

CONTINUOUS FIELDS OF C*-ALGEBRAS OVER FINITE DIMENSIONAL SPACES

MARIUS DADARLAT

ABSTRACT. Let X be a finite dimensional compact metrizable space. We study a technique which employs semiprojectivity as a tool to produce approximations of $C(X)$ -algebras by $C(X)$ -subalgebras with controlled complexity. The following applications are given. All unital separable continuous fields of C*-algebras over X with fibers isomorphic to a fixed Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_n , $n \in \{2, 3, \dots, \infty\}$ are locally trivial. They are trivial if $n = 2$ or $n = \infty$. For finite $n \geq 3$, such a field is trivial if and only if $(n - 1)[1_A] = 0$ in $K_0(A)$, where A is the C*-algebra of continuous sections of the field. In a more general context, we show that a separable unital continuous field over X with fibers isomorphic to a KK -semiprojective Kirchberg C*-algebra is trivial if and only if it satisfies a global K-theoretical Fell-type condition. We also show that if each fiber of a separable continuous field of C*-algebras over X is nuclear and KK -equivalent to a commutative C*-algebra, then the C*-algebra of continuous sections of the field is KK -equivalent to a commutative C*-algebra.

1. INTRODUCTION

Gelfand's characterization of commutative C*-algebras has suggested the problem of representing non-commutative C*-algebras as sections of bundles. This led to the notion of (upper semi-) continuous fields of C*-algebras as illustrated by the work of Fell [18] and Dauns and Hofmann [15]. The continuous fields of C*-algebras (which we identify with the continuous $C(X)$ -algebras) form a vast class of C*-algebras which includes the separable C*-algebras with Hausdorff primitive spectrum along with many other fundamental examples [16]. The asymptotic morphisms of Connes and Higson [8] can also be described in terms of continuous fields over $[0, 1]$ which are trivial over $[0, 1)$.

The goal of this paper is to study a technique which uses semiprojectivity as a tool to produce approximations of $C(X)$ -algebras by $C(X)$ -subalgebras with controlled complexity for a finite dimensional metrizable space X and then to explore some of its applications. Our study is motivated by the problem of extending the classification theory of Kirchberg and Phillips [22], [32], [33] to continuous $C(X)$ -algebras whose fibers are Kirchberg algebras and by the problem of describing the structure of general separable nuclear continuous $C(X)$ -algebras, at least at K-theoretical level. The two problems are related, and as evidence for that, we show that any separable nuclear continuous $C(X)$ -algebra is $KK_{C(X)}$ -equivalent to a $C(X)$ -algebra whose fibers are Kirchberg algebras (Theorem 7.4). By a Kirchberg algebra we mean a purely infinite simple nuclear separable C*-algebra [33]. Notable examples include the simple Cuntz-Krieger algebras [10]. The following theorem illustrates our results and offers further motivation for our investigation.

Theorem 1.1. *Any separable unital $C(X)$ -algebra A over a finite dimensional metrizable compact space X with fibers isomorphic to a fixed Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_n with $n \in \{2, 3, \dots, \infty\}$ is locally trivial. If $n = 2$ or $n = \infty$, then $A \cong C(X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_n$. If $3 \leq n < \infty$, then A is isomorphic to $C(X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_n$ if and only if $(n-1)[1_A] = 0$ in $K_0(A)$.*

The proof of Theorem 1.1 also yields a new proof for the triviality of $C(X)$ -algebras with fibers isomorphic to the Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_2 , proved by Kirchberg by different methods. We also compute the homotopy groups

$$\pi_m(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_n)) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/(n-1)\mathbb{Z}, & \text{if } m \text{ is odd,} \\ 0, & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$$

(with the convention that $\mathbb{Z}/\infty\mathbb{Z} = 0$, see Theorem 6.10) and conclude that there are locally trivial unital $C(S^{2k})$ -algebras A with fiber \mathcal{O}_n such that $A \not\cong C(S^{2k}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_n$ for $n \neq 2, \infty$ and $k \geq 1$.

To put our results in perspective, let us recall that none of the general basic properties of a continuous field implies any kind of local triviality. This is emphasized in [13, Ex. 8.4] by an example of a continuous field A of Kirchberg algebras over $[0, 1]$ whose fibers are abstractly mutually isomorphic, yet A is not locally trivial at any point. Examples with similar properties in the realm of nonexact C^* -algebras were exhibited by S. Wassermann. Our next result explains and generalizes Theorem 1.1 by showing that the *only obstruction* to local or global triviality for a continuous field of Kirchberg algebras (in a rather large class) is of purely K-theoretical nature.

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a finite dimensional compact metrizable space. Let A be a separable unital $C(X)$ -algebra the fibers of which are Kirchberg algebras and let D be a unital KK -semiprojective Kirchberg algebra. Then A is isomorphic to $C(X) \otimes D$ if and only if there is $\sigma \in KK(D, A)$ such that $K_0(\sigma)[1_D] = [1_A]$ and $\sigma_x \in KK(D, A(x))^{-1}$ for all $x \in X$. For each such σ there is a $C(X)$ -linear isomorphism $\phi : C(X) \otimes D \rightarrow A$ such that $KK(\phi|_D) = \sigma$.*

The existence of σ may be viewed as a KK -theoretical analog of the classical Fell's condition which implies local triviality for fields of compact operators. A key feature of this condition is that it is a priori much weaker than the condition that A is $KK_{C(X)}$ -equivalent to $C(X) \otimes D$. In particular it is almost trivial to verify it for the $C(X)$ -algebras with fiber \mathcal{O}_n and hence to derive Theorem 1.1. A separable C^* -algebra D is KK -semiprojective if and only if the functor $KK(D, -)$ is continuous. We show that any KK -semiprojective Kirchberg algebra must be weakly semiprojective and KK -stable (Theorem 3.9), and these are exactly the technical ingredients needed in the proof of Theorem 1.2. One can further simplify the matters if one assumes that D is KK -equivalent to a commutative algebra, or equivalently, if D satisfies the universal coefficient theorem in KK -theory (abbreviated UCT) [34]. In this case D is KK -semiprojective if and only if $K_*(D)$ is finitely generated. As a corollary we obtain a simple K-theory criterion for triviality of fields.

Theorem 1.3. *Let X be a finite dimensional compact metrizable space and let A be a separable unital $C(X)$ -algebra the fibers of which are Kirchberg algebras satisfying the UCT. Let D be a unital Kirchberg algebra satisfying the UCT and such that $K_*(D)$ is finitely generated. Then A is isomorphic to $C(X) \otimes D$ if and only if there is a graded group*

homomorphism $\theta : K_*(D) \rightarrow K_*(A)$ such that $\theta[1_D] = [1_A]$ and $\theta_x : K_*(D) \rightarrow K_*(A(x))$ is bijective for all $x \in X$.

The proofs of the results stated above rely on Theorem 4.5 which plays a central role in our approach, as it produces approximations of $C(X)$ -algebras by pullbacks of n locally trivial $C(X)$ -algebras, where $n \leq \dim(X)$. Its proof generalizes and refines a technique that was pioneered for fields over zero dimensional spaces in joint work with Pasnicu [14] and for fields over an interval in joint work with G. Elliott [13]. As another application of our approximation methods we exhibit a new permanence property for the class of nuclear C*-algebras which satisfy the UCT.

Theorem 1.4. *If A is a separable nuclear continuous $C(X)$ -algebra over a finite dimensional metrizable space such that all its fibers satisfy the UCT, then A satisfies the UCT.*

A striking isomorphism result for non-simple separable nuclear purely infinite stable C*-algebras, based on a suitable generalization of Kasparov's $KK_{C(X)}$ -theory, was announced by Kirchberg in [23]. In view of Kirchberg's result, the problem of finding a universal coefficient theorem for the $KK_{C(X)}$ -groups becomes very important and its solution should have a huge impact in the classification of continuous fields. While the results of the present paper follow from a different approach, they could be regarded as evidence towards the existence of a UCT for $KK_{C(X)}$ -groups.

In this paper we rely heavily on the classification theorem (and related results) of Kirchberg and Phillips [33], and on the work on non-simple nuclear purely infinite C*-algebras of Blanchard and Kirchberg [7], [6] and Kirchberg and Rørdam [25], [26]. The embedding theorem of Blanchard [5] is used in the proof of Theorem 1.4.

The author is grateful to Chris Phillips for useful discussions on the homotopy theory of the automorphism groups of stable Kirchberg algebras.

2. $C(X)$ -ALGEBRAS

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space. A $C(X)$ -algebra is a C*-algebra A endowed with a *-monomorphism θ from $C(X)$ to the center of the multiplier algebra of A such that $C(X)A$ is dense in A ; see [21], [4]. We write fa rather than $\theta(f)a$ for $f \in C(X)$ and $a \in A$. With the exception of Section 7, we will only consider unital $C(X)$ -algebras with $\theta(1) = 1$. If $Y \subseteq X$ is a closed subset, we let $C(X, Y)$ denote the ideal of $C(X)$ consisting of functions vanishing on Y . Then $C(X, Y)A$ is a closed two-sided ideal of A (by Cohen factorization). The quotient of A by this ideal is a $C(Y)$ -algebra denoted by $A(Y)$ and is called the restriction of $A = A(X)$ to Y . The quotient map is denoted by $\pi_Y : A(X) \rightarrow A(Y)$. If Z is a closed subset of Y we have a natural restriction map $\pi_Z^Y : A(Y) \rightarrow A(Z)$ and $\pi_Z = \pi_Z^Y \circ \pi_Y$. If Y reduces to a point x , we write $A(x)$ for $A(\{x\})$ and π_x for $\pi_{\{x\}}$. The C*-algebra $A(x)$ is called the fiber of A at x . The image $\pi_x(a) \in A(x)$ of $a \in A$ is denoted by $a(x)$. A map $\eta : A \rightarrow B$ of $C(X)$ -algebras induces a map $\eta_Y : A(Y) \rightarrow B(Y)$. If $Y = \emptyset$ then $A(Y)$ is interpreted as the zero algebra.

Let A be a C*-algebra, $a \in A$ and $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \subseteq A$. If $\varepsilon > 0$, we write $a \in_\varepsilon \mathcal{F}$ if there is $b \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\|a - b\| < \varepsilon$. Similarly, we write $\mathcal{F} \subset_\varepsilon \mathcal{G}$ if $a \in_\varepsilon \mathcal{G}$ for every $a \in \mathcal{F}$. The following lemma collects some basic properties of $C(X)$ -algebras.

Lemma 2.1. *Let X be a compact space, let A be a $C(X)$ -algebra and let $B \subset A$ be a $C(X)$ -subalgebra. Let $a \in A$ and let Y be a closed subset of X .*

- (i) *The map $x \mapsto \|a(x)\|$ is upper semi-continuous.*
- (ii) $\|\pi_Y(a)\| = \max\{\|\pi_x(a)\| : x \in Y\}$
- (iii) *If $a(x) \in \pi_x(B)$ for all $x \in X$, then $a \in B$.*
- (iv) *If $\delta > 0$ and $a(x) \in_\delta \pi_x(B)$ for all $x \in X$, then $a \in_\delta B$.*
- (v) *The restriction of $\pi : A \rightarrow A(x)$ to B induces an isomorphism $B(x) \cong \pi_x(B)$ for all $x \in X$.*

Proof. (i), (ii) are proved in [4] and (iii) follows from (iv). (iv): By assumption, for each $x \in X$, there is $b_x \in B$ such that $\|\pi_x(a - b_x)\| < \delta$. Using the upper semi-continuity of the map $x \mapsto \|\pi_x(c)\|$, $c \in A$ and (ii), we find a closed neighborhood U_x of x such that $\|\pi_{U_x}(a - b_x)\| < \delta$. Since X is compact, there is a finite subcover (U_{x_i}) . Let (α_i) be a partition of unity subordinated to this cover. Setting $b = \sum_i \alpha_i b_{x_i} \in B$, one checks immediately that $\|\pi_x(a - b)\| \leq \sum_i \alpha_i(x) \|\pi_x(a - b_{x_i})\| < \delta$, for all $x \in X$. Thus

$$\|a - b\| = \max\{\|\pi_x(a - b)\| : x \in X\} < \delta.$$

(v): If $\iota : B \hookrightarrow A$ is the inclusion map, then $\pi_x(B)$ coincides with the image of $\iota_x : B/C(X, x)B \rightarrow A/C(X, x)A$. Thus it suffices to check that ι_x is injective. If $\iota_x(b + C(X, x)B) = \pi_x(b) = 0$ for some $b \in B$, then $b = fa$ for some $f \in C(X, x)$ and some $a \in A$. If (f_λ) is an approximate unit of $C(X, x)$, then $b = \lim_\lambda f_\lambda fa = \lim_\lambda f_\lambda b$ and hence $b \in C(X, x)B$. \square

A $C(X)$ -algebra such that the map $x \mapsto \|a(x)\|$ is continuous for all $a \in A$, is called a *continuous $C(X)$ -algebra* or a *C*-bundle* [4], [27], [6]. A C*-algebra A is a continuous $C(X)$ -algebra if and only if A is the C*-algebra of continuous sections of a continuous field of C*-algebras over X in the sense of [16, Def. 10.3.1], (see [4], [6], [31]).

Let $\eta : B \rightarrow E$ and $\psi : D \rightarrow E$ be *-homomorphisms. The *pullback* of these maps is

$$B \oplus_{\eta, \psi} D = \{(b, d) \in B \oplus D : \eta(b) = \psi(d)\}.$$

We are going to use pullbacks in the context of $C(X)$ -algebras. Let X be a compact space and let Y, Z be closed subsets of X such that $X = Y \cup Z$. The following result is proved in [16, Prop. 10.1.13] for continuous $C(X)$ -algebras.

Lemma 2.2. *If A is a $C(X)$ -algebra, then A is isomorphic to $A(Y) \oplus_{\pi_Y, \pi_Z} A(Z)$, the pullback of the restriction maps $\pi_Y^Y : A(Y) \rightarrow A(Y \cap Z)$ and $\pi_Z^Z : A(Z) \rightarrow A(Y \cap Z)$.*

Proof. By the universal property of pullbacks, the maps π_Y and π_Z induce a map $\eta : A \rightarrow A(Y) \oplus_{\pi_Y, \pi_Z} A(Z)$, $\eta(a) = (\pi_Y(a), \pi_Z(a))$, which is injective by Lemma 2.1(ii). Thus it suffices to show that the range of η is dense. Let $b, c \in A$ such that $\pi_{Y \cap Z}(b - c) = 0$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$. We shall find $a \in A$ such that $\|\eta(a) - (\pi_Y(b), \pi_Z(c))\| < \varepsilon$. By Lemma 2.1(i)-(ii), there is an open neighborhood V of $Y \cap Z$ such that $\|\pi_x(b - c)\| < \varepsilon$ for all $x \in V$. Let $\{\lambda, \mu\}$ be a partition of unity on X subordinated to the open cover $\{Y \cup V, Z \cup V\}$. Then $a = \lambda b + \mu c$ is an element of A which has the desired property. \square

Recall that if B is a $C(X)$ -subalgebra of A , then the fibers $B(x)$ of B identifies with the C*-subalgebra $\pi_x(B)$ of $A(x)$ by Lemma 2.1(v). Let $B(Y) \subset A(Y)$ and $D(Z) \subset A(Z)$

be $C(X)$ -subalgebras such that $\pi_x(D) \subset \pi_x(B)$ for all $x \in Y \cap Z$. Then

$$B \oplus_{Y \cap Z} D = \{a \in A : \pi_Y(a) \in B, \pi_Z(a) \in D\}$$

is a $C(X)$ -subalgebra of A . As an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.2 we see that $B \oplus_{\pi_{Y \cap Z}^Z, \pi_{Y \cap Z}^Y} D \cong B \oplus_{Y \cap Z} D$.

