

Stochastic Processes

Assignment 5 - Solutions

1. **a)** We only need to consider the case when $0=t_0 < t_1 < t_2 \cdots < t_n < t_{n+1} = t$. in that case,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{P}(T_1 \leq t_1, \dots, T_n \leq t_n | X(t) = n) &= \frac{\mathbb{P}(T_1 \leq t_1, \dots, T_n \leq t_n, X(t) = n)}{\mathbb{P}(X(t) = n)} \\
 &= \frac{\sum_{x_1 + \dots + x_{n+1} = n} \mathbb{P}(X(t_1) = x_1, X(t_2) - X(t_1) = x_2, \dots, X(t) - X(t_n) = x_{n+1})}{\mathbb{P}(X(t) = n)} \\
 &= \frac{\sum_{x_1 + \dots + x_{n+1} = n} \mathbb{P}(X(t_1) = x_1) \mathbb{P}(X(t_2) - X(t_1) = x_2) \mathbb{P}(X(t) - X(t_n) = x_{n+1})}{\mathbb{P}(X(t) = n)} \\
 &= \sum_{x_1 + \dots + x_{n+1} = n} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{(\lambda(t_i - t_{i-1}))^{x_i}}{x_i!} e^{-\lambda(t_i - t_{i-1})}}{\frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!} e^{-\lambda t}} \\
 &= \sum_{x_1 + \dots + x_{n+1} = n} \frac{\lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i} e^{-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (t_i - t_{i-1})}}{\lambda^n e^{-\lambda t}} \frac{\binom{n}{x_1 \dots x_{n+1}} \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} (t - t_{i-1})^{x_i}}{t^n} \\
 &= \sum_{x_1 + \dots + x_{n+1} = n} \frac{\binom{n}{x_1 \dots x_{n+1}} \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} (t - t_{i-1})^{x_i}}{t^n}
 \end{aligned}$$

which is free of λ as we desired.

b) Conditioning on the value of T yields

$$\mathbb{P}(X(T) = n) = \int_0^\infty f_T(t) \mathbb{P}(X(T) = n | T = t) dt = \int_0^\infty f_T(t) \mathbb{P}(X(t) = n) dt.$$

According to Poisson process property, $X(t)$ has a Poisson distribution with parameter λt . Substituting $f_{X(t)}(n) = P(X(t) = n)$ and $f_T(t)$ yields

$$\int_0^\infty \nu e^{-\nu t} \frac{(\lambda t)^n e^{-\lambda t}}{n!} dt = \frac{\nu \lambda^n}{n!} \frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{(\nu + \lambda)^{n+1}} \int_0^\infty \frac{(\nu + \lambda)^{n+1}}{\Gamma(n+1)} t^n e^{-(\nu + \lambda)t} dt,$$

notice that the integrand of the right hand side integral is gamma density with parameters $n + 1$ and $\nu + \lambda$, hence we have,

$$\mathbb{P}(X(T) = n) = \frac{\nu \lambda^n}{n!} \frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{(\nu + \lambda)^{n+1}} = \frac{\nu \lambda^n}{(\nu + \lambda)^{n+1}},$$

where the last equality comes from the fact that for every positive integer n , $\Gamma(n+1) = n!$.

2. a) Let $t \geq 0, s \in \mathbb{R}$. Recall $X(t) \sim \text{Poisson}(t\lambda_x)$

$$\begin{aligned} m_{X(t)}(s) &= \mathbb{E}[e^{sX(t)}] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{sk} \mathbb{P}(X(t) = k) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{sk} e^{-t\lambda_x} \frac{(t\lambda_x)^k}{k!} \\ &= e^{-t\lambda_x} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(e^s t \lambda_x)^k}{k!} = e^{-t\lambda_x} (\exp(e^s t \lambda_x)) = e^{t\lambda_x(e^s - 1)} \end{aligned}$$

b) Let $0 < s < t$. Pick $n \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ and observe that $\mathbb{P}(X(s) = k | X(t) = n) = 0$ for all $k > n$. To get something nontrivial let $k \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$. We use the independent-increment property of a Poisson process:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(X(s) = k | X(t) = n) &= \frac{\mathbb{P}(X(s) = k, X(t) = n)}{\mathbb{P}(X(t) = n)} \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{P}(X(s) = k, X(t-s) = n-k)}{\mathbb{P}(X(t) = n)} \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{P}(X(s) = k) \cdot \mathbb{P}(X(t-s) = n-k)}{\mathbb{P}(X(t) = n)} \\ &= \frac{e^{-\lambda_x s} \frac{(\lambda_x s)^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda_x (t-s)} \frac{(\lambda_x (t-s))^{n-k}}{(n-k)!}}{e^{-\lambda_x t} \frac{(\lambda_x t)^n}{n!}} \\ &= \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^k \left(1 - \frac{s}{t}\right)^{n-k} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, given $X(t) = n$, $X(s)$ is Binomial with parameters n and $p = \frac{s}{t}$. (no matter λ_x)

