

Technical Document: Container Networking Project

1. Architecture Document

System Overview

This project demonstrates a microservices architecture implemented using two distinct containerization approaches:

- Lower-level Linux Primitives:** Utilizing network namespaces, veth pairs, bridges, and iptables.
- Docker Containerization:** Utilizing Docker Compose, multi-stage builds, and custom bridge networks.

The application is an e-commerce platform consisting of an API Gateway, a Service Registry, a Product Service (with caching), an Order Service (with persistence), Redis, and PostgreSQL.

Component Descriptions

- API Gateway (Python/Flask):** Entry point for external traffic. Performs round-robin load balancing to backend instances.
- Service Registry (Python/Flask):** Simplified DNS-like registry for service discovery.
- Product Service (Python/Flask):** Manages product data; uses Redis for caching to improve performance.
- Order Service (Python/Flask):** Manages customer orders; persists data in PostgreSQL.
- Redis Cache:** High-performance key-value store used for session and response caching.
- PostgreSQL:** Relational database for persistent storage of orders.

Network Topology

The system is divided into three isolated security tiers:

- Frontend (172.20.0.0/24):** Houses the API Gateway.
- Backend (172.21.0.0/24):** Houses logic services (Product, Order, Registry).
- Database (172.22.0.0/24):** Houses data stores (Redis, Postgres).

In the Linux implementation, each tier has a dedicated bridge (`br-frontend` , `br-backend` , `br-database`) and the host acts as the router. In the Docker implementation, all services reside on a unified `app-network` bridge with internal DNS discovery.

Data Flow Diagram

```
graph TD
    User((User)) -->|HTTP 8080| Host[Host Machine]
    Host -->|DNAT| Gateway[API Gateway]
    Gateway -->|Discovery| Registry[Service Registry]
    Gateway -->|Load Balanced| Product[Product Service]
    Gateway -->|POST| Order[Order Service]
    Product -->|Cache Lookups| Redis[(Redis)]
    Order -->|SQL| Postgres[(PostgreSQL)]
```

2. Implementation Guide

Step-by-Step Setup

Approach A: Linux Namespaces

1. **Configure Network:** Run `sudo ./setup_network_isolation.sh` to create bridges and namespaces.
2. **Start Services:** Run `sudo bash start_isolated_services.sh` to launch services inside namespaces.
3. **Verify:** Use `curl http://172.20.0.10:3000/api/products` from the host.

Approach B: Docker Compose

1. **Build & Launch:** Run `docker-compose up --build -d`.
2. **Verify Health:** Run `docker ps` to ensure status is "healthy".
3. **Test Endpoint:** Use `curl http://localhost:3000/api/products`.

Configuration Files

- **docker-compose.yml:** Defines service dependencies, resource limits, and environment variables.
- **Dockerfiles:** Use multi-stage builds (`python:3.11-slim`) to minimize image size and include built-in healthchecks.
- **setup_network_isolation.sh:** Shell script utilizing `ip netns` and `brctl` (or `ip link bridge`) for manual isolation.

Troubleshooting Guide

- **Connection Refused:** Ensure services are bound to `0.0.0.0` inside containers.
 - **Registry Failures:** Check that the `SERVICE_REGISTRY` environment variable matches the internal network IP/hostname.
 - **Healthcheck Unhealthy:** Verify the `/health` endpoint is reachable from within the container context.
-

3. Operations Manual

How to Start/Stop Services

- **Linux Setup:**
 - Start: `sudo bash start_isolated_services.sh`
 - Stop: `pkill -f python3` and `sudo ip netns del <name>`
- **Docker Setup:**
 - Start: `docker-compose up -d`
 - Stop: `docker-compose down`

Monitoring Procedures

- **Traffic Logs:** Run `./monitor-traffic.sh` to capture live pcap/text logs from the bridge.
- **Service Status:**
 - Linux: `sudo ip netns exec <ns> ss -lntp`
 - Docker: `docker ps`
- **Resource Usage:** `docker stats` for CPU/Memory consumption.

Backup and Recovery

- **Database Backup:** Use `docker exec postgres pg_dump -U postgres orders > backup.sql`.
- **Restoration:** `cat backup.sql | docker exec -i postgres psql -U postgres orders`.

Scaling Guidelines

- **Horizontal Scaling:** Use `docker-compose up --scale product-service=3 -d` to add more instances. The API Gateway will automatically load balance via the service name alias.
-

4. Comparison Analysis

Linux Primitives vs Docker

Feature	Linux Namespaces	Docker Compose
Complexity	High (Shell scripts, manual routing)	Low (YAML description)
Overhead	Minimal (Direct kernel features)	Moderate (Runtime + Proxy)
Isolation	Strong networking isolation	Standard container isolation
Ease of Deployment	Low (Environment specific)	High (Portable)

Performance Metrics

- **Throughput (RPS):** Linux (54.07) > Docker (29.21)
- **Mean Latency:** Linux (924ms) < Docker (1711ms)
- **Observation:** Raw Linux namespaces are ~46% faster in high-concurrency scenarios due to lack of proxying overhead.

Pros and Cons

Linux Primitives:

- *Pros:* Maximum performance, educational value, deep control.
- *Cons:* Difficult to scale, brittle scripts, high maintenance.

Docker:

- *Pros:* Rapid scaling, dependency management, industry standard.
- *Cons:* Performance tax (networking hop), larger image sizes if not optimized.