

**Peer Evaluation** Your assistance is required in evaluating the presentations of your peers. Please the circle the number that best describes your perception of each group's presentation. Keep the following grading rubrics in mind.

- Presentation format: Were the slides readable? Could you follow the narrative of their presentation?
- Engagement: Do the speakers seem to be interested in the material they are presenting? Are they explaining their topic in such a way it engages you?
- Professionalism: Do the speakers use intonation to signal what words or concepts are most important? Did they appear to have practiced ahead of time? Was it clear they had divided the presentation between them? Did the speakers stay on time?
- Presence: Do the speakers command the room? Do they draw your attention or do they just look like they want this to be over as soon as possible? Are the speakers loud enough? Can you hear them even in the back of the room? Are the speakers engaged with the audience? Do they seem connected or do they seem to be going through the motions?
- Content: Do the speakers appear to have full command of the material? Were there obvious gaps in their knowledge of the subject matter? Were they able to answer questions coherently?

Criteria	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	Comments
Presentation format	1	2	3	4	5	
Engagement	1	2	3	4	5	
Professionalism	1	2	3	4	5	
Presence	1	2	3	4	5	
Content	1	2	3	4	5	

Table 1: Group N - Caitlin, Maddie, Chloe, Sasha

Criteria	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	Comments
Presentation format	1	2	3	4	5	
Engagement	1	2	3	4	5	
Professionalism	1	2	3	4	5	
Presence	1	2	3	4	5	
Content	1	2	3	4	5	

Table 2: Group C - Charlotte, Heather, Cadence, Sarah

Criteria	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	Comments
Presentation format	1	2	3	4	5	
Engagement	1	2	3	4	5	
Professionalism	1	2	3	4	5	
Presence	1	2	3	4	5	
Content	1	2	3	4	5	

Table 3: Group K - Ghida, Jenny

Criteria	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	Comments
Presentation format	1	2	3	4	5	
Engagement	1	2	3	4	5	
Professionalism	1	2	3	4	5	
Presence	1	2	3	4	5	
Content	1	2	3	4	5	

Table 4: Group B - Pam, Sophia, Celia

Criteria	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	Comments
Presentation format	1	2	3	4	5	
Engagement	1	2	3	4	5	
Professionalism	1	2	3	4	5	
Presence	1	2	3	4	5	
Content	1	2	3	4	5	

Table 5: Group A - Jenny, Lisa, Mikayla

Criteria	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	Comments
Presentation format	1	2	3	4	5	
Engagement	1	2	3	4	5	
Professionalism	1	2	3	4	5	
Presence	1	2	3	4	5	
Content	1	2	3	4	5	

Table 6: Group H - Natalie, Veida, Daisy

Criteria	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	Comments
Presentation format	1	2	3	4	5	
Engagement	1	2	3	4	5	
Professionalism	1	2	3	4	5	
Presence	1	2	3	4	5	
Content	1	2	3	4	5	

Table 7: Group E - Leen, Maya, Cecilia

Criteria	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	Comments
Presentation format	1	2	3	4	5	
Engagement	1	2	3	4	5	
Professionalism	1	2	3	4	5	
Presence	1	2	3	4	5	
Content	1	2	3	4	5	

Table 8: Group D - Linnea, Anna, Sarah

Criteria	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	Comments
Presentation format	1	2	3	4	5	
Engagement	1	2	3	4	5	
Professionalism	1	2	3	4	5	
Presence	1	2	3	4	5	
Content	1	2	3	4	5	

Table 9: Group J - Nicole, Ilana, Dana

Criteria	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	Comments
Presentation format	1	2	3	4	5	
Engagement	1	2	3	4	5	
Professionalism	1	2	3	4	5	
Presence	1	2	3	4	5	
Content	1	2	3	4	5	

Table 10: Group G - Deepal, Anna, Laura

Criteria	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	Comments
Presentation format	1	2	3	4	5	
Engagement	1	2	3	4	5	
Professionalism	1	2	3	4	5	
Presence	1	2	3	4	5	
Content	1	2	3	4	5	

Table 11: Group I - Nicole, Aissah, Ruby

Criteria	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	Comments
Presentation format	1	2	3	4	5	
Engagement	1	2	3	4	5	
Professionalism	1	2	3	4	5	
Presence	1	2	3	4	5	
Content	1	2	3	4	5	

Table 12: Group M - Ambar, Jess

Criteria	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	Comments
Presentation format	1	2	3	4	5	
Engagement	1	2	3	4	5	
Professionalism	1	2	3	4	5	
Presence	1	2	3	4	5	
Content	1	2	3	4	5	

Table 13: Group F - Margaret, Serena, Mandira

Criteria	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	Comments
Presentation format	1	2	3	4	5	
Engagement	1	2	3	4	5	
Professionalism	1	2	3	4	5	
Presence	1	2	3	4	5	
Content	1	2	3	4	5	

Table 14: Group L - Jakqueline, Rutendo, Lizette