

Origination, Extinction, Biodiversity



Paleobiology

February 17, 2016


Biodiversity and richness

- The total number of **unique** species is the richness




Biodiversity and richness

- The total number of **unique** species is the richness




The “problem” with richness

Region 1




Total Richness = 6

Region 2




Total Richness = 4

The species-area effect




Sample standardization

Region 1



Total Richness = 4


Region 2



Total Richness = 4


Sample standardization (resampling)

Region 1



Total Richness = 5


Region 2




Total Richness = 4

Sample standardization (resampling)


Region 1



Total Richness = 5




Total Richness = 4



Total Richness = 5

Average
Richness = 4.66

Region 2




Total Richness = 4


The drawbacks and best solution

- You have to standardize down to the smallest sample, which means you may have to throw out a huge percentage of your data.
- The best solution is sample evenly in the first place. This means that proper experimental design and forethought is extremely important for biodiversity analyses.
- The species-area effect doesn't matter as much if you collect enough data such that you are close to the asymptote.


Income inequality




Income inequality




Biological inequality




Biological inequality




Biological inequality



Biological inequality



Biological inequality



Evenness metrics

- Berger-Parker Index

- The abundance of the most common species divided by the total abundance of all species.




$$= \frac{3}{7}$$

Evenness metrics

■ Gini Coefficient


- How economists measure income inequality.
- Ranges from zero (perfect inequality) to one (perfect equality)
- Called Simpson's Index in ecology
- Its inverse is PIE (probability of interspecies encounter)






Evenness metrics

$$\sum \left(\frac{n}{N} \right)^2$$

■ Gini-Simpson Coefficient




N = total number of individuals = 8




Evenness metrics

$$\sum \left(\frac{n}{N} \right)^2$$

■ Gini-Simpson Coefficient



N = total number of individuals = 8



```
Abundances<-c(3,2,1,1,1)
sum( (Abundances/8) ^2)
[1] 0.75
```



The dual-concept view of biodiversity

- Biodiversity is a mixture of richness and evenness.
 - People dislike richness because of the species area-effect issue.
 - Gini-Simpson is a biodiversity measure under this paradigm, rather than a measure of evenness/inequality.
 - The dual-concept measure views biodiversity as a measure of biological complexity (potential number of ecological interactions).

The most common metric

$$-\sum \frac{n}{N} \ln \frac{n}{N}$$

- Shannon's entropy, Shannon's Information Index
 - Sometimes called Shannon-Wiener, Shannon-Weiner, or Shannon-Weaver... all of those are wrong.

$$\left(\text{Gastly} \frac{1}{5} \ln \frac{1}{5} \right) + \left(\text{Charmander} \frac{2}{5} \ln \frac{2}{5} \right) + \left(\text{Bulbasaur} \frac{3}{5} \ln \frac{3}{5} \right)$$

Why dual-concept makes no sense

1. No shared species between regions
2. All species are equally abundant

Region 1



Regional Richness = 1,000,000

Region 2



Regional Richness = 1,000,000

Why dual-concept makes no sense

Shannon's Index = 14.5

Region 1



Regional Richness = 1,000,000

Region 2



Regional Richness = 1,000,000


Why dual-concept makes no sense

Old Index = 14.5
New Index = 13.8
= <5% drop

Region 1



Regional Richness = 1,000,000



Regional Richness = 

Doubling property

- We can convert these entropy/information/pseudo-evenness metrics such that they have Hill's (1973) doubling property.
- If region A is twice as diverse as region B, then we would need to double the diversity of region B to equal A.

DIVERSITY AND EVENNESS: A UNIFYING NOTATION AND ITS CONSEQUENCES¹

M. O. HILL²

School of Plant Biology, University College of North Wales, Bangor, Caernarvonshire, Wales

Abstract. Three commonly used measures of diversity, Simpson's index, Shannon's entropy, and the total number of species, are related to Rényi's definition of a generalized entropy. A unified concept of diversity is presented, according to which there is a continuum of possible diversity measures. In a sense which becomes apparent, these measures provide estimates of the effective number of species present, and differ only in their tendency to include or to ignore the relatively rarer species. The notion of the diversity of a community as opposed to that of a sample is examined, and is related to the asymptotic form of the species-abundance curve. A new and plausible definition of evenness is derived.

Hill numbers

- Hill showed that Richness, Simpson's D, and Shannon's H are actually all variations of a single equation!

$$\left(\sum \left(\frac{n}{N} \right)^q \right)^{\frac{1}{1-q}}$$

Hill numbers

- Hill showed that Richness, Simpson's D, and Shannon's H are actually all variations of a single equation!

$$\left(\sum \left(\frac{n}{N} \right)^q \right)^{\frac{1}{1-q}}$$

$q = 0 =$ Richness

$q = 1 =$ Shannon's Entropy

$q = 2 =$ $\frac{1}{\text{Gini-Simpson}}$

$q = \infty =$ Berger-Parker Evenness Index

Hill numbers

- Hill showed that Richness, Simpson's D, and Shannon's H are actually all variations of a single equation!

$$\left(\sum \left(\frac{n}{N} \right)^q \right)^{\frac{1}{1-q}}$$


$q = 0 =$ Richness

$q = 1 =$ Shannon's Entropy


$q = 2 =$ $\frac{1}{\text{Gini-Simpson}}$

$q = \infty =$ Berger-Parker Evenness Index


Extinction and origination rate



Extinction and origination rate




Basic definition



$$\text{Percent origination per year} = \frac{\text{within} + \text{top} + \text{bottom} + \text{through}}{\text{Interval start} - \text{interval end}}$$

$$\text{Percent extinction per year} = \frac{\text{within} + \text{bottom}}{\text{Interval start} - \text{interval end}}$$

Fancy definition



$$\text{estimated per-capita origination rate} = \frac{-\ln \left(\frac{\text{through top}}{\text{interval start} - \text{interval end}} \right)}$$

$$\text{estimated per-capita extinction rate} = \frac{-\ln \left(\frac{\text{through bottom}}{\text{interval start} - \text{interval end}} \right)}$$