Lemma 2.3. *Under the previous assumptions,*

$$\pi_x(B \oplus_{Y \cap Z} D) = \begin{cases} \pi_x(B), & \text{if } x \in X \setminus Z, \\ \pi_x(D), & \text{if } x \in Z, \end{cases}$$

and there is an exact sequence of C^* -algebras

$$(1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \{b \in B : \pi_{Y \cap Z}(b) = 0\} \longrightarrow B \oplus_{Y \cap Z} D \xrightarrow{\pi_Z} D \longrightarrow 0$$

Proof. Let $X \setminus Z$. The inclusion $\pi_x(B \oplus_{Y \cap Z} D) \subset \pi_x(B)$ is obvious by definition. Given $b \in B$, let us choose $f \in C(X)$ vanishing on Z and such that $f(x) = 1$. Then $a = (fb, 0)$ is an element of A by Lemma 2.2. Moreover $a \in B \oplus_{Y \cap Z} D$ and $\pi_x(a) = \pi_x(b)$. We have $\pi_Z(B \oplus_{Y \cap Z} D) \subset D$, by definition. Conversely, given $d \in D$, let us observe that $\pi_{Y \cap Z}^Z(d) \in \pi_{Y \cap Z}^Y(B)$ (by assumption) and hence $\pi_{Y \cap Z}^Z(d) = \pi_{Y \cap Z}^Y(b)$ for some $b \in B$. Then $a = (b, d)$ is an element of A by Lemma 2.2 and $\pi_Z(a) = d$. This completes the proof for the first part of the lemma and also it shows that the map π_Z from the sequence (1) is surjective. Its kernel is identified using Lemma 2.1(iii). \square

Let X, Y, Z and A be as above. Let $\eta : B(Y) \hookrightarrow A(Y)$ be a $C(Y)$ -linear $*$ -monomorphism and let $\psi : D(Z) \hookrightarrow A(Z)$ be a $C(Z)$ -linear $*$ -monomorphism. Assume that

$$(2) \quad \pi_{Y \cap Z}^Z(\psi(D)) \subseteq \pi_{Y \cap Z}^Y(\eta(B)).$$

This gives a map $\eta_{Y \cap Z}^{-1} \psi_{Y \cap Z} : D(Y \cap Z) \rightarrow B(Y \cap Z)$.

Lemma 2.4. (a) *Under the previous assumptions, there are isomorphisms of $C(X)$ -algebras:*

$$B \oplus_{\pi, \eta^{-1} \psi} D \cong B \oplus_{\pi \eta, \pi \psi} D \cong \eta(B) \oplus_{Y \cap Z} \psi(D),$$

where the latter isomorphism is given by the map $\chi : B \oplus_{\pi \eta, \pi \psi} D \rightarrow A$ induced by the pair (η, ψ) . Its components χ_x identify with ψ_x for $x \in Z$ and with η_x for $x \in X \setminus Z$.

(b) *Condition (2) is equivalent to $\psi(D) \subset \pi_Z(A \oplus_Y \eta(B))$.*

(c) *If \mathcal{F} is a finite subset of A such that $\pi_Y(\mathcal{F}) \subset_\varepsilon \eta(B)$ and $\pi_Z(\mathcal{F}) \subset_\varepsilon \psi(D)$, then $\mathcal{F} \subset_\varepsilon \eta(B) \oplus_{Y \cap Z} \psi(D) = \chi(B \oplus_{\pi \eta, \pi \psi} D)$.*

Proof. This is an immediate corollary of Lemmas 2.1, 2.2, 2.3. For illustration, let us verify (c). By assumption $\pi_x(\mathcal{F}) \subset_\varepsilon \eta_x(B)$ for all $x \in X \setminus Z$ and $\pi_z(\mathcal{F}) \subset_\varepsilon \psi_z(D)$ for all $z \in Z$. We deduce from Lemma 2.3 that $\pi_x(\mathcal{F}) \subset_\varepsilon \pi_x(\eta(B) \oplus_{Y \cap Z} \psi(D))$ for all $x \in X$. Therefore $\mathcal{F} \subset_\varepsilon \eta(B) \oplus_{Y \cap Z} \psi(D)$ by Lemma 2.1(iv). \square

Definition 2.5. Let \mathcal{C} be a class of unital C^* -algebras. A $C(Z)$ -algebra E is called *elementary* (relative to the class \mathcal{C}) or *\mathcal{C} -elementary* if there is a finite partition of X into disjoint non-empty closed subsets Z_1, \dots, Z_r ($r \geq 1$) and there exist C^* -algebras D_1, \dots, D_r in \mathcal{C} such that $E = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r C(Z_i) \otimes D_i$. The notion of *category* of a unital $C(X)$ -algebra

with respect to a class \mathcal{C} is defined inductively: if A is elementary relative to \mathcal{C} then $\text{cat}_{\mathcal{C}}(A) = 0$; $\text{cat}_{\mathcal{C}}(A) \leq n$ if there are closed nonempty subsets Y and Z of X , with $X = Y \cup Z$ and there exist a unital $C(Y)$ -algebra B , a \mathcal{C} -elementary unital $C(Z)$ -algebra E and a unital $*$ -monomorphism of $C(Y \cap Z)$ -algebras, $\gamma : E(Y \cap Z) \rightarrow B(Y \cap Z)$, such that $\text{cat}_{\mathcal{C}}(B) \leq n - 1$, and A is isomorphic to

$$B \oplus_{\pi, \gamma \pi} D = \{(b, d) \in B \oplus D : \pi_{Y \cap Z}^Y(b) = \gamma \pi_{Y \cap Z}^Z(d)\}.$$

By definition $\text{cat}_{\mathcal{C}}(A) = n$ if n is the smallest number with the property that $\text{cat}_{\mathcal{C}}(A) \leq n$. If there is no such n exists, then $\text{cat}_{\mathcal{C}}(A) = \infty$.

Definition 2.6. Let \mathcal{C} be a class of unital C^* -algebras. Let A be a unital $C(X)$ -algebra. An n -fibred \mathcal{C} -morphism into A consists of $(n + 1)$ unital $*$ -monomorphisms (ψ_0, \dots, ψ_n) with the following properties. There exist closed nonempty subsets Y_0, \dots, Y_n of X , and \mathcal{C} -elementary $C(Y_i)$ -algebras, E_0, \dots, E_n such that each $\psi_i : E_i \rightarrow A(Y_i)$ is $C(Y_i)$ -linear and

$$(4) \quad \pi_{Y_i \cap Y_j}^{Y_i} \psi_i(E_i) \subseteq \pi_{Y_i \cap Y_j}^{Y_j} \psi_j(E_j), \quad \text{for all } i \leq j.$$

Given an n -fibred morphism into A we have an associated $C(X)$ -algebra defined as the pullback the maps ψ_i :

$$(4) \quad A(\psi_0, \dots, \psi_n) = \{(d_0, \dots, d_n) : d_i \in E_i, \pi_{Y_i \cap Y_j}^{Y_i} \psi_i(d_i) = \pi_{Y_i \cap Y_j}^{Y_j} \psi_j(d_j) \text{ for all } i, j\}$$

and an induced $C(X)$ -homomorphism

$$\eta = \eta_{(\psi_0, \dots, \psi_n)} : A(\psi_0, \dots, \psi_n) \rightarrow A \subset \bigoplus_{i=0}^n A(Y_i),$$

$$\eta(d_0, \dots, d_n) = (\psi_0(d_0), \dots, \psi_n(d_n)).$$

There are natural projection maps $p_i : A(\psi_0, \dots, \psi_n) \rightarrow E_i$, $p_i(d_0, \dots, d_n) = d_i$. Let us set $X_k = Y_k \cup \dots \cup Y_n$. Then, (ψ_k, \dots, ψ_n) is an $(n - k)$ -fibred morphism into $A(X_k)$. Let $\eta_k : A(X_k)(\psi_k, \dots, \psi_n) \rightarrow A(X_k)$ be the induced map and let $B_k = A(X_k)(\psi_k, \dots, \psi_n)$. There exist natural $C(X_{k-1})$ -isomorphisms

$$B_{k-1} \cong B_k \oplus_{\pi \eta_k, \pi \psi_{k-1}} E_{k-1} \cong B_k \oplus_{\pi, \eta_k^{-1} \psi_{k-1} \pi} E_{k-1}.$$

This shows that $\text{cat}_{\mathcal{C}}(A(\psi_0, \dots, \psi_n)) \leq n$.

3. SEMIPROJECTIVITY

Let A and B be C^* -algebras. Two $*$ -homomorphisms $\varphi, \psi : A \rightarrow B$ are approximately unitarily equivalent, written $\varphi \approx_u \psi$, if for every finite subset \mathcal{F} of A and any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a unitary u in C^* -algebra $B^+ = B + \mathbb{C}1$ obtained by adjoining a unit to B , such that $\|u\varphi(a)u^* - \psi(a)\| < \varepsilon$ for all $a \in \mathcal{F}$. We say that φ and ψ are asymptotically unitarily equivalent, written $\varphi \approx_{uh} \psi$, if there is a norm continuous unitary valued map $t \rightarrow u_t \in B^+$, $t \in [0, 1)$, such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \|u_t \varphi(a) u_t^* - \psi(a)\| = 0$ for all $a \in A$. We shall use several times Kirchberg's Theorem [33, Thm. 8.3.3] and the following theorem of Phillips [32].

Theorem 3.1. *Let A, B be unital separable C*-algebras such that A is simple and nuclear and $B \cong B \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$. For any $\sigma \in KK(A, B)$ such that $K_0(\sigma)[1_A] = [1_B]$ there is a unital *-homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ such that $KK(\varphi) = \sigma$. If $\psi : A \rightarrow B$ is another unital *-homomorphism such that $KK(\psi) = KK(\varphi)$, then $\varphi \approx_{uh} \psi$.*

Theorem 3.1 does not appear in this form in [32] but it is an immediate consequence of [32, Thm. 4.1.1]. Indeed, if σ is given, [32, Thm. 4.1.1] gives a full *-homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow B \otimes \mathcal{K}$ such that $KK(\varphi) = \sigma$. Let $e \in \mathcal{K}$ be a rank-one projection. Then $[\varphi(1_A)] = [1_B] = [1_B \otimes e]$ in $K_0(B)$. Since both $\varphi(1_A)$ and $1_B \otimes e$ are full projections and $B \cong B \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$, it follows by [32, Lemma 2.1.6] that $u\varphi(1_A)u^* = 1_B \otimes e$ for some unitary in $(B \otimes \mathcal{K})^+$. Replacing φ by $u\varphi u^*$ we can arrange that $KK(\varphi) = \sigma$ and φ is unital. For the second part of the theorem let us note that any unital *-homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ is full and if two unital *-homomorphisms $\varphi, \psi : A \rightarrow B$ are asymptotically unitarily equivalent when regarded as maps into $B \otimes \mathcal{K}$, then $\varphi \approx_{uh} \psi$ when regarded as maps into B , by an argument from the proof of [32, Thm. 4.1.4].

A separable unital C*-algebra D is *weakly semiprojective* (see [17]) if for any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset D$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, any separable C*-algebra A , any increasing sequence (J_n) of two-sided closed ideals of A with $J = \overline{\bigcup_n J_n}$, and any *-homomorphism $\varphi : D \rightarrow A/J$, there is a *-homomorphism $\psi : D \rightarrow A/J_n$ (for some n) such that $\|\pi_n \psi(c) - \varphi(c)\| < \varepsilon$ for all $c \in \mathcal{F}$ (where $\pi_n : A/J_n \rightarrow A/J$ is the natural map). If we require that there is a *-homomorphism $\psi : D \rightarrow A/J_n$ (for some n) such that $\pi_n \psi = \varphi$ then A is called *semiprojective* (see [3]). We shall use (weak) semiprojectivity in the following context. Let A be a $C(X)$ -algebra, let $x \in X$ and let $U_n = \{y \in X : d(y, x) \leq 1/n\}$. Then $J_n = C(X, U_n)A$ is an increasing sequence of ideals of A such that $J = C(X, x)A$, $A/J_n \cong A(U_n)$ and $A/J \cong A(x)$.

Examples 3.2. We shall consider various classes \mathcal{C} consisting of unital separable weakly semiprojective simple C*-algebras. The main examples are the class of simple finite dimensional C*-algebras and the class of unital Kirchberg algebras satisfying the UCT and having finitely generated K-theory groups. The C*-algebras in the latter class are known to be weakly semiprojective by work of Neubüser [30], H. Lin [28] and Spielberg [35]. This also follows from Theorem 3.9 and Corollary 3.11 below. These C*-algebras are semiprojective if they have torsion free K_1 -groups by a result of Spielberg [36] which extended the foundational work of Blackadar [3] and Szymanski [37].

We need the following generalizations of two results of Loring [29]; see [13].

Proposition 3.3. *Let D be a separable semiprojective C*-algebra. For any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset D$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset D$ and $\delta > 0$ with the following property. Let $\pi : A \rightarrow B$ be a surjective *-homomorphism, and let $\sigma : D \rightarrow B$ and $\gamma : D \rightarrow A$ be *-homomorphisms such that $\|\pi\gamma(d) - \sigma(d)\| < \delta$ for all $d \in \mathcal{G}$. Then there is a *-homomorphism $\psi : D \rightarrow A$ such that $\pi\psi = \sigma$ and $\|\gamma(c) - \psi(c)\| < \varepsilon$ for all $c \in \mathcal{F}$.*

Proposition 3.4. *Let D be a separable semiprojective C*-algebra. For any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset D$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset D$ and $\delta > 0$ with the following property. For any two *-homomorphisms $\varphi, \psi : D \rightarrow B$ such that $\|\varphi(d) - \psi(d)\| < \delta$ for all $d \in \mathcal{G}$, there is a homotopy $\chi : D \rightarrow B[0, 1]$ of *-homomorphisms from φ to ψ that satisfies $\|\varphi(c) - \chi_t(c)\| < \varepsilon$ for all $c \in \mathcal{F}$.*

Definition 3.5. (a) A separable unital C^* -algebra D is called *KK-semiprojective* if for any separable C^* -algebra A and any sequence of increasing ideals (J_n) of A with $J = \overline{\bigcup_n J_n}$, the natural map $\varinjlim KK(D, A/J_n) \rightarrow KK(D, A/J)$ is surjective.

(b) We say that the functor $KK(D, -)$ is *continuous* if for any inductive system $B_1 \rightarrow B_2 \rightarrow \dots$ of separable C^* -algebras, the induced map $\varinjlim KK(D, B_i) \rightarrow KK(D, \varinjlim B_i)$ is bijective.

Definition 3.6. A separable C^* -algebra D is *KK-stable* i.e. there is a finite set $\mathcal{G} \subset D$ and there is $\delta > 0$ with the property that for any two $*$ -homomorphisms $\varphi, \psi : D \rightarrow A$ such that $\|\varphi(a) - \psi(a)\| < \delta$ for all $a \in \mathcal{G}$, one has $KK(\varphi) = KK(\psi)$.

Corollary 3.7. *Any unital separable semiprojective C^* -algebra is weakly semiprojective and KK-stable.*

Proof. This follows from Proposition 3.4. \square

Proposition 3.8. *If a separable C^* -algebra D is KK-semiprojective, then D is KK-stable.*

Proof. We shall prove the statement by contradiction. Let (\mathcal{G}_n) be an increasing sequence of finite subsets of D whose union is dense in D . If the statement is not true, then there are sequences of $*$ -homomorphisms $\varphi_n, \psi_n : D \rightarrow A_n$ such that $\|\varphi_n(d) - \psi_n(d)\| < 1/n$ for all $d \in \mathcal{G}_n$ and yet $KK(\varphi_n) \neq KK(\psi_n)$ for all $n \geq 1$. Set $B_i = \prod_{n \geq i} A_n$ and let $\nu_i : B_i \rightarrow B_{i+1}$ be the natural projection. Let us define $\Phi_i, \Psi_i : D \rightarrow B_i$ by $\Phi_i(d) = (\varphi_i(d), \varphi_{i+1}(d), \dots)$ and $\Psi_i(d) = (\psi_i(d), \psi_{i+1}(d), \dots)$, for all d in D . Let B'_i be the separable C^* -subalgebra of B_i generated by the images of Φ_i and Ψ_i . Then $\nu_i(B'_i) \subset B'_{i+1}$ and one verifies immediately that $\varinjlim \Phi_i = \varinjlim \Psi_i : D \rightarrow \varinjlim (B'_i, \nu_i)$. Since D is KK-semiprojective, we deduce that $KK(\Phi_i) = KK(\Psi_i)$ for some i and hence $KK(\varphi_n) = KK(\psi_n)$ for all $n \geq i$. This gives a contradiction. \square

Theorem 3.9. *For a separable C^* -algebra D consider the following properties:*

- (i) *D is KK-semiprojective.*
- (ii) *The functor $KK(D, -)$ is continuous.*
- (iii) *D is weakly semiprojective and KK-stable.*

Then (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii). Moreover, (iii) \Rightarrow (i) if D is nuclear and (i) \Rightarrow (iii) if D is a unital Kirchberg algebra. Thus (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii) for unital Kirchberg algebras.

