Practice Problems, Exam II Solutions

STA-360/602, Spring 2018

February 19, 2019

1 Solutions

- 1. (15 points)
 - 3.14, part d. (Unit information prior).

Similarly to how we write the likelihood up to proportionality, I will define the log-likelihood up to an additive constant that doesn't contain the parameter.

a)

$$p(y_1, ..., y_n) \propto \prod_{i=1}^n \theta^{y_i} e^{-\theta}$$

$$= \theta^{n \bar{y}} e^{-n \theta}$$

$$l(\theta|y) = n \bar{y} \log \theta - n \theta$$

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} l(\theta|y) = \frac{n \bar{y}}{\theta} - n$$

 $\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2}l(\theta|y) = -\frac{n\,\bar{y}}{\theta^2} < 0$

Setting the derivative of the log-likelihood equal to zero gives us that $\hat{\theta} = \bar{y}$.

$$J(\theta) = -\frac{d}{d\theta} \left(\frac{n \bar{y}}{\theta} - n \right)$$
$$= \frac{n \bar{y}}{\theta^2}$$

so
$$J(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{n}{\bar{y}}$$
.

b)

$$\log p_U(\theta) = \frac{l(\theta|y)}{n} + c$$

$$= \frac{n \bar{y} \log \theta - n \theta}{n} + c$$

$$= \bar{y} \log \theta - \theta + c$$

which implies that

$$p_{II}(\theta) \propto \theta^{\bar{y}} e^{-\theta}$$

which implies that p_U is Gamma(θ ; $\bar{y} + 1, 1$). We then get that

$$-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log p_U(\theta) = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} (\bar{y} \log \theta - \theta + c)$$
$$= -\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\frac{\bar{y}}{\theta} - 1)$$
$$= \frac{\bar{y}}{\theta^2}$$

Notice that this has $\frac{1}{n}$ times the information in the likelihood. In other words, if we think of the likelihood as having n units of information (1 for each observation), then p_U has 1 unit of information. Also note that we arrived at p_U by raising the likelihood to the power $\frac{1}{n}$.

c) I'll use notation as though it is a posterior just for convenience.

$$p(\theta \mid y_1, ..., y_n) \propto p(y_1, ..., y_n \mid \theta) p_U(\theta)$$
$$\propto \theta^{n \bar{y}} e^{-n \theta} \theta^{\bar{y}} e^{\theta}$$
$$\propto \theta^{(n+1) \bar{y}} e^{-(n+1) \theta}$$

So $\theta \mid y_1, ..., y_n \sim \text{Gamma}((n+1) \bar{y}+1, n+1)$. One could argue that we shouldn't call this a posterior distribution because the construction of the prior involved the observed data and thus isn't technically a prior.

2. (15 points) (Normal-Normal) Derive the posterior predictive density $p(x_{n+1}|x_{1:n})$ for the Normal-Normal model covered in lecture. Hint: There is an easy way to do this and a hard way. To make the problem easier, consider writing $X_{n+1} = \theta + Z$ given $x_{1:n}$, where $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \lambda^{-1})$.)

$$E(X_{n+1}|X_{1_n},\lambda^{-1}) = E(\theta|X_{1_n},\lambda^{-1}) + E(Z|X_{1_n},\lambda^{-1}) = M$$

$$V(X_{n+1}|X_{1_n},\lambda^{-1}) = V(\theta|X_{1_n},\lambda^{-1}) + V(Z|X_{1_n},\lambda^{-1}) = L^{-1} + \lambda^{-1}$$

$$X_{n+1}|X_{1_n},\lambda^{-1} \sim N(M,L^{-1}+\lambda^{-1})$$

3. For labs 4-6, see the solutions.

4. Approach 1 (Simple, but not great)

To draw a sample Z from the distribution of $X \mid X < c$,

- (a) sample $U \sim \text{Uniform}(0, 1)$,
- (b) set $X = F^{-1}(U)$,
- (c) if $X \ge c$ then return to step 1 (reject), otherwise, output Z = X as a sample (accept).

Why does it work? By the inverse c.d.f. method, we know

$$X = F^{-1}(U) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$$

By the rejection principle, if we reject any samples X such that

$$X \geq c$$
,

then what remains has the conditional distribution given

$$X < c$$
.

This approach is not ideal, however, since the rejection rate may be very high, especially when $c \ll 0$.

Approach 2 (Better)

To draw a sample Z from the distribution of $X \mid X < c$,

- (a) sample $U \sim \text{Uniform}(0, 1)$,
- (b) set V = F(c)U, and
- (c) set $Z = F^{-1}(V)$.

Why does this work? Note that in Approach 1, rejecting when

$$X \ge c$$

is identical to rejecting when

$$U \ge F(c)$$
,

and by the rejection principle, we know that the distribution of the U's that remain after rejection is

$$U \mid U < F(c),$$

in other words, Uniform(0, F(c)).

But that means that the rejection step can be by-passed completely by just sampling

$$V \sim \text{Uniform}(0, F(c))$$

and setting

$$Z = F^{-1}(V).$$

Thus, we can directly sample

$$V \sim \text{Uniform}(0, F(c)),$$

by drawing

$$U \sim \text{Uniform}(0,1)$$

and setting

$$V = F(c)U$$
.