

Report for CS215 Assignment 3

AMEEYA RANJAN SETHY - 200050006

October 30, 2021

Question 3

Let the Data $D = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$, $n \geq 1$. Since the samples are drawn from a uniform distribution on $(0, \theta)$, we assume that each sample $x_1 \geq 0$ because the possibility of any x_i being negative is confirmed to be almost impossible.

- Likelihood:

$$P(x|\theta) = \begin{cases} (\frac{1}{\theta}) & \text{if } x_i \in (0, \theta) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$P(x|\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta}(x \in (0, \theta))$$

- Prior:

$$P(\theta) \propto \frac{\theta_m^\alpha}{\theta^\alpha} I(\theta \geq \theta_m)$$

So the joint Likelihood is:

$$P(\{x_i\}, \theta) = \left(\frac{1}{\theta}\right)^n \prod_{i=1}^n I(x_i \in (0, \theta))$$

A MLE and MAP

By looking at the joint Likelihood above, we have:

$$P(\{x_i\}|\theta) = \begin{cases} (\frac{1}{\theta})^n & \text{if } x_i \in (0, \theta) \forall i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

since if even one x_i is not in this range, the indicator will become 0 and so will the joint likelihood. Since we wish to maximize the joint likelihood, and since $(\frac{1}{\theta})^n > 0 \forall \theta \in R$, we consider the first case where we must have $\forall i \ x_i \in (0, \theta)$. This is equivalent to $\theta \geq \max(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, since $x_i \leq \theta \ \forall i$, thus the largest of them must also be smaller than θ , and conversely if the largest of them is smaller than θ , then so are all of them.

Thus, maximizing the joint likelihood $(\frac{1}{\theta})^n$ i.e minimizing θ subject to $\theta \geq \max(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ means

$$\hat{\theta}^{MLE} = \max(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

Now the Posterior Distribution:

$$P(\theta|\{x_i\}) = \frac{P(\{x_i\}|\theta)P(\theta)}{P(\{x_i\})}$$

$$P(\theta|\{x_i\}) \propto P(\{x_i\}|\theta)P(\theta)$$

$$P(\theta|\{x_i\}) \propto \left(\frac{1}{\theta}\right)^n \prod_{i=1}^n I(x_i \in (0, \theta)) \times \frac{\theta_m^\alpha}{\theta^\alpha} I(\theta \geq \theta_m)$$

$$P(\theta|\{x_i\}) \propto \left(\frac{\theta_m^\alpha}{\theta^{n+\alpha}}\right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^n I(x_i \in (0, \theta))\right) I(\theta \geq \theta_m)$$

Thus finally we get

$$P(\theta|\{x_i\}) = \begin{cases} (\frac{1}{\theta}) & \text{if } \forall i \ x_i \in (0, \theta) \text{ and } \theta \geq \theta_m \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus, similarly, we must enforce $\forall i \ x_i \in (0, \theta)$ and $\theta \geq \theta_m$. This is equivalent to $\theta \geq \max(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \theta_m)$. And since maximizing posterior i.e maximizing $\frac{\theta_m^\alpha}{\theta^{n+\alpha}}$ is equivalent to minimizing θ since $n + \alpha > 2$, so denominator's power is positive, we again get:

$$\hat{\theta}^{MAP} = \max(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \theta_m)$$

B MAP vs MLE Asymptotically

Yes, MAP estimate tends to MLE estimate as sample size tends to infinity. This is because of the following:

$$\max(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \theta_m) \neq \max(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \iff \forall i \ \theta_m \geq x_i$$

i.e.

$$Pr(\max(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \theta_m) \neq \max(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)) = \left(\frac{\theta_m}{\theta}\right)^n$$

since $Pr(\theta > \theta_m) = 1$ (all the probability mass exists after θ_m). As $n \rightarrow \infty$, the RHS will tend to 0. Thus, the

$$Pr(\max(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \theta_m) \neq \max(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)) = \left(\frac{\theta_m}{\theta}\right)^n \rightarrow 0$$

$$Pr(\max(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \theta_m) = \max(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)) = \left(\frac{\theta_m}{\theta}\right)^n \rightarrow 1$$

$$\max(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \theta_m) = \max(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \text{ always}$$

i.e the MAP almost surely tends to the MLE. This is desirable because, since the ML estimate is the best estimate asymptotically (no other consistent estimator has a lower asymptotic MSE than the ML estimate), the MAP estimate is getting all the nice asymptotic properties (consistency, asymptotic normality, efficiency) of the ML estimate.

