

Progressivity of Canada’s Fuel Charge (GGPPA Part I)

With and Without the Canada Carbon Rebate, 2019–2025

February 2026

Abstract

This report estimates the distributional incidence of Canada’s federal fuel charge (Part I of the *Greenhouse Gas Pricing Act*, GGPPA) in Ontario, Manitoba, Saskatchewan, and Alberta over policy years 2019–2025, with and without the associated household rebate (the Climate Action Incentive payment / Canada Carbon Rebate, hereafter “CCR”). The analysis combines household expenditures by before-tax income quintile with fuel-price series, household counts, and statutory fuel-charge rates to construct direct household fuel-charge burdens. Indirect price effects are incorporated through a calibrated non-direct cost wedge anchored to Department of Finance Canada “average cost impact” estimates for 2021 and 2024–2025. Net incidence is computed by applying CCR schedules for representative household types. Progressivity is summarized using effective tax rates and Kakwani indices.

1 Policy context

Part I of the GGPPA imposes a federal fuel charge in jurisdictions without a compliant provincial system. The charge applies to a schedule of fuels and is generally passed through to consumer prices. In backstop jurisdictions, most fuel-charge proceeds are returned to households via refundable credits administered through the personal income tax system: the Climate Action Incentive (CAI) payment in earlier years and the Canada Carbon Rebate (CCR) in later years ([fin2019](#); [fin2024](#)).

The analysis focuses on four provinces that have been subject to the federal fuel charge at various points: Ontario (ON), Manitoba (MB), Saskatchewan (SK), and Alberta (AB). For interpretability, the policy-year index t is aligned to the federal fuel-charge year (April–March). The 2025 policy year is treated as a post-repeal counterfactual with a zero fuel

charge (and thus zero CCR), consistent with the CRA statement that fuel charge rates are 0 starting April 1, 2025 (`cra·fuel·charge·rates`).

2 Data and sources

Four primary datasets are used:

1. **Household expenditures by income quintile and province.** Summary-level annual expenditures per household are taken from Statistics Canada Table 11-10-0223-01 (available for 2019, 2021, and 2023 in the provided extract), including total expenditure and fuel-related categories (`statcan11100223`). Missing intermediate years (2020, 2022) are filled by within-quintile linear interpolation; 2024–2025 values are held at 2023 levels in the absence of later observations.
2. **Fuel prices.** Monthly retail price series are taken from Statistics Canada Table 18-10-0001-01 for regular unleaded gasoline (self-service) and household heating fuel (`statcan18100001`). City-level series within each province are averaged to province-year prices.
3. **Number of households.** Quintile household counts are taken from Statistics Canada Table 36-10-0101-01 (`statcan36100101`). These counts are used as weights when aggregating quintile outcomes to a provincial average.
4. **Fuel charge rates.** Pre-2023 fuel charge rates are taken from the provided rate items extract. Post-2022 rates are extrapolated proportionally to the statutory carbon-price schedule using the CRA fuel-charge rate tables as the governing reference for the relationship between the per-unit charge and the carbon price (`cra·fuel·charge·rates`).

CCR schedules are taken directly from Department of Finance Canada annual backgrounders (`fin2019`; `fin2020`; `fin2021`; `fin2022`; `fin2023`; `fin2024`). A rural “small and rural communities” supplement is modeled as 10% through 2023–2024 and 20% in 2024–2025 (`fin2024`).

3 Methodology

3.1 Direct fuel-charge burden

Let r index province, q index income quintile, and t index policy year. Let \mathcal{F} denote the set of modeled fuels: gasoline, light fuel oil (as a proxy for household heating fuel), and

marketable natural gas. Direct fuel-charge payments are modeled as

$$T_{rqt}^{\text{direct}} = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} Q_{rqt,f} \tau_{t,f}, \quad (1)$$

where $Q_{rqt,f}$ is the average annual quantity of fuel f consumed by a representative household in quintile q and province r , and $\tau_{t,f}$ is the statutory fuel-charge rate (dollars per physical unit).

