

1.

(a) When is a model arbitrage free? complete?

A model is arbitrage free if it does not admits arbitrage.

An arbitrage is a portfolio consists of trading assets so that $p(0) < 0$ and $p(T) \geq 0$ are with $p(T) > 0$ having positive probability. Model is complete if any contingent claim can be replicated.

$$(b). \quad S_0 = [10, 15, 30]^T, S_1 = [12, 12, 36]^T, S_2 = [12, 24, 24]^T, S_3 = [12, 24, 48]^T$$

Show model is arbitrage free and complete.

proof. Choosing first asset as unit, we then have

$$\tilde{S}_0 = [1, 1.5, 3]^T, \tilde{S}_1 = [1, 1, 3]^T, \tilde{S}_2 = [1, 2, 2]^T, \tilde{S}_3 = [1, 2, 4]^T$$

We seek p_1, p_2, p_3 so that $p_1 + p_2 + p_3 = 1, \omega(p_i \leq 1)$

$$p_1 \tilde{S}_1 + p_2 \tilde{S}_2 + p_3 \tilde{S}_3 = \tilde{S}_0$$

$$\Rightarrow p_1 = \frac{1}{2}, p_2 = \frac{1}{4}, p_3 = \frac{1}{4}$$

Hence we constructed a unique measure (Martingale measure)
therefore market is arbitrage free and complete.

(c) Adding call option $P^C = 3$, strike = 36.

Adding call to the assets

$$\tilde{S}_0 = [1, 1.5, 3, 0.3]$$

$$\tilde{S}_1 = [1, 1, 3, 0]$$

$$\tilde{S}_2 = [1, 2, 2, 0]$$

$$\tilde{S}_3 = [1, 2, 4, 1]$$

But $p_1 \tilde{S}_1 + p_2 \tilde{S}_2 + p_3 \tilde{S}_3 = \tilde{S}_0$ has no solution

Because if it has solution it must be $p_1 = \frac{1}{2}$, $p_2 = \frac{1}{4}$, $p_3 = \frac{1}{4}$
 But $p_1 \cdot 0 + p_2 \cdot 0 + p_3 \cdot 1 \neq 0.3$.
 By the fundamental theorem of asset pricing,
 it is not arbitrage-free anymore.

(Q3)

$$(a) S_1 = S_0 \exp Y. \quad Y \sim U(-m, m).$$

$$\text{Let } \text{VaR}(\Delta S) = \text{VaR}(S_1 - S_0) = \text{VaR}(S_0(e^{Y-1})) = x$$

$$\text{We should have the } P(S_1 - S_0 \leq -x) = 1 - \alpha$$

$$\text{However } S_1 - S_0 \leq -x \Leftrightarrow S_0(e^{Y-1}) \leq -x$$

$$\Leftrightarrow e^{Y-1} \leq -x/S_0 \Leftrightarrow Y \leq \ln(1 - \frac{x}{S_0})$$

$$\text{Hence } P(\Delta S \leq -x) = P(Y \leq \ln(1 - \frac{x}{S_0}))$$

$$= \frac{\ln(1 - \frac{x}{S_0}) + m}{2m} = 1 - \alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow \ln(1 - \frac{x}{S_0}) = m - 2\alpha m$$

$$\Rightarrow x = S_0(1 - e^{m-2\alpha m}).$$

$$(b) \text{ RORAC by definition is } \frac{E(S_0 e^{Y-S_0})}{\text{VaR}(S_0)}$$

$$\text{We calculate } E(e^Y) = \frac{1}{2m} \int_{-m}^m e^u du = \frac{e^m - e^{-m}}{2m}$$

$$\Rightarrow E(S_0 e^{Y-S_0}) = \frac{S_0}{2m} (e^m - e^{-m} - 2m)$$

$$V(\Delta S_0) = S_0 (1 - e^{m-2\alpha m})$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{RORAC} = \frac{e^m - e^{-m} - 2m}{1 - e^{m-2\alpha m}}$$

(c). The program calculate 1% expected loss

Let $Y \sim U(-1, 1)$, we calculate

$$E(Y \cdot I_{Y \leq -0.8}) = \int_{-0.8}^1 (e^y - 1) dy = e^{-0.8} - 1.8$$

Q4.
(a) proof.

$w = [w_1, \dots, w_n]^T$, total allocation to risky assets is $w^T l$. $l = (1, 1, \dots, 1)^T$ and we use $1 - w^T l$ to risk free asset. Hence return is $w^T \mu + (1 - w^T l) R_0$

We formulate the following optimization

$$\begin{cases} \min \lambda w^T \Sigma w \\ \text{subject: } w^T \mu + (1 - w^T l) R_0 = \mu_p \end{cases} \quad (*)$$

$$\text{let } f(w) = \frac{1}{2} w^T \Sigma w - \lambda (w^T \mu + R_0 - \mu_p - w^T l)$$

$$\nabla f(w) = \Sigma w - \lambda (\mu - R_0 l) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow w = \lambda^{-1} (\mu - R_0 l)$$

$$\text{plug into } (*) \Rightarrow \lambda = \frac{\mu_p - R_0}{(\mu - R_0 l)^T \Sigma^{-1} (\mu - R_0 l)}$$