Proof. The implication (ii) \Rightarrow (i) is obvious. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Let $(B_n, \gamma_{n,n+1})$ be an inductive system with inductive limit B and let $\gamma_n : B_n \rightarrow B$ be the canonical maps. We have an induced map $\beta : \varinjlim KK(D, B_n) \rightarrow KK(D, B)$. First we show that β is surjective. The mapping telescope construction of Larry Brown (as described in the proof of [3, Thm. 3.1]) produces an inductive system of C^* -algebras $(T_n, \eta_{n,n+1})$ with inductive limit B such that each $\eta_{n,n+1}$ is surjective, and each canonical map $\eta_n : T_n \rightarrow B$ is homotopic to $\gamma_n \alpha_n$ for some $*$ -homomorphism $\alpha_n : T_n \rightarrow B_n$. In particular $KK(\eta_n) = KK(\gamma_n)KK(\alpha_n)$. Let $x \in KK(D, B)$. By (i) there is n and $y \in KK(D, T_n)$ such that $KK(\eta_n)y = x$ and hence $KK(\gamma_n)KK(\alpha_n)y = x$. Thus $z = KK(\alpha_n)y \in KK(D, B_n)$ is a lifting of x . Let us show now that the map β is injective. We shall use Cuntz' picture of KK -theory in terms of homotopy classes of $*$ -homomorphisms: $KK(D, B) \cong [qD, B \otimes \mathcal{K}]$. Since β is surjective, qD is (stably) homotopy semiprojective in the sense of Effros and Kaminker [1], and as

shown in their work, the surjectivity of $\beta : \varinjlim [qD, B_i \otimes \mathcal{K}] \rightarrow [qD, B \otimes \mathcal{K}]$ (for all inductive systems (B_i)), implies the injectivity of β (see also [29, 15.1.3]).

(iii) \Rightarrow (i): Let A , (J_n) and J be as in Definition 3.5. Using the five-lemma and the split exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow KK(D, A) \rightarrow KK(D, A^+) \rightarrow KK(D, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow 0,$$

we reduce the proof to the case when A is unital. Let $x \in KK(D, A/J)$. By [33, Thm. 8.3.3], since D is nuclear, there is a $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi : D \rightarrow A/J \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes \mathcal{K}$ such that $KK(\varphi) = x$. Since D is weakly semiprojective, there is n and a $*$ -homomorphism $\psi : D \rightarrow A/J_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes \mathcal{K}$ such that $\|\pi_n \psi(d) - \varphi(d)\| < \delta$ for all $d \in \mathcal{G}$, where \mathcal{G} and δ are as in the definition of KK -stability. Therefore $KK(\pi_n \psi) = KK(\varphi)$ and hence $KK(\psi)$ is a lifting of x to $KK(D, A/J_n)$.

(i) \Rightarrow (iii): D is KK -stable by Proposition 3.8. It remains to show that A is weakly semiprojective. Let A , (J_n) and $\pi_n : A \rightarrow A/J$ be as in the definition of weak semiprojectivity. By [3, Cor. 2.15], we may assume that A and the $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi : D \rightarrow A$ (that we want to lift approximately) are unital. In particular φ is injective since D is simple. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\mathcal{F} \subset D$ (a finite set) be given. Let $v \in D$ be a non-unitary isometry and set $p = vv^*$ and $q = 1 - p$. Since $[q] = 0$ in $K_0(D)$, by Kirchberg's embedding theorem, there is a unital $*$ -homomorphism $\theta : D \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_2 \subset qDq$ as in [33, Prop. 4.2.3]. Define $\gamma : D \rightarrow pDp$ by $\gamma(d) = vdv^*$. Since $KK(\theta) = 0$, $KK(\gamma + \theta) = KK(\gamma) = KK(\text{id}_D)$. Consider the maps $\alpha = \varphi\gamma$ and $\beta = \varphi\theta$ and view them as unital $*$ -homomorphisms $\alpha : D \rightarrow PA/JP$ and $\beta : D \rightarrow QA/JQ$, where $P = \varphi(p)$ and $Q = \varphi(q)$ are orthogonal projections with $P + Q = 1$. Since $\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ is semiprojective, there exist k and nonzero projections $P_n, Q_n \in A/J_n$ ($n \geq k$) which are successive liftings of P and Q and such that $P_n + Q_n = 1$. Since \mathcal{O}_2 is semiprojective, and since β factors through \mathcal{O}_2 , there exist $m \geq k$ and a unital $*$ -homomorphism $\xi : D \rightarrow Q_m A/J_m Q_m$ such that $\pi_m \xi = \beta$. Set $B_n = P_n A/J_n P_n$ and let us observe that since $\pi_n(P_n) = P$ is properly infinite, it follows by [3, Propositions 2.18 and 2.33] that P_n is a properly infinite projection, for all sufficiently large n . Since D is KK -semiprojective, there exist $n \geq m$ and an element $x \in KK(D, B_n)$ which lifts $KK(\alpha)$ and such that $K_0(x)[1] = [P_n]$. By [33, Thm. 8.3.3], there is a full $*$ -homomorphism $\eta : D \rightarrow B_n \otimes \mathcal{K}$ such that $KK(\eta) = x$. By [33, Prop. 4.1.4], since both $\eta(1)$ and P_n are full projections in $B_n \otimes \mathcal{K}$, there is a partial isometry $w \in B_n \otimes \mathcal{K}$ such that $w^*w = \eta(1)$ and $ww^* = P_n$. Replacing η by $w\eta(-)w^*$, we may assume that $\eta : D \rightarrow B_n$ is unital. Then $KK(\pi_n \eta) = KK(\pi_n)x = KK(\alpha)$. Therefore

$$KK(\pi_n(\eta + \xi)) = KK(\alpha + \beta) = KK(\varphi(\gamma + \theta)) = KK(\varphi).$$

By [33, Thm. 8.3.3], if we set $\psi = \eta + \xi$, then $\pi_n \psi \approx_{uh} \varphi$, and so there is a unitary $u \in A/J$ such that $\|u\pi_n \psi(d)u^* - \varphi(d)\| < \varepsilon$ for all $d \in \mathcal{F}$. Since $C(\mathbb{T})$ is semiprojective, after increasing n if necessary, we find a unitary $U \in A/J_n$ which lifts u . Then $\Phi = U\psi(-)U^*$ is an approximate lifting of φ such that $\|\pi_n \Phi(d) - \varphi(d)\| < \varepsilon$ for all $d \in \mathcal{F}$. \square

Corollary 3.10. *Any separable nuclear semiprojective C*-algebra is KK -semiprojective.*

Proof. This is very similar to the proof of the implication (iii) \Rightarrow (i) of Theorem 3.9. Alternately, the statement follows from Corollary 3.7 and Theorem 3.9. \square

Blackadar has shown that a semiprojective Kirchberg algebra satisfying the UCT has finitely generated K-theory groups [33, Prop. 8.4.15]. This extends as follows.

Corollary 3.11. *Let D be a nuclear separable C^* -algebra satisfying the UCT. Then D is KK -semiprojective if and only if $K_*(D)$ is finitely generated.*

Proof. If $K_*(D)$ is finitely generated, then D is KK -semiprojective by [34]. Conversely, assume that D is KK -semiprojective. Since D satisfies the UCT, we infer that if $G = K_i(D)$ ($i = 0, 1$), then G is semiprojective in the category of countable abelian groups, in the sense that if $H_1 \rightarrow H_2 \rightarrow \cdots$ is an inductive system of countable abelian groups with inductive limit H , then the natural map $\varinjlim \text{Hom}(G, H_n) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(G, H)$ is surjective. This implies that G is finitely generated. Indeed, taking $H = G$, we see that id_G lifts to $\text{Hom}(G, H_n)$ for some finitely generated subgroup H_n of G and hence G is a quotient of H_n . □

Proposition 3.12. *Let D be a separable weakly semiprojective C^* -algebra. For any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset D$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset D$ and $\delta > 0$ such that for any C^* -algebras $B \subset A$ and any $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi : D \rightarrow A$ with $\varphi(\mathcal{G}) \subset_\delta B$, there is a $*$ -homomorphism $\psi : D \rightarrow B$ such that $\|\varphi(a) - \psi(a)\| < \varepsilon$ for all $a \in \mathcal{F}$. If in addition D is KK -stable, then we can choose \mathcal{G} and δ such that we also have $KK(\psi) = KK(\varphi)$.*

Proof. This follows from [17, Thms. 3.1, 4.6]. Let us review the crux of the argument. Fix \mathcal{F} and ε . Let (\mathcal{G}_n) be an increasing sequence of finite subsets of D whose union is dense in D . If the statement is not true, then there are sequences of C^* -algebras $C_n \subset A_n$ and $*$ -homomorphisms $\varphi_n : D \rightarrow A_n$ satisfying $\varphi_n(\mathcal{G}_n) \subset_{1/n} C_n$ and with the property that for any $n \geq 1$ there is no $*$ -homomorphism $\psi_n : D \rightarrow C_n$ such that $\|\varphi_n(c) - \psi_n(c)\| < \varepsilon$ for all $c \in \mathcal{F}$. Set $B_i = \prod_{n \geq i} A_n$ and $E_i = \prod_{n \geq i} C_n \subset B_i$. If $\nu_i : B_i \rightarrow B_{i+1}$ is the natural projection, then $\nu_i(C_i) \subset C_{i+1}$. Let us observe that if we define $\Phi_i : D \rightarrow B_i$ by $\Phi_i(d) = (\varphi_i(d), \varphi_{i+1}(d), \dots)$, then the image of $\Phi = \varinjlim \Phi_i : D \rightarrow \varinjlim (B_i, \nu_i)$ is contained in $\varinjlim (E_i, \nu_i)$. Since D is weakly semiprojective, there is i and a $*$ -homomorphism $\Psi_i : D \rightarrow E_i$, of the form $\Psi_i(d) = (\psi_i(d), \psi_{i+1}(d), \dots)$ such that $\|\Phi_i(c) - \Psi_i(c)\| < \varepsilon$ for all $c \in \mathcal{F}$. Therefore $\|\varphi_i(c) - \psi_i(c)\| < \varepsilon$ for all $c \in \mathcal{F}$ which gives a contradiction. □

4. APPROXIMATION OF $C(X)$ -ALGEBRAS

A sequence (A_n) of subalgebras of a C^* -algebra A is called *exhaustive* if for any finite subset \mathcal{F} of A and any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is n such that $\mathcal{F} \subset_\varepsilon A_n$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let \mathcal{C} be a class of separable unital simple weakly semiprojective C^* -algebras. Let X be a compact metrizable space and let A be a unital $C(X)$ -algebra. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ be a finite subset and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $x \in X$ and assume that $A(x)$ admits an exhaustive sequence of C^* -algebras isomorphic to C^* -algebras in \mathcal{C} . Then there is a C^* -algebra D in the class \mathcal{C} and there exist a compact neighborhood U of x and a unital $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi : D \rightarrow A(U)$ such that $\pi_U(\mathcal{F}) \subset_\varepsilon \varphi(D)$.*

Proof. By hypothesis there is $D \in \mathcal{C}$ and a unital $*$ -homomorphism $\iota : D \rightarrow A(x)$ such that $\pi_x(\mathcal{F}) \subset_{\varepsilon/2} \iota(D)$. Therefore if $\mathcal{F} = \{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$, then there is $\{c_1, \dots, c_r\} \subset D$

such that $\|\pi_x(a_i) - \iota(c_i)\| < \varepsilon/2$, for all i . Fix a metric d for the topology of X and set $U_n = \{y \in X : d(x, y) \leq 1/n\}$. Since D is weakly semiprojective, there is a unital $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi : D \rightarrow A(U_n)$ (for some n) such that $\|\pi_x\varphi(c_i) - \iota(c_i)\| < \varepsilon/2$ for all $i = 1, \dots, r$, and hence

$$\|\pi_x\varphi(c_i) - \pi_x(a_i)\| \leq \|\pi_x\varphi(c_i) - \iota(c_i)\| + \|\pi_x(a_i) - \iota(c_i)\| < \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon.$$

By Lemma 2.1(i), after increasing n and setting $U = U_n$ and $\varphi = \pi_U\varphi$, we have

$$\|\varphi(c_i) - \pi_U(a_i)\| = \|\pi_U(\varphi(c_i) - a_i)\| < \varepsilon,$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, r$. This shows that $\pi_U(\mathcal{F}) \subset_\varepsilon \varphi(D)$. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let X be a compact metrizable space and let A be a unital $C(X)$ -algebra the fibers of which are unital Kirchberg algebras. Let D be a unital Kirchberg algebra and suppose that $\varphi : D \rightarrow A$ is a unital $*$ -homomorphism such that $KK(\varphi_x) \in KK(D, A(x))^{-1}$ for some $x \in X$. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ be a finite subset and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there is a closed neighborhood U of x and there exists a unital $*$ -homomorphism $\psi : D \rightarrow A(U)$ satisfying $KK(\psi) = KK(\pi_U\varphi)$ and such that $\pi_U(\mathcal{F}) \subset_\varepsilon \psi(D)$.*

Proof. By [33, Thm. 8.4.1] there is an isomorphism $\varphi_0 : D \rightarrow A(x)$ such that $KK(\varphi_0) = KK(\varphi_x)$. Let $\mathcal{H} \subset D$ be such that $\varphi_0(\mathcal{H}) = \pi_x(\mathcal{F})$. By Theorem 3.1 there is a unitary $u_0 \in A(x)$ such that $\|\varphi_0(a) - u_0\varphi_x(a)u_0^*\| < \varepsilon$ for all $a \in \mathcal{H}$. Fix a metric d for the topology of X and set $U_n = \{y \in X : d(x, y) \leq 1/n\}$. Since $C(\mathbb{T})$ is semiprojective, there is n and a unitary $u \in A(U_n)$ such that $\pi_x(u) = u_0$. Since $\pi_x(\mathcal{F}) \subset_\varepsilon \pi_x(u\pi_{U_n}\varphi(\mathcal{H})u^*)$, there is $r \geq n$ such that $\pi_{U_r}(\mathcal{F}) \subset_\varepsilon \pi_{U_r}(u\pi_{U_n}\varphi(\mathcal{H})u^*)$, by Lemma 2.1(i). Setting $v = \pi_{U_r}(u)$, we have that $U = U_r$ and $\psi = v(\pi_U\varphi)v^*$ satisfy the conclusion of the lemma. \square

The following lemma is useful for the construction of fibered morphisms.

Lemma 4.3. *Let \mathcal{C} be a class of separable unital simple weakly semiprojective C^* -algebras. Let $(D_j)_{j \in J}$ be a finite family of C^* -algebras in \mathcal{C} . For each $j \in J$, let $\mathcal{H}_j \subset D_j$ be a finite set and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $\mathcal{G}_j \subset D_j$ (a finite set) and $\delta_j > 0$ be given by Proposition 3.12 applied to D_j , \mathcal{H}_j and $\varepsilon/2$. Let X be a compact metrizable space, let $(Z_j)_{j \in J}$ be a finite family of mutually disjoint nonempty closed subsets of X and let Y be a closed nonempty subset of X such that $X = Y \cup (\cup_j Z_j)$. Let A be a unital $C(X)$ -algebra and let \mathcal{F} be a finite subset of A . Let $\eta : B(Y) \hookrightarrow A(Y)$ be a unital $C(Y)$ -subalgebra and let $\varphi_j : D_j \rightarrow A(Z_j)$ be unital $*$ -homomorphisms satisfying*

- (i) $\pi_{Z_j}(\mathcal{F}) \subset_{\varepsilon/2} \varphi_j(\mathcal{H}_j)$, for all $j \in J$,
- (ii) $\pi_Y(\mathcal{F}) \subset_\varepsilon \eta(B)$,
- (iii) $\pi_{Y \cap Z_j}^{Z_j} \varphi_j(\mathcal{G}_j) \subset_{\delta_j} \pi_{Y \cap Z_j}^Y \eta(B)$, for all $j \in J$.

