

Art 8 • Privacy and family life • Refusal of internal jurisdictions to examine the applicant's action, claiming to be the biological father of a child, aimed at challenge the paternity legally established in order to have his own, in the application of the computation rules for the five -year foreclosure period combined with the obligation to attract the child in the cause • requiring not having not acted as soon as he had his paternity when he had a delay sufficient by more than three years to initiate an action • applicant having delayed at put in the cause the child without justifying having been able to ignore the existence of this constant rule in internal law • conclusions of internal jurisdictions neither arbitrary or unreasonable • Refusal based on a parentage link already established for the child and with regard to the latter's best interests • Judicial decisions Having not led into practice to deprive the applicant of any link with the child • Fair balance spared between the different interests involved