Chapter 4

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This chapter presents the project description and structure, capabilities and limitations, project test results, and the evaluation results.

Project Description

The Android-based Queuing System Using QR Code was developed to improve the present queuing system used in most establishments. The main objective of this project is to design a system that allows companies to post configurable initial setup of transactions, to generate QR code for customer's queue, to provide real-time transaction, and to provide notification for customers.

The Android-based Queuing System Using QR Code was developed using web developing tools such as, PHP, HTML, Bootstrap 3, JavaScript, and MySQL. For the development of Android application, Android Studio was used. The website runs on any Windows operating system and the Android application runs on any Android phones with version 4.1 or higher.

The system was evaluated by 50 respondents, 40 from the students of Technological University of the Philippines – Manila, and 10 from IT professionals.

Project Structure

The project structure includes screenshot of the system with its respective description.




Figure 11. Home Page

Figure 11 shows the homepage of the website. Users can register by clicking the "sign up" button and they can login by clicking the "sign in" button. This is also where the users can see the About us and Contact us pages.



Figure 12. Signup Page

Figure 12 shows the Sign up page of the website. The form shows the required fields for the company to create an account.




Figure 13. Login Page

Figure 13 shows the login page of the website. The form shows the required fields for the company, window and admin to login.




Figure 14. Company Dashboard

Figure 14 shows the company dashboard of the website. The company will see its basic information, the number of transactions, accounts and mobile users.




Figure 15. Add Transaction Type Page

Figure 15 shows the add transaction type page of the website. The form shows the required fields for the company to add a transaction type.




Figure 16. Add Transaction Account Page

Figure 16 shows the add transaction account page of the website. The form shows the required fields for the company to add a transaction account.




Figure 17. Update Transaction Account Page

Figure 17 shows the update transaction account page of the website. The form shows the required fields for the company to update a transaction account.




Figure 18. Mobile User's Page

Figure 18 shows the mobile user's page of the website. The company will be able to see here the list of all the mobile users that made a transaction in their company.




Figure 19. Settings Page

Figure 19 shows the settings page of the website. The company will be able to update here their information.




Figure 20. Queue Page

Figure 20 shows the queue page of the website. The person in charge in the window will be able to see the customer's information after scanning their QR code and they will be able to mark the transaction expired if the customer's transaction is done.




Figure 21. Mobile User's Page - Window

Figure 21 shows the mobile user's page of the website for the window. The person in charge on that window will be able to see the list of all pending transactions on the current date and he/she will be able to expire the transaction of the customer, and open or close the transaction.




Figure 22. Admin Dashboard

Figure 22 shows the admin dashboard of the website. The admin will be able to see its basic information as well as the number of companies and mobile users that are registered in the system.




Figure 23. Companies Page

Figure 23 shows the company's page of the website. The admin will be able to see the list of all the companies that are registered in the system.




Figure 24. Company's Details Page

Figure 24 shows the company's details page of the website. The admin will be able to see all the information of the company as well as the list and information of the company's available transactions.




Figure 25. Mobile User's Page - Admin

Figure 25 shows the mobile user's page of the website for the admin. The admin will be able to see the list of all the mobile users that are registered in the system.




Figure 26. Mobile User's Details Page

Figure 26 shows the mobile user's details page of the website. The admin will be able to see all the information of the mobile user as well as the list and information of the transactions that the mobile user made.




Figure 27. Settings Page - Admin

Figure 27 shows the settings page of the website for the admin. The admin will be able to update here their information.



Figure 28. Signup Page – Android Application

Figure 28 shows the signup page of the android application. The form shows the required fields for the users to create an account.



Figure 29. Login Page - Android Application

Figure 29 shows the login page of the android application. The form shows the required fields for the user to login.



Figure 30. Profile Page – Android Application

Figure 30 shows the profile page of the android application. The user will be able to see its basic information and will have a choice to make or manage transaction.




Figure 31. Company Page – Android Application

Figure 31 shows the company page of the Android application. The users will see the list of all the companies that are registered in the system.



Figure 32. Transaction Page – Android Application

Figure 32 shows the transaction page of the Android application. The user will be able to see the information as well as the list of all available transactions on that company.




Figure 33. Confirm Transaction Page – Android Application

Figure 33 shows the confirm transaction page of the Android application. The company will be able to see the information of that transaction and the user will be able to choose the time of his/her transaction.



Figure 34. Manage Transactions Page – Android Application

Figure 34 shows the manage transaction page of the Android application. The user will be able to choose what he/she wants to see between pending and past transactions.



Figure 35. Pending Transactions Page – Android Application

Figure 15 shows the pending transactions page of the Android application. The user will be able to see the list of all his/her pending transactions.



Figure 36. Pending QR Code- Android Application

Figure 36 shows the page for the pending QR code of the Android application. The user will be able to see the generated QR code and cancel his/her pending transaction.