Then, there are $C(Z_j)$ -linear unital $*$ -homomorphisms $\psi_j : C(Z_j) \otimes D_j \rightarrow A(Z_j)$, satisfying

$$(5) \quad \|\varphi_j(a) - \psi_j(a)\| < \varepsilon/2, \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{H}_j, \text{ and } j \in J,$$

and such that if we set $E = \oplus_j C(Z_j) \otimes D_j$, $Z = \cup_j Z_j$, and $\psi : E \rightarrow A(Z) = \oplus_j A(Z_j)$, $\psi = \oplus_j \psi_j$, then $\pi_{Y \cap Z}^Z(\psi(E)) \subseteq \pi_{Y \cap Z}^Y(\eta(B))$, $\pi_Z(\mathcal{F}) \subset_\varepsilon \psi(E)$ and

$$\mathcal{F} \subset_\varepsilon \eta(B) \oplus_{Y \cap Z} \psi(E) = \chi(B \oplus_{\pi\eta, \pi\psi} E),$$

where χ is the isomorphism induced by the pair (η, ψ) . If we assume that each D_j is KK -stable, then we also have $KK(\varphi_j) = KK(\psi_j|_{D_j})$ for all $j \in J$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{a_1, \dots, a_r\} \subset A$ be as in the statement. By (i) we find $\{c_1^{(j)}, \dots, c_r^{(j)}\} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_j$ such that $\|\varphi_j(c_i^{(j)}) - \pi_{Z_j}(a_i)\| < \varepsilon/2$ for all i . Consider the $C(X)$ -algebra $A \oplus_Y \eta(B) \subset A$. From (iii), Lemma 2.1 (vi) and Lemma 2.3 we obtain

$$\varphi_j(\mathcal{G}_j) \subset_{\delta_j} \pi_{Z_j}(A \oplus_Y \eta(B)).$$

Applying Proposition 3.12 we perturb φ_j to a $*$ -monomorphism $\psi_j : D_j \rightarrow \pi_{Z_j}(A \oplus_Y \eta(B))$ satisfying (5), and hence such that $\|\varphi_j(c_i^{(j)}) - \psi_j(c_i^{(j)})\| < \varepsilon/2$, for all i, j . Therefore

$$\|\psi_j(c_i^{(j)}) - \pi_{Z_j}(a_i)\| \leq \|\psi_j(c_i^{(j)}) - \varphi_j(c_i^{(j)})\| + \|\varphi_j(c_i^{(j)}) - \pi_{Z_j}(a_i)\| < \varepsilon.$$

This shows that $\pi_{Z_j}(\mathcal{F}) \subset_\varepsilon \psi_j(D_j)$. Extending ψ_j to a $C(Z_j)$ -linear unital $*$ -homomorphism, $\psi_j : C(Z_j) \otimes D_j \rightarrow \pi_{Z_j}(A \oplus_Y \eta(B))$, and defining E and ψ as in the statement and setting $Z = \cup_j Z_j$, we obtain that $\psi : E \rightarrow (A \oplus_Y \eta(B))(Z) \subset A(Z)$ satisfies

$$(6) \quad \pi_Z(\mathcal{F}) \subset_\varepsilon \psi(E).$$

The property $\psi(E) \subset (A \oplus_Y \eta(B))(Z)$ is equivalent to $\pi_{Y \cap Z}^Z(\psi(E)) \subseteq \pi_{Y \cap Z}^Y(\eta(B))$ by Lemma 2.4(b). Finally from (ii), (6) and Lemma 2.1 (iv) we get $\mathcal{F} \subset_\varepsilon \eta(B) \oplus_{Y \cap Z} \psi(E)$. \square

Let A be a unital $C(X)$ -algebra. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ be a finite subset and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let \mathcal{C} be a class of separable unital simple weakly semiprojective C^* -algebras. An $(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon, \mathcal{C})$ -approximation of A is a family

$$(7) \quad \alpha = \{\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon, \{U_i, \varphi_i : D_i \rightarrow A(U_i), \mathcal{H}_i, \mathcal{G}_i, \delta_i\}_{i \in I}\},$$

with the following properties. $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ a finite family of closed subsets of X , whose interiors cover X . $(D_i)_{i \in I}$ is a finite family of C^* -algebras in \mathcal{C} . For each $i \in I$, $\varphi_i : D_i \rightarrow A(U_i)$ is a unital $*$ -monomorphism, and $\mathcal{H}_i \subset D_i$ is a finite set such that

$$\pi_{U_i}(\mathcal{F}) \subset_{\varepsilon/2} \varphi_i(\mathcal{H}_i).$$

For each $i \in I$, $\mathcal{G}_i \subset D_i$ and $\delta_i > 0$ are given by Proposition 3.12 applied to the weakly semiprojective C^* -algebra D_i for the input data \mathcal{H}_i and $\varepsilon/2$. If D_i is KK -stable, then \mathcal{G}_i and δ_i are chosen such that the second part of Proposition 3.12 also applies.

Lemma 4.4. *Let A and \mathcal{C} be as above. Suppose that for each $x \in X$, $A(x)$ admits an exhaustive sequence of C^* -algebras isomorphic to C^* -algebras in \mathcal{C} . Then for any finite subset \mathcal{F} of A and any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an $(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon, \mathcal{C})$ -approximation of A . Moreover, if A , D and φ are as in Lemma 4.2 and $KK(\varphi_x) \in KK(D, A(x))^{-1}$ for all $x \in X$, then there is an $(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon, D)$ -approximation of A such that $KK(\varphi_i) = KK(\pi_{U_i} \varphi)$ for all $i \in I$.*

Proof. Since X is compact, this is an immediate consequence of Lemmas 4.1, 4.2 and Proposition 3.12. \square

It is useful to consider the following operation of restriction. Assume that Y is a closed subspace of X , and let $(V_j)_{j \in J}$ be a finite family of closed subsets of Y which refines the

family $(Y \cap U_i)_{i \in I}$ and such that the interiors of V'_j s form a cover of Y . Let $\iota : J \rightarrow I$ be a map such that $V_j \subseteq Y \cap U_{\iota(j)}$. Define

$$\iota^*(\alpha) = \{\pi_Y(\mathcal{F}), \varepsilon, \{V_j, \pi_{V_j} \varphi_{\iota(j)} : D_{\iota(j)} \rightarrow A(V_j), \mathcal{H}_{\iota(j)}, \mathcal{G}_{\iota(j)}, \delta_{\iota(j)}\}_{j \in J}\}.$$

It is obvious that $\iota^*(\alpha)$ is a $(\pi_Y(\mathcal{F}), \varepsilon, \mathcal{C})$ -approximation of $A(Y)$. The operation $\alpha \mapsto \iota^*(\alpha)$ is useful even in the case $X = Y$. Indeed, by applying this procedure, we can refine the cover of X that appears in a given $(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon, \mathcal{C})$ -approximation of A .

An $(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon, \mathcal{C})$ -approximation of A , $\alpha = \{\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon, \{U_i, \varphi_i : D_i \rightarrow A(U_i), \mathcal{H}_i, \mathcal{G}_i, \delta_i\}_{i \in I}\}$ is subordinated to an $(\mathcal{F}', \varepsilon', \mathcal{C})$ -approximation of A , $\alpha' = \{\mathcal{F}', \varepsilon', \{U_{i'}, \varphi_{i'} : D_{i'} \rightarrow A(U_{i'}), \mathcal{H}_{i'}, \mathcal{G}_{i'}, \delta_{i'}\}_{i' \in I'}\}$, written $\alpha \prec \alpha'$, if

- (i) $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}'$,
- (ii) $\varphi_i(\mathcal{G}_i) \subseteq \pi_{U_i}(\mathcal{F}')$ for all $i \in I$, and
- (iii) $\varepsilon' < \min(\{\varepsilon\} \cup \{\delta_i, i \in I\})$.

It is clear that with notation as above, and $\iota' : I' \rightarrow J'$, we have $\iota^*(\alpha) \prec \iota'^*(\alpha')$ whenever $\alpha \prec \alpha'$ and $Y = Y'$.

The following theorem is the crucial technical result of our paper. It provides an approximation of $C(X)$ -algebras by subalgebras of category $\leq \dim(X)$.

Theorem 4.5. *Let \mathcal{C} be a class of separable simple unital weakly semiprojective C*-algebras. Let X be a finite dimensional compact metrizable space and suppose that A is a unital $C(X)$ -algebra the fibers of which admit exhaustive sequences of C*-algebras isomorphic to C*-algebras in \mathcal{C} . Then for any finite set $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a unital n -fibered \mathcal{C} -morphism into A , (ψ_0, \dots, ψ_n) , such that $\mathcal{F} \subseteq_\varepsilon \eta(A(\psi_0, \dots, \psi_n))$, where $n \leq \dim(X)$ and η is induced by (ψ_0, \dots, ψ_n) .*

Proof. By Lemma 4.4, for any finite set $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an $(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon, \mathcal{C})$ -approximation of A . Moreover, for any finite set $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any n , there is a sequence $\{\alpha_k : 0 \leq k \leq n\}$ of $(\mathcal{F}_k, \varepsilon_k, \mathcal{C})$ -approximations of A such that $(\mathcal{F}_0, \varepsilon_0) = (\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon)$ and α_k is subordinated to α_{k+1} :

$$\alpha_0 \prec \alpha_1 \prec \dots \prec \alpha_n.$$

Indeed, assume that α_k was constructed. Let us choose a finite set \mathcal{F}_{k+1} which contains \mathcal{F}_k and liftings to A of all the elements in $\cup_{i_k \in I_k} \varphi_{i_k}(\mathcal{G}_{i_k})$. This choice takes care of the conditions (i) and (ii). Next we choose ε_{k+1} sufficiently small such that (iii) is satisfied. Let α_{k+1} be an $(\mathcal{F}_{k+1}, \varepsilon_{k+1}, \mathcal{C})$ -approximation of A given by Lemma 4.4. Then obviously $\alpha_k \prec \alpha_{k+1}$.

Since X is a compact Hausdorff space of dimension $\leq n$, by [6, Lemma 3.2], for every open cover \mathcal{V} of X there is a finite open cover \mathcal{U} which refines \mathcal{V} and such that the set \mathcal{U} can be partitioned into $n + 1$ subsets consisting of elements with pairwise disjoint closures. Since we can refine simultaneously the covers that appear in a finite family $\{\alpha_k : 0 \leq k \leq n\}$ of approximations while preserving subordinations, we may arrange not only that all α_k share the same cover $(U_i)_{i \in I}$, but moreover, that the cover $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ can be partitioned into $n + 1$ subsets $\mathcal{U}_0, \dots, \mathcal{U}_n$ consisting of mutually disjoint elements, by [6, Lemma 3.2]. For definiteness, let us write $\mathcal{U}_k = \{U_{i_k} : i_k \in I_k\}$. Now for each k we

consider the closed subset of X

$$Y_k = \bigcup_{i_k \in I_k} U_{i_k},$$

the map $\iota_k : I_k \rightarrow I$ and the $(\pi_{Y_k}(\mathcal{F}_k), \varepsilon_k, \mathcal{C})$ -approximation of $A(Y_k)$, induced by α_k , which is of the form

$$\iota_k^*(\alpha_k) = \{\pi_{Y_k}(\mathcal{F}_k), \varepsilon, \{U_{i_k}, \varphi_{i_k} : D_{i_k} \rightarrow A(U_{i_k}), \mathcal{H}_{i_k}, \mathcal{G}_{i_k}, \delta_{i_k}\}_{i_k \in I_k}\},$$

with each U_{i_k} is nonempty. We have

$$(8) \quad \pi_{U_{i_k}}(\mathcal{F}_k) \subset_{\varepsilon_k/2} \varphi_{i_k}(\mathcal{H}_{i_k}),$$

by construction. Since $\alpha_k \prec \alpha_{k+1}$ we obtain

$$(9) \quad \mathcal{F}_k \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{k+1},$$

$$(10) \quad \varphi_{i_k}(\mathcal{G}_{i_k}) \subseteq \pi_{U_{i_k}}(\mathcal{F}_{k+1}), \text{ for all } i_k \in I_k,$$

$$(11) \quad \varepsilon_{k+1} < \min(\{\varepsilon_k\} \cup \{\delta_{i_k}, i_k \in I_k\}).$$

Set $X_k = Y_k \cup \dots \cup Y_n$ and $D_k = \oplus_{i_k} C(U_{i_k}) \otimes D_{i_k}$ for $0 \leq k \leq n$. We construct by induction on decreasing k , a sequence ψ_n, \dots, ψ_0 of unital $*$ -monomorphisms, such that $\psi_k : D_k \rightarrow A(Y_k)$ is $C(Y_k)$ -linear and such that (ψ_k, \dots, ψ_n) is an $(n-k)$ -fibered morphism into $A(X_k)$. Each map

$$\psi_k = \oplus_{i_k} \psi_{i_k} : D_k \rightarrow A(Y_k) = \oplus_{i_k} A(U_{i_k})$$

will have components $\psi_{i_k} : C(U_{i_k}) \otimes D_{i_k} \rightarrow A(U_{i_k})$ whose restrictions to D_{i_k} will be perturbations of $\varphi_{i_k} : D_{i_k} \rightarrow A(U_{i_k})$, $i_k \in I_k$. We will construct the maps ψ_k recursively, such that if $B_k = A(X_k)(\psi_k, \dots, \psi_n)$ and $\eta_k : B_k \rightarrow A(X_k)$ is the map induced by the $(n-k)$ -fibered morphism (ψ_k, \dots, ψ_n) , then

$$(12) \quad \pi_{X_{k+1} \cap U_{i_k}}(\psi_{i_k}(D_{i_k})) \subset \pi_{X_{k+1} \cap U_{i_k}}(\eta_{k+1}(B_{k+1})), \forall i_k \in I_k,$$

and

$$(13) \quad \pi_{X_k}(\mathcal{F}_k) \subset_{\varepsilon_k} \eta_k(B_k).$$

Note that (12) implies that

$$(14) \quad \pi_{X_{k+1} \cap Y_k}(\psi_k(D_k)) \subset \pi_{X_{k+1} \cap Y_k}(\eta_{k+1}(B_{k+1})).$$

For the first step of induction, $k = n$, we choose $\psi_n = \tilde{\varphi}_n$, where $\tilde{\varphi}_n = \oplus_{i_n} \tilde{\varphi}_{i_n}$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_{i_n} : C(U_{i_n}) \otimes D_{i_n} \rightarrow A(U_{i_n})$ are $C(U_{i_n})$ -linear extensions of the original φ_{i_n} . Then $B_n = D_n$ and $\eta_n = \psi_n$. Assume that $\psi_n, \dots, \psi_{k+1}$ were constructed and that they have the desired properties. We shall construct now ψ_k . Condition (13) formulated for $k+1$ becomes

$$(15) \quad \pi_{X_{k+1}}(\mathcal{F}_{k+1}) \subset_{\varepsilon_{k+1}} \eta_{k+1}(B_{k+1}).$$

Since $\varepsilon_{k+1} < \delta_{i_k}$, by using (10) and (15) we obtain

$$(16) \quad \pi_{X_{k+1} \cap U_{i_k}}(\varphi_{i_k}(\mathcal{G}_{i_k})) \subset_{\delta_{i_k}} \pi_{X_{k+1} \cap U_{i_k}}(\eta_{k+1}(B_{k+1})), \text{ for all } i_k \in I_k.$$

Since $\mathcal{F}_k \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{k+1}$ and $\varepsilon_{k+1} < \varepsilon_k$, we derive from (15) that

$$(17) \quad \pi_{X_{k+1}}(\mathcal{F}_k) \subset_{\varepsilon_k} \eta_{k+1}(B_{k+1}).$$

The conditions (8), (16) and (17) enable us to apply Lemma 4.3 and perturb φ_{i_k} to a unital $*$ -homomorphism ψ_{i_k} satisfying (12) and (13) and

$$(18) \quad KK(\psi_{i_k}) = KK(\varphi_{i_k}).$$

if the algebras in \mathcal{C} are assumed to be KK -stable. Then we extend each ψ_{i_k} to a $C(U_{i_k})$ -linear $*$ -homomorphism, $\psi_{i_k} : C(U_{i_k}) \otimes D_{i_k} \rightarrow A(U_{i_k})$ and define $\psi_k = \bigoplus_{i_k} \psi_{i_k}$. This completes the construction of (ψ_0, \dots, ψ_n) . Condition (13) for $k = 0$ gives $\mathcal{F} \subset_\varepsilon \eta_0(B_0) = \eta_0(A(\psi_0, \dots, \psi_n))$. Thus (ψ_0, \dots, ψ_n) satisfies the conclusion of the theorem and $\text{cat}_{\mathcal{C}}(B_0) \leq n$. \square

Theorem 4.6. *Let X be a finite dimensional compact metrizable space and let A be a separable unital $C(X)$ -algebra the fibers of which are Kirchberg algebras. Let D be a unital KK -semiprojective Kirchberg algebra and suppose that there exists $\sigma \in KK(D, A)$ such that $\sigma_x \in KK(D, A(x))^{-1}$ for all $x \in X$. Then there is unital $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi : D \rightarrow A$ such that $KK(\varphi) = \sigma$. Moreover, for any finite subset \mathcal{F} of A and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a unital n -fibered $\{D\}$ -morphism into A , (ψ_0, \dots, ψ_n) , where $n \leq \dim(X)$, such that $\psi_i : C(Y_i) \otimes D \rightarrow A(Y_i)$ satisfy $KK(\psi_i) = KK(\pi_{Y_i} \tilde{\varphi})$ for all $i = 0, \dots, n$. Moreover if $\eta : A(\psi_0, \dots, \psi_n) \rightarrow A$ is the corresponding induced map, then $\mathcal{F} \subset_\varepsilon \eta(A(\psi_0, \dots, \psi_n))$, and $KK(\eta_x)$ is a KK -equivalence for each $x \in X$.*

Proof. A is isomorphic to $A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$ by [7] and [26], as explained in [14, Lemma 3.4]. Therefore σ lifts to a unital $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi : D \rightarrow A$ by Theorem 3.1. Its $C(X)$ -linear extension is denoted by $\tilde{\varphi}$. We repeat the proof of Theorem 4.5 while using only $(\mathcal{F}_i, \varepsilon_i, D)$ -approximations of A provided by the second part of Lemma 4.4. The outcome will be a unital n -fibered $\{D\}$ -morphism into A , (ψ_0, \dots, ψ_n) such that $\mathcal{F} \subset_\varepsilon A(\psi_0, \dots, \psi_n)$. Moreover we can arrange that $KK(\psi_i) = KK(\pi_{Y_i} \tilde{\varphi})$ for all $i = 0, \dots, n$, by (18), since $KK(\varphi_{i_k}) = KK(\pi_{U_{i_k}} \varphi)$ by Lemma 4.4. For each $x \in X$, $\eta_x = (\psi_i)_x$ for some i , and hence $KK(\eta_x) = KK(\varphi_x)$. \square

Remark 4.7. Let us point out that we can strengthen the conclusion of Theorems 4.5 and 4.6 as follows. Fix a metric d for the topology of X . Then we may arrange that there is a closed cover $\{Y'_0, \dots, Y'_n\}$ of X and a number $\ell > 0$ such that $\{x : d(x, Y'_i) \leq \ell\} \subset Y_i$ for $i = 0, \dots, n$. Indeed, when we choose the finite closed cover $\mathcal{U} = (U_i)_{i \in I}$ of X in the proof of Theorem 4.5 which can be partitioned into $n + 1$ subsets $\mathcal{U}_0, \dots, \mathcal{U}_n$ consisting of mutually disjoint elements, as given by [6, Lemma 3.2], and which refines all the covers $\mathcal{U}(\alpha_0), \dots, \mathcal{U}(\alpha_n)$ corresponding to $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n$, we may assume that \mathcal{U} also refines the covers given by the interiors of the elements of $\mathcal{U}(\alpha_0), \dots, \mathcal{U}(\alpha_n)$. Since each U_i is compact and I is finite, there is $\ell > 0$ such that if $V_i = \{x : d(x, U_i) \leq \ell\}$, then the cover $\mathcal{V} = (V_i)_{i \in I}$ refines all of $\mathcal{U}(\alpha_0), \dots, \mathcal{U}(\alpha_n)$ and moreover the elements of $\mathcal{V}_k = \{V_i : U_i \in \mathcal{U}_k\}$, are disjoint for each $i = 0, \dots, n$. We shall use the cover \mathcal{V} rather than \mathcal{U} in the proof of the two theorems and observe that $Y'_k = \bigcup_{i_k \in I_k} U_{i_k} \subset \bigcup_{i_k \in I_k} V_{i_k} = Y_k$ has the desired property.

