| **Course:** | GPP 501 L01 - Microeconomic Analysis for Public Policy | **Department:** | MPPGA |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Responsible Faculty:** | Kevin Milligan | **Responses / Expected:** | 11 / 17 (64.71%) |

| **\*Arts Teaching Assistant (TA) Questions\*** | Hicks, Jeffrey | | | | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| | Responses | | | | | | Individual | | | | |
| | SD | D | N | A | SA | N | Mean | Med. | Mode | Std Dev | |
| Q1 | The TA was helpful when I requested course-related assistance. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 4.6 | 5 | 5 | .48 |
| Q2 | The TA was well-prepared for their duties. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 11 | 4.7 | 5 | 5 | .45 |
| Q3 | The TA was readily available to me either through office hours or by appointment. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 11 | 4.7 | 5 | 5 | .45 |
| Q4 | The TA communicated at an appropriate level for me. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 11 | 4.7 | 5 | 5 | .45 |
| Q5 | The TA exhibited interest in the subject matter. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 4.6 | 5 | 5 | .48 |
| Q6 | The TA showed knowledge of the subject matter. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 11 | 4.7 | 5 | 5 | .45 |
| Q7 | The TA presented information clearly. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 4.6 | 5 | 5 | .48 |
| Q8 | The TA was effective at stimulating interest in the subject matter. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 4.6 | 5 | 5 | .48 |
| Q9 | The TA was receptive to a variety of perspectives and ideas. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 4.6 | 5 | 5 | .48 |
| Q10 | The TA treated me and other students with equal respect. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 10 | 4.7 | 5 | 5 | .46 |
| Q11 | The TA's comments on my written work were helpful. | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 11 | 3.8 | 4 | 3,5 | 1.03 |
| Q12 | The TA encouraged intelligent and independent thought. | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 11 | 4.3 | 5 | 5 | .96 |
| Q13 | The TA's evaluation of my work was fair and reasonable. | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 10 | 4.2 | 4 | 4,5 | .75 |
| Q14 | The TA made a very positive contribution to this course. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 10 | 4.8 | 5 | 5 | .40 |

**Responses:** [**SD**] Strongly Disagree=1 [**D**] Disagree=2 [**N**] Neutral=3 [**A**] Agree=4 [**SA**] Strongly Agree=5

| **\*Arts Teaching Assistant (TA) Questions\*** | Hicks, Jeffrey | | | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| | Responses | | | | | Individual | | | | |
| | VP | P | N | G | VG | N | Mean | Med. | Mode | Std Dev |
| Q15 How would you rate the overall contribution of the TA to the course? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 11 | 4.7 | 5 | 5 | .45 |

**Responses:** [**VP**] Very Poor=1 [**P**] Poor=2 [**N**] Neutral=3 [**G**] Good=4 [**VG**] Very Good=5

| **\*Arts Teaching Assistant (TA) Questions\*** | Hicks, Jeffrey | | | | | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| | Responses | | | | | | | Individual | | | | |
| | LDG | HOH | ME | ME | GCL | CL | RTE | N | Mean | Med. | Mode | Std Dev |
| Q16 Which, if any, of the following did your Teaching Assistant do? (Please mark each element that is appropriate) | 9 | 10 | 8 | 10 | 3 | 10 | 9 | 59 | 3.9 | 4 | 2,4,6 | 2.07 |

**Responses:** [**LDG**] Led discussion-tutorial groups=1 [**HOH**] Held office hours=2 [**ME**] marked examination(s)=3 [**ME**] marked essay(s)-assignment(s)=4 [**GCL**] gave classroom lectures=5 [**CL**] conducted labs=6 [**RTE**] responded to email=7

| **\*Arts Teaching Assistant (TA) Questions\*** | Hicks, Jeffrey | | | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| | Responses | | | | | Individual | | | | |
| | N | LT2 | 2 | 5 | GT7 | N | Mean | Med. | Mode | Std Dev |
| Q17 Of the sessions in which the Teaching Assistant was involved, how often did you attend? | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 11 | 4.5 | 5 | 5 | .99 |

**Responses:** [**N**] None=1 [**LT2**] Less than 25%=2 [**2**] 25-50%=3 [**5**] 51-75%=4 [**GT7**] Greater than 75%=5

| **\*Arts Teaching Assistant (TA) Course Question\*** | GPP 501 - L01 | | | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| | Responses | | | | | Course | | | | |
| | VP | P | N | G | VG | N | Mean | Med. | Mode | Std Dev |
| Q18 Considering everything how would you rate this course? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 4.6 | 5 | 5 | .48 |

**Responses:** [**VP**] Very Poor=1 [**P**] Poor=2 [**N**] Neutral=3 [**G**] Good=4 [**VG**] Very Good=5

**Q19 - Please comment on any aspects, positive or negative, of your teaching assistant's (TA's) teaching, attitudes to students, class atmosphere, or any other matters affecting the quality of instruction that you consider worthy of note.**

**Faculty:** **Hicks, Jeffrey**

**Response Rate:** 81.82% (**9** of **11**)

| 1 | You were an amazing TA thanks for all. But when you evaluate works your expectation is very high or unattainable to me and most of the students. |
| --- | --- |
| 2 | The TA has done a great job in explaining the class materials clearly. Very responsible and very knowledgeable! |
| 3 | No comments on the assignment, only the grade! |
| 4 | Jeff is very knowledgeable and helpful person |

| 5 | Jeff had a great way of reinforcing the material and answering questions which made the course easier on some aspects. His openness in helping and his flexibility in providing office hours to meet and discuss questions about marks or assignments was appreciated greatly. |
| 6 | Jeff brought in helpful insights to his labs, including clear instructions via email for those who did not attend. His notes reflected how he put time into the role. |
| 7 | It is good. |
| 8 | He makes Kevin's teaching more understandable for me that I almost preferred attending lab than class. He is good at imparting knowledge although I find him rigid sometimes. |
| 9 | Good approach of the subject delivered during each lecture. |

**Q20 - Please comment on any aspects, positive or negative, of the format and content of the course as they may have affected the teaching assistant's performance.**

**Faculty:** Hicks, Jeffrey

**Response Rate:** 36.36% (**4** of **11**)

| 1 | The tutorial notes was a good support for each course. However, the lab session was limited to theory and did not explore the real life policy application of the course. |
| 2 | Nothing to complain he is outstanding, I couldn't ask for more with him. |
| 3 | It is good. |
| 4 | Great format and content, he was always well-organized and knowledgeable of the material. |