

## Exercise 5.1

a)

$$K(\phi \wedge \neg K\phi) \models_{N,K} K\phi \wedge K\neg K\phi \models_T K\phi \wedge \neg K\phi \models \perp$$

An agent cannot know know  $\phi$  while not knowing  $\phi$ .

Rather,  $[\neg\phi \wedge \neg K\phi]K\phi \wedge K\phi$  holds.

b)

$$K_j K_i \phi \models K_j K_i \phi \wedge (K_i \phi \rightarrow_T \phi) \models_N K_j K_i \phi \wedge K_j (K_i \phi \rightarrow_T \phi) \models_K K_j \phi$$

An agent knows all logical implications of his knowledge, including the use of axioms.

c)

$$O(\phi \wedge \psi) \models O(\phi \wedge \psi) \wedge (\phi \wedge \psi \rightarrow \psi) \models_N O(\phi \wedge \psi) \wedge O(\phi \wedge \psi \rightarrow \psi) \models_K O(\psi)$$

An agent is obliged to all generalizations of his obligations.

d)

$$P\phi \wedge \neg P\psi \models P\phi \models_{N,K} P(\phi \vee \psi)$$

If only  $\phi$  is permitted while  $\psi$  is not,  $\phi \vee \psi$  is permitted (weakening rule). This is a counterexample to the given statement.

e)

$$\models_T O(\phi) \rightarrow \phi \models_N O(O(\phi) \rightarrow \phi)$$