c) Total probability law (conditioning on $T = t$) for $n \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(X(T) = n) &= \int_0^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(X(T) = n | T = t) f_T(t) dt = \int_0^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(X(t) = n | T = t) f_T(t) dt \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(X(t) = n) f_T(t) dt = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_x t} \frac{(\lambda_x t)^n}{n!} \nu e^{-\nu t} dt \\ &= \nu \frac{(\lambda_x)^n}{n!} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-(\lambda_x + \nu)t} \cdot t^n dt \\ &= \nu \frac{(\lambda_x)^n}{n!} \frac{n!}{(\lambda_x + \nu)^{n+1}} = \frac{\nu}{\lambda_x + \nu} \left(\frac{\lambda_x}{\lambda_x + \nu}\right)^n \\ &= \frac{\nu}{\lambda_x + \nu} \left(1 - \frac{\nu}{\lambda_x + \nu}\right)^n \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $X(T)$ is geometric with parameter $p = \frac{\nu}{\lambda_x + \nu}$.

d) Let $T_Y := \inf\{t \geq 0 : X(t) = 1\}$ and $T_Y := \inf\{t \geq 0 : Y(t) = 1\}$. These are the holding times of state 0 for $X(t)$ and $Y(t)$ respectively. Consequently, both T_X and T_Y are exponential random variables with parameters λ_x and λ_y , respectively. As X and Y are independent, so are T_X and T_Y .

Note $\mathbb{P}(T_Y > t) = \int_t^{\infty} \lambda_y e^{-\lambda_y s} ds = e^{-\lambda_y t}$ for $t \geq 0$ (tail of the exponential distribution). Again, with the total probability law: (conditioning on $T_X = t$)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(T_X > T_Y) &= \int_0^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(T_X > T_Y | T_X = t) f_{T_X}(t) dt = \int_0^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(t > T_Y | T_X = t) f_{T_X}(t) dt \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(t > T_Y) f_{T_X}(t) dt = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_y t} \lambda_x e^{-\lambda_x t} dt \\ &= \lambda_x \int_0^{\infty} e^{-(\lambda_x + \lambda_y)t} dt = \frac{\lambda_x}{\lambda_x + \lambda_y}. \end{aligned}$$

3. **a)** Accessibility diagram: $[0] = [1] = [4] = \{0, 1, 4\} \leftarrow [2] = [3] = [5] = \{2, 3, 5\}$ There are two communication classes, $[0] = \{0, 1, 4\}$ and $[2] = \{2, 3, 5\}$. As $[0]$ is closed and finite Class, it is positive recurrent. $[2]$ is transient. As the state space is finite there are no null recurrent states.

b) Period of states $\{2, 3, 5\}$ is 1. (because $\rho_{ii}^1 > 0$ for $i \in \{2, 3, 5\}$) And we know If a MC has at least one state s with self-transition $\rho_{ss} > 0$ then the chain is aperiodic. but the chain isn't irreducible, because we have 2 communication classes. So the chain isn't regular.

c) The chain has a unique positive recurrent class. Thus, there exists a corresponding unique stationary distribution π . π is concentrated on the positive recurrent class $[0]$, $\pi(2) = \pi(3) = \pi(5) = 0$ for the transient states. To find $\pi(0)$, $\pi(1)$, $\pi(4)$, we solve $\pi = \pi P$ subject to $\pi(0) + \pi(1) + \pi(4) = 1$:

$$\pi(0) = \rho_{00}\pi(0) + \rho_{10}\pi(1) + \rho_{40}\pi(4) = \frac{1}{2}\pi(4)$$

$$\pi(1) = \rho_{01}\pi(0) + \rho_{11}\pi(1) + \rho_{41}\pi(4) = \pi(0) + \frac{1}{2}\pi(4)$$

$$\pi(4) = \rho_{04}\pi(0) + \rho_{14}\pi(1) + \rho_{44}\pi(4) = \pi(1)$$

$$1 = \pi(0) + \pi(1) + \pi(4)$$

Finally, $\pi = (\frac{1}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, 0, 0, \frac{2}{5}, 0)$

d) For $n \rightarrow \infty$: $(N_n(0)/n, N_n(1)/n, N_n(2)/n, N_n(3)/n, N_n(4)/n; N_n(5)/n) \approx \pi = (\frac{1}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, 0, 0, \frac{2}{5}, 0)$

