

Mathematical Statistics I

Assignment 7 - Solutions

1. a. For one observation (X, Y) we have

$$I(\theta) = -E\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log f(X, Y | \theta)\right) = -E\left(-\frac{2Y}{\theta^3}\right) = \frac{2EY}{\theta^3}.$$

But, $Y \sim \text{exponential}(\theta)$, and $EY = \theta$. Hence, $I(\theta) = 2/\theta^2$ for a sample of size one, and $I(\theta) = 2n/\theta^2$ for a sample of size n .

- b. (i) The cdf of T is

$$P(T \leq t) = P\left(\frac{\sum_i Y_i}{\sum_i X_i} \leq t^2\right) = P\left(\frac{2\sum_i Y_i/\theta}{2\sum_i X_i\theta} \leq t^2/\theta^2\right) = P(F_{2n, 2n} \leq t^2/\theta^2)$$

where $F_{2n, 2n}$ is an F random variable with $2n$ degrees of freedom in the numerator and denominator. This follows since $2Y_i/\theta$ and $2X_i\theta$ are all independent $\text{exponential}(1)$, or χ_2^2 . Differentiating (in t) and simplifying gives the density of T as

$$f_T(t) = \frac{\Gamma(2n)}{\Gamma(n)^2} \frac{2}{t} \left(\frac{t^2}{t^2 + \theta^2}\right)^n \left(\frac{\theta^2}{t^2 + \theta^2}\right)^n,$$

and the second derivative (in θ) of the log density is

$$2n \frac{t^4 + 2t^2\theta^2 - \theta^4}{\theta^2(t^2 + \theta^2)^2} = \frac{2n}{\theta^2} \left(1 - \frac{2}{(t^2/\theta^2 + 1)^2}\right),$$

and the information in T is

$$\frac{2n}{\theta^2} \left[1 - 2E\left(\frac{1}{T^2/\theta^2 + 1}\right)^2\right] = \frac{2n}{\theta^2} \left[1 - 2E\left(\frac{1}{F_{2n, 2n}^2 + 1}\right)^2\right].$$

The expected value is

$$E\left(\frac{1}{F_{2n, 2n}^2 + 1}\right)^2 = \frac{\Gamma(2n)}{\Gamma(n)^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1+w)^2} \frac{w^{n-1}}{(1+w)^{2n}} = \frac{\Gamma(2n)}{\Gamma(n)^2} \frac{\Gamma(n)\Gamma(n+2)}{\Gamma(2n+2)} = \frac{n+1}{2(2n+1)}.$$

Substituting this above gives the information in T as

$$\frac{2n}{\theta^2} \left[1 - 2\frac{n+1}{2(2n+1)}\right] = I(\theta) \frac{n}{2n+1},$$

(ii) Let $W = \sum_i X_i$ and $V = \sum_i Y_i$. In each pair, X_i and Y_i are independent, so W and V are independent. $X_i \sim \text{exponential}(1/\theta)$; hence, $W \sim \text{gamma}(n, 1/\theta)$. $Y_i \sim \text{exponential}(\theta)$; hence, $V \sim \text{gamma}(n, \theta)$. Use this joint distribution of (W, V) to derive the joint pdf of (T, U) as

$$f(t, u | \theta) = \frac{2}{[\Gamma(n)]^2 t} u^{2n-1} \exp\left(-\frac{u\theta}{t} - \frac{ut}{\theta}\right), \quad u > 0, \quad t > 0.$$

Now, the information in (T, U) is

$$-E \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log f(T, U | \theta) \right) = -E \left(-\frac{2UT}{\theta^3} \right) = E \left(\frac{2V}{\theta^3} \right) = \frac{2n\theta}{\theta^3} = \frac{2n}{\theta^2}.$$

(iii) The pdf of the sample is $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \exp[-\theta(\sum_i x_i) - (\sum_i y_i)/\theta]$. Hence, (W, V) defined as in part (ii) is sufficient. (T, U) is a one-to-one function of (W, V) , hence (T, U) is also sufficient. But, $EU^2 = EWV = (n/\theta)(n\theta) = n^2$ does not depend on θ . So $E(U^2 - n^2) = 0$ for all θ , and (T, U) is not complete.

2. Let $T(x) = (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^3, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^4)$ for any $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and let $\eta(\theta) = \sigma^{-4}(-4\mu^3, 6\mu^2, -4\mu, 1)$. The joint density of (X_1, \dots, X_n) is

$$f_\theta(x) = \exp \{ [\eta(\theta)]^\tau T(x) - n\mu^4/\sigma^4 - n\xi(\theta) \},$$

which belongs to an exponential family. For any two sample points $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f_\theta(x)}{f_\theta(y)} = \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{\sigma^4} \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^4 - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^4 \right) - 4\mu \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^3 - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^3 \right) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + 6\mu^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 \right) - 4\mu^3 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right) \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

which is free of parameter (μ, σ) if and only if $T(x) = T(y)$. Hence, $T(X)$ is minimal sufficient for θ .

3. We're going to use the following theorem. Let \mathcal{U} be the set of all unbiased estimators of 0 with finite variances and T be an unbiased estimator of ϑ with $E(T^2) < \infty$. A necessary and sufficient condition for $T(X)$ to be a UMVUE of ϑ is that $E[T(X)U(X)] = 0$ for any $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and any $P \in \mathcal{P}$.

Let U be an unbiased estimator of 0. Since T is a UMVUE of θ , $E(TU) = 0$ for any P , which means that TU is an unbiased estimator of 0. Then $E(T^2U) = E[T(TU)] = 0$ if $E(T^4) < \infty$. By the mentioned theorem, T^2 is a UMVUE of $E(T^2)$. By repeating the argument, we can show that T^k is a UMVUE of $E(T^k)$.

4. For all $\epsilon > 0$, by Chebyshev's inequality,

$$P(|W_n - \mu| \geq \epsilon) \leq \frac{b}{n^p \epsilon^2} \rightarrow 0,$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence by definition W_n converges in probability to μ i.e. W_n is a consistent estimator of μ .

5. (i) Let $\ell(\theta)$ be the likelihood function. Note that

$$s(\theta) = \frac{\partial \log \ell(\theta)}{\partial \theta} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{f_1(X_i) - f_2(X_i)}{f_2(X_i) + \theta[f_1(X_i) - f_2(X_i)]},$$

which has derivative

$$s'(\theta) = - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{[f_1(X_i) - f_2(X_i)]^2}{\{f_2(X_i) + \theta[f_1(X_i) - f_2(X_i)]\}^2} < 0.$$

Therefore, $s(\theta) = 0$ has at most one solution. The necessary and sufficient condition that $s(\theta) = 0$ has a solution (which is unique if it exists) is that $\lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} s(\theta) > 0$ and $\lim_{\theta \rightarrow 1} s(\theta) < 0$, which is equivalent to

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{f_1(X_i)}{f_2(X_i)} > n \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{f_2(X_i)}{f_1(X_i)} > n.$$

The solution, if it exists, is the MLE since $s'(\theta) < 0$.

(ii) If $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{f_2(X_i)}{f_1(X_i)} \leq n$, then $s(\theta) \geq 0$ and $\ell(\theta)$ is nondecreasing and, thus, the MLE of θ is 1. Similarly, if $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{f_1(X_i)}{f_2(X_i)} \leq n$, then the MLE of θ is 0.