5. REPRESENTING $C(X)$ -ALGEBRAS AS INDUCTIVE LIMITS

Theorem 4.5 separable produces exhaustive sequences for certain algebras $C(X)$ -algebras. In this section we show how to pass from an exhaustive sequence to a nested exhaustive

sequence using semiprojectivity. The remainder of the paper does not depend on this section.

Proposition 5.1. *Let X be a compact metrizable space and let A be a unital $C(X)$ -algebra. Let \mathcal{C} be a class of separable unital simple semiprojective C^* -algebras. Let (ψ_0, \dots, ψ_n) be a unital n -fibered \mathcal{C} -morphism into A , where $\psi_i : D_i \rightarrow A(Y_i)$. Let \mathcal{F} be a finite subset of $A(\psi_0, \dots, \psi_n)$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there are finite sets $\mathcal{G}_i \subset D_i$, and numbers $\delta_i > 0$, $i = 0, \dots, n$, such that for any unital $C(X)$ -subalgebra $E \subset A$ which satisfies $\psi_i(\mathcal{G}_i) \subset_{\delta_i} E(Y_i)$, $i = 0, \dots, n$, there is a unital n -fibered morphism $(\psi'_0, \dots, \psi'_n)$ into E , with $\psi'_i : D_i \rightarrow E(Y_i)$, and such that*

(i) $\|\psi_i(a) - \psi'_i(a)\| < \varepsilon$ for all $a \in p_i(\mathcal{F})$ and all $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$, where $p_i : A(\psi_0, \dots, \psi_n) \rightarrow D_i$ is the natural projection map,

(ii) $(\psi_j)_x^{-1}(\psi_i)_x = (\psi'_j)_x^{-1}(\psi'_i)_x$ for all $x \in Y_i \cap Y_j$ and $0 \leq i \leq j \leq n$.

It follows that $A(\psi_0, \dots, \psi_n) = A(\psi'_0, \dots, \psi'_n)$ and $\|\eta(a) - \eta'(a)\| < \varepsilon$ for all $a \in \mathcal{F}$, where η and η' are the maps from $A(\psi_0, \dots, \psi_n)$ to A , and respectively to E , induced by (ψ_0, \dots, ψ_n) and $(\psi'_0, \dots, \psi'_n)$.

Proof. We argue by induction on n . If $n = 0$, the statement follows from Proposition 3.12. Assume now that the statement is true for $n - 1$, and let \mathcal{F} and ε be given. The algebra D_0 is of the form $D_0 = \oplus_{i_0} C(U_{i_0}) \otimes D_{i_0}$, where we use the same notation as in the proof of Theorem 4.5. Let $D = \oplus_{i_0} D_{i_0}$. Since we work with morphisms on D_0 whose components are $C(U_{i_0})$ -linear, we may assume without any loss of generality, that $\mathcal{F}_0 := p_0(\mathcal{F}) \subset D$. Let \mathcal{G} and δ be given by applying Proposition 3.3 to the C^* -algebra D , for the input data \mathcal{F}_0 and $\varepsilon/2$. We may assume that $\mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{G}$ and $\delta < \varepsilon$. We will use the notation from Definition 2.6. Set $B_1 = A(X_1)(\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n)$ and let $\mathcal{H} \subset B_1$ be a finite set such that

$$(19) \quad \pi_{X_1}(\mathcal{F}) \subset \mathcal{H}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$(20) \quad \eta_1^{-1} \pi_{X_1 \cap Y_0}^{Y_0} \psi_0(\mathcal{G}) \subset \pi_{X_1 \cap Y_0}^{X_1}(\mathcal{H}).$$

Note that the existence of \mathcal{H} is assured, since the image of η_1 restricted to $X_1 \cap Y_0$ contains the restriction to the same set of $\psi_0(D_0)$, as a consequence of (3). Let (ψ_0, \dots, ψ_n) and E be as above. Let $\mathcal{G}_1, \dots, \mathcal{G}_n$ and $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n$ be given by the inductive assumption for $n - 1$ applied to $A(X_1)$, (ψ_1, \dots, ψ_n) , \mathcal{H} and $\delta/2$. After this preparation, we are ready to chose \mathcal{G}_0 and δ_0 . Specifically, they are given by Proposition 3.12 applied to D for the input data \mathcal{G} and $\delta/2$. We need to show that $\mathcal{G}_0, \mathcal{G}_1, \dots, \mathcal{G}_n$ and $\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_n$ satisfy the statement. By the inductive assumption, there exists a unital $(n - 1)$ -fibered morphism $(\psi'_1, \dots, \psi'_n)$ into $E(X_1)$, such that

- (a) $\|\psi_i(a) - \psi'_i(a)\| < \delta/2 < \varepsilon$ for all $a \in p_i(\mathcal{H})$ and all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$
- (b) $(\psi_j)_x^{-1}(\psi_i)_x = (\psi'_j)_x^{-1}(\psi'_i)_x$ for all $x \in Y_i \cap Y_j$ and $1 \leq i \leq j$.
- (c) $\|\eta_1(a) - \eta'_1(a)\| < \delta/2$ for all $a \in \mathcal{H}$.

It remains to construct $\psi'_0 : D_0 \rightarrow E(Y_0)$ such that $\|\psi_0(a) - \psi'_0(a)\| < \varepsilon$ for all $a \in \mathcal{F}_0$ and such that (b) holds for $i = 0$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. The latter condition is readily seen to be equivalent to the equation $\eta'_1 \eta_1^{-1} \pi_0 \psi_0 = \pi_0 \psi'_0$, where π_0 denotes the restriction map

to $X_1 \cap Y_0$. The setup is illustrated by the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} B_1 & \xrightarrow{\pi} & B_1(X_1 \cap Y_0) & \xleftarrow{\eta_1^{-1}\pi_0\psi_0} & D_0 \\ \downarrow \eta'_1 & & \downarrow \eta'_1 & & \downarrow \psi'_0 \\ E(X_1) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & E(X_1 \cap Y_0) & \xleftarrow{\pi_0} & E(Y_0) \end{array}$$

By assumption, $\psi_0(\mathcal{G}_0) \subset_{\delta_0} E(Y_0)$. By Proposition 3.12 there is a unital $*$ -homomorphism $\gamma_0 : D \rightarrow E(Y_0)$ with components $\gamma_{i_0} : D_{i_0} \rightarrow E(Y_{i_0})$ such that

$$(21) \quad \|\gamma_0(d) - \psi_0(d)\| < \delta/2$$

for all $d \in \mathcal{G}$. Let us verify that the $C(Y_0)$ -linear extension of γ_0 to D_0 is an approximate lifting of $\eta'_1 \eta_1^{-1} \pi_0 \psi_0$. If $d \in \mathcal{G}$, then by (20), $\eta_1^{-1} \pi_0 \psi_0(d) = \pi_0(a)$, for some $a \in \mathcal{H}$. From (c) and (21) we have

$$\|\eta'_1 \eta_1^{-1} \pi_0 \psi_0(d) - \pi_0 \gamma_0(d)\| \leq \|\eta'_1 \pi_0(a) - \eta_1 \pi_0(a)\| + \|\pi_0 \psi_0(d) - \pi_0 \gamma_0(d)\| < \delta$$

for all $d \in \mathcal{G}$. By Proposition 3.3, there is a $*$ -homomorphism $\psi'_0 : D \rightarrow E(Y_0)$ such that $\pi_0 \psi'_0 = \eta'_1 \eta_1^{-1} \pi_0 \psi_0$ and $\|\psi'_0(c) - \gamma_0(c)\| < \varepsilon/2$ for all $c \in \mathcal{F}_0$. Since $\mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{G}$ and $\delta < \varepsilon$, by combining the last estimate with (21), we obtain $\|\psi_0(a) - \psi'_0(a)\| < \varepsilon$ for all $a \in \mathcal{F}_0$. \square

The following result gives an inductive limit representation for $C(X)$ -algebras whose fibers are inductive limits of simple semiprojective C*-algebras. For example the fibers can be UHF-algebras or unital Kirchberg algebras D satisfying the UCT and such that $K_1(D)$ is torsion free. Indeed, by [33, Prop. 8.4.13], D can be written as the inductive limit of a sequence of unital Kirchberg algebras (D_n) with finitely generated K-theory groups and torsion free K_1 -groups. The algebras D_n are semiprojective by [36].

Theorem 5.2. *Let \mathcal{C} be a class of unital simple semiprojective C*-algebras. Let X be a finite dimensional compact metrizable space and let A be a separable unital $C(X)$ -algebra such that all its fibers admit exhaustive sequences consisting C*-algebras isomorphic to C*-algebras in \mathcal{C} . Then A is isomorphic to the inductive limit of a sequence of unital $C(X)$ -algebras A_k such that $\text{cat}_{\mathcal{C}}(A_k) \leq \dim(X)$.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.5 and Proposition 5.1 we find a sequence of n -fibered morphisms into A , denoted $(\psi_0^{(k)}, \dots, \psi_n^{(k)})$, with induced unital $*$ -monomorphisms $\eta^{(k)} : A_k = A(\psi_0^{(k)}, \dots, \psi_n^{(k)}) \rightarrow A$ with the following properties. There is a sequence of finite sets $\mathcal{F}_k \subset A_k$ and a sequence of $C(X)$ -linear unital $*$ -monomorphisms $\mu_k : A_k \rightarrow A_{k+1}$ such that

- (i) $\|\eta^{(k+1)} \mu_k(a) - \eta^{(k)}(a)\| < 2^{-k}$ for all $a \in \mathcal{F}_k$ and all $k \geq 1$,
- (ii) $\mu_k(\mathcal{F}_k) \subset \mathcal{F}_{k+1}$ for all $k \geq 1$,
- (iii) $\bigcup_{j=k}^{\infty} (\mu_j \circ \dots \circ \mu_k)^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_j)$ is dense in A_k and $\bigcup_{j=k}^{\infty} \eta^{(j)}(\mathcal{F}_j)$ is dense in A for all $k \geq 1$.

Arguing as in the proof of [33, Prop. 2.3.2], one verifies that

$$\varphi_k(a) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \eta^{(j)} \circ (\mu_j \circ \dots \circ \mu_k)(a)$$

defines a sequence of $*$ -monomorphisms $\varphi_k : A_k \rightarrow A$ such that $\varphi_{k+1} \mu_k = \varphi_k$ and the induced map $\varphi : \varinjlim_k (A_k, \mu_k) \rightarrow A$ is an isomorphism of $C(X)$ -algebras. \square

6. WHEN IS A $C(X)$ -ALGEBRA (LOCALLY) TRIVIAL

For unital C^* -algebras A, B we endow the space $\text{Hom}(A, B)$ of unital $*$ -homomorphisms with the topology of the point-norm convergence. If X is a compact metric space, then $\text{Hom}(A, C(X) \otimes B)$ is homeomorphic to the space of continuous maps from X to $\text{Hom}(A, B)$ endowed with the compact-open topology. We shall identify a $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(A, C(X) \otimes B)$ with the corresponding continuous map $X \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, B)$, $x \mapsto \varphi_x$, $\varphi_x(a) = \varphi(a)(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and $a \in A$. Let D be a C^* -algebra and let A be a $C(X)$ -algebra. If $\alpha : D \rightarrow A$ is a $*$ -homomorphism, let us denote by $\tilde{\alpha} : C(X) \otimes D \rightarrow A$ its (unique) $C(X)$ -linear extension and write $\tilde{\alpha} \in \text{Hom}_{C(X)}(C(X) \otimes D, A)$. For C^* -algebras D, B we shall make without further comment the following identifications

$$\text{Hom}_{C(X)}(C(X) \otimes D, C(X) \otimes B) \equiv \text{Hom}(D, C(X) \otimes B) \equiv C(X, \text{Hom}(D, B)).$$

For a unital C^* -algebra D we denote by $\text{End}(D)$ the unital $*$ -endomorphisms of D and by $\text{End}(D)^0$ the path component of id_D in $\text{End}(D)$. Let us consider

$$\text{End}(D)^* = \{\gamma \in \text{End}(D) : KK(\gamma) \in KK(D, D)^{-1}\}.$$

Proposition 6.1. *Let X be a compact metrizable space and let D be a unital KK -semiprojective Kirchberg algebra. Let $\alpha : D \rightarrow C(X) \otimes D$ be a unital $*$ -homomorphism such that $KK(\alpha_x) \in KK(D, D)^{-1}$ for all $x \in X$. Then there is a unital $*$ -homomorphism $\Phi : D \rightarrow C(X \times [0, 1]) \otimes D$ such that $\Phi_{(x,0)} = \alpha_x$ and $\Phi_{(x,t)} \in \text{Aut}(D)$ for all $x \in X$ and $t \in (0, 1]$. Moreover, if $\Phi_1 : D \rightarrow C(X) \otimes D$ is defined by $\Phi_1(d)(x) = \Phi_{(x,1)}(d)$, for all $d \in D$ and $x \in X$, then $\alpha \approx_{uh} \Phi_1$.*

Proof. Since X is a metrizable compact space, X is homoeomorphic to the projective limit of a sequence of finite simplicial complexes (X_i) . Since D is KK -semiprojective, $KK(D, \varinjlim C(X_i) \otimes D) = KK(D, C(X) \otimes D)$ by Theorem 3.9. By Theorem 3.1, there is i and a unital $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi : D \rightarrow C(X_i) \otimes D$ whose KK -class maps onto $KK(\alpha) \in KK(D, C(X) \otimes D)$. To summarize, we have found a finite simplicial complex Y , a continuous map $h : X \rightarrow Y$ and a continuous map $y \mapsto \varphi_y \in \text{End}(D)$, defined on Y , such that the unital $*$ -homomorphism $h^*\varphi : D \rightarrow C(X) \otimes D$ corresponding to the continuous map $x \mapsto \varphi_{h(x)}$ satisfies $KK(h^*\varphi) = KK(\alpha)$. We may arrange that $h(X)$ intersects all the path components of Y , by dropping the path components which are not intersected. Since $\alpha_x \in \text{End}(D)^*$ by hypothesis, and since $KK(\alpha_x) = KK(\varphi_{h(x)})$, we infer that $\varphi_y \in \text{End}(D)^*$ for all $y \in Y$. We shall find two continuous maps $y \mapsto \psi_y \in \text{End}(D)^*$, $y \mapsto \theta_y \in \text{Aut}(D)$ defined on Y , such that the maps $y \mapsto \psi_y \theta_y \varphi_y$ and $y \mapsto \theta_y \varphi_y \psi_y$ are homotopic to the constant function that maps Y to id_D . It is clear that it suffices to deal with each path component of Y separately, so that for this part of the proof we may assume that Y is connected. Fix a point $z \in Y$. By [33, Thm. 8.4.1] there is $\nu \in \text{Aut}(D)$ such that $KK(\nu^{-1}) = KK(\varphi_z)$ and hence $KK(\nu\varphi_z) = KK(\text{id}_D)$. By Theorem 3.1, there is a unitary $u \in D$ such that $u\nu\varphi_z(-)u^*$ is homotopic to id_D . Let us set $\theta = u\nu(-)u^* \in \text{Aut}(D)$ and observe that $\theta\varphi_z \in \text{End}(D)^0$. Since Y is path connected, it follows that the entire image of the map $y \mapsto \theta\varphi_y$ is contained in $\text{End}(D)^0$. Since $\text{End}(D)^0$ is a path connected H-space with unit element, it follows by [38, Thm. 2.4, p462] that the homotopy classes $[Y, \text{End}(D)^0]$ (with no condition on basepoints, since the action of the fundamental group $\pi_1(\text{End}(D)^0, \text{id}_D)$ is trivial by [19, p422]) form a group under the natural multiplication.

