

STOR 635 HW 10

BY AMEER QAQISH

April 11, 2022

1 Solutions

1. Show that if $\{X_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ is a binary exchangeable sequence, then

(i) With probability one, $X_\infty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} (X_1 + \cdots + X_n)$ exists.

(ii) If μ is a measure defined by $\mu(A) = P(X_\infty \in A)$, $A \in \mathcal{B}([0, 1])$, then for all n , e_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$P(X_1 = e_1, \dots, X_n = e_n) = \int_0^1 x^s (1-x)^{n-s} \mu(dx)$$

where $s = e_1 + \cdots + e_n$.

Proof. (i) We have $\frac{1}{n} (X_1 + \cdots + X_n) = E(X_1 | \mathcal{E}_n) \rightarrow E(X_1 | \mathcal{E})$ a.s. and in L^1 . So we can let $X_\infty = E(X_1 | \mathcal{E})$.

(ii) Let $\Xi_\infty = \mathcal{L}(X_1 | \mathcal{E})$. By de Finetti's theorem, $\mathcal{L}(X | \Xi_\infty) = \Xi_\infty^{\otimes \mathbb{N}}$. We have $\mathcal{L}(X_1 | \Xi_\infty) = \Xi_\infty$. Since $P(X_1 \in \{0, 1\}) = 1$, we get $\Xi_\infty(\{0, 1\}) = P(X_1 \in \{0, 1\} | \Xi_\infty) = 1$, i.e. Ξ_∞ is Bernoulli. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_\infty &= \text{Ber}(\Xi_\infty(\{1\})) \\ &= \text{Ber}(\mathcal{L}(X_1 | \mathcal{E})(\{1\})) \\ &= \text{Ber}(P(X_1 = 1 | \mathcal{E})) \\ &= \text{Ber}(E(X_1 | \mathcal{E})) \\ &= \text{Ber}(X_\infty). \end{aligned}$$

Thus for any $A \in \mathcal{B}(\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}})$,

$$\begin{aligned} P(X \in A) &= E(P(X \in A | \text{Ber}(X_\infty))) \\ &= \int_0^1 P(X_\infty \in dx) P(X \in A | \text{Ber}(X_\infty) = \text{Ber}(x)) \\ &= \int_0^1 P(X_\infty \in dx) \text{Ber}(x)^{\otimes \mathbb{N}}(A). \end{aligned}$$

When $A = \{e_1\} \times \cdots \times \{e_n\} \times \{0, 1\} \times \cdots$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ber}(x)^{\otimes \mathbb{N}}(A) &= \text{Ber}(x)(\{e_1\}) \cdots \text{Ber}(x)(\{e_n\}) \\ &= x^s (1-x)^{n-s}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$s = e_1 + \cdots + e_n.$$

Thus

$$P(X_1 = e_1, \dots, X_n = e_n) = P(X \in A) = \int_0^1 P(X_\infty \in dx) x^s (1-x)^{n-s}. \quad \square$$

2. Recall the Polya Urn model. An urn has r red balls and b black balls. At each time, a ball is drawn randomly and two balls of the drawn color are put back in the urn.

(i) Let X_i be one if the i -th draw is a red ball and zero otherwise. Show that

$$P(X_1 = e_1, \dots, X_n = e_n) = \frac{[r(r+1) \cdots (r+s-1)][b(b+1) \cdots (b+n-s-1)]}{(b+r)(b+r+1) \cdots (b+r+n-1)}$$

where $s = e_1 + \cdots + e_n$. Hence show that $\{X_i\}$ is an exchangeable sequence.

(ii) Let \bar{X}_n be the proportion of red balls in the urn after n draws. By question 1, \bar{X}_n converges almost surely to \bar{X}_∞ . From the above formula, it follows that

$$P(\bar{X}_n = k/n) = \binom{n}{k} \frac{[r(r+1) \cdots (r+k-1)][b(b+1) \cdots (b+n-k-1)]}{(b+r)(b+r+1) \cdots (b+r+n-1)}, \quad n \geq 1, 0 \leq k \leq n.$$

From this and characteristic functions (see note PolUrn in resources), one can show that \bar{X}_∞ has a Beta(r, b) distribution (you can assume this without proof for the homework). Show that, taking μ to be the Beta(r, b) distribution, the equality in part (ii) of question 1 indeed holds.

