Feedback Summary

Course Evaluation Type:	Final Evaluation	**Faculty Name:**	BANSAL,SORAV
Course ID:	CSL 373	**Total students in the course:**	100
Course Component	Practicum	**Total students participated in feedback:**	77
Semester:	II Semester 2012-13	**Faculty Feedback Rating:**	4.51

Print

Objective Questions Summary

Section: Design of Experiments

Question No: 1

Description: To what extent did the experiments provide new insights and /or encourage you to think creatively?   **Rating:**   4.68

Rating / Response	Student Count
1-Poor	0
2	0
3	2
4	12
5-Excellent	36
No Opinion	27

Question No: 2

Description: Were adequate background material / instructions provided for carrying out the experiments?   **Rating:**   4.42

Rating / Response	Student Count
1-Poor	1
2	1
3	3
4	16
5-Excellent	29
No Opinion	27

Section: Organization of Lab and conducting of Experiments

Question No: 1

Description: To what extent was systematic execution of lab work emphasized?   **Rating:**   4.67

Rating / Response	Student Count
1-Poor	1
2	0
3	2
4	8
5-Excellent	38
No Opinion	28

Question No: 2

Description: To what extent was analysis of observations/ results encouraged?   **Rating:**   4.52

Rating / Response	Student Count
1-Poor	1
2	0
3	4
4	10

Rating / Response	Student Count
5-Excellent	31
No Opinion	31

Question No: 3

Description: To what extent was the teacher involved in the practical work?   **Rating:** 4.26

Rating / Response	Student Count
1-Poor	1
2	1
3	9
4	10
5-Excellent	26
No Opinion	30

Section: Evaluation

Question No: 1

Description: Was the feedback on your lab performance prompt?

Rating / Response	Student Count
No	2
No Opinion	32
Yes	43

Question No: 2

Description: Was the feedback on your laboratory work useful?

Rating / Response	Student Count
No	4
No Opinion	33
Yes	40

Subjective Questions Summary

Section: General Comments

Question No: 1

Description: What did you like most about this course?

View 5 | | First **1-18 of 18**

	Feedback
1	well organised
2	The course was very rich in information content.
3	The labs. The labs could not have been more interesting and tough. It provided great insight into the systems.
4	Labs were quite interesting.
5	The demos of the assignments was the best part where the TA would expose so many bugs in few seconds.
6	teaching :)
7	The assignments stretched the students to the limit. We learned a lot in the process.
8	Interesting Lab work.
9	the assignments were given on schedule and the work was distributed equally throughout the semester and not more at the end of semester
10	all
11	It gave us great insights into the Operating Systems.

12	The assignments were worth spending time on. Really fun if you get them working by yourselves.
13	The assignment provided in-depth clarity about the course
14	None
15	knowledge
16	Labs are the best part of OS
17	Uncountable things. Can't be specified.
18	None`

Section: General Comments

Question No: 2

Description: What did you dislike the most about this course?

View 5 | ☒ | ▦   First ◀ **1-17 of 17** ▶

	Feedback
1	heavy workload
2	The practicals required significant amount of time.
3	The workload - but one cannot do away with it. Although i disliked it but it was important for developing the understanding of system.
4	Quite time consuming.
5	Initially it is tough to understand, how to start the implementation , where i had to look on the github repos to see their solutions of handling some problems
6	nothing
7	Too much workload
8	Labs not supervised for such a heavy course.
9	nothing
10	Nothing yet.
11	A little long
12	lengthy assignments
13	None
14	too much load, just too much load.
15	marks in filesys are not fair, without persistence someone is getting 6.0, while the others with the complete assignment are getting 5.75. If you want that students should do some extra, please specify that in problem specification .
16	Its too heavy. Labs consume a lot of time. 5 credits are too less for this course. Its must be made a 3-0-8 (=7 credits) at-least. Its hard to concentrate on other courses because syllabus is very much vast. I don't mean to reduce its syllabus because each and everything that was taught had its own importance. Rather the number of credits for it must be increased.
17	None

Section: General Comments

Question No: 3

Description: How effective was the help provided by the teaching assistants?

View 5 | ☒ | ▦   First ◀ **1-12 of 12** ▶

	Feedback
1	-
2	Very helpful.
3	Very much.
4	Quite helpful.
5	quite effective
6	--
7	+1
8	They did fair job while grading our Labs.

9	None
10	no help.
11	Not good.
12	None

Section: General Comments

Question No: 4

Description: Were the facilities/equipments adequate for the experiments?

View 5 | 🔳 | 📑   First ◀ **1-13 of 13** ▶

	Feedback
1	yes
2	Yes
3	Highly adequate - open source software.
4	Resources in terms in documentations, books and other online sources for reading were provided by the instructor.
5	yes
6	--
7	yes
8	Yes.
9	yes, The testing scripts are great, but students sometime work on creating a design that would pass tests rather than thing the problem independent from the problems point of view.
10	None
11	yes
12	yes
13	None

Section: General Comments

Question No: 5

Description: Any Suggestions or comments about the course

View 5 | 🔳 | 📑   First ◀ **1-11 of 11** ▶

	Feedback
1	-
2	No.
3	Palasi needs to be open 24 hours from the HOSTEL. The emulator works extremely slow on our local machine.
4	This course needs to either in two parts over two semesters or needs to be of more credits. Given the kind of hours we put into this course, it deserves more credits.
5	no...
6	no
7	No.
8	Asgnments are too heavy.
9	None
10	i don't know how you should, but you should reduce the load of this course
11	None