Figure 37. Past Transactions Page – Android Application

Figure 37 shows the past transactions page of the Android application. The user will be able to see the list of all his/her past transactions.



Figure 38. Past QR Code– Android Application

Figure 38 shows the page for the past QR code of the Android application. The user will be able to see the generated QR code of his/her past transaction.



Figure 39. Settings Page – Android Application

Figure 39 shows the settings page of the Android application. The user will be able to update his/her information here.



Figure 40. Notification – Android Application

Figure 40 shows the notification of the Android application. The user will be notified by the person in charge in the window and the user will also be notified 1 hour before, 30 minutes before, 10 minutes before, 2 minutes before and if it is already his/her turn.

Project Capabilities and Limitations

The following are the capabilities of the project:

- 1. It allows companies to post configurable initial setup of transactions.
- 2. The person assigned in a window or counter can notify a customer when the window is already available.
- 3. It can send notification to customers 1 hour before, 30 minutes before, 10 minutes before, 2 minutes before and when it is already the customer's turn.
- 4. It can use any network provider.
- 5. It has a user-friendly interface and it is easy to use.
- 6. It can generate QR code for the customer's queue.

The following are the limitations of the project:

- 1. Only those who have an account can access the system.
- 2. The mobile application can only run on devices particularly smartphones that have an Android version of 4.1 or higher.
- 3. Slow Internet connection results to slow data gathering from the server.

Text Result

Table 5.

Application Simulation Results

	I a	I
Type of User	Steps Undertaken	Results Taken
Company	 Add transaction type Add transaction account Change settings 	 The system was able to provide a platform for adding a transaction type. The system was able to provide a platform for adding a transaction account. The system was able to provide a platform for changing registered information.
Window	 Scan customer's QR code Notify customer Expire transaction of a customer Close all transactions 	 The system was able to provide a platform that shows the customer's information after scanning QR code. The system was able to provide a platform for notifying the customer. The system was able to provide a platform for expiring the transaction of a customer. The system was able to provide a platform for closing all transactions.
Customer	 Make transaction Choose company Choose transaction Choose schedule View pending transactions View QR code of pending transaction View past transaction View QR code of past transaction Change settings 	 The system was able to provide a platform that shows all the available companies. The system was able to provide a platform that shows the information of the company and its available transactions. The system was able to provide a platform that shows the information of the transaction as well as showing available schedules.

4. The system was able to provide
a platform for adding a
customer's transaction.
5. The system was able to provide
a platform for showing a list of
all pending transactions.
6. The system was able to provide
a platform for generating QR
code of pending transactions.
7. The system was able to provide
a platform for showing a list of
all past transactions.
8. The system was able to provide
a platform for generating QR
code of past transactions.
F V. G
I .

Table 5 obtained results noted from the table 2, expected result.

Project Evaluation

The system was evaluated by 50 respondents, in which 40 are students in Technological University of the Philippines – Manila, and 10 are IT professionals. This is to determine its acceptability in terms of functionality, efficiency, compatibility, usability, reliability, security, maintainability, and portability.

Tables 6-14 present the results of evaluation for each criterion conducted to ensure that the said features and characteristic were achieved.

Table 6. Frequency Rating for Functionality (n=50)

INDICATORS	4	3	2	1
Functionality				
1. Completeness	33 (66%)	17 (34%)	0	0
2. Correctness	35 (70%)	15 (30%)	0	0
3. Appropriateness	35 (70%)	15 (30%)	0	0
Overall Percentage	68.67%	31.33%	0	0

The overall result of the evaluation regarding the Functionality of the system is shown in Table 6. At least 33 of the respondents rated the system 4 or "Highly Acceptable" while at least 15 rated the system 3 or "Very Acceptable" and none of the respondents rated the system 2 or "Acceptable" and 1 or "Not Acceptable".

Table 7. Frequency Rating for Efficiency (n=50)

INDICATORS	4	3	2	1
Efficiency				
1. Time behaviour	31 (62%)	19 (38%)	0	0
2. Resource utilization	37 (74%)	13 (26%)	0	0
3. Capacity	31 (62%)	19 (38%)	0	0
Overall Percentage	66%	34%	0	0

The overall result of the evaluation regarding the Efficiency of the system is shown in Table 7. At least 31 of the of the respondents rated the system 4 or "Highly Acceptable" while at least 13 rated the system 3 or "Very Acceptable" and none of the respondents rated the system 2 or "Acceptable" and 1 or "Not Acceptable".

Table 8. Frequency Rating for Compatibility (n=50)

INDICATORS	4	3	2	1
Compatibility				
1. Co-existence	29 (58%)	21 (42%)	0	0
2. Interoperability	35 (70%)	15 (30%)	0	0
Overall Percentage	64%	36%	0	0

The overall result of the evaluation regarding the Compatibility of the system is shown in Table 8. At least 29 of the of the respondents rated the system 4 or "Highly Acceptable" while at least 15 rated the system 3 or "Very Acceptable" and none of the respondents rated the system 2 or "Acceptable" and 1 or "Not Acceptable".