This proves the existence of the maps ψ and θ ; θ is constant on each path component of Y . Composing with h we obtain the maps $x \mapsto \theta_{h(x)}\varphi_{h(x)}\psi_{h(x)}$ and $x \mapsto \psi_{h(x)}\theta_{h(x)}\varphi_{h(x)}$ are homotopic to the constant function that maps X to id_D . By the homotopy invariance of KK -theory we obtain that

$$KK(\widetilde{h^*\theta h^*\varphi h^*\psi}) = KK(\widetilde{h^*\psi h^*\theta h^*\varphi}) = KK(\iota_D),$$

where $\widetilde{h^*\theta}$, $\widetilde{h^*\varphi}$ and $\widetilde{h^*\psi}$ denote the $C(X)$ -linear extensions of the corresponding maps and $\iota_D : D \rightarrow C(X) \otimes D$ is defined by $\iota_D(d) = d$ for all $d \in D$. Set $\Theta = h^*\theta$ and $\Psi = h^*\psi$ and recall that $KK(h^*\varphi) = KK(\alpha)$ and hence $KK(\widetilde{h^*\varphi}) = KK(\tilde{\alpha})$. Therefore

$$KK(\tilde{\Theta}\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\Psi}) = KK(\tilde{\Psi}\tilde{\Theta}\tilde{\alpha}) = KK(\iota_D).$$

By Thm. 3.1, $\tilde{\Theta}\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\Psi} \approx_u \iota_D$ and $\tilde{\Psi}\tilde{\Theta}\tilde{\alpha} \approx_u \iota_D$. Therefore $\tilde{\Theta}\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\Psi} \approx_u \text{id}_{C(X) \otimes D}$ and $\tilde{\Psi}\tilde{\Theta}\tilde{\alpha} \approx_u \text{id}_{C(X) \otimes D}$. By [33, Cor. 2.3.4], there is an isomorphism $\Omega : C(X) \otimes D \rightarrow C(X) \otimes D$ such that $\Omega \approx_u \tilde{\Theta}\tilde{\alpha}$. Hence, if we set $\Gamma = \tilde{\Theta}^{-1}\Omega$, then $\Gamma \approx_u \tilde{\alpha}$. In particular Γ is $C(X)$ -linear and $\Gamma_x \in \text{Aut}(D)$ for all $x \in X$. Replacing Γ by $u\Gamma(\cdot)u^*$ for some unitary $u \in C(X) \otimes D$ we can arrange that $\Gamma|_D$ is close to α . Therefore $KK(\Gamma|_D) = KK(\alpha)$ since D is KK -stable. By Thm. 3.1 there is a continuous map $(0, 1] \rightarrow U(C(X) \otimes D)$, $t \mapsto u_t$, with the property that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|u_t \Gamma(a) u_t^* - \alpha(a)\| = 0, \text{ for all } a \in D.$$

Therefore the equation

$$\Phi(x, t) = \begin{cases} u_t(x) \Gamma_x u_t(x)^*, & \text{if } t \in (0, 1], \\ \alpha_x, & \text{if } t = 0, \end{cases}$$

defines a continuous map $\Phi : X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{End}(D)^*$ which extends α and such that $\Phi(X \times (0, 1]) \subset \text{Aut}(D)$. Since α is homotopic to Φ_1 , we have that $\alpha \approx_{uh} \Phi_1$ by Theorem 3.1. \square

Proposition 6.2. *Let X be a compact metrizable space and let D be a unital KK -semiprojective Kirchberg algebra. Let Y be a closed subset of X . Assume that a map $\gamma : Y \rightarrow \text{End}(D)^*$ extends to a continuous map $\alpha : X \rightarrow \text{End}(D)^*$. Then there is a continuous extension $\eta : X \rightarrow \text{End}(D)^*$ of γ , such that $\eta(X \setminus Y) \subset \text{Aut}(D)$.*

Proof. Since the map $x \mapsto \alpha_x$ takes values in $\text{End}(D)^*$, by Proposition 6.1 there exists a continuous map $\Phi : X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{End}(D)^*$ which extends α and such that $\Phi(X \times (0, 1]) \subset \text{Aut}(D)$. Let d be a metric for the topology of X such that $\text{diam}(X) \leq 1$. The equation $\eta(x) = \Phi(x, d(x, Y))$ defines a map on X that satisfies the conclusion of the proposition. \square

Proposition 6.3. *Let X be a compact metrizable space and let D be a unital KK -semiprojective Kirchberg algebra. Let Y be a closed subset of X . Let $\alpha : Y \times [0, 1] \cup X \times \{0\} \rightarrow \text{End}(D)$ be a continuous map such that $\alpha_{(x,0)} \in \text{End}(D)^*$ for all $x \in X$. Suppose that there is an open set V in X which contains Y and such that α extends to a continuous map $\alpha_V : V \times [0, 1] \cup X \times \{0\} \rightarrow \text{End}(D)$. Then there is $\eta : X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{End}(D)^*$ such that η extends α and $\eta_{(x,t)} \in \text{Aut}(D)$ for all $x \in X \setminus Y$ and $t \in (0, 1]$.*

Proof. By Proposition 6.2 it suffices to find a continuous map $\hat{\alpha} : X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{End}(D)^*$ which extends α . Fix a metric d for the topology of X and define $\lambda : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by $\lambda(x) = d(x, X \setminus V) (d(x, X \setminus V) + d(x, Y))^{-1}$. Let us define $\hat{\alpha} : X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{End}(D)^*$ by $\hat{\alpha}_{(x,t)} = \alpha_U(x, \lambda(x)t)$ and observe that $\hat{\alpha}$ extends α . Finally, since $\hat{\alpha}_{(x,t)}$ is homotopic to $\hat{\alpha}_{(x,0)} = \alpha_{(x,0)}$, we conclude that the image of $\hat{\alpha}$ is contained in $\text{End}(D)^*$. \square

Proposition 6.4. *Let X be a compact metrizable space and let D be a unital KK -semiprojective Kirchberg algebra. Let A be a separable unital $C(X)$ -algebra which locally isomorphic to $C(X) \otimes D$. Suppose that there is a unital $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi : D \rightarrow A$ such that $KK(\varphi_x) \in KK(D, A(x))^{-1}$ for all $x \in X$. Then there is a unital isomorphism of $C(X)$ -algebras $\psi : C(X) \otimes D \rightarrow A$ such that ψ is homotopic to $\tilde{\varphi}$, the $C(X)$ -linear extension of φ .*

Proof. Let d be a metric for the topology of X . We denote by $B(x, r)$ the closed ball of radius r centered at x . Using the compactness of X and the local triviality of A , we find points $x_1, \dots, x_m \in X$ and numbers $r_1, \dots, r_m > 0$ such that if we set $V_i = B(x_i, 2r_i)$, then there are $C(V_i)$ -linear isomorphisms $\nu_i : A(V_i) \rightarrow C(V_i) \otimes D$, $i = 1, \dots, m$. The morphism $\varphi_i = \nu_i \pi_{V_i} \varphi : C(V_i) \otimes D \rightarrow C(V_i) \otimes D$ corresponds a map $\varphi_i : V_i \rightarrow \text{End}(D)$ which takes values in $\text{End}(D)^*$. For $i, k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ let us consider the sets: $V_i^{(k)} = B(x_i, 2r_i - r_i(k-1)/m)$,

$$S_i = V_1^{(i+1)} \cup V_2^{(i)} \cup \dots \cup V_i^{(2)},$$

$$T_i = V_1^{(i)} \cup V_2^{(i-1)} \cup \dots \cup V_i^{(1)}.$$

Let us observe that

$$V_i^{(n)} \subset V_i^{(n-1)} \subset \dots \subset V_i^{(1)} = V_i,$$

$$S_i \subset T_i, \quad S_i \cup V_{i+1} = T_{i+1},$$

that T_i is a neighborhood of S_i and that $T_n = X$. This array of sets is needed in order to assure the existence of the local extension required by Proposition 6.3. We shall construct inductively a sequence of homotopies $H_i : D \rightarrow A(T_i) \otimes C[0, 1]$, with components $(H_i)_{(x,t)} : D \rightarrow A(x)$, such that the restriction of $H_{i+1} : D \rightarrow A(T_{i+1}) \otimes C[0, 1]$ to S_i is equal to the restriction of H_i to S_i , i.e. $(H_{i+1})_{(x,t)} = (H_i)_{(x,t)}$ for all $x \in S_i$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. Moreover, we shall also have that $(H_i)_{(x,0)} = \varphi_x$ and that $(H_i)_{(x,1)}$ is an isomorphism for each $x \in T_i$, $i = 0, \dots, m$. We start with $i = 1$ and regard $\varphi_1 = \nu_1 \pi_{V_1} \varphi : D \rightarrow C(V_1) \otimes D$ as a continuous map $x \rightarrow (\varphi_1)_x = (\nu_1)_x \varphi_x \in \text{End}(D)^*$ defined on V_1 . By Proposition 6.2 applied for $Y = V_1$, $X = V_1 \times [0, 1]$, $\gamma = \varphi_1$ and $\alpha_{(x,t)} = (\varphi_1)_x$ there is a homotopy $\eta_1 : V_1 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{End}(D)^*$ such that $(\eta_1)_{(x,0)} = (\varphi_1)_x$ and $(\eta_1)_{(x,1)} \in \text{Aut}(D)$. We set $(H_1)_{(x,t)} = (\nu_1)_x^{-1} \circ (\eta_1)_{(x,t)}$. Suppose now that H_1, \dots, H_i were constructed. We shall construct H_{i+1} by restricting H_i to $S_i \times [0, 1]$, and then by extending this restriction to $T_{i+1} \times [0, 1] = (S_i \cup V_{i+1}) \times [0, 1]$. Clearly it suffices to extend H_i from $(S_i \cap V_{i+1}) \times [0, 1]$ to $V_{i+1} \times [0, 1]$ and then set $(H_{i+1})_{(x,t)} = (H_i)_{(x,t)}$ for $x \in S_i \setminus V_{i+1}$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. To this purpose we define a continuous map $\alpha : (T_i \cap V_{i+1}) \times [0, 1] \cup V_{i+1} \times \{0\} \rightarrow \text{End}(D)$ by $\alpha_{(x,t)} = (\nu_{i+1})_x \circ (H_i)_{(x,t)}$ for $x \in T_i \cap V_{i+1}$ and $t \in [0, 1]$ and $\alpha_{(x,0)} = (\varphi_{i+1})_x$ for $x \in V_{i+1}$. Since $T_i \cap V_{i+1}$ is a neighborhood of $S_i \cap V_{i+1}$ in V_{i+1} and since $(\varphi_{i+1})_x \in \text{End}(D)^*$ for all $x \in V_{i+1}$, we can apply Proposition 6.3 to obtain a continuous map $\eta_{i+1} : V_{i+1} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow$

$\text{End}(D)^*$ such that η_{i+1} extends the restriction of α to $(S_i \cap V_{i+1}) \times [0, 1] \cup V_{i+1} \times \{0\}$ and $(\eta_{i+1})_{(x,1)} \in \text{Aut}(D)$ for all $x \in V_{i+1}$. We conclude the construction of H_{i+1} by defining $(H_{i+1})_{(x,t)} = (\nu_{i+1})_x^{-1} \circ (\eta_{i+1})_{(x,t)}$ for $x \in V_{i+1}$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. Finally we observe that since $T_n = X$, $H_n : D \rightarrow C[0, 1] \otimes A$ is a homotopy from φ to some unital $*$ -homomorphism ψ such that $\psi_x \in \text{Aut}(D)$ for all $x \in X$. Therefore $\tilde{\psi} : C(X) \otimes D \rightarrow A$ is an isomorphism of $C(X)$ -algebras homotopic to $\tilde{\varphi}$. \square

Lemma 6.5. *Let X be a compact metrizable space and let D be a unital KK -semiprojective Kirchberg algebra. Let Y, Z be closed subsets of X such that $X = Y \cup Z$ and $Y \cap Z \neq \emptyset$. Let $\gamma : D \rightarrow C(Y \cap Z) \otimes D$ be a unital $*$ -homomorphism. Assume that there is a unital $*$ -homomorphism $\alpha : D \rightarrow C(Y) \otimes D$ such that $\alpha_x \in KK(D, D)^{-1}$ for all $x \in Y$ and such that $\alpha_x = \gamma_x$ for all $x \in Y \cap Z$. Then the pullback $C(Y) \otimes D \oplus_{\pi_{Y \cap Z}, \tilde{\gamma}_{\pi_{Y \cap Z}}} C(Z) \otimes D$ is isomorphic to $C(X) \otimes D$.*

Proof. By Prop. 6.2, there is a unital $*$ -homomorphism $\eta : D \rightarrow C(Y) \otimes D$ such that $\eta_x = \gamma_x$ for $x \in Y \cap Z$ and such that $\eta_x \in \text{Aut}(D)$ for $x \in Y \setminus Z$. One checks immediately that the pair $\tilde{\eta}, \text{id}_{C(Z) \otimes D}$ defines a $C(X)$ -linear isomorphism $\theta : C(X) \otimes D = C(Y) \otimes D \oplus_{\pi_{Y \cap Z}, \pi_{Y \cap Z}} C(Z) \otimes D \rightarrow C(Y) \otimes D \oplus_{\pi_{Y \cap Z}, \tilde{\gamma}_{\pi_{Y \cap Z}}} C(Z) \otimes D$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C(Y) \otimes D & \xrightarrow{\pi} & C(Y \cap Z) \otimes D & \xleftarrow{\pi} & C(Z) \otimes D \\ \tilde{\eta} \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{\gamma} & & \parallel \\ C(Y) \otimes D & \xrightarrow{\pi} & C(Y \cap Z) \otimes D & \xleftarrow{\tilde{\gamma}\pi} & C(Z) \otimes D \end{array}$$

\square

Lemma 6.6. *Let X be a compact metrizable space and let D be a unital KK -semiprojective Kirchberg algebra. Let Y, Y' and Z, Z' be closed subsets of X such that Y' is a neighborhood of Y , Z' is a neighborhood of Z , $X = Y \cup Z$ and $Y \cap Z \neq \emptyset$. Let A be a unital separable $C(X)$ -algebra. Let B be a unital $C(Y')$ -algebra locally isomorphic to $C(Y') \otimes D$ and let E be a unital $C(Z')$ -algebra locally isomorphic to $C(Z') \otimes D$. Let $\varphi : B \rightarrow A(Y')$ and $\psi : E \rightarrow A(Z')$ unital morphisms of $C(X)$ -algebras such that $\psi_x(E(x)) \subset \varphi_x(B(x))$ for all $x \in Y' \cap Z'$. Suppose that $KK(\varphi_x) \in KK(B(x), A(x))^{-1}$ and that $KK(\psi_x) \in KK(E(x), A(x))^{-1}$ for all $x \in Y' \cap Z'$. Then $B(X) \oplus_{\pi_{Y \cap Z} \varphi, \pi_{Y \cap Z} \psi} E(Y)$ is locally isomorphic to $C(X) \otimes D$. Moreover, if $\chi : B(X) \oplus_{\pi_{Y \cap Z} \varphi, \pi_{Y \cap Z} \psi} E(Y) \rightarrow A$ is the morphism induced by the pair φ, ψ , then $KK(\chi_x)$ is a KK -equivalence for all $x \in X$.*

Proof. Since we are dealing with a local property, we may assume that $B = C(Y') \otimes D$ and $E = C(Z') \otimes D$. If $\alpha : Y' \cap Z' \rightarrow \text{End}(D)$ is defined by $\alpha_x = \varphi_x^{-1} \psi_x$, then $\alpha_x \in \text{End}(D)^*$. The restriction of α to $Y \cap Z$ is denoted by γ . Let us denote by H the $C(X)$ -algebra

$$C(Y) \oplus_{\pi \varphi, \pi \psi} C(Z) \cong C(Y) \oplus_{\pi, \pi \tilde{\gamma}} C(Z),$$

