

## Agenda

1. Questionnaire
2. Introduction and syllabus
3. Is Yawning Contagious?
4. HW #1 due Wednesday (9/13)

**Questionnaire** You should all have copies of the questionnaire I handed out. It starts something like this:

Full Name	Prefer to be called	Pronouns	Email Address
Amelia McNamara	Amelia (or, Professor McNamara)	she/her	amcnamara@smith.edu

Please fill it out and return it to me by the end of class. This is how I get to know you, and keep track of how many people are interested in the class.

**Activity: Is Yawning Contagious?** It is commonly believed that yawning is contagious. According to the Wikipedia:

Yawning in adults occurs most often immediately before and after sleep, during tedious activities and as a result of its contagiousness, and is commonly associated with tiredness, stress, overwork, lack of stimulation and boredom, though studies show it may be linked to the cooling of the brain. In humans, yawning is often triggered by others yawning (e.g., seeing a person yawning, talking to someone on the phone who is yawning) and is a typical example of positive feedback. This “infectious” yawning has also been observed in chimpanzees, dogs, and can occur across species.

There is even psychological literature on the subject:

- Anderson, James R.; Meno, Pauline (2003). “Psychological Influences on Yawning in Children”. *Current psychology letters* 2 (11).

An experiment conducted by MythBusters tested if a person can be subconsciously influenced into yawning if another person near them yawns. In this study 50 people were randomly assigned to two groups: 34 to a group where a person near them yawned (seeded) and 16 to a control group where there wasn't a yawn seed. The results are as follows:

```
seeded = c(rep(0, 12), rep(1, 24), rep(0, 4), rep(1, 10))
yawned = c(rep(0, 36), rep(1, 14))
Yawners = data.frame(seeded, yawned)
library(mosaic)
tally(yawned ~ seeded, data = Yawners)

##      seeded
## yawned  0  1
##      0 12 24
##      1  4 10
```

Big idea: It was observed that a larger percentage of those who were seeded with a yawn, actually yawned. But how sure are we that that observed difference is meaningful? Can we conclude that there is truly an association between these two variables?

1. What do you think is the explanatory variable? Response variable?
2. What is the risk (probability) of yawning, for the seeded group?
3. What is the risk (probability) of yawning, for the unseeded group?
4. If there were *no association* between yawning and the proximity of another yawner, what would the relative risk be?
5. After conditioning on the margins, how many “degrees of freedom” are there in this table?
6. Let  $X$  be the number of people in the seeded group that yawned. What are the possible values for  $X$ ?
7. In terms of  $X$ , what would be a more extreme result?  $X =$
8. What would another extreme result be?  $X =$

**Group activity** Let's try to put yawning in context by randomizing the placement of the yawners among the experimental group (seeded) and control group (unseeded).

1. Make a deck with 36 black cards (for the non-yawners) and 14 red cards (for the yawners)
2. Shuffle the cards well
3. Deal out 34 cards (for the seeded group), and count the number of red cards (yawners)
4. Repeat steps 2 & 3 five times, taking turns.
5. When your group is done, add your results to the board

$X_1$	$X_2$	$X_3$	$X_4$	$X_5$	$X_6$

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----	----	----	----	----

1. How many red cards would we expect (on average?)
2. What did we observe?
3. How would we summarize these results? What is the big idea?

**Computing** R (open source general purpose statistical package) code to sample from exact permutation distribution:

```
tally(~yawned + seeded, data = Yawners)
tally(~yawned + seeded, data = Yawners)[2,2] # grab the cell we care about
tally(~yawned + shuffle(seeded), data = Yawners) # shuffle the table
perms = do(1000) * tally(~ yawned + shuffle(seeded), data = Yawners)[2,2]
histogram(~result, data = perms)
```