

Stone-Weierstrass Theorem Proof

Ameer Qaqish

July 4, 2021

This proof is the proof given in the book Measure Theory and Integration by Michael Taylor, but with the details filled in.

Weierstrass Approximation Theorem. If $I = [a, b]$ is an interval in \mathbb{R} , then the space $P(I)$ of polynomials on I is dense in $C(I)$.

Proof. Omitted.

Stone-Weierstrass Theorem. Let X be a compact topological space, and A a subalgebra of $C(X)$. Suppose $1 \in A$ and that A separates points of X , that is, for distinct $p, q \in X$, there exists $f_{pq} \in A$ such that $f_{pq}(p) \neq f_{pq}(q)$. Then A is dense in $C(X)$.

Proof. We prove the theorem in several steps. Note that \overline{A} is an algebra.

Step 1. If $f \in \overline{A}$ and $\phi \in C(\mathbb{R})$, then $\phi \circ f \in \overline{A}$.

Proof. Since X is compact, $f(X)$ is compact, so there is a compact interval J in \mathbb{R} such that $f(X) \subset J$. Take $a_n \in A$ converging to f , $p_n \in P(J)$ converging to $\phi|_J$. Enlarging J if necessary, we may assume that $a_n(X) \subset J$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $p_n \circ a_n \in A$, and for all $x \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} |p_n \circ a_n(x) - \phi \circ f(x)| &\leq |p_n \circ a_n(x) - \phi \circ a_n(x)| + |\phi \circ a_n(x) - \phi \circ f(x)| \\ &\leq \|p_n - \phi|_J\| + \omega_{\phi|_J}(\|a_n - f\|), \end{aligned}$$

where $\omega_{\phi|_J}$ is a modulus of continuity for $\phi|_J$. Thus $p_n \circ a_n$ converges to $\phi \circ f$, so $\phi \circ f \in \overline{A}$.

Step 2. If $f_1, f_2 \in \overline{A}$, then $\max(f_1, f_2), \min(f_1, f_2) \in \overline{A}$.

Proof. This follows from the identities

$$\begin{aligned}\max(f_1, f_2) &= \frac{f_1 + f_2}{2} + \frac{|f_1 - f_2|}{2}, \\ \min(f_1, f_2) &= \frac{f_1 + f_2}{2} - \frac{|f_1 - f_2|}{2}.\end{aligned}$$

Step 3. For distinct $p, q \in X$, there exists $f_{pq} \in \overline{A}$ such that $f_{pq}(p) = 1$ and $f_{pq}(q) = 0$.

Proof. Since X separates points, there exists $f_{pq} \in \overline{A}$ such that $f_{pq}(p) \neq f_{pq}(q)$. Let $g_{pq}(x) = \frac{f_{pq}(x) - f_{pq}(q)}{f_{pq}(p) - f_{pq}(q)}$. Then $g_{pq} \in \overline{A}$, $g_{pq}(p) = 1$, and $g_{pq}(q) = 0$.

Step 4. For distinct $p, q \in X$, there exists $f_{pq} \in \overline{A}$ such that $0 \leq f_{pq} \leq 1$ on X , $f_{pq} = 1$ on a neighborhood of p , and $f_{pq} = 0$ on a neighborhood of q .

Proof. By step 3, there exists $f_{pq} \in \overline{A}$ such that $f_{pq}(p) = 1$ and $f_{pq}(q) = 0$. Define $\phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\phi(y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } y \leq \frac{1}{3} \\ 3(y - \frac{1}{3}) & \text{if } \frac{1}{3} \leq y \leq \frac{2}{3} \\ 1 & \text{if } y \geq \frac{2}{3} \end{cases}.$$

Then $\phi \in C(\mathbb{R})$ and $\phi \circ f_{pq} \in \overline{A}$ has the desired properties. Note that this implies X is Hausdorff.

Step 5. If $p \in X$ and U is a neighborhood of p , then there exists $f_{pU} \in \overline{A}$ such that $0 \leq f_{pU} \leq 1$ on X , $f_{pU} = 1$ on a neighborhood of p , and $f_{pU} = 0$ off U .

Proof. By step 4, for each $q \in U^c$, there exists $f_{pq} \in \overline{A}$ such that $0 \leq f_{pq} \leq 1$ on X , $f_{pq} = 1$ on a neighborhood U_q of p , and $f_{pq} = 0$ on a neighborhood V_q of q . Since $\{V_q \mid q \in U^c\}$ is an open cover of U^c , there is a finite subcover $\{V_{q_1}, \dots, V_{q_N}\}$. Let $f_{pU} = \min(f_{pq_1}, \dots, f_{pq_N}) \in \overline{A}$. Then $0 \leq f_{pU} \leq 1$ on X , $f_{pU} = 1$ on $\cap_{i=1}^N U_{q_i}$, and $f_{pU} = 0$ on $\cup_{i=1}^N V_{q_i} \supset U^c$.

Step 6. For each compact $K \subset X$, open $U \supset K$, there exists $f_{KU} \in \overline{A}$ such that $0 \leq f_{KU} \leq 1$ on X , $f_{KU} = 1$ on K , and $f_{KU} = 0$ off U .

Proof. By step 5, for each $p \in K$, there exists $f_{pU} \in \overline{A}$ such that $0 \leq f_{pU} \leq 1$ on X , $f_{pU} = 1$ on a neighborhood U_p of p , and $f_{pU} = 0$ off U . Since $\{U_p \mid p \in$

$K\}$ is an open cover of K , there is a finite subcover $\{U_{p_1}, \dots, U_{p_N}\}$. Let $f_{KU} = \max(f_{p_1U}, \dots, f_{p_NU}) \in \overline{A}$. Then $0 \leq f_{KU} \leq 1$ on X , $f_{KU} = 1$ on $\cup_{i=1}^N U_{p_i} \supset K$, and $f_{KU} = 0$ off U .

Step 7. Now we prove the theorem. Let $g \in C(X)$ be arbitrary. We may assume that $0 \leq g \leq 1$ on X , since if $g(X) \subset [-M, M]$, we could consider $\frac{g+M}{2M}$ instead. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrary. For $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$, let $K_i = g^{-1}([\frac{i}{N}, \frac{i+1}{N}])$, $U_i = g^{-1}((\frac{i-1}{N}, \frac{i+2}{N}))$. By step 7, for each i , there exists $f_i \in \overline{A}$ such that $0 \leq f_i \leq 1$ on X , $f_i = 1$ on K_i , and $f_i = 0$ off U_i . Let $f = \max_{0 \leq i \leq N} \frac{i}{N} f_i \in \overline{A}$. Now let $x \in X$ be arbitrary. Let $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, N\}$ be such that $\frac{j}{N} \leq g(x) < \frac{j+1}{N}$. Note that $f_i(x) = 0$ for all $i \leq j-2$ and $i \geq j+2$. Thus $f(x) = \max(\frac{j-1}{N} f_{j-1}(x), \frac{j}{N} f_j(x), \frac{j+1}{N} f_{j+1}(x))$. Thus $f(x) \geq \frac{j}{N}$ and $f(x) \leq \frac{j+1}{N}$. Thus $|g(x) - f(x)| \leq \frac{1}{N}$. Thus $\|f - g\| \leq \frac{1}{N}$. Since N was arbitrary, this proves the theorem. \square