

Final Project Grading

Course: Advanced Computing Concepts

Part I	Excellent 3 points	Can be improved 2 points	Acceptable 1 point	Poor 0 points	Criterion Score
Personal Points: Feature Development	Web crawler and two other features were developed with high complexity, demonstrating a solid understanding of the requirements.	A web crawler and either one minor feature or no additional features were developed, lacking complexity. The work demonstrates limited functionality and minimal effort.	Only one minor feature was implemented (either a web crawler or another feature, but not both), with poor functionality.	Possible reasons: - No features were implemented. - The student worked only on the GUI. - The student contributed to the report or presentation but did not participate in feature development.	/ 3

Part I	Excellent 3 points	Can be improved 2 points	Acceptable 1 point	Poor 0 points	Criterion Score
Personal Points: Question Answering	Exceptional understanding, precise and clear answers.	Difficulty in answering questions, lack of understanding.	Gaps in understanding; answering questions which are not asked (e.g. going through the full code when it is not asked).	Possible reasons: - No correct answers or no answers at all. - No features were implemented. - The student worked only on the GUI. - The student contributed to the report or presentation but did not participate in feature development.	/3
Personal Points: Presentation and Delivery	Clear and and well- structured, engaging presentation	Incoherent presentation, difficulty in conveying ideas.	Presentation is extremely short, not possible to assess.	No presentation.	/3

Part I	Excellent 3 points	Can be improved 2 points	Acceptable 1 point	Poor 0 points	Criterion Score
Personal Points: Error Handling	Comprehensive and robust error handling in personal features developed, minimizing the risk of unexpected failures.	Basic error handling in personal features developed, but may not cover all potential scenarios.	Little to no consideration for error handling, leading to potential issues.	Possible reasons: - No error handling. - No features were implemented. - The student worked only on the GUI. - The student contributed to the report or presentation but did not participate in feature development.	/3
Group Points: Teamwork	Exceptional collaboration, seamless integration of individual contributions; each team member should demonstrate their proficiency and fluency in the project; equal distribution of work	Adequate collaboration, some members more active than others; the project doesn't look solid	Poor integration; lack of collaboration, unequal distribution of work.	No integration; separate modules presented.	/ 3

Part I	Excellent 3 points	Can be improved 2 points	Acceptable 1 point	Poor 0 points	Criterion Score
Group Points: Data Structure Variety	Exceptional use of diverse data structures, tailored to specific requirements.	Some variety in data structures, but could be more diverse.	Limited or no use of different data structures.	Use of one data structure only for all the features.	/3
Group Points: Testing	Exceptional project testing, thorough coverage of various scenarios.	Basic project testing, some important scenarios overlooked.	Minimal project testing conducted.	No testing.	/3
Group Points: Creativity and Innovation	Highly creative and innovative, setting the project apart.	Some creative elements, but not groundbreaking.	Lack of creativity, project is conventional.	Not possible to assess	/3

Part II	Good timing 1 point	Bad timing O points	Criterion Score
Group points: Timing	Presentation/report is well- paced, adhering closely to the assigned time limit (within a 1- minute variation)	Presentation/report significantly exceeds or falls short of the allotted time (beyond 10 minutes).	/ 1

Part III	1 Point 1 point	O Points O points	Criterion Score	

Part III	1 Point 1 point	O Points O points	Criterion Score
Group Bonus	Bonus for defending the project during Week 11	Not applicable (defending the project during Week 12)	/1

Total / 26

Overall Score

Excellent

23 points minimum

Good

20 points minimum

Satisfactory

15 points minimum

Poor

0 points minimum