Table 9. Frequency Rating for Usability (n=50)

INDICATORS	4	3	2	1
Usability				
1. Appropriateness	34 (68%)	16 (32%)	0	0
2. Learnability	31 (62%)	19 (38%)	0	0
3. Operability	34 (68%)	15 (30%)	1 (2%)	0
4. User Error Protection	29 (58%)	21 (42%)	0	0
5. User Interface Aesthetics	33 (66%)	16 (32%)	1 (2%)	0
6. Accessibility	30 (60%)	20 (40%)	0	0
Overall Percentage	63.67%	35.67%	0.67%	0

The overall result of the evaluation regarding the Usability of the system is shown in Table 9. At least 29 of the of the respondents rated the system 4 or "Highly Acceptable" while at least 15 rated the system 3 or "Very Acceptable", at least 1 rated the system 2 or "Acceptable" and none of the respondents rated 1 or "Not Acceptable".

Table 10. Frequency Rating for Reliability (n=50)

INDICATORS	4	3	2	1
Reliability				
1. Maturity	32 (64%)	18 (36%)	0	0
2. Availability	35 (70%)	15 (30%)	0	0
3. Fault tolerance	29 (58%)	21 (42%)	0	0
4. Recoverability	37 (78%)	13 (22%)	0	0
Overall Percentage	67.50%	32.50%	0	0

The overall result of the evaluation in terms of the Reliability of the system is shown in Table 10. At least 29 of the of the respondents rated the system 4 or "Highly Acceptable" while at least 13 rated the system 3 or "Very Acceptable" and none of the respondents rated the system 2 or "Acceptable" and 1 or "Not Acceptable".

Table 11. Frequency Rating for Security (n=50)

INDICATORS	4	3	2	1
Security				
1. Confidentiality	29 (58%)	21 (42%)	0	0
2. Integrity	36 (72%)	14 (28%)	0	0
3. Non-repudiation	31 (62%)	19 (38%)	0	0
4. Accountability	38 (76%)	12 (24%)	0	0
5. Authenticity	39 (78%)	11 (22%)	0	0
Overall Percentage	69.20%	30.80%	0	0

The overall result of the evaluation with regards to the Security of the system is shown in Table 11. At least 29 of the of the respondents rated the system 4 or "Highly Acceptable" while at least 11 rated the system 3 or "Very Acceptable" and none of the respondents rated the system 2 or "Acceptable" and 1 or "Not Acceptable".

Table 12. Frequency Rating for Maintainability (n=50)

INDICATORS	4	3	2	1
Maintainability				
1. Modularity	35 (70%)	15 (30%)	0	0
2. Reusability	36 (72%)	14 (28%)	0	0
3. Analyzability	34 (68%)	16 (32%)	0	0
4. Modifiability	40 (80%)	10 (20%)	0	0
5. Testability	37 (74%)	13 (26%)	0	0
Overall Percentage	72.80%	27.20%	0	0

The overall result of the evaluation regarding the Maintainability of the system is shown in Table 12. At least 34 of the of the respondents rated the system 4 or "Highly Acceptable" while at least 10 rated the system 3 or "Very Acceptable" and none of the respondents rated the system 2 or "Acceptable" and 1 or "Not Acceptable".

Table 13. Frequency Rating for Portability (n=50)

INDICATORS	4	3	2	1
Portability				
1. Adaptability	34 (68%)	15 (30%)	1 (2%)	0
2. Installability	36 (72%)	13 (26%)	1 (2%)	0
3. Replaceability	30 (60%)	19 (38%)	1 (2%)	0
Overall Percentage	66.67%	31.33%	2%	0

The overall result of the evaluation regarding the Portability of the system is shown in Table 13. At least 30 of the of the respondents rated the system 4 or "Highly Acceptable" while at least 13 rated the system 3 or "Very Acceptable", at least 1 rated the system 2 or "Acceptable" and none of the respondents rated 1 or "Not Acceptable".

Table 14.

Respondents' Overall Percentage Rating of the System

CRITERIA	4	3	2	1
1. Functionality	68.67%	31.33%	0	0
2. Efficiency	66%	34%	0	0
3. Compatibility	64%	36%	0	0
4. Usability	63.67%	35.67%	0.67%	0
5. Reliability	67.50%	32.50%	0	0
6. Security	69.20%	30.80%	0	0
7. Maintainability	72.80%	27.20%	0	0
8. Portability	66.67%	31.33%	2%	0
Overall Percentage	67.31%	32.35%	0.33%	0

Table 14 shows the overall percentage rating of the system. 67.31% respondents rated the system 4 or "Highly Acceptable". 32.35% of respondents rated the system 3 or "Very Acceptable", 0.33% of the respondents rated the system 2 or "Acceptable" while none of the respondents rated the system 1 or "Not Acceptable".