Lang's Algebra Chapter 3 Solutions

Amanuel Tewodros

August 3, 2025

(1) By the second isomorphism theorem, we have

$$\frac{U}{U\cap W}\cong \frac{U+W}{W}.$$

For two vector spaces, $X \supseteq Y$ over a field K, we have $\dim X/Y = \dim X - \dim Y$. Thus $\dim U - \dim U \cap W = \dim U + W - \dim W$.

(2) Let M be a module over a commutative ring R. Let I be a maximal ideal of R. We first show that for any proper ideal \mathfrak{a} of R and basis set $\{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$, of M,

Lemma 1.

$$\frac{M}{\mathfrak{a}M} \cong \bigoplus_{i} \frac{A}{\mathfrak{a}} (x_i + \mathfrak{a} x_i).$$

Proof. $\mathfrak{a}M$ is submodule of M because $\mathfrak{a}M \subseteq M$ by R-closure property of \mathfrak{a} . It immediatly follows that $\mathfrak{a}M = \bigoplus_i \mathfrak{a}x_i$. By linear independence of x_i , $(\sum_i r_i x_i)$ mod $\mathfrak{a}x_j = (r_j \mod \mathfrak{a})x_j + \sum_{i \neq j} r_i x_i$. Therefore, $M/\mathfrak{a}M = \bigoplus_i Ax_i/\mathfrak{a}x_i$. By the isomorphism $x_i \mapsto 1_A \mapsto (x_i + \mathfrak{a}x_i)$, $Ax_i/\mathfrak{a}x_i \cong A/\mathfrak{a} \cong A/\mathfrak{a}(x_i + \mathfrak{a}x_i)$. \square

Taking $\mathfrak a$ as a maximal ideal of R in the above lemma, we see that $M/\mathfrak a M$ is a direct product of vector spaces over the field $A/\mathfrak a$ and thus admit a basis of the same cardinality as that of M. Because the dimension of a vector space is independent of the basis choice, M also has a fixed dimension.

(3) Let $\{x_1, \ldots, x_m\}$ form the basis set of R over k and let $1_R = k_1 x_1 + \ldots k_m x_m$ for $k_i \in k$. For any element $a \in R$, define the sequences $\{y_1, \ldots, y_m\} \subseteq k$, $\{f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_m\} \subseteq R$ as:

$$f_1 = a$$
, $y_1 = w_{1,1}^{-1} k_1$
 $f_{i+1} = f_i y_i - k_i x_i$, $y_i = k_i w_{i,i}^{-1}$,

,where $f_i = \sum_j w_{i,j} x_j$. By construction, $a^{-1} = \sum_i y_i x_i$. Thus R is a field.

(4) Direct Sums

(a) First, we show the equivalence of the two statements of the theorem. Suppose there is φ such that $g \circ \varphi = \operatorname{id}$. By the injectivness of the composition, $\operatorname{Im} \varphi \cap \ker g = \{0\}$. But by exactness, $\ker g = \operatorname{Im} f$. We can unambiguously define $\psi(u)$ to be the inverse image of $f^{-1}(u')$ where $u' \equiv u \mod \operatorname{Im} \varphi$ and u' = f(x) for some $x \in M'$ because if $f(x) = f(y) \mod \operatorname{Im} \varphi$, $f(x - y) \in \operatorname{Im} \varphi$ and by injectivity of f, x = y. Since $M/\operatorname{Im} f \cong M'' = \operatorname{Im} \varphi$, ψ is defined in all of M. Similarly, if the second statement is true, $\ker \psi \cap \operatorname{Im} f = \{0\}$ because $\psi \circ f$ is injective. By exactness, $\operatorname{Im} f = \ker g$. We can then define $\varphi(u) = u'$ where $u' = y \mod \ker \psi$ and g(y) = u for some y. φ is well-defined because if $g(y_1) = g(y_2)$ for $y_1 \neq y_2$, then $y_1 \neq y_2 \mod \ker \varphi$.

Now suppose $x \in M$. $x - \varphi(u) \in \operatorname{Im} f$ for exactly one u by the argument mentioned previously. Thus we can express x = r + s where $r = \varphi(u) \in \operatorname{Im} \varphi$ and $s = x - \varphi(u) \in \operatorname{Im} f$. This implies $M = \operatorname{Im} f \oplus \operatorname{Im} \varphi$. By bijectivness of $g \circ \varphi$, $\operatorname{Im} \varphi \cong M''$. By contrast, if $M = \operatorname{Im} f \oplus N$ for some N, with isomorphism $t : N \to M''$. We can define $g : M \to M''$ as g(u) = u' such that there is $u = y \mod N$ and $t^{-1}(u') = y$. This definition is unambiguous because $N \cap \operatorname{Im} f = \{0\}$. Since $g \circ t^{-1} = \operatorname{id}$, the sequence splits.

