Feedback Summary

| Course Evaluation Type: | Final Evaluation | Faculty Name: BANSAL,SORAV | | Print |
|-------------------------|---------------------|--|------|-------|
| Course ID: | CSL 633 | Total students in the course: | 9 | |
| Course Component | Lecture | Total students participated in feedback: | 4 | |
| Semester: | II Semester 2012-13 | Faculty Feedback Rating: | 4.57 | |

Objective Questions Summary

Section: Course Organization & Delivery

Question No: 1

Description: How clearly was the course plan presented?

Rating:

4.67

| Rating / Response | Student Count |
|-------------------|---------------|
| 1-Poor | 0 |
| 2 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 |
| 4 | 1 |
| 5-Excellent | 2 |
| No Opinion | 1 |

Question No: 2

Description: Did the course content met course objectives adequately?

Rating:

5.00

| Rating / Response | Student Count |
|-------------------|---------------|
| 1-Poor | 0 |
| 2 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 |
| 5-Excellent | 3 |
| No Opinion | 1 |

Question No: 3

Description: How were the lectures organized in terms of clarity and presentation of concepts?

Rating:

4.33

Student Count Rating / Response 1-Poor 0 3 2 1 5-Excellent No Opinion 1

Question No: 4

Description: How effectively could the teacher communicate?

Rating:

4.33

| Rating / Response | Student Count |
|-------------------|---------------|
| 1-Poor | 0 |
| 2 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 |
| 4 | 2 |
| 5-Excellent | 1 |
| No Opinion | 1 |
| | |

Question No: 5

1 of 5

| Description: | cription: How receptive was the teacher to questions inside and outside the classroom? | | Rating: | 4.67 |
|--------------|---|---------------|---------|------|
| | Rating / Response | Student Count | | |
| | 1-Poor | 0 | | |
| | 2 | 0 | | |
| | 3 | 0 | | |
| | 4 | 1 | | |
| | 5-Excellent | 2 | | |
| | No Opinion | 1 | | |
| | | | | |
| Question No | : 6 | | | |

Question No

Description: How much did the teacher encourage independent thinking?

Rating: 4.67

| Rating / Response | Student Count |
|-------------------|---------------|
| 1-Poor | 0 |
| 2 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 |
| 4 | 1 |
| 5-Excellent | 2 |
| No Opinion | 1 |

Question No: 7

Description: How much did the teacher encourage logical thinking?

Rating: 4.67

| Rating / Response | Student Count |
|-------------------|---------------|
| 1-Poor | 0 |
| 2 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 |
| 4 | 1 |
| 5-Excellent | 2 |
| No Opinion | 1 |

Section: Learning

Question No: 1

Description: How much did the course help you learn the subject?

Rating: 4.33

| Rating / Response | Student Count |
|-------------------|---------------|
| 1-Poor | 0 |
| 2 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 |
| 4 | 2 |
| 5-Excellent | 1 |
| No Opinion | 1 |

Question No: 2

Description: How effective was the teacher(s) in keeping your interest alive in the course?

Rating: 4.33

| Rating / Response | Student Count |
|-------------------|---------------|
| 1-Poor | 0 |
| 2 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 |
| 4 | 2 |
| 5-Excellent | 1 |
| No Opinion | 1 |
| | |

2 of 5 05/17/2013 01:53 PM Question No: 3

Description: To what extent did the teacher(s) inspire you to pursue the subject area further? **Rating:** 4.67

| Rating / Response | Student Count |
|-------------------|---------------|
| 1-Poor | 0 |
| 2 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 |
| 4 | 1 |
| 5-Excellent | 2 |
| No Opinion | 1 |

Section: Exams & Evaluation

Question No: 1

Description: Did the examinations test understanding of the course?

| Rating / Response | Student Count |
|-------------------|---------------|
| No | 0 |
| No Opinion | 1 |
| Yes | 3 |

Question No: 2

Description: Were the question papers of an appropriate length?

| Rating / Response | Student Count |
|-------------------|---------------|
| No | 0 |
| No Opinion | 2 |
| Yes | 2 |

Question No: 3

Description: Was the evaluation prompt?

| Rating / Response | Student Count |
|-------------------|---------------|
| No | 0 |
| No Opinion | 2 |
| Yes | 2 |

Question No: 4

Description: Were the evaluated answer scripts shown to you?

| Rating / Response | Student Count |
|-------------------|---------------|
| No | 0 |
| No Opinion | 1 |
| Yes | 3 |

Question No: 5

Description: Did the evaluation help you to understand your mistakes?

| | 1 7 | |
|-------------------|-----|---------------|
| Rating / Response | | Student Count |
| No | | 0 |
| No Opinion | | 1 |
| Yes | | 3 |

Section: General Questions

Question No: 1

Description: How would you rate the work-load of this course?

| Rating / Response | Student Count |
|-------------------|---------------|
| Just Right | 0 |
| No Opinion | 1 |
| Too Heavy | 3 |
| Very Little | 0 |

Question No: 2

Description: Were the lectures held regularly?

| Rating / Response | Student Count |
|-------------------|---------------|
| No | 0 |
| No Opinion | 1 |
| Yes | 3 |

Question No: 3

Description: Were the lectures held on time?

| Rating / Response | Student Count |
|-------------------|---------------|
| No | 0 |
| No Opinion | 1 |
| Yes | 3 |

Question No: 4

Description: Was the course evaluation policy announced at the beginning of the semester?

| Rating / Response | Student Count |
|-------------------|---------------|
| No | 0 |
| No Opinion | 1 |
| Yes | 3 |

Question No: 5

Description: Was the attendance policy announced at the beginning of the semester?

| Rating / Response | Student Count |
|-------------------|---------------|
| No | 0 |
| No Opinion | 1 |
| Yes | 3 |

Question No: 6

Description: Did the teacher take note of the mid-semester feedback?


| Rating / Response | Student Count |
|-------------------|---------------|
| No | 0 |
| No Opinion | 4 |
| Yes | 0 |


Question No: 7

Description: Was there a significant variation among the different lecture sections?

| Rating / Response | Student Count |
|-------------------|---------------|
| No | 0 |
| No Opinion | 3 |
| Yes | 1 |

4 of 5 05/17/2013 01:53 PM





5 of 5 05/17/2013 01:53 PM