(b) For CARA utility $u(x) = -\alpha e^{-\alpha x} + b$

when $x \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(-\alpha e^{-\alpha x} + b) &= -\alpha e^{-\alpha E(x) + \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2 \sigma^2} + b \\ &= -\alpha e^{-\alpha(E(x) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)} + b. \end{aligned}$$

$$\max E(u(x)) \Leftrightarrow \max \left(E(x) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \right)$$

Now when invest to Gaussian return assets as in (a) our $x = w^T r + (1-w^T l) R_0$ where r is the risky return and R_0 is the risk free return.

$$E(x) = w^T \mu + (1-w^T l) R_0$$

$$V(x) = w^T \Sigma w$$

$$E(x) - \frac{1}{2} V(x) = w^T \mu + (1-w^T l) R_0 - \frac{1}{2} w^T \Sigma w = f(w)$$

$$\nabla f(w) = (\mu - R_0 l) - \Sigma w = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow w = \Sigma^{-1}(\mu - R_0 l)$$

This is equivalent to $\lambda = 1 \Leftrightarrow \mu_p = R + (1-R_0 l)^T \Sigma^{-1}(\mu - R_0 l)$
in the mean-variance optimal portfolio.

Q5.

$$(a). \quad f(x) = \frac{ax+b}{c+dx} \Rightarrow f'(x) = \frac{ac-bd}{(c+dx)^2}$$

$$V_t = \frac{ax+b}{c+dx} \Rightarrow CV_t + d \cdot x \cdot V_t = a + b \cdot x$$

$$\Rightarrow x(CV_t - b) = a - CV_t$$

$$\Rightarrow f^{-1}(V_t) = \frac{a - CV_t}{dV_t - b}$$

$$\text{Hence } V_t = f(\exp \xi_t) \Rightarrow x = e^{\xi_t}$$

$$\frac{dV}{d\xi} = f'(x) \cdot x = \frac{bd-ac}{(c+dx)^2} \frac{a - CV_t}{dV_t - b}$$

$$= \frac{(CV_t - a)(dV_t - b)}{ad - bc}$$

$$(b). \quad \Delta V_{t+1} \approx \frac{dV}{d\xi} \cdot \Delta \xi = \frac{(CV-a)(dV-b)}{ad-bc} \cdot \Delta \xi$$

$$\text{But } \Delta \xi \sim N(0, 2\sigma^2),$$

$$\Rightarrow P(\Delta V_{t+1} \leq -x) = P\left(\frac{(CV-a)(dV-b)}{ad-bc} \Delta \xi \leq -x\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow P(\Delta V_{t+1} \leq -x) = P\left(\frac{(CV-a)(dV-b)}{ad-bc} \Delta \xi \leq -x\right)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow P\left(\Delta \xi \leq \frac{-x(ad-bc)}{(CV-a)(dV-b)}\right)$$

$$= \Phi\left(\frac{-x(ad-bc)}{(CV-a)(dV-b)}\right) = 1\%$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{x(ad-bc)}{(CV-a)(dV-b)} = 2.33$$

$$\Rightarrow x = 2.33 \cdot \frac{(CV-a)(dV-b)}{ad-bc}$$

(C). Assuming one year 250 samples and Gauss Returns
Basel Committee requires that

number of excesses

Green ≤ 4

Yellow $5 \sim 9$

Red ≥ 10

Q2. (a). $U(x) = \frac{2x+1}{x+1} = 2 - \frac{1}{x+1}$

Hence $U(x)$ increasing and concave. Investor is risk averse and insatiable.

$$U'(x) = \frac{1}{(x+1)^2} \quad U'' = -\frac{2}{(x+1)^3}$$

$\alpha(x) = -\frac{U''(x)}{U'(x)} = \frac{2}{x+1}$ is the absolute risk aversion.

(b) $E(W) = \frac{1}{6}U(0) + \frac{1}{3}U(1) + \frac{1}{2}U(2)$
 $= \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{3}\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{5}{3}$

$$= \frac{2}{3} + \frac{5}{6} = \frac{3}{2}$$

Certainty equivalent is $U\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) = 1$.

Meaning at this level the utility is at average.

(c). Assuming cost of asset is x

with D, we have payoff

$$W+D = \begin{cases} 1 & \omega=\omega_1 \\ 4 & \omega=\omega_2 \\ 1 & \omega=\omega_3 \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow E(u(W+D)) - x = \frac{1}{6}u(1) + \frac{1}{3}u(4) + \frac{1}{2}u(1) - x$$

And the maximum cost x satisfies

$$E(u(W+D)) - x = E(u(W))$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{6}u(1) + \frac{1}{3}u(4) + \frac{1}{2}u(1) - x = u(1)$$

$$\Rightarrow x = \frac{1}{3}(u(4) - u(1)) = \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{9}{5} - \frac{3}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{10}.$$