Finally, we complete the details of proposition 3.2. We have just shown $M=\operatorname{Im} f\circ\operatorname{Im} \varphi$. By exactness, $\operatorname{Im} f=\ker g$. Also, $\operatorname{Im} f\cong M'$ and $\operatorname{Im} \varphi\cong M''$ by injectivness of f and φ resp. This proves $M\cong M'\oplus M''$. We can write $x\in M$ as f(u)+x-f(u) where $x-f(u)\in\ker \psi$. u is then uniquely determined by x as $\ker \psi\cap\operatorname{Im} f=\{0\}$ by bijectivness of $\psi\circ f$. This shows $M=\operatorname{Im} f\oplus\ker \psi$.

(b) First, we note that φ_i is injective because othewise the composition $\psi_i \circ \varphi_i$ wouldn't be injectice, a contradiction. This implies, for every valid i, there is a submodule $E'_i = \operatorname{Im} \varphi_i$ of E that is isomorphic to E_i . Moreover, if $c \in \operatorname{Im} \varphi_i \cap \operatorname{Im} \varphi_j$ for $i \neq j$, then $\psi_i(c) = \psi_j(c) = 0$, forcing c to be 0. These statements prove

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^n E_i' \subseteq E.$$

The inverse inclusion follows as follows. Let $x \in E$, then $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varphi_i(\psi_i(x))$, but $\varphi_i(\psi_i(x)) \in E'_i$. Therefore $x \in \bigoplus_i E'_i$.

Let $x = x_1 + \cdots + x_m$ where $x_i \in E'_i$. The map definied by $x \mapsto (\psi x_i)_{1 \le i \le m}$ is therefore an isomorphism and the inverse map is given by $(\psi x_i)_i \mapsto \sum_i x_i$.

(5) Let $v_m' = a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_mv_m$. Since $a_m \neq 0$, v_m' , and by the assumption that $\{v_i\}$ is linearly independent over \mathbb{Z} , the set $\{v_1, \ldots, v_{m-1}, v_m'\}$ is linearly independent over \mathbb{Z} . We also note that, $v_m' - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} a_i v_i \in A$, thus we can safely assume $a_1 = \cdots = a_{m-1} = 0$.

To show, the set spans A, we consider A/A_0 . Suppose, there is $av_m \in A/A_0$ such that $av_m \neq nv_m'$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let r,s be two integers such that $|ra_m + sa| < a_m$. Since contradicts minimality of a_m , it must be the case that $a_m \mid a$.. Therfore $A/A_0 = \mathbb{Z}v_m'$.

(6) We induct on the size of S.

First assume that $S = \{w\}$. Then $\mathbb{Z}\langle S \rangle = \{n[w] : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. If M is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}\langle S \rangle$, then $M = \mathbb{Z}\langle a[w] \rangle$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Here we pick $y_w = a[w]$ which is G-linear.

For the induction step, suppose the statement is true for S, $0 \le |S| \le m-1$. We shall prove the statement is true for S with m elements. Fix on element $w \in S$, and consider projection map $\pi: \mathbb{Z}\langle S \rangle \to \mathbb{Z}\langle G \cdot w \rangle$. By correspondence, $\pi(M)$ is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}\langle G \cdot w \rangle$ with basis $\{\bar{y}_{gw}\}_{w \in G}$ which satisfy the property for $\sigma \in G$, $\sigma \bar{y}_{gw} = \bar{y}_{\sigma gw}$. We then lift the basis of $\mathbb{Z}\langle \pi(M) \rangle$ to $\mathbb{Z}\langle S \rangle$ by picking a representatives $\Re = \{y_w\}$ in M for \bar{y}_w . The y_w are linearly independent thus form part of the basis for M. Again by hypothesis, $M \cap \mathbb{Z}\langle S - G \cdot w \rangle$ has basis $\Re = \{y_w\}_{w \in S - G \cdot w}$ that satisfy the given property. We finally combine \Re and \Re to get the basis of rank m for M.

(7) For convenience, we identify the properties of a semi-norm as follows

SN-1
$$|v| \geq 0$$

SN-2
$$|nv| = |n||v|$$

SN-3
$$|u+v| \le |u| + |v|$$

- (a) Let $a,b \in M_0$. Then by SN-2 and SN-3, $|u-b| \le |a| + |b| = 0$. By SN-1, we have $|a-b| \ge 0$, this $a-b \in M_0$. By SN-2, $|0| = |2 \cdot 0| = 2|0|$. This implies $0 \in M_0$. Hence M_0 is a subgroup of M.
- (b) If $M_0 \neq \{0\}$, we can make the transformation $x \mapsto x + M_0$ without loss of generality as such map preserves the linear independence of $\{v_i\}$. Thus, we can assume $M_0 = \{0\}$.