Proof. (i) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For $0 \leq i \leq n$, let $s_i = e_1 + \cdots + e_i$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_1 = e_1, \dots, X_n = e_n) &= P(X_1 = e_1) P(X_2 = e_2 \mid X_1 = e_1, X_2 = e_2) \cdots P(X_n = e_n \mid \\ &\quad X_1 = e_1, \dots, X_{n-1} = e_{n-1}) \\ &= \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n: e_i = 1} \frac{r + s_{i-1}}{b + r + i - 1} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n: e_i = 0} \frac{b + i - 1 - s_{i-1}}{b + r + i - 1} \\ &= \frac{1}{(b+r) \cdots (b+r+n-1)} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n: e_i = 1} (r + s_{i-1}) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n: e_i = 0} (b + i - 1 - s_{i-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Since the urn has r red balls before drawing the first red ball and has $r + s_n - 1$ red balls before drawing the last red ball, we have

$$\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n: e_i = 1} (r + s_{i-1}) = r(r+1) \cdots (r + s_n - 1).$$

Similarly,

$$\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n: e_i = 0} (b + i - 1 - s_{i-1}) = b(b+1) \cdots (b + n - s_n - 1).$$

Since $s_n = s$,

$$P(X_1 = e_1, \dots, X_n = e_n) = \frac{r(r+1) \cdots (r+s-1) b(b+1) \cdots (b+n-s-1)}{(b+r)(b+r+1) \cdots (b+r+n-1)}.$$

This shows that $P(X_1 = e_1, \dots, X_n = e_n)$ is a function of $e_1 + \cdots + e_n$. Since summation is invariant under permutations, $(X_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ is exchangeable. Since n was arbitrary, $(X_i)_{i \geq 1}$ is exchangeable.

(ii) Let $\mu = \text{Beta}(r, b)$. This means that μ has density

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{B(r, b)} x^{r-1} (1-x)^{b-1}, \quad x \in [0, 1],$$

where

$$B(r, b) = \frac{\Gamma(r) \Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(r+b)}.$$

Let $e_1, \dots, e_n \in \{0, 1\}$ be arbitrary. Let $s = e_1 + \cdots + e_n$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 x^s (1-x)^{n-s} \mu(dx) &= \frac{1}{B(r, b)} \int_0^1 x^{r+s-1} (1-x)^{b+n-s-1} dx \\ &= \frac{B(r+s, b+n-s)}{B(r, b)} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(r+b)}{\Gamma(r) \Gamma(b)} \frac{\Gamma(r+s) \Gamma(b+n-s)}{\Gamma(r+b+n)}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{r(r+1) \cdots (r+s-1) b(b+1) \cdots (b+n-s-1)}{(b+r)(b+r+1) \cdots (b+r+n-1)} &= \\ \frac{(b+r-1)!}{(b+r+n-1)!} \frac{(r+s-1)!}{(r-1)!} \frac{(b+n-s-1)!}{(b-1)!}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the identity $\Gamma(k) = (k-1)!$, it is seen that this expression is equal to $\frac{\Gamma(r+b)}{\Gamma(r) \Gamma(b)} \frac{\Gamma(r+s) \Gamma(b+n-s)}{\Gamma(r+b+n)}$. Thus the equality

$$\frac{r(r+1) \cdots (r+s-1) b(b+1) \cdots (b+n-s-1)}{(b+r)(b+r+1) \cdots (b+r+n-1)} = \int_0^1 x^s (1-x)^{n-s} \mu(dx)$$

indeed holds. □

3. Let X_1, X_2, \dots be $N(0, 1)$ random variables (defined on the same probability space) such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) is jointly Gaussian with $\text{Cov}(X_i, X_j) = \rho$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. Show that this is indeed an exchangeable family.

(i) Show that the cumulative empirical distribution defined by $F_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I(X_i \leq x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, has the following random limit for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$F_n(x) \xrightarrow{a.s.} F_\infty(x) := \Phi\left(\frac{x - Y}{\sqrt{1 - \rho}}\right),$$

where Φ is the standard normal cdf and $Y \sim N(0, \rho)$ is the almost sure limit of $\frac{1}{n}(X_1 + \dots + X_n)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This observation can be used to show that the $\sigma(\mathcal{F}_\infty) = \sigma(Y)$ (no need to prove this).

(ii) Show that, conditional on $\sigma(Y)$, $\{X_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ are iid $N(Y, 1 - \rho)$.

Proof. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and distinct $i_1, \dots, i_n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_n})$ are jointly Gaussian with mean vector 0 and covariance matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho & \dots & \rho \\ \rho & 1 & \dots & \rho \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \rho & \rho & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Since the distribution of $(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_n})$ is the same for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all distinct n -tuples (i_1, \dots, i_n) , it follows that $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is exchangeable.

We prove part (ii) first.

