DSP Implementation Notes

ESE2014

fixed-point versus floating-point math

- when using software tools like Matlab or Octave, we are using floating-point number representations and seldom do we concern ourselves with issues like overflow or precision, since floating-point is a powerful representation:
 - it has a large range (due to the exponent portion), representing numbers spanning several orders of magnitude
 - it has excellent precision, especially on desktop computers, where many bytes can be used to represent the mantissa
- in embedded systems, while floating-point units are available on some processors, fixed-point arithmetic is still important:
 - a fixed-point implementation can be more portable (since it works on simpler MCUs without floating point units)
 - it can be faster or more energy efficient to implement arithmetic in fixed point, even if a floating-point unit is available
 - because of limited range and precision, we must be careful in our use of fixed-point techniques

fixed-point math

 in fixed-point arithmetic, we are actually using integers in calculations, keeping in mind an explicit scaling factor:

$$x = x_{\widehat{\mathbb{T}}}^{\text{norm}} \times 2_{\widehat{\mathbb{T}}}^M$$

a normalized quantity, like the variables in Matlab, on some range like [-1,1]

the scaling factor, where *M* represents the number of bits used in the fractional part of the fixed point number, *x*

• note that if $x^{1101111}$ = 1.0, then x , in binary, looks like:

fixed-point examples

• M = 16,
$$x^{\text{norm}} = 0.536$$
, $y^{\text{norm}} = 0.251$

- - in binary form, x^{norm} is: 0000 0000 0000 0000. 1000 1001 0011 0111
- we get:
 - x = 35127
 - y = 16450
- addition/subtraction is straightforward:
 - x+y = 51577 => a "normalized" value of $51577/2^{16} = 0.787$ (correct)
- multiplication is more tricky, because you must keep in mind the scaling factors:
 - $x * y = 577 839 150 \Rightarrow a 30$ -bit quantity (running the risk of overflow!)
 - this is a normalized value of 577 839 150/2³²

floating-point examples (cont'd)

- division can be awful: while we don't need to worry about the scaling factors (they cancel out), we can get substantial rounding errors:
 - \circ x/y = 2.1354, which gets "integerized" to 2 (incorrect!)!
 - to deal with divisional rounding, we can use a larger range for our dividend, x, for example, [-10,10] instead of [-1,1], however, with larger numbers, we push the risk of overflow on multiplications
 - you have to decide what's more important for you: avoiding overflow in multiplications, or rounding errors from divisions
- fortunately, in most filtering applications, we are dealing primarily with addition (of signals) and multiplications (signals with filter coefficients or amplifier gains).
- if it all possible, it is best to have power-of-2 divisors (y) with integers, as this results in a simple bitwise-shift operation, which can be implemented with great speed on any MCU

floating-point examples (cont'd)

- in the previous division example, y = 0.251 which approximately 0.250, or 2^-2; therefore x/y is approximately x*2^2, or simply shift x twice to the left. This results in:
 - x << 2 = 0000 0000 0000 0010 . 0010 0100 1101 1100

= 140508

= 2.1440

which is closer to 2.1354 than 2 is (error of 0.0086 versus 0.1354).

a cool trick if you can make it happen!

scaling filter coefficients

- whether fixed or floating point, we should strive to have the signals in our filter realizations vary roughly in the same range; for most purposes, we can think of our signals as ideally "swinging" in the [-1, 1] range
- by doing so:
 - we can more easily implement analog versions of our filters, since we want signals to vary
 within (and fully utilize) the supply limits in order to maintain the highest signal-to-noise ratios
 - we can reduce the possibility of underflow or overflow errors
- given a state-space realization of a filter, this can often mean "balancing the coefficients, so that they aren't too many orders of magnitude apart