(where π stands for $\pi_{Y \cap Z}$). We must show that H is locally trivial. Let $x \in X$. If $x \notin Y \cap Z$, then there is a closed neighborhood U of x which does not intersect $Y \cap Z$, and hence the restriction of H to U is isomorphic to $C(U) \otimes D$, as it follows immediately from the definition of H . It remains to consider the case when $x \in Y \cap Z$. Let us observe that

$V = Y' \cap Z'$ is a neighborhood of $Y \cap Z$ in X . The restriction of H to V is isomorphic to

$$C(Y \cap V) \oplus_{\pi\varphi, \pi\psi} C(Z \cap V) \cong C(Y \cap V) \oplus_{\pi, \pi\tilde{\gamma}} C(Z \cap V),$$

(where π stands for $\pi_{Y \cap Z \cap V}$). Since $\gamma : Y \cap Z \cap V \rightarrow \text{End}(D)^*$ admits a continuous extension $\alpha : Y \cap V \rightarrow \text{End}(D)^*$, it follows that $H(V)$ is isomorphic to $C(V) \otimes D$ by Lemma 6.5. Since χ_x identifies with φ_x if $x \in X \setminus Z$ and with ψ_x if $x \in Z$, $KK(\chi_x)$ is a KK-equivalence. \square

Proposition 6.7. *Let X , A , D and σ be as in Theorem 4.6. For any finite subset \mathcal{F} of A and any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a unital $C(X)$ -algebra B which is locally isomorphic to $C(X) \otimes D$ and there exists a unital $C(X)$ -linear $*$ -monomorphism $\eta : B \rightarrow A$ such that $\mathcal{F} \subset_\varepsilon \eta(B)$ and $KK(\eta_x) \in KK(B(x), A(x))^{-1}$ for all $x \in X$.*

Proof. Let φ and $\psi_i : C(Y_i) \rightarrow A(Y_i)$, $i = 0, \dots, n$ be as in the conclusion of Theorem 4.6, but strengthen as in Remark 4.7. In particular we have

$$(22) \quad \pi_{Y_i}(\mathcal{F}) \subset_\varepsilon \psi_i(C(Y_i) \otimes D),$$

and $KK((\psi_i)_x) = KK(\varphi_x) \in KK(D, A(x))^{-1}$ for all $x \in Y_i$ and $i = 0, \dots, n$. By Remark 4.7, for each $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$, we can find closed subsets $Y_i^{(n)} \subset Y_i^{(n-1)} \subset \dots \subset Y_i^{(0)} = Y_i$ such that $Y_i^{(j-1)}$ is a neighborhood of $Y_i^{(j)}$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n$, and such that the family $Y_0^{(n)}, \dots, Y_n^{(n)}$ covers X . Let $\pi_i^{(k)} : A(X) \rightarrow A(Y_{i-1}^{(k)} \cap (Y_i^{(k)} \cup \dots \cup Y_n^{(k)}))$ be the restriction map. Define

$$B_{n-1} = C(Y_n^{(1)}) \otimes D \oplus_{\pi_n^{(1)}\psi_n, \pi_{n-1}^{(1)}\psi_{n-1}} C(Y_{n-1}^{(1)}) \otimes D.$$

By Lemma 6.6, B_{n-1} is locally isomorphic to $C(Y_n^{(1)} \cup Y_{n-1}^{(1)}) \otimes D$ since ψ_n extends to $C(Y_n^{(0)}) \otimes D$, ψ_{n-1} extends to $C(Y_{n-1}^{(0)}) \otimes D$, the fiberwise components of these maps are KK-equivalences and $Y_i^{(0)}$ is a closed neighborhood of $Y_i^{(1)}$. The map $\eta_{n-1} : B_{n-1} \rightarrow A(Y_n^{(1)} \cup Y_{n-1}^{(1)})$ induced by the pair ψ_n, ψ_{n-1} is such that $KK((\eta_{n-1})_x)$ is a KK-equivalence for each $x \in Y_n^{(1)} \cup Y_{n-1}^{(1)}$. Moreover

$$(23) \quad \pi_{Y_n^{(1)} \cup Y_{n-1}^{(1)}}(\mathcal{F}) \subset_\varepsilon \eta_{n-1}(B_{n-1}),$$

by (22) and Lemma 2.1(iv). By applying Lemma 6.6 again, a similar reasoning, shows that if we define

$$B_{n-2} = B_{n-1}(Y_n^{(2)} \cup Y_{n-1}^{(2)}) \oplus_{\pi_{n-1}^{(2)}\eta_{n-1}, \pi_{n-2}^{(2)}\psi_{n-2}} C(Y_{n-2}^{(2)}) \otimes D,$$

then B_{n-2} is locally isomorphic to $C(Y_n^{(2)} \cup Y_{n-1}^{(2)} \cup Y_{n-2}^{(2)}) \otimes D$, (since both η_{n-1} and ψ_{n-2} extend to locally trivial fields supported on larger neighborhoods) and the map $\eta_{n-2} : B_{n-2} \rightarrow A(Y_n^{(2)} \cup Y_{n-1}^{(2)} \cup Y_{n-2}^{(2)})$, induced by the pair η_{n-1}, ψ_{n-2} is such that its fiberwise components are KK-equivalences. Moreover $\pi_{Y_n^{(2)} \cup Y_{n-1}^{(2)} \cup Y_{n-2}^{(2)}}(\mathcal{F}) \subset_\varepsilon \eta_{n-2}(B_{n-2})$ by (22), (23) and Lemma 2.1(iv). Arguing similarly, after n -steps we obtain a unital $C(X)$ -algebra B_0 which is locally isomorphic to $C(X) \otimes D$ and a unital $C(X)$ -linear map $\eta_0 : B_0 \hookrightarrow A(Y_n^{(0)} \cup \dots \cup Y_0^{(0)}) = A(X)$ such that $\mathcal{F} \subset_\varepsilon \eta_0(B_0)$ and $KK((\eta_0)_x) \in KK(B_0(x), A(x))^{-1}$ for all $x \in X$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2.

By Theorem 4.6 there is a unital $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi : D \rightarrow A$ such that $KK(\varphi) = \sigma$. Since A is separable, by Proposition 6.4 there is also a sequence of unital $C(X)$ -algebras $(A_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ and a sequence of unital $C(X)$ -linear $*$ -monomorphisms $(\eta^{(k)})_{k=1}^\infty$, $\eta^{(k)} : A_k \rightarrow A$, such that A_k is locally isomorphic to $C(X) \otimes D$, $KK(\eta_x^{(k)})$ is a KK -equivalence for each $x \in X$ and $(\eta^{(k)}(A_k))_{k=1}^\infty$ is an exhaustive sequence of $C(X)$ -subalgebras of A . Since D is weakly semiprojective and KK -stable, after passing to a subsequence of $(A_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ if necessary, we find unital $*$ -homomorphisms $\varphi^{(k)} : D \rightarrow A_k$ such that $\eta^{(k)}\varphi^{(k)}$ is close to φ and hence $KK(\eta^{(k)}\varphi^{(k)}) = KK(\varphi)$ for all $k \geq 1$. Since both $KK(\eta_x^{(k)})$ and $KK(\varphi_x)$ are KK -equivalences, we deduce that $KK(\varphi_x^{(k)}) \in KK(D, A_k(x))^{-1}$ for all $x \in X$. Let us set $B = C(X) \otimes D$. Since A_k is locally isomorphic to B by Proposition 6.7, we may apply Proposition 6.4 to find an isomorphism of $C(X)$ -algebras $\Phi^{(k)} : B \rightarrow A_k$ whose restriction to D is homotopic to $\varphi^{(k)}$. Therefore if we set $\theta^{(k)} = \eta^{(k)}\Phi^{(k)}$, then $\theta^{(k)}$ is a unital $C(X)$ -linear $*$ -monomorphism from B to A such that $KK(\theta^{(k)}) = KK(\tilde{\varphi})$ and $(\theta^{(k)}(B))_{k=1}^\infty$ is an exhaustive sequence of $C(X)$ -subalgebras of A . Using again the weak semiprojectivity and the KK -stability of D , after passing to a subsequence of $(\theta^{(k)})_{k=1}^\infty$ we construct a sequence of finite sets $\mathcal{F}_k \subset B$ and a sequence of $C(X)$ -linear unital $*$ -monomorphisms $\mu_k : B \rightarrow B$ such that

- (i) $KK(\theta^{(k+1)}\mu_k) = KK(\theta^{(k)})$ for all $k \geq 1$,
- (ii) $\|\theta^{(k+1)}\mu_k(a) - \theta^{(k)}(a)\| < 2^{-k}$ for all $a \in \mathcal{F}_k$ and all $k \geq 1$,
- (iii) $\mu_k(\mathcal{F}_k) \subset \mathcal{F}_{k+1}$ for all $k \geq 1$,
- (iv) $\bigcup_{j=k}^\infty (\mu_j \circ \cdots \circ \mu_k)^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_j)$ is dense in B and $\bigcup_{j=k}^\infty \theta^{(j)}(\mathcal{F}_j)$ is dense in A for all $k \geq 1$.

Arguing as in the proof of [33, Prop. 2.3.2], one verifies that

$$\Delta_k(a) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \theta^{(j)} \circ (\mu_j \circ \cdots \circ \mu_k)(a)$$

defines a sequence of $*$ -monomorphisms $\Delta_k : A_k \rightarrow A$ such that $\Delta_{k+1}\mu_k = \Delta_k$ and the induced map $\Delta : \varinjlim_k (B, \mu_k) \rightarrow A$ is an isomorphism of $C(X)$ -algebras. Let us show that $\varinjlim_k (B, \mu_k)$ is isomorphic to B . To this purpose, in view of Elliott's intertwining argument, it suffices to show that each map μ_k is approximately unitarily equivalent to a $C(X)$ -linear automorphism of B . Since each $KK(\theta_x^{(k)}) = KK(\varphi_x)$ for all $x \in X$ and since φ_x is a KK -equivalence, we deduce from (i) that $KK((\mu_k)_x) = KK(\text{id}_D)$ for each $x \in X$. By Proposition 6.1, this property implies that each map μ_k is approximately unitarily equivalent to a $C(X)$ -linear automorphism of B . We have thus found an isomorphism of $C(X)$ -algebras $\Delta : B \rightarrow A$. Let us show that we can arrange that $KK(\Delta) = KK(\tilde{\varphi})$. Since $KK((\Delta^{-1}\varphi)_x) \in KK(D, D)^{-1}$, we can apply Proposition 6.1 to find $\Phi_1 \in \text{Aut}_{C(X)}(B)$ such that $KK(\Phi_1) = KK(\Delta^{-1}\tilde{\varphi})$. Then $\Phi = \Delta\Phi_1 : B \rightarrow A$ is an isomorphism such that $KK(\Phi) = KK(\tilde{\varphi})$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Since D satisfies the UCT, θ lifts to some $\sigma \in KK(D, A)$. Since $K_*(\sigma_x) = K_*(\pi_x)\theta = \theta_x$ is bijective and since $A(x)$ satisfies the UCT, it follows that $\sigma_x \in KK(D, A(x))^{-1}$ by [34]. We conclude by applying Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 6.8. *Let X be a finite dimensional compact metrizable space, and let A be a separable unital $C(X)$ -algebra with all fibers isomorphic to the Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_∞ . Then $A \cong C(X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$.*

Proof. It is known that \mathcal{O}_∞ is semiprojective and it satisfies the UCT. Moreover $K_0(\mathcal{O}_\infty) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ is generated by the class of $1_{\mathcal{O}_\infty}$ and $K_1(\mathcal{O}_\infty) = 0$. Therefore the morphism $\theta : K_0(\mathcal{O}_\infty) \rightarrow K_0(A)$ defined by $\theta(k[1_{\mathcal{O}_\infty}]) = k[1_A]$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 1.3. \square

Theorem 6.9. *Any separable unital $C(X)$ -algebra A over a finite dimensional metrizable compact space with fibers isomorphic to a fixed Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_n is locally trivial. A is isomorphic to $C(X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_n$ if and only if $(n-1)[1_A] = 0$ in $K_0(A)$. This is always the case if $n = 2$.*

Proof. We prove first the second part of the theorem. One implication follows easily since $(n-1)K_0(C(X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_n) = 0$. Conversely, assume that $(n-1)[1_A] = 0$. It is known that \mathcal{O}_n is semiprojective and it satisfies the UCT. Moreover $K_0(\mathcal{O}_n) \cong \mathbb{Z}/(n-1)\mathbb{Z}$ is generated by the class of $1_{\mathcal{O}_n}$ and $K_1(\mathcal{O}_n) = 0$. Thus there is a morphism of groups $\theta : K_0(\mathcal{O}_n) \rightarrow K_0(A)$ which maps $[1_{\mathcal{O}_n}]$ to $[1_A]$ and which satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 1.3. Therefore $A \cong C(X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_n$. By the second part of the theorem, in order to prove the first part, it suffices to show that for any $x \in X$ there is a closed neighborhood V of x such that $(n-1)[1_{A(V)}] = 0$ in $K_0(A(V))$. Let $V_k = \{y \in X : d(y, x) \leq 1/k\}$. Then $K_0(\mathcal{O}_n) \cong K_0(A(x)) \cong \varinjlim_k K_0(A(V_k))$, and hence $(n-1)[1_{A(V_k)}] = 0$ for some k and hence $A(V_k) \cong C(V_k) \otimes \mathcal{O}_n$.

It remains to show that if $n = 2$ then we always have $[1_A] = 0$ in $K_0(A)$. By what was already proven we know that A is locally isomorphic to $C(X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_2$. It follows that $K_0(A) = 0$ by the Meyer-Vietoris sequence. \square

As a corollary of Theorem 6.8 we have that $[X, \text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_\infty)]$ reduces to a point. Let v_1, \dots, v_n be the canonical generators of \mathcal{O}_n , $2 \leq n < \infty$. The homotopy groups of the endomorphisms of the stable Cuntz-Krieger algebras were computed in [9].

Theorem 6.10. *If X is a finite dimensional metric space, then there is a bijection $[X, \text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_n)] \rightarrow K_1(C(X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_n)$. The homotopy group $\pi_k(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_n))$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/(n-1)\mathbb{Z}$ if k is odd and it vanishes if k is even. In particular $\pi_1(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_n))$ is generated by the class of the canonical action of \mathbb{T} on \mathcal{O}_n , $\lambda_z(v_i) = zv_i$.*

Proof. Since \mathcal{O}_n satisfies the UCT, we deduce that $\text{End}(\mathcal{O}_n)^* = \text{End}(\mathcal{O}_n)$. An immediate application of Proposition 6.1 shows that the natural map $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_n) \hookrightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{O}_n)$ induces an isomorphism of groups $[X, \text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_n)] \cong [X, \text{End}(\mathcal{O}_n)]$. Let $\iota : \mathcal{O}_n \rightarrow C(X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_n$ be defined by $\iota(v_i) = 1_{C(X)} \otimes v_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. The map $\psi \mapsto u(\psi) = \psi(v_1)\iota(v_1)^* + \dots + \psi(v_n)\iota(v_n)^*$ is known to be a homeomorphism from $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_n, C(X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_n)$ to the unitary group of $C(X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_n$. Its inverse maps a unitary u to the $*$ -homomorphism ψ uniquely defined by $\psi(v_i) = uv_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Therefore

$$[X, \text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_n)] \cong [X, \text{End}(\mathcal{O}_n)] \cong \pi_0(U(C(X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_n)) \cong K_1(C(X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_n).$$

The last isomorphism holds since $U(B)/U(B)_0 \cong K_1(B)$ if $B \cong B \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$, by [32, Lemma 2.1.7]. One verifies easily that if $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_n, C(X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_n)$, then $u(\tilde{\psi}\varphi) =$

$\tilde{\psi}(u(\varphi))u(\psi)$. Therefore the bijection $\chi : [X, \text{End}(D)] \rightarrow K_1(C(X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_n)$ is an isomorphism of groups whenever $K_1(\tilde{\psi}) = \text{id}$ for all $\psi \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_n, C(X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_n)$. Using the $C(X)$ -linearity of $\tilde{\psi}$ one observes that this happens if the $n-1$ torsion of $K_0(C(X))$ reduces to $\{0\}$, since in that case the map $K_1(C(X)) \rightarrow K_1(C(X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_n)$ is surjective by the Künneth formula. \square

Corollary 6.11. *For any integers $n \geq 3$, $k \geq 1$ there are exactly $(n-1)$ nonisomorphic separable unital $C(S^{2k})$ -algebras with all fibers isomorphic to \mathcal{O}_n .*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 6.9 and Proposition 6.10, since the locally trivial principal H -bundles over the sphere S^m are parameterized by $\pi_{m-1}(H)$ if H is a path connected group [20, Cor. 8.4]. We apply this result for $H = \text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_n)$. \square

7. CONTINUOUS FIELDS AND THE UNIVERSAL COEFFICIENT THEOREM

Kirchberg has shown that any nuclear separable C*-algebra is KK-equivalent to a Kirchberg algebra [33, Prop. 8.4.5]. This inspired us to extend the result in the context of continuous fields and $KK_{C(X)}$ -theory (see Theorem 7.4). The main application of this result is Theorem 1.4 which exhibits a new permanence property of the nuclear C*-algebras satisfying the UCT. We need the following lemma.