(ii) Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrary. We will compute the conditional distribution of (X_1, \dots, X_k) given Y . Let $n \geq k$ be arbitrary. Define

$$\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n}(X_1 + \dots + X_n).$$

Note that $(X_1, \dots, X_k, \bar{X}_n)$ is jointly Gaussian with mean

$$\mu_n = 0,$$

and covariance matrix

$$\Sigma_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho & \rho & \dots & \rho & \rho_n \\ \rho & 1 & \rho & \dots & \rho & \rho_n \\ \rho & \rho & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 1 & \rho & \rho_n \\ \rho & \rho & \dots & \rho & 1 & \rho_n \\ \rho_n & \rho_n & \dots & \rho_n & \rho_n & \rho_n \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\rho_n = \text{Cov}(X_1, \bar{X}_n) = \frac{1}{n}(1 + (n-1)\rho).$$

By the backward martingale convergence theorem, $\bar{X}_n = E(X_1 | \mathcal{E}_n) \rightarrow E(X_1 | \mathcal{E}) = Y$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ a.s. and in L^1 . Thus $(X_1, \dots, X_k, \bar{X}_n) \rightarrow (X_1, \dots, X_k, Y)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ a.s. and in L^1 . Since $\rho_n \rightarrow \rho$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\Sigma := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Sigma_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho & \rho & \dots & \rho \\ \rho & 1 & \rho & \dots & \rho \\ \rho & \rho & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 1 & \rho \\ \rho & \rho & \dots & \rho & \rho \end{pmatrix}.$$

The characteristic function of $(X_1, \dots, X_k, \bar{X}_n)$ is

$$\varphi_n(t) = \exp\left(i \mu_n^T t - \frac{1}{2} t^T \Sigma_n t\right) \rightarrow \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} t^T \Sigma t\right) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since $(X_1, \dots, X_k, \bar{X}_n)$ converge to (X_1, \dots, X_k, Y) a.s., it follows from the DCT that the characteristic function of (X_1, \dots, X_k, Y) is

$$\varphi(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n(t) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} t^T \Sigma t\right).$$

Thus (X_1, \dots, X_k, Y) is jointly Gaussian with mean 0 and covariance matrix Σ . Fix distinct $i, j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. The covariance matrix of (X_i, X_j, Y) is

$$\Sigma^{i,j,Y} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho & \rho \\ \rho & 1 & \rho \\ \rho & \rho & \rho \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using the general formula for the conditional distribution of one component of a joint Gaussian conditioned on another, it follows that for $y \in \mathbb{R}$, the conditional distribution of (X_i, X_j) given $Y = y$ is jointly Gaussian with mean vector

$$\mu_y = \begin{pmatrix} \rho \\ \rho \end{pmatrix} \rho^{-1} y = \begin{pmatrix} y \\ y \end{pmatrix}$$

and covariance matrix

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_y &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho \\ \rho & 1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \rho \\ \rho \end{pmatrix} \rho^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \rho & \rho \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho \\ \rho & 1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \rho & \rho \\ \rho & \rho \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1-\rho & 0 \\ 0 & 1-\rho \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

See the answer by Ben at [1] for a proof of the general formula. Thus the conditional distribution of (X_1, \dots, X_k) given $Y = y$ is jointly Gaussian with mean vector $\begin{pmatrix} y \\ y \\ \vdots \\ y \end{pmatrix}$

and covariance matrix $(1-\rho)I$. In other words, given $Y = y$, X_1, \dots, X_k are i.i.d. $N(y, 1-\rho)$. Since $k \in \mathbb{N}$ was arbitrary, this means that conditional on Y , $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ are i.i.d. $N(Y, 1-\rho)$.

(i) Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that $F_n(x) = P(X_1 \leq x | \mathcal{E}_n)$. By the theorem proved in class, $F_n(x) \rightarrow P(X_1 \leq x | \mathcal{E}) = P(X_1 \leq x | \mathcal{T})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ a.s. and in L^1 , where \mathcal{T} is the tail σ -algebra of $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. Thus $F_\infty(x) = P(X_1 \leq x | \mathcal{T})$. We claim that $P(X_1 \leq x | \mathcal{T}) = P(X_1 \leq x | Y)$. Define $f: \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f(y) = I(y_1 \leq x)$. Let Z be an arbitrary \mathcal{T} -measurable random variable. For each $y \in \mathbb{R}$, since $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ are i.i.d. given $Y = y$ and Z is \mathcal{T} -measurable, it follows by Kolmogorov's 0-1 law that $Z = E(Z | Y = y)$ $P(\cdot | Y = y)$ almost surely and hence

$$\begin{aligned} E(Z f(X) | Y = y) &= E(E(Z | Y = y) f(X) | Y = y) \\ &= E(Z | Y = y) E(f(X) | Y = y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$E(Z f(X) | Y) = E(Z | Y) E(f(X) | Y).$$

