can:


- computationally check if there exists a sequence of reactions that "realises" a pathway.
- distinguish between pathways that can be "realised" and those that cannot.
- compute the sequence of the reactions that realises the pathway.



Petri Nets

- Places correspond to vertices/compounds.
- Transitions correspond to hyperedges/reactions.
- Tokens specify amount available of a compound.
- A marking specifies the amount of tokens on each place.
- The empty marking: there are no tokens in the Petri net.









Is the Flow Realisable?

To answer the question, we will:


- · Remodel the integer hyperflow as a Petri net.
- Computationally check (with the Petri net tool LoLA (Schmidt 2000)) if the Petri net can reach the empty marking, making it realisable.
 - If so, the "realisability certificate" is computed.















8/15





The Realisability Certificate







Extended Realisability


Some flows are not realisable. We want to understand why, so let us categorize them by how the can be made realisable. For that we propose two possibilities:

- scaling the flow by some integer scaled-realisable flows.
- borrowing one (or several) compounds borrow-realisable flows.





Scaled-Realisable Flows

This flow is not realisable as is.



But if we multiply the flow by 2 it becomes realisable.










Borrow-Realisable Flows

This flow is not realisable as is.



But if we borrow *C* it becomes realisable.







Summary

In summary, we can:

- distinguish realisable flows from unrealisable flows.
- categorise unrealisable flows.
- compute the realisability certificate.

This is one step in the direction of doing systematic atom traces.



Thanks for your attention!



References L



Andersen, Jakob L. et al. (2019). "Chemical Transformation Motifs — Modelling Pathways as Integer Hyperflows". In: IEEE/ACM Transactions on Computational Biology and Bioinformatics 16.2, pp. 510-523.



Schmidt, Karsten (2000). "LoLA A Low Level Analyser". English. In: Application and Theory of Petri Nets 2000. Ed. by Mogens Nielsen and Dan Simpson. Vol. 1825. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 465–474. ISBN: 978-3-540-67693-5.



