

Exercise 5.1

a)

$$K(\phi \wedge \neg K\phi) \models_{N,K} K\phi \wedge K\neg K\phi \models_T K\phi \wedge \neg K\phi \models \perp$$

An agent cannot know know ϕ while not knowing ϕ .

Rather, $[\neg\phi \wedge \neg K\phi]K\phi \wedge K\phi$ holds.

b)

$$K_j K_i \phi \models K_j K_i \phi \wedge (K_i \phi \rightarrow_T \phi) \models_N K_j K_i \phi \wedge K_j (K_i \phi \rightarrow_T \phi) \models_K K_j \phi$$

An agent knows all logical implications of his knowledge, including the use of axioms.

c)

$$O(\phi \wedge \psi) \models O(\phi \wedge \psi) \wedge (\phi \wedge \psi \rightarrow \psi) \models_N O(\phi \wedge \psi) \wedge O(\phi \wedge \psi \rightarrow \psi) \models_K O(\psi)$$

An agent is obliged to all generalizations of his obligations.

d)

$$P\phi \wedge \neg P\psi \models P\phi \models_{N,K} P(\phi \vee \psi)$$

If only ϕ is permitted while ψ is not, $\phi \vee \psi$ is permitted (weakening rule). This is a counterexample to the given statement.

e)

$$\models_T O(\phi) \rightarrow \phi \models_N O(O(\phi) \rightarrow \phi)$$

Exercise 5.2

p is true iff the passenger is alive. q is true iff the pedestrian is alive.

a)

$$\Diamond(Kp \wedge K\neg q) \wedge \Diamond(\neg Kp \wedge \neg K\neg p \wedge Kq)$$

b)

$$O(\neg Kp \wedge \neg K\neg p \wedge Kq)$$

c)

$$O(\neg K\neg p \wedge \neg K\neg q)$$

d)

$$O(\neg Kp \wedge \neg K\neg p \wedge Kq) \models_{N,K} O(\neg K\neg p \wedge Kq) \models_{D,N,K} O(\neg K\neg p \wedge \neg K\neg q)$$