Let $N = \langle v_1, \dots, v_r \rangle$. Since M has rank r, the exponent e of M/N is finite and thus eM is a subgroup of N. Moreover, N/eM is torsion group with finite number of elements. Therefore, we can pick the smallest positive integers $n_{i,j}$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{i} n_{i,j} v_j = dw_i \quad \text{for some } w_i \in M$$

The linear independence follows immediately. Picking $n_{i,k}$ in the range [0, d-1],

$$d|w_i| = |dw_i| \le \sum_{j=1}^i n_{i,j} |v_j| \le d \sum_{j=1}^i |v_j|.$$

(8) (a) SN-1 follows immediately because $\log \ge 0$ for all \mathbb{Z}^+ . Since, $h(x^{-1}) = h(x)$, it suffices to prove SN-2 for $n \ge 0$ in which case $h(x^n) = \log \max(|a^n|, |b^n|) = \log \max(|a|, |b|)^n = n \log \max(|a|, |b|) = nh(x)$. Finally, if y = c/d, h(xy) = h(ac/bd). Let $e = \gcd(a, d)$ and $f = \gcd(c, b)$. Then

$$h(xy) = \log \max(|\frac{ac}{ef}|, |\frac{bd}{ef}|)$$

$$= \log \left(\frac{1}{|ef|}(\max(|ac|, |bd|))\right)$$

$$= \log \max(|ac|, |bd|) - \log |ef|$$

$$\leq \log \max(|ac|, |bd|)$$

$$\leq \log \max(|a|, |b|) + \log \max(|c|, |d|)$$

Hence SN-3 is satisfied. $\log \max(|a|,|b|)=0$ if and only if |a|=|b|=1, which makes the kernel of $\ker h=\{\pm 1\}$.

(b) For a given rational number x = a/b, since there are finitely many prime divisors of p, q such that p|a and q|b, M can be generated by the set $\{-1,1\} \cup \{p,1/q \in \mathbb{Q}^* : p|\text{the numerator of } x_1 \cdots x_m, q|\text{the denominator of } x_1 \cdots x_m\}$. From this we can set upper bound on the norm as

$$h(y) \le \sum_{p} \log p$$

where the sum is over all primes p (not necassarily distinct) that divides the numerator or denominator of x_i for some i.

(9) (a) $S^{-1}M$ can be defined as a subset of $M \times S$ for a commutative ring A, a multiplicative subset S and A-module M such that

$$(m_1, s_1) \sim (m_2, s_2)$$

, if there is a an element $s \in S$ that satisfy the equation $s(s_2m_1 - s_1m_2) = 0$. As with $S^{-1}A$, we can denote (m,s) with m/s. Since $S^{-1}A$ is a commutative ring, we can define the action of $S^{-1}A$ on $S^{-1}M$ as

$$\frac{a}{s'} \cdot \frac{m}{s} = \frac{a \cdot m}{s's}.$$

With this definition of the action of $S^{-1}A$ on $S^{-1}M$, we can show that $S^{-1}M$ is an $S^{-1}A$ -module. Let $a_1/b_1, a_2/b_2 \in S^{-1}A$ and let $m_1/s_1, m_2/s_2 \in S^{-1}M$. Then we have

$$\begin{array}{ll} \frac{a_1}{b_1} \cdot \left(\frac{m_1}{s_1} + \frac{m_2}{s_2}\right) & = & \frac{a_1}{b_1} \cdot \left(\frac{m_1 s_2 + m_2 s_1}{s_1 s_2}\right) \\ & = & \frac{a_1 b_1}{b_1 b_1} \cdot \left(\frac{m_1 s_2 + m_2 s_1}{s_1 s_2}\right) \\ & = & \frac{a_1 b_1 s_2 m_1 + a_1 b_1 s_1 m_2}{b_1 s_1 b_1 s_2} \\ & = & \frac{a_1 m_1}{b_1 s_1} + \frac{a_1 m_1}{b_1 s_2} \\ & = & \frac{a_1}{b_1} \cdot \frac{m_1}{s_1} + \frac{a_1}{b_1} \cdot \frac{m_2}{s_2}. \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \left(\frac{a_1}{b_1} + \frac{a_2}{b_2}\right) \cdot \frac{m_1}{s_1} &= \left(\frac{a_1b_2 + a_2b_1}{b_1b_2}\right) \cdot \frac{m_1}{s_1} \\ &= \left(\frac{a_1b_2 + a_2b_1}{a_1a_2}\right) \cdot \frac{m_1s_1}{s_1s_1} \\ &= \frac{a_1b_2m_1s_1 + a_2b_1m_1s_1}{s_1b_1s_2b_2} \\ &= \frac{a_1m_1}{b_1s_1} + \frac{a_2m_1}{b_2s_1} \\ &= \frac{a_1}{b_1} \cdot \frac{m_1}{s_1} + \frac{a_2}{b_2} \cdot \frac{m_1}{s_1}. \end{split}$$

(b) Let

$$0 \to M' \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{f''} M'' \to 0$$

be exact. Then we have the induced sequence,

$$0 \to S^{-1}M' \xrightarrow{g} S^{-1}M \xrightarrow{g''} S^{-1}M'' \to 0,$$

where g is defined as g(m/s) = f(m)/s and g'' is defined as g''(m/s) = f''(m)/s. $\ker g = \{m/s : f(m)/s = 0\}$. Since f is injective, f(m) = 0 iff m = 0, i.e., $\ker g = \{0\}$.

