

The Snake Lemma

June 23, 2025

Lemma 1. Let A, B, C, A', B', C' be R -modules that satisfy the following commutative and exact diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \ker a & \longrightarrow & \ker b & \longrightarrow & \ker c & & \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 A & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & C & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 \downarrow a & & \downarrow b & & \downarrow c & & \\
 0 \longrightarrow & A' & \longrightarrow & B' & \longrightarrow & C' & \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 \text{Coker } a & \longrightarrow & \text{Coker } b & \longrightarrow & \text{Coker } c & &
 \end{array}$$

where the maps associated with the kernels and the cokernels are the natural homomorphisms. Then there exists a homomorphism $\theta : \ker c \rightarrow \text{Coker } a$ and the sequence

$$\ker a \xrightarrow{f^*} \ker b \xrightarrow{g^*} \ker c \xrightarrow{\theta} \text{Coker } a \xrightarrow{\hat{f}} \text{Coker } b \xrightarrow{\hat{g}} \text{Coker } c$$

is exact.

Proof. First, we name the homomorphisms for convenience of the proof. Let f, g, f', g' be the homomorphisms $A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C, A' \rightarrow B'$ and $B' \rightarrow C'$ resp.

We next show the existence and well-definition of θ . In fact, θ can be defined as $f'^{-1} \circ d \circ g^{-1}$. To show that θ is well-defined, it suffices to show that for any $z \in \ker c$, we can determine the output of $\theta, x \in \text{Coker } a$ regardless of the inverse images. Suppose $z \in \ker c$ and let $y \in B$ such that $g(y) = z$ (by surjectiveness of g). Regardless of this choice, we know that $g'(b(y)) = c(g(z)) = 0$ (by commutativity) and thus $b(y) \in \ker g' = \text{Im } f'$ by exactness. But f' is injective, therefore there is a unique inverse of $b(z), x' \in A'$

For two representatives $y_1, y_2 \in B$ such that $g(y_1), g(y_2) \in \ker c$, we note that $g(y_1 - y_2) = 0$ thus $y_1 - y_2 \in \ker g$. By exactness, $y_1 - y_2 \in \text{Im } f$. Therefore, $b(y_1 - y_2) = b(y_1) - b(y_2) = f'(a(x))$ for some x . If $f'(x'_i) = b(y_i)$, then we have $f'(x'_1) = f'(x'_2 + a(x))$, proving $x'_1 \equiv x'_2 \pmod{\text{Im } a}$ by injectivity of f' . This proves the well-definedness of θ . Clearly, θ is a homomorphism.

It remains to show the given sequence is exact. We prove it from left to right.

$\text{Im } f^* \subseteq \ker g^*$: Let $a(x) = 0$. By exactness of the top sequence $g(f(x)) = 0$, hence $\text{Im } f|_{\ker a} = \text{Im } f^* \subseteq \ker g^*$.

$\ker g^* \subseteq \text{Im } f^*$: $g(y) = 0 \implies y = f(x)$ by exactness. By definition of f^* , the x shall be in $\ker a$.

$\text{Im } g^* \subseteq \ker \theta$: Let $z = g(y)$, for $y \in \ker b$ and let $x' + \text{Im } a = \theta(z)$. By definition, y is a representative of z and by commutativity of the diagram and exactness of the top sequence, there exists $x \in \ker a$ such that $b(y) = f'(a(x))$, this implies $x' = a(x) + \text{Im } a$ and thus $x' = 0 \pmod{\text{Im } a}$.

$\ker \theta \subseteq \text{Im } g^*$: Let $x' = a(x)$ and let $g(y) = z$ (by surjectivity of g). Then $b(y) = f'(a(x)) = b(f(x)) \implies b(y - f(x)) = 0$. But $g(y - f(x)) = g(y) = z$ by exactness of the top sequence. Hence $y - f(x) \in \ker g^* = \ker g|_{\ker b}$.

Next we consider the induced homomorphisms

$$\hat{f}(x + \text{Im } a) = f'(x) + \text{Im } b$$

$$\hat{g}(y + \text{Im } b) = g'(y) + \text{Im } c$$

$\text{Im } \theta \subseteq \ker \hat{f}$: $x' + \text{Im } a = \theta(z)$. By exactness, $g(y) = z$ and $f(x) = y$ for some x, y . By commutativity, $b(y) = b(f(x)) = f'(a(x))$. By exactness, $x' = a(x)$ and thus $z \in \ker \theta$.

$\ker \hat{f} \subseteq \text{Im } \theta$: Let $\hat{f}(x' + \text{Im } a) = y' + \text{Im } b = 0$ $y' \in \text{Im } b$. Then, $f'(x') = y'$. By definition, $y' = b(y)$. $c(g(y)) = g'(b(y)) = g'(f(x')) = 0$ and $g(y) \in \ker c$.

$\ker \hat{g} \subseteq \text{Im } \hat{f}$: Let $\hat{g}(y' + \text{Im } b) = 0 + \text{Im } c$. Then $g'(y') = c(z)$ for some $z \in C$. Since g is on-to, there is a y such that $g(y) = z \implies g'(y') = c(g(y))$. By commutativity, $g'(y') = g'(b(y))$. But then $y' - b(y) \in \ker g' = \text{Im } f'$ (by exactness). Thus $y' \in \text{Im } \hat{f}$.

$\text{Im } \hat{f} \subseteq \ker \hat{g}$: Let $y' = f'(x') + b(y)$ for some y . Since $g' \circ f' = 0$ by exactness, $g'(y') = g'(b(y)) = c(g(y))$ (by commutativity) $\in \text{Im } c$.

□