Quantities are inferred from expenditures and prices:

$$Q_{rqt,f} = \begin{cases} \frac{E_{rqt,f}}{P_{rt,f}} & \text{for liquid fuels (liters),} \\ \phi_r E_{rqt,NG} & \text{for natural gas (gigajoules).} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Here $E_{rqt,f}$ denotes fuel expenditures and $P_{rt,f}$ denotes the province-year retail fuel price. For natural gas, the available expenditure category includes fixed charges; therefore, $Q_{rqt,NG}$ is approximated using a reduced-form “GJ per dollar” factor ϕ_r that maps dollars to gigajoules. The statutory natural-gas fuel charge is quoted per cubic meter; the conversion 1 GJ = 26.853 m³ is used to express the rate in dollars per gigajoule.

3.2 Indirect price effects (reduced-form calibration)

Finance Canada reports an “average cost impact per household” that reflects both direct fuel-charge effects and indirect effects embedded in consumer purchases of other goods and services (**fin2021**; **fin2024**). Indirect effects are incorporated via a multiplicative wedge:

$$T_{rqt}^{\text{gross}} = (1 + \kappa_{rt}) T_{rqt}^{\text{direct}}. \quad (3)$$

The wedge κ_{rt} is calibrated to match Finance Canada anchors for 2021 and 2024:

$$\kappa_{rt^*} = \frac{\bar{F}_{rt^*}}{\bar{T}_{rt^*}^{\text{direct}}} - 1, \quad (4)$$

where \bar{F}_{rt^*} is Finance Canada’s provincial average cost impact per household and $\bar{T}_{rt^*}^{\text{direct}}$ is the household-weighted average of modeled direct costs across quintiles. For non-anchor years, κ_{rt} is interpolated linearly in the statutory carbon price. This approach provides a “PBO-style” incidence adjustment insofar as indirect effects are explicitly represented and grounded in official incidence summaries, but it is not a full input–output decomposition.

3.3 Rebate (CCR) and net incidence

CCR schedules provide annual base amounts for the first adult, the second adult, and each child. For a household type h (single adult, couple without children, family of four), the baseline annual rebate is

$$R_{rt}^{\text{base}}(h) = R_{rt}^{(1)} + \mathbf{1}\{h \text{ includes second adult}\} R_{rt}^{(2)} + n_c(h) R_{rt}^{(c)}, \quad (5)$$

where $n_c(h)$ is the number of children in household type h . A rural supplement is modeled as a proportional top-up:

$$R_{rt}^{\text{rural}}(h) = (1 + \rho_t) R_{rt}^{\text{base}}(h), \quad (6)$$

with $\rho_t \in \{0.10, 0.20\}$ depending on year.

Net incidence for household type h is then

$$T_{rqt}^{\text{net}}(h) = T_{rqt}^{\text{gross}} - R_{rt}(h). \quad (7)$$

3.4 Effective tax rates

An effective tax rate (ETR) is computed relative to total expenditure:

$$\text{ETR}_{rqt} = \frac{T_{rqt}}{E_{rqt}^{\text{total}}}, \quad (8)$$

where T_{rqt} denotes a direct, gross, or net measure and E_{rqt}^{total} is total annual expenditure.

3.5 Progressivity summary: Kakwani index

Progressivity is summarized using the Kakwani index:

$$K = C_T - G, \quad (9)$$

where G is the Gini coefficient of total expenditure and C_T is the concentration coefficient of the tax (or net burden) when households are ranked by expenditure. Negative K indicates regressivity; positive K indicates progressivity. When the modeled net program is a net transfer (aggregate net burden negative), K is not reported for that measure because a “tax concentration” statistic is not well-defined in that case.

4 Key inputs: CCR schedules

Table 1 reports baseline CCR amounts for a family of four. These values are taken directly from annual Finance Canada CCR/CAI backgrounder ([fin2019](#); [fin2020](#); [fin2021](#); [fin2022](#); [fin2023](#); [fin2024](#)). Alberta receives no CAI in 2019 under the simplifying assumption that the fuel charge effectively begins in 2020 in Alberta; the 2020 Alberta CAI schedule reflects the 15-month adjustment noted by Finance Canada ([fin2020](#)).

Table 1: Baseline CCR (family of four) by province and policy year (annual dollars).

