Time complexity analysis of Largest sub-array sum

Dr. Anand M

Id: 102763

Assistant Professor

Department of Computer Science and Engineering SRM Institute of Science and Technology

Max Subarray: Algorithm Efficiency

We finally have:

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} \Theta(1) & ni \neq 1, \\ 2T(n/2) + \Theta(n) & \text{if } n > 1. \end{cases}$$

The recurrence has the same form as that for MERGESORT, and thus we should expect it to have the same solution $T(n) = \Theta(n \mid g \mid n)$.

This algorithm is clearly substantially faster than any of the brute-force methods. It required some cleverness, and the programming is a little more complicated – but the payoff is large.

Time complexity analysis

$$\begin{split} T(n) &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} O(1) & \text{if } n = 1 \\ 2T(n/2) + O(n) & \text{if } n \geq 2 \end{array} \right. \\ T(n) &\leq 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + cn \\ &\leq 2[2T(\frac{n}{4}) + c\frac{n}{2}] + cn = 4T(\frac{n}{4}) + 2cn \quad \text{1st expansion} \\ &\leq 4[2T(\frac{n}{8}) + c\frac{n}{4}] + 2cn = 8T(\frac{n}{8}) + 3cn \quad \text{2nd expansion} \\ &\vdots & & & & & \\ &\vdots & & & & & & \\ &\leq 2^kT(\frac{n}{2^k}) + kcn \quad \text{kth expansion} & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & & \\ &$$

Time complexity analysis

Theorem

$$T(n) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} O(1) & \text{if } n = 1 \\ T(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + O(n) & \text{if } n \geq 2 \end{array} \right. \Longrightarrow T(n) = O(n \log n)$$

- Proof
 - There exists positive constant a, b s.t. $T(n) \leq \begin{cases} a & \text{if } n = 1 \\ T(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + T(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + b \cdot n & \text{if } n > 2 \end{cases}$
 - Use induction to prove $T(n) \leq 2b \cdot n \log_2 n + a \cdot n$
 - n = 1, trivial

$$-$$
 n > 1, $\left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil \leq \frac{n}{\sqrt{2}}$

$$T(n) \le T(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + b \cdot n$$

$$2b \cdot (\lceil n/2 \rceil \log_2 \lceil n/2 \rceil) + a \cdot \lceil n/2 \rceil + 2b \cdot (\lfloor n/2 \rfloor \log_2 \lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + a \cdot \lfloor n/2 \rfloor + b \cdot n$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Inductive} \\ \text{hypothesis} \end{array} & \leq & 2b \cdot (\lceil n/2 \rceil \log_2 \lceil n/2 \rceil) + a \cdot \lceil n/2 \rceil + 2b \cdot (\lfloor n/2 \rfloor \log_2 \lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + a \cdot \lfloor n/2 \rfloor + b \cdot n \\ & \leq & 2b \cdot (\lceil n/2 \rceil \log_2 \frac{n}{\sqrt{2}} \rceil) + a \cdot \lceil n/2 \rceil + 2b \cdot (\lfloor n/2 \rfloor \log_2 \frac{n}{\sqrt{2}}) + a \cdot \lfloor n/2 \rfloor + b \cdot n \end{array}$$

$$= 2b \cdot n(\log n - \log_2 \sqrt{2}) + a \cdot n + b \cdot n = 2b \cdot n \log_2 n + a \cdot n$$