Also,

$$E(Z E(f(X) | Y) | Y) = E(Z | Y) E(f(X) | Y).$$

By the tower property,

$$E(Z f(X)) = E(Z E(f(X) | Y)).$$

Since Z was an arbitrary bounded \mathcal{T} -measurable random variable, it follows that

$$E(f(X) | \mathcal{T}) = E(E(f(X) | Y) | \mathcal{T}).$$

Since Y is \mathcal{T} -measurable, $\sigma(Y) \subset \mathcal{T}$. Thus $E(E(f(X) | Y) | \mathcal{T}) = E(f(X) | Y)$, and hence

$$E(f(X) | \mathcal{T}) = E(f(X) | Y).$$

So by part (ii),

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_1 \leq x | \mathcal{T}) &= P(X_1 \leq x | Y) \\ &= \Phi\left(\frac{x - Y}{\sqrt{1 - \rho}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $F_\infty(x) = P(X_1 \leq x | \mathcal{T})$, this finishes the proof. \square

4. Let $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be a time homogeneous E -valued Markov chain with distributions $\{P_x\}_{x \in E}$ on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) . Let $K : E \times \mathcal{B}(E)^{\otimes \infty} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined as

$$K(x, B) = P_x((X_0, X_1, \dots) \in B), \quad (x, B) \in E \times \mathcal{B}(E)^{\otimes \infty}.$$

Show that for any bounded measurable function $F : E^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$E_x(F(\Theta_m \underline{X}) | \mathcal{F}_m) = \int F(y) K(X_m, dy), \quad \text{a.s.},$$

where $\Theta_m \underline{X} = (X_m, X_{m+1}, \dots)$.

Proof. We use the notation

$$E_x(F(X)) = \int_\Omega F(X(\omega)) P_x(d\omega) = \int_{E^\infty} F(y) K(x, dy).$$

Thus we must show that

$$E_x(F(\Theta_m X) | \mathcal{F}_m) = E_{X_m}(F(X)).$$

First consider the case where F depends only on the coordinates x_0, \dots, x_n for some $n \geq 0$. Write $F(x) = F(x_0, \dots, x_n)$. Thus $F(\Theta_m X) = F(X_m, X_{m+1}, \dots, X_{m+n})$. Let $g : E^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an arbitrary bounded $\mathcal{B}(E^n)$ -measurable function. Let $\kappa : E \times \mathcal{B}(E) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be the one step transition probability kernel defined by

$$\kappa(x, A) = P_x(X_1 \in A).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
E_x(g(X_0, \dots, X_m) F(\Theta_m X)) &= E_x(g(X_0, \dots, X_m) F(X_m, \dots, X_{m+n})) \\
&= \int_E \kappa(x_0, dx_1) \cdots \int_E \kappa(x_{m+n-1}, dx_{m+n}) g(x_1, \dots, \\
&\quad x_m) F(x_m, \dots, x_{m+n}) \\
&= \int_E \kappa(x_0, dx_1) \cdots \int_E \kappa(x_{m-1}, dx_m) g(x_0, \dots, x_m) \int_E \kappa(x_m, \\
&\quad dx_{m+1}) \cdots \int_E \kappa(x_{m+n-1}, dx_{m+n}) F(x_m, \dots, x_{m+n}) \\
&= \int_E \kappa(x_0, dx_1) \cdots \int_E \kappa(x_{m-1}, dx_m) g(x_0, \dots, x_m) \\
&\quad E_{x_m}(F(X_m, \dots, X_{m+n})) \\
&= E_x(g(X_0, \dots, X_m) E_{X_m}(F(X_0, \dots, X_n))) \\
&= E_x(g(X_0, \dots, X_m) E_{X_m}(F(X))).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $g: E^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ was an arbitrary bounded $\mathcal{B}(E^m)$ -measurable function, this implies that

$$E_x(F(\Theta_m X) | \mathcal{F}_m) = E_{X_m}(F(X)).$$

Thus the problem is solved for F that depend on finitely many coordinates.

Now suppose $F: E^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded and measurable but does not necessarily depend on only finitely many coordinates. By linearity, we may assume that $F \geq 0$. By monotone convergence, we may assume that F is a simple function. By linearity, we may assume that $F = 1_A$ for some $A \in \mathcal{B}(E^\infty)$. The collection \mathcal{D} of sets $A \in \mathcal{B}(E^\infty)$ for which $E_x(1_A(\Theta_m X) | \mathcal{F}_m) = E_{X_m}(1_A(X))$ is a λ -system, and, by what was already proved, it contains all sets of the form $A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n \times E \times \cdots$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{B}(E)$. By the π - λ theorem, $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{B}(E^\infty)$. This completes the proof. \square

Bibliography

- [1] Deriving the conditional distributions of a multivariate normal distribution.