Problem Solutions

e-Chapter 9


Pierre Paquay

Problem 9.1

(a) We begin by implementing the nearest neighbor method on the raw data.

```
data <- data.frame(x1 = c(0, 0, 5), x2 = c(0, 1, 5))
class <- as.factor(c(1, 1, -1))


ggplot(data, aes(x = x1, y = x2, fill = class)) + geom_point(size = 3, shape = 21) +
    guides(fill = guide_legend(title = "Class"))</pre>
```



Below, we show the decision regions of the final hypothesis.

```
ggplot() + geom_point(data = grid, aes(x = x1, y = x2, col = knn_mod)) +
geom_point(data = data, aes(x = x1, y = x2, fill = class), size = 3, shape = 21) +
guides(fill = guide_legend(title = "Class")) +
```


guides(col = guide_legend(title = "Class"))




(b) Here, we transform to whitened coordinates and we run the nearest neighbor rule.

```
data_centered <- apply(data, 2, function(y) y - mean(y))
sigma <- t(data_centered) %*% as.matrix(data_centered) / 2
sigma_sqr <- sqrtm(sigma)
sigma_sqr_inv <- solve(sigma_sqr)
data_whitened <- as.matrix(data_centered) %*% sigma_sqr_inv
data_whitened <- as.data.frame(data_whitened)
colnames(data_whitened) <- c("z1", "z2")

ggplot(data_whitened, aes(x = z1, y = z2, fill = class)) + geom_point(size = 3, shape = 21) +
guides(fill = guide_legend(title = "Class"))</pre>
```




We show the decision region of the final hypothesis in the original space as well.



(c) Finally, we use principal component analysis to reduce the data to 1 dimension for our nearest neighbor classifier.


```
SVD_decomp <- svd(data_centered)
V1 <- SVD_decomp$v[, 1]
Z <- data_centered %*% V1
data_pca <- Z %*% t(V1)
data_pca <- as.data.frame(data_pca)
colnames(data_pca) <- c("z1", "z2")

ggplot(data_pca, aes(x = z1, y = z2, fill = class)) + geom_point(size = 3, shape = 21) +
    guides(fill = guide_legend(title = "Class"))</pre>
```



```
grid_pca <- (as.matrix(grid_centered) %*% V1) %*% t(V1)
knn_mod_pca <- knn(data_pca, grid_pca, class, k = 1, prob = TRUE)</pre>
```

Once again, we show the decision regions of the final hypothesis in the original space.



Problem 9.2

(a) Here, we have $x'_n = x_n + b$. Let us compute $\overline{x'}$, $\sigma_i'^2$ and Σ' . We have

$$\overline{x'} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} x'_n = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (x_n + b) = \overline{x} + b;$$

moreover, we have

$$\sigma_i^{\prime 2} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (x'_{ni} - \overline{x'}_i)^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (x_{ni} + b_i - \overline{x'}_i - b_i)^2 = \sigma_i^2;$$

and finally

$$\Sigma' = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (x_n' - \overline{x'})(x_n' - \overline{x'})^T = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (x_n + b - \overline{x} - b)(x_n + b - \overline{x} - b)^T = \Sigma.$$

• Centering. We may write that

$$z'_n = x'_n - \overline{x'} = x_n + b - \overline{x} - b = z_n.$$

• Normalization. Here, we have that

$$z_n' = D'(x_n' - \overline{x'})$$

where

$$D' = \operatorname{diag}(1/\sigma_1', \dots, 1/\sigma_d') = \operatorname{diag}(1/\sigma_1, \dots, 1/\sigma_d) = D.$$

In that case, we have

$$z'_n = D(x'_n - \overline{x'}) = D(x_n + b - \overline{x} - b) = z_n.$$

• Whitening. We have

$$z'_n = \Sigma'^{-1/2}(x'_n - \overline{x'}) = \Sigma^{-1/2}(x_n + b - \overline{x} - b) = z_n.$$

(b) Here, we have $x'_n = \alpha x_n$ with $\alpha > 0$. Let us compute $\overline{x'}$, σ'^2_i and Σ' . We have

$$\overline{x'} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} x'_n = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \alpha x_n = \alpha \overline{x};$$

moreover, we have

$$\sigma_i^{\prime 2} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (x'_{ni} - \overline{x'}_i)^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (\alpha x_{ni} - \alpha \overline{x'}_i)^2 = \alpha^2 \sigma_i^2;$$

and finally

$$\Sigma' = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (x'_n - \overline{x'})(x'_n - \overline{x'})^T = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (\alpha x_n - \alpha \overline{x})(\alpha x_n - \alpha \overline{x})^T = \alpha^2 \Sigma.$$

• Centering. We may write that

$$z'_n = x'_n - \overline{x'} = \alpha x_n - \alpha \overline{x} = \alpha z_n \neq z_n.$$