Province Year	Ontario	Manitoba	Saskatchewan	Alberta
2019	307	339	609	0
2020	448	486	809	888
2021	600	720	1000	981
2022	745	832	1101	1079
2023	976	1056	1360	1544
2024	1120	1200	1504	1800

5 Indirect-effect calibration and cross-validation

Table 2 documents the calibration of κ_{rt} using Finance Canada's cost-impact estimates for 2021 and 2024 ([fin2021](#); [fin2024](#)). By construction, the household-weighted modelled gross costs match Finance Canada's reported averages in those anchor years. The table also reports the modeled direct cost component, which is typically below the Finance cost impact, consistent with (i) indirect effects embedded in other consumption categories and (ii) incomplete coverage of all taxable fuels in the expenditure categories.

The resulting wedges κ_{rt} are shown in Table 3. A higher κ_{rt} implies that non-direct cost components are relatively large compared to directly modeled household fuel charges.

6 Distributional results

6.1 Gross incidence (before CCR)

Gross incidence (direct plus calibrated indirect effects) rises monotonically in absolute dollars with income quintile in each province-year, reflecting higher average fuel-related expenditures among higher-expenditure households. However, the gross *effective tax rate* (gross cost divided by total expenditure) is generally higher in lower quintiles, implying regressivity in proportional terms.

Table 2: Crosswalk for indirect-cost calibration: modelled direct costs and Finance Canada cost impacts (dollars per household).

Year	Province	Direct cost (model)	Gross cost (model)	Finance Impact (Official)	Diff (Gross - Fin)
2021	Ontario	234.4	439.0	439	0.0
2021	Manitoba	218.0	462.0	462	0.0
2021	Saskatchewan	292.4	720.0	720	0.0
2021	Alberta	308.7	598.0	598	0.0
2024	Ontario	492.9	869.0	869	0.0
2024	Manitoba	496.0	828.0	828	0.0
2024	Saskatchewan	653.9	1156.0	1156	0.0
2024	Alberta	812.3	1056.0	1056	0.0

Table 3: Calibrated non-direct cost multiplier $\kappa_{r,t}$ (Finance Canada anchors: 2021 and 2024).

Year	Alberta	Manitoba	Ontario	Saskatchewan
2019	1.26	1.34	0.93	1.81
2021	0.94	1.12	0.87	1.46
2024	0.30	0.67	0.76	0.77

6.2 Net incidence (after CCR): household-type scenarios

Net incidence depends on household composition because CCR schedules vary with the number of adults and children. In the absence of household composition microdata by quintile in the provided inputs, net incidence is reported under three canonical household types: a single adult, a couple without children, and a family of four.

Table 4 illustrates the 2024–2025 policy year (year 2024) using the single-adult CCR schedule. In the lowest quintile, the modeled CCR can exceed gross costs, yielding a net transfer. In higher quintiles, the net burden remains positive. For couples and families of four, the CCR is larger, increasing the set of households for whom net incidence is negative.

6.3 Progressivity metrics

Table 5 reports Kakwani indices for 2024. Direct and gross indices are negative in each province, consistent with regressivity before rebates. After applying the CCR for a single-adult household, the net Kakwani index becomes positive, illustrating how the rebate can overturn gross regressivity in this scenario.

Table 4: Quintile incidence in 2024–2025 (policy year 2024): gross cost, CCR (single adult), net cost, and effective tax rates.

Province	Quintile	Gross Cost (\$)	Direct Cost (\$)	CCR (Single)	Net Cost (\$)	ETR Gross (%)	ETR Net (%)
Ontario	Lowest	437	248	560	-123	0.98	-0.27
Ontario	Second	788	447	560	228	1.07	0.31
Ontario	Third	799	453	560	239	0.84	0.25
Ontario	Fourth	1059	601	560	499	0.82	0.39
Ontario	Highest	1276	724	560	716	0.52	0.29
Manitoba	Lowest	342	205	600	-258	0.90	-0.68
Manitoba	Second	541	324	600	-59	0.90	-0.10
Manitoba	Third	762	457	600	162	0.98	0.21
Manitoba	Fourth	1103	661	600	503	1.01	0.46
Manitoba	Highest	1428	855	600	828	0.78	0.45
Saskatchewan	Lowest	503	285	752	-249	1.27	-0.63
Saskatchewan	Second	858	485	752	106	1.40	0.17
Saskatchewan	Third	1012	572	752	260	1.31	0.34
Saskatchewan	Fourth	1306	739	752	554	1.16	0.49
Saskatchewan	Highest	1769	1001	752	1017	1.00	0.58
Alberta	Lowest	539	414	900	-361	1.12	-0.75
Alberta	Second	989	761	900	89	1.23	0.11
Alberta	Third	956	735	900	56	0.90	0.05
Alberta	Fourth	1045	804	900	145	0.81	0.11
Alberta	Highest	1366	1051	900	466	0.57	0.19