4. **a)** Let X_1 be the arrival time in **minutes** of the first taxi, then X_1 is an exponential random variable with parameter $\lambda_1 = \frac{12}{60} = \frac{1}{5}$, and X_2 be the arrival time in **minutes** of the first bus then X_2 is an exponential random variable with parameter $\lambda_2 = \frac{6}{60} = \frac{1}{10}$. Your waiting time W is equal to $\min\{X_1, X_2\}$. We want to find the distribution of W :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(W > x) &= \mathbb{P}(\min\{X_1, X_2\} > x) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(X_1, X_2 > x) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(X_1 > x)\mathbb{P}(X_2 > x) \\ &= e^{-\lambda_1 x} e^{-\lambda_2 x} \\ &= e^{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)x} \end{aligned}$$

So $W \sim \exp(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$

$$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{10} = 0.3$$

b) By using part(a):

$$\mathbb{P}(W > 10) = \int_{10}^{\infty} 0.3e^{-0.3x} dx = e^{-3} \simeq 0.04978$$

5. **a)** $\mathbb{P}(N(3) = 5 | N(7) = 2) = 0$ because $7 > 3$ and $N(7) < N(3)$.

$$\mathbb{E}[S_k] = \sum_{i=2}^k \mathbb{E}[S_i - S_{i-1}] + \mathbb{E}[S_1]$$

Note that : $S_i - S_{i-1} \sim \exp(\lambda)$. So:

$$\mathbb{E}[S_k] = (k-1)\frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\lambda} = \frac{k}{\lambda} .$$

b) We make use of the **fact** that given the number of events between $[0, T]$ for a Poisson process, $N(T) = k$, the arrival times S_1, S_2, \dots, S_k are distributed as order statistic of a $Unif(0, T)$ distribution. Suppose passenger i arrives at the station at time S_i , his waiting time is then $W_i = T - S_i$. Therefore,

$$W = \sum_{i=1}^{N(T)} (T - S_i)$$

is a compound Poisson process. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[W] &= \mathbb{E}[T - S_1 + T - S_2 + \dots + T - S_{N(T)}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[N(T)]\mathbb{E}[T - S_1] \\ &= \lambda T \mathbb{E}[T - S_1] \end{aligned}$$

Using the **fact** , we have

$$\mathbb{E}[T - S_1] = \int_0^T (T - s_1) \frac{1}{T} ds_1 = T - \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T s_1 ds_1 = T - \frac{T}{2} = \frac{T}{2}$$

Therefore, $\mathbb{E} = \lambda T \cdot \frac{T}{2} = \frac{\lambda T^2}{2}$.

6. Let $S = \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$ or $S = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. By a birth and death process on S we mean a Markov pure jump process on S having infinitesimal parameters q_{xy} such that

$$q_{xy} = 0, \quad |y - x| > 1.$$

Thus a birth and death process starting at x can in one jump go only to the states $x - 1$ or $x + 1$. The parameters $\lambda_x = q_{x, x+1}, x \in S$, and $\mu_x = q_{x, x-1}, x \in S$, are called respectively the birth rates and death rates of the process. It can be shown, an irreducible birth and death process on $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ is transient if and only if

$$\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_x}{\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_x} < \infty \quad (1)$$

holds, positive recurrent if and only if

$$\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_0 \cdots \lambda_{x-1}}{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_x} < \infty \quad (2)$$

holds, and null recurrent if and only if (1) and (2) each fail to hold.

a) Note that,

$$\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_x}{\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_x} = \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{k=1}^x k}{\prod_{k=2}^{x+1} k} = \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x+1}$$

diverges and

$$\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_0 \cdots \lambda_{x-1}}{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_x} = \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{k=1}^x k}{\prod_{k=1}^x k} = \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} 1$$

also diverges, hence the process is null recurrent.

b) We have,

$$\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_x}{\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_x} = \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{k=1}^x k}{\prod_{k=3}^{x+2} k} = \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x+1)(x+2)} = \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{x+1} - \frac{1}{x+2} \right)$$

which is a telescoping series and converges to $1/2$. Hence the process is transient.