• Normalization. Here, we have that

$$z'_n = D'(x'_n - \overline{x'})$$

where

$$D' = \operatorname{diag}(1/\sigma_1', \dots, 1/\sigma_d') = \operatorname{diag}(1/(\alpha\sigma_1), \dots, 1/(\alpha\sigma_d)) = \frac{1}{\alpha}D.$$

In that case, we have

$$z'_n = \frac{1}{\alpha}D(x'_n - \overline{x'}) = \frac{1}{\alpha}D(\alpha x_n - \alpha \overline{x}) = D(x_n - \overline{x}) = z_n.$$

• Whitening. We have

$$z'_n = \Sigma'^{-1/2}(x'_n - \overline{x'}) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \Sigma^{-1/2}(\alpha x_n - \alpha \overline{x}) = z_n.$$

(c) Here, we have $x'_n = Ax_n$ with $A = \operatorname{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_d)$ $(a_i \neq 0)$. Let us compute $\overline{x'}$, $\sigma_i'^2$ and Σ' . We have

$$\overline{x'} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} x'_n = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} A x_n = A \overline{x};$$

moreover, we have

$$\sigma_i^{\prime 2} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (x'_{ni} - \overline{x'}_i)^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (a_i x_{ni} - a_i \overline{x'}_i)^2 = a_i^2 \sigma_i^2;$$

and finally

$$\Sigma' = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (x_n' - \overline{x'})(x_n' - \overline{x'})^T = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (Ax_n - A\overline{x})(Ax_n - A\overline{x})^T = A\Sigma A^T.$$

• Centering. We may write that

$$z'_n = x'_n - \overline{x'} = Ax_n - A\overline{x} = Az_n \neq z_n.$$

• Normalization. Here, we have that

$$z_n' = D'(x_n' - \overline{x'})$$

where

$$D' = \text{diag}(1/\sigma'_1, \dots, 1/\sigma'_d) = \text{diag}(1/(a_1\sigma_1), \dots, 1/(a_d\sigma_d));$$

which means that D'A = D. In that case, we have

$$z'_n = D'(x'_n - \overline{x'}) = D'A(x_n - \overline{x}) = D(x_n - \overline{x}) = z_n.$$

• Whitening. We have

$$z'_{n} = \Sigma'^{-1/2}(x'_{n} - \overline{x'}) = (A\Sigma A^{T})^{-1/2}A(x_{n} - \overline{x}) \neq z_{n}.$$

(d) Here, we have $x'_n = Ax_n$ with $\det(A) \neq 0$. Let us compute $\overline{x'}$, σ'^2_i and Σ' . We have

$$\overline{x'} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} x'_n = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} Ax_n = A\overline{x};$$

moreover, we have

$$\sigma_i^{\prime 2} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (x'_{ni} - \overline{x'}_i)^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (l_i^T x_n - l_i^T \overline{x})^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} l_i^T (x_n - \overline{x})(x_n - \overline{x})^T l_i = l_i^T \Sigma l_i$$

where l_i is the *i*th row of A; and finally

$$\Sigma' = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (x_n' - \overline{x'})(x_n' - \overline{x'})^T = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (Ax_n - A\overline{x})(Ax_n - A\overline{x})^T = A\Sigma A^T.$$

• Centering. We may write that

$$z'_n = x'_n - \overline{x'} = Ax_n - A\overline{x} = Az_n \neq z_n.$$

• Normalization. Here, we have that

$$z_n' = D'(x_n' - \overline{x'})$$

where

$$D' = \operatorname{diag}(1/\sigma_1', \dots, 1/\sigma_d') = \operatorname{diag}(1/(l_1^T \Sigma l_1), \dots, 1/(l_d^T \Sigma l_d)).$$

In that case, we have

$$z'_n = D'(x'_n - \overline{x'}) = D'A(x_n - \overline{x}) = D'Az_n \neq z_n.$$

• Whitening. We have

$$z'_n = \Sigma'^{-1/2}(x'_n - \overline{x'}) = (A\Sigma A^T)^{-1/2}A(x_n - \overline{x}) \neq z_n.$$

Problem 9.3

We may write

$$\Gamma = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_d)$$

where $\lambda_i > 0$. With this in mind, it is easy to describe $\Gamma^{1/2}$ and $\Gamma^{-1/2}$; we have

$$\Gamma^{1/2} = \operatorname{diag}(\sqrt{\lambda_1}, \cdots, \sqrt{\lambda_d}) \text{ and } \Gamma^{-1/2} = \operatorname{diag}(1/\sqrt{\lambda_1}, \cdots, 1/\sqrt{\lambda_d}).$$