7 Limitations and interpretation

Three limitations are especially salient.

- 1. Fuel aggregation in expenditures.** The vehicle-fuel expenditure category aggregates gasoline with other fuels. Treating it as gasoline introduces measurement error in inferred liters and thus in direct fuel-charge burdens.
- 2. Natural gas quantity inference.** The natural-gas expenditure category includes fixed charges. Converting dollars to gigajoules with a constant ϕ_r is a reduced-form approximation that may understate or overstate physical consumption.
- 3. Indirect effects modeling.** Indirect effects are represented through a calibrated wedge anchored to Finance Canada household-average cost impacts, rather than a full input–output model. Consequently, the indirect component does not introduce additional within-year distributional variation beyond what is present in direct fuel expenditures.

Notwithstanding these limitations, qualitative conclusions align with official distributional

Table 5: Kakwani indices in 2024–2025 (policy year 2024). Negative values imply regressivity relative to total expenditure; positive values imply progressivity.

Province	Direct cost	Gross cost	Net (single adult)
Alberta	−0.140	−0.140	0.573
Manitoba	−0.024	−0.024	0.593
Ontario	−0.124	−0.124	0.169
Saskatchewan	−0.066	−0.066	0.275

analyses, including Parliamentary Budget Officer assessments that emphasize the role of rebates in shifting net incidence toward progressivity for many households (**pbo2019**; **pbo2024`update**).

A Kakwani indices across years

Table 6 reports Kakwani indices for all policy years in the sample for (i) direct modeled fuel charges, (ii) gross costs including calibrated indirect effects, and (iii) net costs for the single-adult CCR scenario.

B Reproducibility outputs

The accompanying Python script (`compute_ggppa_progressivity_v2.py`) produces:

- `ggppa_progressivity_results_v2.csv` (quintile-by-province-by-year incidence measures);
- `ggppa_kakwani_indices_v2.csv` (progressivity indices);
- `ggppa_finance_crosswalk_v2.csv` (calibration diagnostics).

Table 6: Kakwani indices by province and policy year (selected measures).

Province	Year	Direct cost	Gross cost	Net (single adult)
Alberta	2020	-0.127	-0.127	0.276
Alberta	2021	-0.105	-0.105	0.533
Alberta	2022	-0.125	-0.125	0.224
Alberta	2023	-0.142	-0.142	0.181
Alberta	2024	-0.140	-0.140	0.573
Manitoba	2020	-0.042	-0.042	0.173
Manitoba	2021	-0.038	-0.038	0.676
Manitoba	2022	-0.032	-0.032	1.376
Manitoba	2023	-0.029	-0.029	0.735
Manitoba	2024	-0.024	-0.024	0.593
Manitoba	2019	-0.045	-0.045	0.209
Ontario	2020	-0.097	-0.097	0.102
Ontario	2021	-0.096	-0.096	0.289
Ontario	2022	-0.110	-0.110	0.384
Ontario	2023	-0.123	-0.123	0.225
Ontario	2024	-0.124	-0.124	0.169
Ontario	2019	-0.098	-0.098	0.128
Saskatchewan	2020	-0.084	-0.084	0.118
Saskatchewan	2021	-0.102	-0.102	0.237
Saskatchewan	2022	-0.083	-0.083	0.291
Saskatchewan	2023	-0.066	-0.066	0.263
Saskatchewan	2024	-0.066	-0.066	0.275
Saskatchewan	2019	-0.069	-0.069	0.204