C Mean of the Posterior Distribution

As seen in the previous proof,

$$P(\theta|\{x_i\}) \propto \left(\frac{\theta_m^\alpha}{\theta^{n+\alpha}}\right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^n I(x_i \in (0, \theta))\right) I(\theta \geq \theta_m)$$

Thus,

$$P(\theta|\{x_i\}) = K \left(\frac{\theta_m^\alpha}{\theta^{n+\alpha}}\right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^n I(x_i \in (0, \theta))\right) I(\theta \geq \theta_m)$$

where K is a normalization constant independent of θ . Let us obtain it now. To do so, we shall integrate over all θ and since the LHS is a posterior distribution, it integrates to one. Thus,

$$1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K \left(\frac{\theta_m^\alpha}{\theta^{n+\alpha}}\right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^n I(x_i \in (0, \theta))\right) I(\theta \geq \theta_m)$$

$$1 = K \times \theta_m^\alpha \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\theta^{n+\alpha}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n I(x_i \in (0, \theta))\right) I(\theta \geq \theta_m)$$

The integrand here is non-zero only when

$$\forall 1, x_i \in (0, \theta) \text{ and } \text{ i.e when } \theta \geq \max(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \theta_m)$$

Thus,

$$1 = K \times \theta_m^\alpha \int_{\max(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \theta_m)}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\theta^{n+\alpha}}$$

$$1 = K \times \theta_m^\alpha \times \frac{1}{1 - (n + \alpha)} \times (\theta^{1-n+\alpha}) \Big|_{\max(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \theta_m)}^{\infty}$$

Since $1 - (n + \alpha) < 0$, since $n \geq 1$, $\alpha \geq 1$, it evaluates to 0 at ∞ . Thus,

$$1 = K \times \theta_m^\alpha \times \frac{-\max(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \theta_m)^{1-(n+\alpha)}}{1 - (n + \alpha)}$$

$$1 = K \times \theta_m^\alpha \times \frac{\max(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \theta_m)^{1-(n+\alpha)}}{(n + \alpha) - 1}$$

Thus,

$$K = \frac{(n + \alpha) - 1}{\theta_m^\alpha \times \max(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \theta_m)^{1-(n+\alpha)}}$$

Now, to find the mean, we find the expectation of θ over the posterior distribution.

$$E_{P(\theta|\{x_i\})}(\theta|\{x_i\}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \theta \times K \times \frac{(\theta_m)^\alpha}{\theta^{n+\alpha}} \times \left(\prod_{i=1}^n I(x_i \in (0, \theta)) \right) \times I(\theta \geq \theta_m)$$

$$E_{P(\theta|\{x_i\})}(\theta|\{x_i\}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K \times \frac{(\theta_m)^\alpha}{\theta^{n+\alpha-1}} \times \left(\prod_{i=1}^n I(x_i \in (0, \theta)) \right) \times I(\theta \geq \theta_m)$$

$$E_{P(\theta|\{x_i\})}(\theta|\{x_i\}) = K \times (\theta_m)^\alpha \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\theta^{n+\alpha-1}} \times \left(\prod_{i=1}^n I(x_i \in (0, \theta)) \right) \times I(\theta \geq \theta_m)$$

Thus,

$$= K \times (\theta_m)^\alpha \int_{\max(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \theta_m)}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\theta^{n+\alpha-1}}$$

$$= K \times (\theta_m)^\alpha \times \frac{1}{2 - (n + \alpha)} \times (\theta^{2-(n+\alpha)}) \Big|_{\max(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \theta_m)}^{\infty}$$

Since $2 - (n + \alpha) < 0$, since $n \geq 1$, $\alpha \geq 1$, it evaluates to 0 at ∞ . Thus,

$$= K \times (\theta_m)^\alpha \times \frac{-\max(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \theta_m)^{2-(n+\alpha)}}{2 - (n + \alpha)}$$

$$= \frac{(n + \alpha) - 1}{\theta_m^\alpha \times \max(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \theta_m)^{1-(n+\alpha)}} \times (\theta_m)^\alpha \times \frac{\max(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \theta_m)^{2-(n+\alpha)}}{2 - (n + \alpha)}$$

$$= \frac{(n + \alpha) - 1}{(n + \alpha) - 2} \times \max(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \theta_m)$$

Thus,

$$E_{P(\theta|\{x_i\})}(\theta|\{x_i\}) = \frac{(n + \alpha) - 1}{(n + \alpha) - 2} \times \max(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \theta_m)$$

D Mean of posterior and MLE asymptotically

We can clearly see that since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(n + \alpha) - 1}{(n + \alpha) - 2} = 1$$

and using the previous result, the function

$$E_{P(\theta|\{x_i\})}(\theta|\{x_i\}) = \frac{(n + \alpha) - 1}{(n + \alpha) - 2} \times \max(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \theta_m)$$

asymptotically almost surely tends pointwise to the function $\max(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ (one function converges to the other at every point) and thus the posterior mean tends to the ML estimate as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

This is, as explained before, desirable since the ML estimate is the best estimate asymptotically (no other consistent estimator has a lower asymptotic MSE than the ML estimate), the estimate obtained using the posterior mean is getting all the nice asymptotic properties (consistency, asymptotic normality, efficiency) of the ML estimate.