To prove the first fact, we simply need to compute $\Gamma^{1/2}\Gamma^{1/2}$, we have

$$\Gamma^{1/2}\Gamma^{1/2} = \operatorname{diag}(\sqrt{\lambda_1}, \cdots, \sqrt{\lambda_d})\operatorname{diag}(\sqrt{\lambda_1}, \cdots, \sqrt{\lambda_d}) = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_d) = \Gamma;$$

to prove the second fact, we need to compute $\Gamma^{1/2}\Gamma^{-1/2}$ (the same reasoning can be applied to $\Gamma^{-1/2}\Gamma^{1/2}$), we have

$$\Gamma^{1/2}\Gamma^{-1/2} = \operatorname{diag}(\sqrt{\lambda_1}, \cdots, \sqrt{\lambda_d})\operatorname{diag}(1/\sqrt{\lambda_1}, \cdots, 1/\sqrt{\lambda_d}) = I.$$

Now, to prove that $\Sigma^{1/2} = U\Gamma^{1/2}U^T$, we write that

$$\Sigma^{1/2}\Sigma^{1/2} = U\Gamma^{1/2}\underbrace{U^TU}_{=I}\Gamma^{1/2}U^T = U\Gamma U^T = \Sigma.$$

Then, to prove that $\Sigma^{-1/2} = U\Gamma^{-1/2}U^T$, we write that

$$\Sigma^{-1/2}\Sigma^{1/2} = U\Gamma^{-1/2}\underbrace{U^TU}_{-I}\Gamma^{1/2}U^T = UIU^T = I.$$

Problem 9.4

We know that $A = V\psi$, consequently we get

$$V^T A = \underbrace{V^T V}_{=I} \psi = \psi$$

because V is an orthogonal matrix. Moreover, we also have that

$$\psi^T \psi = A^T \underbrace{VV^T}_{-I} A = A^T A = I$$

since A is an orthogonal matrix as well; this means that ψ is also an orthogonal matrix.

Problem 9.5

(a) if A is a diagonal matrix (N=d), it suffices to define U, Γ and V as follows

$$U = V = I_N$$
 and $\Gamma = A$.

In this case, we have

$$A = U\Gamma V^T.$$

(c) If A is a matrix with pairwise orthogonal columns, we may write that

$$A^T A = \operatorname{diag}(a_1, \cdots, a_d)$$

where $a_i > 0$. Now if we define D as follows

$$D = \operatorname{diag}(1/\sqrt{a_1}, \cdots, 1/\sqrt{a_d}),$$

it is easy to see that U = AD is actually a matrix with orthonormal columns since

$$U^T U = D^T A^T A D = I_d.$$

In this case, we have

$$A = U\Gamma V^T$$

with
$$\Gamma = \operatorname{diag}(\sqrt{a_1}, \cdots, \sqrt{a_d})$$
 and $V = I_d$.

(d) If A has SVD $U\Gamma V^T$ and $Q^TQ=I$, we may write that

$$QA = (QU)\Gamma V^T$$

with QU a matrix with orthonormal columns since

$$(QU)^T(QU) = U^T \underbrace{Q^T Q}_{=I} U = I_d.$$

(e) If A has blocks A_i along the diagonal such that A_i has SVD $U_i\Gamma_iV_i^T$, we simply have to define U, Γ , and V as follows

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} U_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & U_m \end{pmatrix}, \ \Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \Gamma_m \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } V = \begin{pmatrix} V_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & V_m \end{pmatrix}.$$

In that case, we immediately get that

$$A = U\Gamma V^T$$

with

$$U^{T}U = \begin{pmatrix} U_{1}^{T} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & U_{m}^{T} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} U_{1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & U_{m} \end{pmatrix} = I,$$

$$V^{T}V = \begin{pmatrix} V_{1}^{T} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & V_{m}^{T} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} V_{1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & V_{m} \end{pmatrix} = VV^{T} = I,$$

and Γ diagonal.


Problem 9.6

Here, we suppose that the digits data were not centered and we perform PCA to obtain a 2-dimensional feature vector.

```
digits <- read.delim("zip.train", header = FALSE, sep = " ")
digits$V258 <- NULL
colnames(digits) <- c("digit", 1:256)

X <- as.matrix(digits[, -1])
y <- digits[, 1]
SVD_decomp <- svd(X)
V2 <- SVD_decomp$v[, 1:2]
Z <- X %*% V2
digits_pca <- Z %*% t(V2)
digits_pca_proj <- digits_pca %*% V2
digits_pca_proj <- as.data.frame(digits_pca_proj)

ggplot(digits_pca_proj, aes(x = V1, y = V2, col = as.factor(y))) + geom_point() +
    guides(col = guide_legend(title = "Digit"))</pre>
```



The transformed data is not whitened since its covariance matrix is not the identity matrix.

cov(digits_pca_proj)

V1 V2 ## V1 6.842064 -8.121955 ## V2 -8.121955 17.058353