By exactness $\operatorname{Im} f = \ker f''$. Evaluating g'' on $\operatorname{Im} g$, g''(g(m/s)) = g''(f(m)/s) = f''(f(m))/s = 0/s = 0. This shows $\operatorname{Im} g \subseteq \ker g''$. Let g''(x/s) = f''(x)/s = 0. This implies f''(x) = 0 for some x. By exactness, $\ker f \subseteq \operatorname{Im} f''$, implying x = f(y) for some $y \in M'$. This proves $\operatorname{Im} g \supseteq \ker g''$.

Finally, let $x/s \in S^{-1}M''$. Since $x \in M''$, x = f''(y) for some $y \in M$ by exactness of the first sequence. But then x/s = f''(y)/s = g''(y/s) making g'' surjective.

(10) (a) The natural map under consideration is the map

$$f = x \mapsto (x/1, \dots).$$

If $x/s' \sim 0/1$, for some $s' \in A - \mathfrak{p}$ and $x \in M$, then it means sx = 0 for some $s \in A - \mathfrak{p}$. Therefore, the kernel of f is the set $\{x : sx = 0, \text{ for some } s \in A - \mathfrak{p} \text{ for all maximal ideals } \mathfrak{p}\}$. If $x \in \ker f$, then $\operatorname{Ann}(x)$ is not contained in any maximal ideal \mathfrak{p} , implying $\operatorname{Ann}(x) = A \Longrightarrow x = 0$.

(b) Let $f: M'' \to M$ and $\hat{f}: M''_{\mathfrak{p}} \to M_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Define g and \hat{g} similarly for the second halves of the sequences.

 (\Longrightarrow) This directly follows from part (b) of exercise 9.

Let f(x) = 0, then $\hat{f}(x/s) = f(x)/s = 0/1$ for all $s \in \mathfrak{p}$. By exactness, \hat{f} is injective. thus x/s = 0. By similar reasoning as part (a) of this problem x = 0. Hence f is injective.

Now let gf(x)=n. By definition, $\hat{g}\hat{f}(x/s)=n/s$. By exactness, the left-hand side is 0. Thus s'n=0 for $s'\in\mathfrak{p}$ for all prime \mathfrak{p} . Again, by similar reasoning as part (a), n has to be 0 and Im $f\subseteq\ker g$. To see the converse, suppose g(y)=0. Consequently, $\hat{g}(y/s)=g(y)/s=0$ for all $s\in\mathfrak{p}$ and by exactness, $y/1=\hat{f}(x/t_{\mathfrak{p}})=f(x)/t_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for some $t_{\mathfrak{p}}$ depending on \mathfrak{p} . This implies $s_{\mathfrak{p}}(f(x)-t_{\mathfrak{p}}y)=0$ or equivalently $f(s_{\mathfrak{p}}x)=r_{\mathfrak{p}}y$ for some $x\in M'_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $r_{\mathfrak{p}}=s_{\mathfrak{p}}t_{\mathfrak{p}}$ implying $r_{\mathfrak{p}}y\in\operatorname{Im} f$ for all prime \mathfrak{p} . Since $M/\operatorname{Im} f$ is also an A-module, it implies $r_{\mathfrak{p}}(x+\operatorname{Im} f)=0$ for all \mathfrak{p} implying $x+\operatorname{Im} f=0+\operatorname{Im} f$ or in other words, $x\in\operatorname{Im} f$. This proves $\operatorname{Im} f=\ker g$.

Finally, suppose $y \in M''$. By surjectivity of \hat{g} , $y/1 = \hat{g}(x/s) = g(x)/s$ for some $x \in M$. By definition, $s_{\mathfrak{p}}(g(x) - t_{\mathfrak{p}}y) = 0$. By similar argument as above, $y \in \operatorname{Im} g$, proving the exactness of the first sequence.

(c) Let $\phi: M \to M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the natural map in question. Then $\phi(x) = x/1$. If $\phi(x) = 0$, then sx = 0 for some $s \in A - \mathfrak{p}$. This contradicts the assumption M is torsion-free and since $0 \notin A - \mathfrak{p}$, x = 0.