Lemma 7.1 ([24, Lemma 1.2]). *Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and let A be a continuous $C(X)$ -algebra. There is a split short exact sequence of $C(X)$ -algebras*

$$(24) \quad 0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow A^+ \xrightleftharpoons[\alpha]{} C(X) \longrightarrow 0$$

where A^+ is unital, α is $C(X)$ -linear and $\alpha(1) = 1$.

Consider the category of separable $C(X)$ -algebras such that the morphisms from A to B , are the elements of $KK_{C(X)}(A, B)$ with composition given by the Kasparov product. The isomorphisms in this category are the KK-invertible elements denoted by $KK_{C(X)}(A, B)^{-1}$. Two $C(X)$ -algebras are $KK_{C(X)}$ -equivalent if they are isomorphic objects in this category. In the sequel we shall use twice the following elementary observation (valid in any category). If composition with $\gamma \in KK_{C(X)}(A, B)$ induces a bijection $KK_{C(X)}(B, C) \rightarrow KK_{C(X)}(A, C)$ for $C = A$ and $C = B$, then $\gamma \in KK_{C(X)}(A, B)^{-1}$.

Lemma 7.2. *Let A be a separable nuclear continuous $C(X)$ -algebra. Then there exist a separable nuclear unital continuous $C(X)$ -algebra A^b and two $C(X)$ -linear *-monomorphisms $\alpha : C(X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_2 \rightarrow A^b$, and $j : A \rightarrow A^b$ such that α is unital and $[j] \in KK_{C(X)}(A, A^b)^{-1}$.*

Proof. Let $p \in \mathcal{O}_\infty$ be a non-zero projection with $[p] = 0$ in $K_0(\mathcal{O}_\infty)$. Then there is a unital *-homomorphism $\mathcal{O}_2 \rightarrow p\mathcal{O}_\infty p$ which induces a $C(X)$ -linear unital *-monomorphism $\mu : C(X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_2 \rightarrow C(X) \otimes p\mathcal{O}_\infty p$. We tensor the exact sequence (7.1) by $p\mathcal{O}_\infty p$ and then take the pullback by μ . This gives a split exact sequence of unital $C(X)$ -algebras:

$$(25) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A \otimes p\mathcal{O}_\infty p & \longrightarrow & A^+ \otimes p\mathcal{O}_\infty p & \xrightleftharpoons[\alpha]{} & C(X) \otimes p\mathcal{O}_\infty p \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \mu \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A \otimes p\mathcal{O}_\infty p & \xrightarrow{j} & A^b & \xrightleftharpoons[\alpha]{} & C(X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_2 \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

The map $A^b \rightarrow A^+ \otimes p\mathcal{O}_\infty p$ is a unital $C(X)$ -linear $*$ -monomorphism, so that A^b is a continuous $C(X)$ -algebra. It is nuclear being an extension of nuclear C^* -algebras. It follows by [21], [2, Thm. 5.4] that for any separable $C(X)$ -algebra B we have an exact sequence of groups

$$0 \rightarrow KK_{C(X)}(C(X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_2, B) \longrightarrow KK_{C(X)}(A^b, B) \xrightarrow{j^*} KK_{C(X)}(A \otimes p\mathcal{O}_\infty p, B) \rightarrow 0$$

Since the class identity map of $C(X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_2$ vanishes in $KK_{C(X)}$, $KK_{C(X)}(C(X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_2, B) = 0$ for any separable $C(X)$ -algebra B . Therefore j^* is a $KK_{C(X)}$ -equivalence. We conclude the proof by observing that map, $A \rightarrow A \otimes p\mathcal{O}_\infty p$, $a \mapsto a \otimes p$, is also a $KK_{C(X)}$ -equivalence. \square

Proposition 7.3. *Let (A_i, φ_i) be an inductive system of separable nuclear $C(X)$ -algebras with injective connecting maps. If $\varphi_i \in KK_{C(X)}(A_i, A_{i+1})^{-1}$ for all i , and $\Phi : A_1 \rightarrow \varinjlim (A_i, \varphi_i) = A_\infty$ is the induced map, then $\Phi \in KK_{C(X)}(A_1, A_\infty)^{-1}$.*

Proof. We use Milnor's \varprojlim^1 -sequence for $KK_{C(X)}$ -theory. Its proof is essentially identical to the proof of the corresponding sequence for regular KK -theory (argue as in [34] using [2]).

$$0 \longrightarrow \varprojlim^1 KK_{C(X)}(A_i, B) \longrightarrow KK_{C(X)}(A_\infty, B) \longrightarrow \varprojlim KK_{C(X)}(A_i, B) \longrightarrow 0$$

Since $\varprojlim^1 (G_i, \lambda_i) = 0$ and $G_1 \cong \varprojlim (G_i, \lambda_i)$ for any sequence of abelian groups $(G_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ and group isomorphisms $\lambda_i : G_i \rightarrow G_{i+1}$, we obtain from (26) that for any separable $C(X)$ algebra B , Φ induces a bijection $KK_{C(X)}(A_\infty, B) \rightarrow KK_{C(X)}(A, B)$. This implies that $[\Phi] \in KK(A, A_\infty)^{-1}$. \square

We need the following $C(X)$ -equivariant construction which parallels a construction of Kirchberg. We follow the exposition from [33]. A similar deformation technique has appeared earlier in [11].

Theorem 7.4. *Let A be a separable nuclear continuous $C(X)$ -algebra. Then there exist a separable nuclear continuous unital $C(X)$ -algebra A^\sharp whose fibers are Kirchberg C^* -algebras and a $C(X)$ -linear $*$ -monomorphism $\Phi : A \rightarrow A^\sharp$ such that Φ is a $KK_{C(X)}$ -equivalence. For any $x \in X$ the map $\Phi_x : A(x) \hookrightarrow A^\sharp(x)$ is a KK -equivalence.*

Proof. By Proposition 7.2 we may assume that there is a unital $C(X)$ -linear $*$ -monomorphism $\alpha : C(X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_2 \rightarrow A$. By [5, Thm. 2.5], there is a unital $C(X)$ -linear $*$ -monomorphism $\beta : A \rightarrow C(X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_2$. Let s_1, s_2 be the images in A under the map α of the canonical generators of $v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{O}_2 \subset C(X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_2$. Let $\theta = \alpha \circ \beta : A \rightarrow A$ and define a $C(X)$ -linear unital monomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow A$ by $\varphi(a) = s_1 a s_1^* + s_2 \theta(a) s_2^*$. The unital $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi_x : A(x) \rightarrow A(x)$, $\varphi_x(a) = s_1(x) a(x) s_1(x)^* + s_2(x) \theta_x(a) s_2(x)^*$, induced by φ , satisfies $\pi_x \varphi_x = \varphi \pi_x$. Moreover we have a factorization $\theta_x = \alpha_x \circ \beta_x$, and hence θ_x factors through \mathcal{O}_2 . Let A^\sharp be the inductive limit of the inductive system

$$A \xrightarrow{\varphi} A \xrightarrow{\varphi} A \xrightarrow{\varphi} \dots$$

and let $\Phi : A \rightarrow A^\sharp$ be the induced map. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & A & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & A & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \cdots \longrightarrow A^\sharp \\
 \pi_x \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_x & & \downarrow \pi_x & & \downarrow \pi_x \\
 A(x) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_x} & A(x) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_x} & A(x) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_x} & \cdots \longrightarrow A^\sharp(x)
 \end{array}$$

By the proof of [33, Prop. 8.4.5] the C*-algebra $A^\sharp(x)$ is a unital Kirchberg algebra for any $x \in X$. It remains to prove that the map $\Phi : A \rightarrow A^\sharp$ induces a $KK_{C(X)}$ -equivalence. In view of Proposition 7.3, it suffices to verify that $[\varphi] = [id] \in KK_{C(X)}(A, A)^{-1}$. However this follows from the equation $\varphi(a) = s_1 a s_1^* + s_2 \theta(a) s_2^*$, as $[\theta] = 0$, since it factors through $C(X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_2$. \square

Let \mathcal{C} denote the class of all unital Kirchberg algebras satisfying the UCT.

Lemma 7.5. *Let X be a compact metrizable space and let A be a $C(X)$ -algebra such that $\text{cat}_{\mathcal{C}}(A) = n < \infty$. Then A satisfies the UCT.*

Proof. We shall prove by induction on n that if $\text{cat}_{\mathcal{C}}(A) \leq n$, then A satisfy the UCT. If $n = 0$, then $A \cong \oplus_i C(Z_i) \otimes D_i$ and all its ideals satisfy the UCT since each D_i is simple and satisfies the UCT. By a result of [34], if two out of three separable nuclear C*-algebras in a short exact sequence satisfy the UCT, then all three of them satisfy the UCT. For the inductive step we use the exact sequence (1), where B is elementary and $\text{cat}_{\mathcal{C}}(D) \leq n - 1$. \square

Theorem 7.6. [12] *Let A be a nuclear separable C*-algebra. Assume that for any finite set $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a C*-subalgebra B of A satisfying the UCT and such that $\mathcal{F} \subset_\varepsilon B$. Then A satisfies the UCT.*

Proof. For the convenience of the reader, assuming that B is nuclear, we sketch an alternate proof to the one in [12]. It is just this case that is needed in the sequel. By assumption, there is an exhaustive sequence (A_n) consisting of nuclear separable C*-algebras of A . We may assume that A is unital and its unit is contained in each A_n . After replacing the pair $A_n \subseteq A$ by $A_n \otimes p\mathcal{O}_\infty p \subseteq A \otimes p\mathcal{O}_\infty p$, we observe that the construction $A \mapsto A^\sharp$ is functorial with respect to subalgebras. Thus we obtain an exhaustive sequence of Kirchberg subalgebras A_n^\sharp of A^\sharp such that each A_n^\sharp is KK-equivalent to A_n and hence it satisfies the UCT. Since each A_n^\sharp can be written as an inductive limit of Kirchberg algebras satisfying the UCT and having finitely generated K-theory groups and since the latter algebras are weakly semiprojective, we have exhibited an exhaustive sequence for A^\sharp , (B_n) , such that B_n are weakly semiprojective C*-algebras satisfying the UCT. By a familiar perturbation argument A^\sharp is isomorphic to the inductive limit of a subsequence (B_{i_n}) of (B_n) . Therefore A^\sharp and hence A satisfy the UCT. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. By Theorem 7.4 we may assume that the fibers of A are Kirchberg C*-algebras satisfying the UCT. By Theorem 4.5, A admits an exhaustive sequence (A_k) of finite type $C(X)$ -algebras. Each A_k satisfies the UCT by Lemma 7.5. We conclude the proof by applying Theorem 7.6.

Let us note that the above proof only requires a weaker version of Theorem 7.4 which asserts that $\Phi : A \rightarrow A^\sharp$ and each Φ_x are KK-equivalences. Its proof requires only the usual \varprojlim^1 -sequence for KK-theory.

REFERENCES

- [1]
- [2] A. Bauval. $RKK(X)$ -nucléarité (d'après G. Skandalis). *K-Theory*, 13(1):23–40, 1998.
- [3] B. Blackadar. Shape theory for C^* -algebras. *Math. Scand.*, 56(2):249–275, 1985.
- [4] É. Blanchard. Déformations de C^* -algèbres de Hopf. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 124(1):141–215, 1996.
- [5] É. Blanchard. Subtriviality of continuous fields of nuclear C^* -algebras. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 489:133–149, 1997.
- [6] É. Blanchard and E. Kirchberg. Global Glimm halving for C^* -bundles. *J. Oper. Theory*, 52:385–420, 2004.
- [7] É. Blanchard and E. Kirchberg. Non-simple purely infinite C^* -algebras: the Hausdorff case. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 207:461–513, 2004.
- [8] A. Connes and N. Higson. Déformations, morphismes asymptotiques et K -théorie bivariable. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 311(2):101–106, 1990.
- [9] J. Cuntz. On the homotopy groups of the space of endomorphisms of a C^* -algebra (with applications to topological Markov chains). In *Operator algebras and group representations, Vol. I (Neptun, 1980)*, volume 17 of *Monogr. Stud. Math.*, pages 124–137. Pitman, Boston, MA, 1984.
- [10] J. Cuntz and W. Krieger. A class of C^* -algebras and topological Markov chains. *Invent. Math.*, 56:251–268, 1980.
- [11] M. Dadarlat. Residually finite-dimensional C^* -algebras. In *Operator algebras and operator theory (Shanghai, 1997)*, volume 228 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 45–50. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.
- [12] M. Dadarlat. Some remarks on the universal coefficient theorem in KK -theory. In *Operator algebras and mathematical physics (Constanța, 2001)*, pages 65–74. Theta, Bucharest, 2003.
- [13] M. Dadarlat and G. A. Elliott. One-parameter Continuous Fields of Kirchberg algebras. Preprint 2005.
- [14] M. Dadarlat and C. Pasnicu. Continuous fields of Kirchberg C^* -algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 226:429–451, 2005.
- [15] J. Dauns and K. H. Hofmann. *Representation of rings by sections*. Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, No. 83. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1968.
- [16] J. Dixmier. *C^* -algebras*. North Holland, Amsterdam, 1982.
- [17] S. Eilers and T. A. Loring. Computing contingencies for stable relations. *Internat. J. Math.*, 10(3):301–326, 1999.
- [18] J. M. G. Fell. The structure of algebras of operator fields. *Acta Math.*, 106:233–280, 1961.
- [19] A. Hatcher. *Algebraic topology*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [20] D. Husemoller. *Fibre Bundles*. Number 20 in Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer Verlag, New York, 3rd. edition, 1966, 1994.
- [21] G. G. Kasparov. Equivariant KK -theory and the Novikov conjecture. *Invent. Math.*, 91(1):147–201, 1988.
- [22] E. Kirchberg. The classification of purely infinite C^* -algebras using Kasparov's theory. preprint, 1994.
- [23] E. Kirchberg. Das nicht-kommutative Michael-auswahlprinzip und die klassifikation nicht-einfacher algebren. In *C^* -algebras*, pages 92–141, Berlin, 2000. Springer. (Münster, 1999).
- [24] E. Kirchberg and N. C. Phillips. Embedding of continuous fields of C^* -algebras in the Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_2 . *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 525:55–94, 2000.
- [25] E. Kirchberg and M. Rørdam. Non-simple purely infinite C^* -algebras. *Amer. J. Math.*, 122(3):637–666, 2000.
- [26] E. Kirchberg and M. Rørdam. Infinite non-simple C^* -algebras: absorbing the Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_∞ . *Advances in Math.*, 167(2):195–264, 2002.

- [27] E. Kirchberg and S. Wassermann. Operations on continuous bundles of C^* -algebras. *Math. Ann.*, 303(4):677–697, 1995.
- [28] H. Lin. Weak semiprojectivity in purely infinite C^* -algebras. preprint 2002.
- [29] T. Loring. *Lifting Solutions to Perturbing Problems in C^* -Algebras*, volume 8 of *Fields Institute Monographs*. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 1997.
- [30] B. Neubüser. *Semiprojektivität und Realisierungen von rein unendlichen C^* -Algebren*. PhD thesis, Universität Münster, 2000. Preprintreihe des SFB 478 — Geometrische Strukturen in der Mathematik; Heft 118.
- [31] M. Nilsen. C^* -bundles and $C_0(X)$ -algebras. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 45(2):463–477, 1996.
- [32] N. C. Phillips. A classification theorem for nuclear purely infinite simple C^* -algebras. *Documenta Math.*, (5):49–114, 2000.
- [33] M. Rørdam. *Classification of nuclear, simple C^* -algebras*, volume 126 of *Encyclopaedia Math. Sci.* Springer, Berlin, 2002.
- [34] J. Rosenberg and C. Schochet. The Künneth theorem and the universal coefficient theorem for Kasparov’s generalized K -functor. *Duke Math. J.*, 55(2):431–474, 1987.
- [35] J. S. Spielberg. Weak semiprojectivity for purely infinite C^* -algebras. arXiv:math.OA/0206185.
- [36] J. S. Spielberg. Semiprojectivity for certain purely infinite C^* -algebras. preprint, 2001.
- [37] W. Szymański. On semiprojectivity of C^* -algebras of directed graphs. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 130(5):1391–1399, 2002.
- [38] G. W. Whitehead. *Elements of homotopy theory*, volume 61 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, WEST LAFAYETTE IN 47907, U.S.A.

E-mail address: mdd@math.purdue.edu